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symbols And TECHNICAL CONVENTIONS

Since our use of symbols, abbreviations, and the like follows standard rractice, all that we need here is a visual summary of the main conventions, with a brief explanation or a reference to where fuller information is given.
4.37; App I.12:

Cross-references are given by chapter (or appendix) and section number. AinE, BrE:

American English, British English (cf 1.6).
$\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}_{1}$, etc.:
See 2.5 ffl when italicized, strings of these symbols refer to the clause types explained in 7.2.
a 'better GRÀmmar|:
Capitals in examples indicate nuclear syllables, accents indicate the inonation, raised verticals indicate stress, and long verticals tone unit boundaries: see App II. 2 ff, 7 ff.
*a more better one:
A preceding asterisk indicates an unacceptable structure.

## they seem fools:

A preceding question mark indicates doubtful acceptability; combined :with an asterisk it suggests virtual unacceptability.
Help me (to) write:
Parentheses indicate optional items.
Bolinger (1971):
References at the end of a chapter are expanded in the Bibliography, pp 462 ff.
He came $\left\{\begin{array}{l}t o \\ \text { from; } ;\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { London } \\ \text { New York }\end{array}\right.$
Curved braces indicate free alternatives
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{He} \\ \mathrm{She}\end{array}\right]$ does $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { his } \\ \text { her }\end{array}\right]$ best:
Square brackets indicate contingent alternatives: eg selection of the top one in the first pair entails selection of the top one in the secord also.
\{His [expensive (house insurance)]\}:
Contrasts in bracketing give a linear indication of hierarchical struc ture.
|Iz/, |z|, |s/:
Slants enclose phonemic transcriptions, usually of inflections. The symbols have widely familiar values: |I/ as in bid, /i/ as in beat, |z| as in zip, $/ \partial /$ as in the first syillable of alone, etc.

ONE VARIETIES OF ENGLISH

## 1.1

## Variety classes

There are numerous varieties of the English language, and wiat we ordinarily mean by 'English' is a common core or nucleus which is realized only in the different forms of the language that we actually hear or read. We can distinguish six kinds of varieties ranged as below and interrel? ted in ways we shall attempt to explain.


The fact that in this figure the 'common core' dominates all the varieties means that, however esoteric or remote a variety may be, it has running through it a set of grammatical and other characteristics that are common to all. From this initial point onwards, it is claimed by the sets
of braces that each variety class is related equally and at all points to each of the other variety classes. We shall however return and make qualifications to this claim. The classes themselves are arranged in a meaningful order and the justification will become clear in what fclows.

## Regional variation

1.2

Varieties according to region have a well-established label both in popular and technical use: ‘dialects’. Geographical dispersion is in fact the classic basis for linguistic variation, and in the course of time, with poor communications and relative remoteness, such dispersion results in dialects becoming so distinct that we regard them as different languages. Tuis laieer otage was loin age recoced with the Garmanic fialects that are now Dutch, English, German, Swedish, etc, but it has not been reached (and may noit necessarily ever be reached, given the modern ease of communication) with the dialects of English that have resulteu from the regional separation of English-speaking communities both within the British Isles and throughout the world.
Regional variation seems to be realized predominantly in phonology. That is, we generally recognize a different dialect from a speaker's pronunciation befoie we notice that his vocabulary (or lexicon) is also distinctive. Grammatical variation tends to be less extensive and certainly less obtrusive. But all types of linguistic organization can readily enough be involved.
1.3

It is pointless to ask how many dialects of English there are: there are indefinitely many, depending solely on how detailed we wish to be in our observations. But they are of course more obviously numerous in the long-settled Britain than in the more recently settled North America or in the still more recently settled Australia and New Zealand. The degree of generality in our observation depends crucially upon our standpoint as well as upon our experience. An Englishman will hear an American Southerner primarily as an American and only as a Southerner in addition if further subclassification is called for and if his experience of American English dialects enables him to make it. To an American the same speaker wili be heard first as a Southerner and then (subject to similar conditions) as, say, a Virginian, and then perhaps as a Piedmont Virginian. One might suggest some broad dialectal divisions which are rather generally recognizeú. Within North America, most people would be able to distinguish Canadian, New Engiand, Midland, and Southern varieties of English. Within the British Isles, Irish, Scots, Northern, Midland,

Welsh, South-western, and London varieties would be recognized with similar generality. Some of these - Irish and Scots for example - wou'.d be recognized as such by many Americans and Australians too, while in Britain many people could make subdivisions: Ulster and Southern might be distinguished within Irisi, for example, and Yorkshire picked out as a subdivision of northern speech. British people can also, of course, distinguish North Americans from all others (though not usually Canadians from .1mericans), South Africans from Australians and New Zealanders (though mistakes are frequent), but not usually Australians from New Zealanders.

## 1.4

Education and social standing
Witnin each of the dialect areas, there is considerable variation in speech accordiug to ejucation and socia! standing. There is an imprtant polarity of uneducated and educated speech in which the former can be identified with the regional dialect most completely and the latter moves away from dialectal usage to a form of English that cuts across dialectal boundaries. On the other hand, there is no simple equation of dialectal and uned"cated Engiist. Just as educated Englisk cuts across dialectal boundaries, so do many features of uneducated use: a prominent example is the double negative as in I don't want no cake, which has been outlawed from all educated English by tne piscripcive gramnar tradition for hundreds of years but which continues to thrive in uneducated speech wherever English is spoken.
Educated speech - by definition the language of education - naturally tends to be given the additional prestige of government agencies, the learnei professions, the political parties, the press, the law court and the pulpit - any institution which must attempt to address itself to a public beyond the smallest dialectal community. The general acceptance of 'BBC English' for this purpose over almost half a century is paralleled by a similar designation for general educated idiom in the United States, 'network English'. By reason of the fact that educated English is thus accorded inplicit social and political sanction, it comes to be referred to as Standard English, and provided we remember that this does not mean an English that has been formally standardized by official action, as weights and measures are standardized, the term is useful and appropriate. In contrast with Standard English, forms that are especially associated with uneducated (rather than dialectal) use are often called 'substandard'.

## 1.5

Standard English
The degree of acceptance of a single standard of English throughout the
world, acrose ? multinlicity of political and social systems, is a truly remarkable phenomenon: the more so since the extent of the uniformity involved has, if anything, increased in the present century. Uniformity is greatest in what is from most viewpoints the relatively unimportant matter of spelling. Although printing houses in all English-speaking countries retain a tiny area of individual decision (some preferring -ise and others -ize in words like realise; some preferring judgment and others judgement; etc), there is basically a single system, with two minor subsystems. The one is the subsystem with British orientation (used in all English-speaking countries except the United States) with distinctive forms in only a small class of words, colour, centre, levelled, etc. The other is the American subsystem: color, center, leveled, etc. In Canada, the British subsystem is used for the most part, but some publishers (especially $c^{r}$ pciv'ar materia', fo'low the Americ?n suhcystem and some a mixture (color but centre). In the American Mid-West, some newspaper publishers (but not book publishers) use a few additional separate spellings such as thru for through.
In grammar and vocabulary, Standard English presents somewhat less of a menclithic character, but even so the wnold-wide agreement is extraordinary and - as has been suggested earlier - seems actually to be increasing under the impact of closer world communication and the spread of identical culture, both material and non-material. The uniformity is especially close in neutral or formal styles (1.12) of written English (1.11) on subject matter (1.10) not of obviously localized interest: in such circumstances one can frequently go on for page after page without encountering a feature which would identify the English as belonging to one of the national standards.

## National standards of English

## 1.6

British and American English
There are two national standards that are overwhelmingly predominant both in the number of distincive usages and in the degree to which these distinctions are 'institutionalized': American English and British English. Grammatical differences are few and the most conspicuous are widely known; the fact that AmE has twc past participles for get and BrE only one (3.14), for example, and that in BrE the indefinite pronoun one is repeated in co-reference where AmE uses he as in

One cannot succeed at this unless $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { one } \\ \text { he }\end{array}\right\}$ tries hard
Lexical examples are far more numerous, but many of these are familiar to users of both standards: for example, railway $(\mathrm{BrE})$, railroad (AmE);
tap (BrE), faucet (AmE); autumn (BrE), fall (AmE). More recent lexical innovations in either area tend to spread rapidly to the other. Thus while radio sets have had valves in BrE but tubes in AmE, television sets have cathode ray tubes in both, and transistors are likewise used in both standards.

## 1.7

## Scotland, Ireland, Canada

Scots, with ancicnt national and educational institutions, is perhaps nearest to the self-confident independence of BrE and AmE , though the differences in grammar and vocabulary are rather few. Irish (or Hiberno-) English should also be regarded as a national standard, for though we lack descriptions of this long-standing variety of English it is consciously and explicitly regarded as independent of BrE by educational and broadcasting services. The prociinity ôd Litain, the easy movement of population, and like factors mean however that there is little room for the assertion and development of separate grammar and vocabulary.
Canadian English is in a similar position in relation to AmE. Close economic, social, and intellectual links along a 4000 -mile frontier have natirally caused the larger community to have an enormois influence on the smaller, not least in language. Though in many respects Canadian English follows British rather than United States practice, in many other respects it has approximated to AmE and seems likely to continue in this direction.

## 1.8

## South Africa, Australia, New Zealand

South Africa, Australia and New Zealand are in a very different position, remote from the direct day-to-day impact of either BrE or AmE . While in orthography and grammar the South African English in educated use is virtually identical with BrE , rather considerable differences in vocabulary have developed.
New Zealand English is more like BrE than any other non-European varisty, though it now feels the powerful influence of Australia and - to no small degree - of the United States.
Australian English is undoubtedly the dominant form of English in the Antipodes, and it is even exerting an influence in the northern hemisphere, particularly in Britain, though much of what is distinctive in Australian English is confined to familiar use.

## 1.9

Pronunciation and Standard English
This list does not exhaust the regional or national variants that approximate to the status of a standard (the Caribbean might be mentioned, for
example), but the important point to stress is that all of them are remarkable primarily in the trivial extent to which even the most firmly established, BrE and AmE, differ from each other in vocabulary, grammar, and spelling. We have been careful, however, not to mention pronunciation in this connection. Pronunciation distinguishes one national standard from another most immediately and completely, and links in a most obvious way the national standards to the regional varieties.
In BrE , one type of pronunciation comes close to enjoying the status of 'standard': 'Received Pronunciation' or 'RP'. Because this has been largely associated with a private education system based upon boarding schools insulated from the locality in which they happen to have been situated, it is significantly non-regional and of considerable prestige. But RD an longer has the unique authority it had in the first half of the twentieth century.

### 1.10

## Varieties according to subject matter

Vanietios acrording to the subject matter involved in a discourse are sometimes referred to as 'registers'. While one does not exclude the possibility that a given speaker may choose to speak in a national standard at one moment and in a recicral dialect ine next - and possibly even switch from one national standard to another - the presumption has been that an individual adopts one of the varieties so far discussed as his permanent form of English. With varieties according to subject matter, on the other hand, the presumption is rather that the same speaker has a repertoire of varieties and habitually switches to the appropriate one as occasion arises. Most typically, perhaps, the switch involves nothing more than turning to the particular set of lexical items habitually used for handling the subject in question: law, cookery, engineering, football.
Although in principle the type of language required by a particular subject matter would te roughly constant against the variables already discussed ('dialect, national standard), the use of a specific variety of one class frequently presupposes the use of a specific variety of another. A well-formed legal sentence, for example, presupposes an educated variety of English.

### 1.11

## Varieties according to medium

The only varieties according to medium that we need to consider are those conditioned by speaking and writing respectively. Most of the differences involved arise from two sources. One is situational: the use
of a written medium normally presumes the absence of the person(s) to whom the piece of language is addressed. This imposes the necessity of a far greater explicitness: the careful and precise completion of a sentence, rather than the odd word, supported by gesture, and terminating when the speaker is assured by word or look that his hearer nas understood.
The second source of difference is that many of the devices we use to transmit language by speech (stress, rhythm, intonation, tempo, for example) are impossible to represent with the crudely simple repertoire of conventional orthography. They are difficult enough to represent even with a special prosodic notation: cf App II. This means that the writer has often to reformulate his sentences if he is to convey fully and successfully what he wants to express within the orthographic system.

### 1.12

## Varieties according to attitude

Varieties according to attitude are often called 'stylistic', but 'style' like 'register' is a term which is used with several different meanings. We are here concerned with the choice of linguistic form that proceeds from vur attitude to the hearer (or reader), to the subject matter, or to the purpose of our communication. And we postulate that the essential aspect of the non-linguistic component (that is, the attitude) is the gradient between stifi, formai, cold, impoisunal in thi one hand and relaxed, informal, warm, friendly on the other. It is useful to pursue the notion of the 'common core' (1.1) here, so that we can acknowledge a neutral or unmarked variety of English, bearing no obvious colouring that has been induced by attitude. On each side of this, we can then distinguish sentences containing features that are markedly formal or informal. In this book, we shall for the most part confine ourselves to this three-term distinction, leaving the middle one unlabelled and specifying only usages that are relatively formal or informal:

$$
\text { (rigid } \sim \text { ) FORMAL } \sim(\text { neutral }) \sim \text { INFORMAL }(\sim \text { familiar })
$$

### 1.13

Varieties according to interference
Varieties according to interference should be seen as being on a very different basis from the other types of variety discussed. In this case, we refer to the trace left by someone's native language upon the foreign language he has acquired. Thus, the Frenchman who says 'I am here since Thursday' is imposing a French grammatical usage on English; the Russian who says 'There are four assistants in our chair of mathematics' is imposing a Russian lexico-semantic usage on the English word 'chair'. But there are interference varicties that are so widespread
in a community and of such lone standing that they may be thought stable and adequate enough to be regarded as varieties of English in their own right rather than stages on the way to a more native-like English. There is active debate on these issues in India, Pakistan and several African countries, where efficient and fairly stable varieties of English are prominent in educated use at the highest political and professional level.

### 1.14

## Relationship between variety classes

In presenting the table of varieties in a schematic relationship (1.1), reference was made to each stratum of varieties being equally related to all others. But, as we have seen, there are limitations to this. Since writ-
 English of one or other national standard in this medium. Indeed, when we try on occasion to represent regional or uneducated English in writing, we realize how narrowly geared to Standard English are our graphic conventions. For the same reason there are some subjects that can scaizely be handled in writing and others (eg legal statutes) that can scarcely be handled in speech.
Attitudinal varieties have a great deal of independence in relation to other varieties: it is possible to be formal or informal on biochemistry or politics in AmE or BrE , for example. But informal or casual language across an 'authority gap' or 'seniority gap' (a student talking to an archbishop) presents difficulties, and on certain topics (funerals) it would be unthinkably distasteful. An attempt at formal or rigid language when the subject is courtship or football would seem comic at best.
Our approach in this book is to keep our sights firmly fixed on the COMMON CORB which constitutes the major part of any variety of English, however specialized, and without which fluency in any variety at a higher than parrot level is impossible. Only at points where a grammatical form is being discussed which is associated with a specific variety will mention be made of the fact tbat the form is no longer of the common core. The varieties chiefly involved on such orcasions will be AmE and BrE ; speech and writing; formal and informal.

### 1.15

## Varieties within a variety

Two final points need to be made. First, the various conditioning factors (region, medium, attitude, for example) have no absolute effect: one should not expect a consistent all-or-nothing response to the demands of informality or whatever the factor may be. The conditioning is real but relative and variable. Secondly, when we have done all we can to account
for the choice of one rather than another inguistic form, we are still left with a margin of variation that cannot with certainty be explained in terms of the parameters set forth in 1.1 and discussed in subsequent paragraphs.

For example, we can say (or write)
He stayed a week or He stayed for a week
Two fishes
or Two fish
Had I known
or If I had known
without either member of such pairs being necessarily linked to any of the varieties that we have specified. We may sometimes have a clear impression that one member seems rarer than another, or relatively oldfashioned, but although a rare or archaic form is likelier in relatively formal rather chan in elativily inforainl Eaglish, we cannot alwaye make such an identification. All societies are constantly changing their languages with the result that there are always coexistent forms, the one relatively new, the other relatively old; and some memters of a soniety will be temperamentally disposed to use the new (perhaps by their youth) while others are comparably inclined to the old (perhaps by their age). But many of us will not be consistent either in our choice or in our temperamental disposition. Perhaps English may give rise to such fluctuation mole than some other languages because of its patently mixed nature: a basic Germanic wordstock, stress pattern, word-formation, inflection and syntax overlaid with a classical and Romance wordstock, stress pattern, word-formation - and even inflection and syntax. The extent to which even highly educated people will treat the Latin and Greek plurals in data and criteria as singulars or will use different to and averse to rather than different from and averse from - and face objections from other native speakers of English - testifies to the variable acknowledgment that classical patterns of inflection and syntax (Latin differre $a b$, 'to differ from'; aversus $a b$, 'averse from') apply within English grammar. It is one of the senses in which English is to be regarded as the most internationa! of languages and it adds noticeably to the variation in English usage with which a grammar must come to terms.

Bibliographical Note
On varieties of English, see Crystal and Davy (1969); McDavid-Mencken (1963); Quirk (1972): Turner (1973).

## iW()

## ELEMENTS OF GRAIMMAR

2.1

The fu-pese of this chanter is to explore certain outstanding features of English structure in such a way as to provide, as it were, a small-scale map of areas that will be viewed in much greater detail in later chapters. As with any small-scale map, a great many features will be ignored and complicated contours will be smoothed out. The reader's attention will net be distrarted even by forward references to the parts of the book in which the focus will allow such complication to become visible. But to compensate for the disadvantages in this degree of oversimplification, we have hoped to achieve the advantages of the geographical analobue as well. In other words, we have tried to provide enough broad informaion to enable the reader to understand - and place in a wider context the more detailed discussion that subsequent chapters involve.

## Parts of a sentence

2.2

Subject and predicate
In order to state general rules about the construction of sentences, it is constantly necessary to refer to smaller units than the sentence itself. Our first task must therefore be to explain what these smaller units are that we need to distinguish, confining our attention for the present to a few sentences which, tinough showing cunsiderable varisty, are all of fairly elementary structure.
Traditionally, there is a primary distinction between SUBJECT and predicate:

| John | carefully searched the room | $[1]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The girl | is now a student at a large universit;' | $[2]$ |
| His brother | grew happier gradually | $[3]$ |
| It | rained steadily all day | $[4]$ |
| He | had given the girl an apple | $[5]$ |
| They | make him the chairman every year | $[6]$ |

Although such a division obviously results in parts which are (in these examples) very unequal in size and dissimilar in content, it is of course by no means arbitrary. The subject of the sentence has a ciose general relation to 'what is being discussed', the 'theme' of the sentence, with the normal implication that something new (the predicate) is being said about a 'subject' that has already been introduced in an earlier sentence. This is of course a gereral characteristic and not a defining feature: it is patently absurd in relation to sentence [4], for example. Another point is that the subject determines concord. That is, with hose parts of the verb that permit a distinctinn between singular and plural, the form selected depends on whether the subject is singular as in [2], the girl is, or plural as in [6], they make.
Furthermore, the subject is the part of the sentence that changes its position as we go from statement to question:
Had he given the girl an apple?

## 2.3

## Operator, auxiliary, and predication

In contrast with the subject there ?re few generalizations that we can usefully make about the predicate since - as our examples have illustrated - it tends to be a more complex and heterogeneous unit. We need to subdivide it into its elements or constituents. One division has already been suggested; this distinguishes AUXILIARY as OPERATOR (as in [5q]) from what we may call the predication. The distinctions may be illustrated as follows:


This particular division of the sentence helps us to understand, for example, how interrogative and negative sentences are formed, how certain adjuncts are positioned, and how certain types of emphasis are achieved.

That [she $(\mathrm{S})$ answered $(\mathrm{V})$ the question $(\mathrm{O})$ correctly ' $(\mathrm{A})$ ] ( S ) pleased (V) him (O) enormously (A)
The italicizing is intended to emphasize the similarity between subordinate (or dependent) clauses and independent sentences. At the same time this and the bracketing can interestingly suggest that when in [8] and that in [7] and [9] operate as $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{O}$, and S respectively (though this is only partly true) while more importantly being themselves 'expanded' by the dependent clauses.

## 2.6

## Complements and objects

The relation between the room in illustration [1] and the other elements in that sentence is very different from the relation between the girl in [5] and :ts fellow' elements, thnugh both are labelled 'object'. Even more obviously, perhaps, the two elements labelled 'object' in [5] play sharply distinct roles in this sentence. We need in fact to distinguish two types of object and two types of complement in the sentences sn far illustrated:

$$
\text { object }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { direct otject }\left(\mathrm{O}_{a}\right) \\
\text { indirect object }\left(\mathrm{O}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\text { complement }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { subjert compiewent }\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \\
\text { object complement }\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The direct object is illustrated in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { John carefully searched the room }\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \text { He had given the girl an apple }\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The direct object is by far the more frequent kind of object, and (with certain outstanding exceptions) it must always be present if there is an indirect object in the sentence:

$$
\text { He had given the girl }\left(\mathrm{O}_{1}\right) \text { an apple }
$$

As here, the indirect object almost always precedes the direct object; it is characterisically (though by no means always) a noun referring to a person, and the semantic relationship is often such that it is appropriate to use the term 'recipient'. Loosely, one might say in most cases that something (the direct object) tends to be done for (or received by) the indirect object.

Turning to complements, we may illustrate first the subject complement:

The girl is now a studeni ${ }^{( } \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) at a large university
His brother grew happier $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8}\right)$ gradually

Here the complinents have a straightforward relation to the subjects of their respective sentences such that the subject of [2] is understood as being a 'girl student' and the subject of [3] a 'happier brother'. The 'object complement' can be explained as having a similar relation to a direct object (which it follows) as the subject complement has to a subject:
They make him the chairman $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ every year
That is to say, the direct object and object complement in this example, 'him the chairman', correspond to the subject and subject complement in
He is the chairman ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ )

## Caluggaies ©: -az

2.7

There are different types of verb corresponding closely to the differen types of object and complement. Sentences such as [2] and [3], which have subject complements, have intensive verbs and all other sentences have extensive verbs. The later are intransitive if as in

It rained steadily all day
they do not permit any of the four object and complement types so far distinguished. Extensive verbs are otherwise Transitive. All transitive verbs take a direct object; some, like give in [5], permit an indirect object, and these will be distinguished as ditransitive. A few verbs, like make in [6], take an object complement and these are among the verbs referred to as complex transitive. The rest are monotransitive.

## 2.8

But distinctions between verbs need to be drawn not only in relation to object- and complement-types but also in relation to whether they themselves admit the aspectual contrast of 'progressive' and 'non-progressive'. Tnus ir is possible to say
John carefully searched the room
or John was carefully searching the room
It rained steadily all day
or It was raining steadily all day
But it is not possible to use the progressive in
The girl is now a student at a large university
*The girl is now being a student . . .
John knew the answer

When verbs (enther habitually or in certain uses) will not admit the progressive, as in [2] and [10], they are called stative. When they will admit it, as in [1] and [4], they are called DYNAMIC. It is normal for verbs to be dynamic and even the minority that are almost always stative can usually be given a dynamic use on occasion. See further, 2.16.

## 2.9

## Categories of adverbial

Next we may take a preliminary look at adverbials, concerning ourselves only with such distinctions as are necessary to explain some of the chief restrictions in constructing the simplest sentences. We may begin by looking again at a sentence with two adverbials:
The girl is now a student at a large university
This might have had fewer elements:
The girl is a student at a large university
The girl is a student
The girl is now a student
The girl is at a large university
but the sentence could not have been formed initially as:
*The girl is now
On this evidence we may say that the adverbials now and at a large university belong to different classes and it seems natural to label them 'time' and 'place' respectively.

Consider now the fact that the adverbial carafu!ly in illustration [1] could be replaced by many others, making acceptable sentences in each case:

$$
\text { John searched the room }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { carefully } \\
\text { slowly } \\
\text { noisily } \\
\text { starnly } \\
\text { without delay }
\end{array}\right.
$$

But if these same adverbials were inserted in senteuces which had stative verbs, the sentences would become unacceptable:
The girl is now a student ... $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { John knew the answer ... }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { *carefully } \\ \text { *slowly } \\ \text { *noisily } \\ \text { *sternly } \\ \text { *without delay }\end{array}\right.$

It is clear that we again have a subclass of adverbials. Because the verbs with which they can occur allow the progressive, the aspect of on-going activity, it is appropriate to refer to these adverbials as 'process'.

\subsection*{2.10

### 2.10 <br> Types of sentence structure

Bringing together the distinctions so far riade, we can present some basic sentence-structure rules diagrammatically. Each line constitutes a pattern which is illustrated by means of a correspondingly numbered example having just those obligatory and optional (parenthesized) elements that are specified in the formula. The order in which the elements appear is common but by no means fixed. It is a principle of sentence organization that what is contextually fariiliar or 'given' comes relatively earlv. while the part which needs to be stressed or which seems to convey the greatest information is given the special prominence of 'end-focus'.

She is in London (now)
She is a student (in London) (now)
John heard the explosion (from his office) (when he was locking the door)
Universities (gradually) became famous (in Europe) (during the Middle Ages)
They ate the meat (hungrily) (in their hut) (that nigh.t)
He offered (hel) some chocolates (politely) (outside the hall) (before the concert)
They elccted him chairman (without argument) (in Washington) (this morning)
The train had arrived (quietly) (at the staiion) (before we noticed it)

### 2.11

## Element realization types

Sentence elements can be realized by linguistic structures of very different
form. The verb element is always a verb phrase. This may, as in all the examples used so far, be 'finite' (showing tense, mood, aspect, and voice) or 'non-finite' (not showing tense or mood but still capable of indicating aspect and voice). Consider the three types of non-finite verb phrase functioning as the V element in the italicized non-finite clauses:
Mary wanted $\left[\right.$ to be $(\mathrm{V})$ a student $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ at that university $\left.(\mathrm{A})\right]\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$
[Carefully $(\mathrm{A})$ searching $(\mathrm{V})$ the room $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ ] (A), John found a ring
[Made (V) the chairman ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) every year $(\mathrm{A})$ ] ( A ), he was very busy
Whether finite or non-finite, the verb phrase can consist of one word, as in most illustrative sentences so far, or of more than one word, in which case the phrase consists of a 'head verb' preceded by one or more 'auxiliary verbs' as with the verb phrases in the following (the first three finite, the fourth non-finite):

He had given the girl an apple
He may be growing happier
He had been challenged rudely, and having been challenged he was angry

The subject of a sentence may be a 'clause' as in
That she answered the question correctly pleased him
but it is usually a 'noun phrase', at its simplest a pronoun such as They or a proper noun such as John. But a noun phrase may be an indeterminately long and complex structure having a noun as head, preceded by other words such as an article, an adjective, or another noun, and followed by a prepositional phrase or by a relative clause; it is by no means uncommon to find all such items present in a noun phrase:

The new gas stove in the kitchen which I bought last month has a very efficient oven

Subject complements, direct objects, and object complements may be realized by the same range of structures as subjects: He was the chairinan; She saw the chairman; They made him the chairman. Rut subject and object complements have the additional possibility of being reaiized by adjective phrases (having an adjective as head), as in

$$
\text { She made him }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { happy } \\
\text { very much happier }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Indirect objects, on the other hand, have fewer possibilities than subjects, and their realizations are chiefly noun phrases, as in

He had given the girl an apple

Unlike direct objects and subjects, they cannot be realized by thatclauses. Finerb as head; (b) by noun phrases; (c) by prepositional phrases - that is, structures consisting of a noun phrase dominated by a preposition; and (d) by clauses, finite or non-finite:
(a) John very carefully searched the room
(b) They make him the chairman every year
(c) She studied at a large university
(d) He grew happicr when his friend arrived Seeing the large crowd, John stopped his car.

## Parts of speech

2.12

The structures realizing sentence elements are composed of units which can be referred to as parts of speech. These can be exemplified for English as follows:
(a) noun - John, room, answer, play
adjective - happy, steady, new, large, round adverb - steadily, completely, really, very, then verb - search, grow, play, be, have, do
(b) article - the, $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n})$
demonstrative - that, this
pronoun - he, they, anybody, one, which
preposition - of, at, in, without, in spite of
conjunction - and, that, when, although
interjection - oh, ah, ugh, phew
We should notice that the examples are listed as words in their 'dictionary form' and not as they often appear in sentences when they function as constituents of phrases: thus the singular room and not the plural rooms, the simple happy and not the comparative happier, the infinitive (or uninflected) gruw and not the past grew, the subject form he and not the object form him.

## Note

From even the few examples given, it can be seen that a part-of-speech item may consist of more than a single word. This is especially common in the case of complex prepositions (6.4), such as in spite of, out of.
2.13

Some of the examples in 2.12 appear as more than one part of speech (play as noun and verb, that as demonstrative and conjunction) and more of them could have been given additional entries in this way (round.
can be noun, verb, adjective, advarb, and preposition). Similarly, we should notice a direct correspondence between most adjectives and adverbs, the latter usually consisting of the former plus $-l y$. Less obviously, there is an important correspondence between all words beginning/ / (the, that, then, for example) and many of those beginning wh- (which, when, for example): basically the former are relater or indicator words and the latter interrogative words.

### 2.14

## Closed-system items

The parts of speech in 2.12 are listed in two groups, (a) and (b). and this introduces a distinction of very great significance. Set (b) comprises what are called 'closed-system' items. That is, the sets of items are closed in the sense that they cannot normally be extended by the creation of additiunal members• a moment's reflection is enough for us to realize how rarely in a language we invent or adopt a new or additional pronoun. It requiros no great effort to list all the members in a closed system, and to be reasonably sure that one has in fact made an exhaustive inventory (especially, of course, where the membership is so extremely small as in the case of the article).
The items are said to constitute a system in being (i) reciprocalliy exclusive: the decision to use one item in a given structure excludes the possitility of using any other (thus one can have the jook nr a hook but not *a the book); and (ii) reciprocally defining: it is less easy to state the meaning of any individual item than to define it in relation to the rest of the system. This may be clearer with a non-linguistic analogy. If we are told that a student came third in an examination, the 'meaning' that we attach to 'third' will depend on knowing how many candidates took the examination: 'third' in a set of four has a very different meaning from 'third' in a set of thirty.

### 2.15

## Open-class items

By contrast, set (a) comprises 'open classes'. Items belong to a class in that they have the same grammatical properties and siructural possitilities as other members of the ciass (that is, as other nouns or verbs or adjectives or adverbs respectively), but.the class is 'open' in the sense that it is indefinitely extendable. New items are constantly being created and no one could make an inventory of all the nouns in English (for example) and be confident that it was complete. This inevitably affects the way in which we attempt to define any item in an open class: while it would obviously be valuable to relate the meaning of rocm to other nouns with which it has seman: ic affinity (chamber, hall, house, . . .) one could not
definc it as 'not house, not box, not plate, not indignation, ...., as one might define a closed-system item like this as 'not that'.

Of course, in any one phrase or sentence the decision to select a particular word at one place in the structure obviously imposes great constraints on w!!at can be selected at another. But it is essential to see that in an arrangement like the following there is in principle a sharp diference between the number of possibilities in columns $i$, iii. and $i v$ ('vlosed") and the number in $i i$ and $v$ ('open'):

|  |  | ii | iii | iv | $v$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (John) | may | sit | by | this | fountain |
|  | will | stare | at | that | tree |
|  | must | read | from |  | window |
| $\vdots$ | hurry | along | blackboard |  |  |
|  |  | $\vdots$ | or | gil |  |
|  |  | $\vdots$ | path |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |  |

The distinction between 'open' and 'closed' parts of speech must be t-eated cautiously, hewever. On the one hand, we must not exaggerate the ease with which we create new words: we certainly do not make up new nouns as a necessary part of speaking in the way that making up new sentences is necessary. Un the other hand, we musı not exaggerate the extent to which parts of speech in set (b) of 2.12 are 'closed': new prepositions (usually of the form 'prep + noun + prep' like by way of) are by no means impossible.

Although they have deceptively specific labels, the parts of speech tend in fact to be rather heterogeneous. The adverb and the verb are perhaps especially mixed classes, each having small and fairly well-defined groups of closed-system items alongside the indefinitely large open-class items. So far as the verb is concerned, the closed-system subgroup is known by the well-established term 'auxiliary'. With the adverb, one may draw the distinction broadly between those in -ly that correspond to adjectives (complete-ly) and those that do not (now, there, forward, very, for example).

### 2.16

## Stative and dynamic

The open classes have some notable general characteristics. We have just seen that adverbs of the productive class are in a one-to-one relation with adjectives. There are regular word-formaticy processes giving a comparable one-for-one relation between nouns and adjectives, and between nouns and verbs. For the rest, it is useful to see nouns, adjectives,
and verbs in connection with the opposition of stative and dynamic :rtroduced in 2.8. Broadly speaking, nouns and adjectives can be characterized naturally as 'stative'; thus, nouns refer to entities that are regarded as stable, whether these are concrete (physical) like house, table, paper, or abstract (of the mind) like hope, botany, length. On the other hand, verbs and adverbs can be equally naturally characterized as 'dynamic': most obviously, verbs, which are fitted (by their capacity to show tense and aspect, for example) to indicate action, activity, and temporary or changing conditions. These relations between the open classes can be summarized thus:


But we saw in 2.8 that there were some verbs such as know which could not normally be used with the progressive (*he is knowing): that is, which could not be seen as referring to something that was in progress. Verbs so used we called 'stative', and they should be seen as exceptions within the class of verbs. There are exceptions in the other direction among the nouns, not all of which need be stative. For example, a child may be well-behaved one minute and a nuisance the next. The situat:on is similar when we turn to the remaining open word-class, adjectives. Although they are predominantly stative (tall, red, old), some adjectives can resemble verbs in referring on occasion to transitory conditions of behaviour or activity such as naughty or insolent. And since be must be used to make predications having any noun or adjective as complement, we must qualify the statement made in 2.8 that this is a stative verb: it can also be used dynamically, in the progressive, when the complement is dynamic:

He is being $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a nuisance } \\ \text { naughty }\end{array}\right\}$ again
Iideed, it is essentiai to realize that these primary distinntions are in the nature of general characteristics rather than immutable truths. No small part of language's vaiue lies in its flexibility. Thus we can take a normally dynamic item (say the verb in 'He wrote the book') and 'nominalize' it ('The writing of the book'), pretending - as it were - to see the action as a static 'thing'. So also the verb tax beside the noun taxation. Again, the name 'participle' reflects the fact that such a form participates in the features both of the verb ('The girl is sitting chere') and of the adjective ('The sitting girl').

### 2.17

## Pro-torms

The names of the parts of speech are traditional, however, and neither in themselves nor in relation to each other do these names give a safe guide to their meaning, which instead is best understood in terms of their grammatical properties. 'Adverb' is a classic instance. We have seen some justification in the previous section for 'participle', and of course the 'pronoun' is an even clearer exception in correctly suggesting that it can serve as a replacement for a noun:

John searched the big roum and the small one
More usually, however, pronouns replace noun phrases rather than nouns:
The man invited the little Swedish girl because he liked he:-
There are pro-forms also for place, time, and other adverbials under certain circumstances:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Mary is in London and John is there too }  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Mary arrived on Tuesday and John arrived then too }  \tag{4}\\
& \text { John searched the big room very carefully and the small one } \\
& \text { less so }  \tag{5}\\
& \text { But so has a more important pro-function, namely, to replace - along } \\
& \text { with the 'pro-verb' do - a predication (cf 2.3): } \\
& \text { She hoped that he would search the room carefully befcre her } \\
& \text { arrival but he didn't do so } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here do so replaces all the italicized portion, the head verb search and the rest of the predication, as is shown below:


Frequently, however, the pro-predication is achieved by the operator alone:

A: He didn't give her an apple. B: Yes, he did.
They suspected that he had given her an apple and he had
Finally, it may be briefly observed that the use of the pro-forms greatly facilitates sentence connection as in [7], the conjoining of sentences to form 'compound sentences' as in [3] or [8], and the subordination of one sentence within another to form 'complex sentences' as in [2].

## Question and negation

2.18

## Wh-questions

The pro-forms we have been considering may he regarded as having the general meaning 'We know what this item refers to, so I need not state it in full'. In 2.13 attention was drawn to correspondences of the thenwher tupe, and we may now consider the wh-words of English as a special set of pro-forms diametrically opposed to the others in having the general meaning 'It has not been known what this item refers to and so it needs to be stated in full'. This informal statement will account for the use of wh-forms in questions:
May y is in Loi.don
Mary is there
Where is Mary?
By such means, we can ask for the identification of the subject, object, complement or an adverbial of a sentence:
They (i) make him (ii) the chairman (iii) every year (iv)
Who makes him the chairman every year?
Whom do they make the chairman every year? [ii]
What do they make him every year?
When do they make him the chairman?
It will be noticed that in each case the $w h$-form is placed in first position and that unless this is questioning the subject, as in [i], when the verb follows in its normal second position (2.5), the wh-form is followed by the operator (2.3) whict in turn is followed by the subject and predication.
Note
The wh-forms include not only which, when, why, where, etc but also, less obviously, a few items pronounced with initial $/ \mathrm{h} /$, some having wh-in spelling (who, whose, whom), and one not (how).

### 2.19

Yes-no questions
Besides wh-questions, which elicit information on particular parts of a
sentence, there are questions whiciu seek a yes or no response in relation to the validity of (normally) an entire predication:

Is the girl now a student?
Did John search the room?
Had he given the girl an apple?
Sucil questions normally open with an operator which is then foilowed by the subject and the predication (2.3).

### 2.20

Negation and non-assertion
While a yes-no question normally challenges the validity of a predication as a whole, negation rejects it. And like yes-no questions, negative sentences invo! ! e the operator, requiring the insertion of not (or the afixal cuntraction -n':) 'jetweon the onerntor and the predination:

The girl isn't a student
John did not search the room
He hadn't given the girl an apple
We need to see a further similarity hetween questions and negations. Let us call a sentence such as

He offered her some chocolates
an assertion. Now, a sentence can be non-assertive in one of two ways by being negative or by being a question. We do not therefore have two independent systems

## positive : negative

declarative : interrogative
but rather an interrelated system in which assertion involves both 'positive' and 'declarative' while non-assertion has a subsystem either 'negative' or 'interrogative'. The relationship may be diagrammed thus:
(assertion - positive and declarative

While it is right to show 'interrogative' as lying betweer the upper extreme 'positive and declarative' and the lower extreme 'negative', it is important to recognize that 'interrogative' has a closer relationshin to 'negative' in springing like it from the 'non-assertion' node. Evidence for this is not difficult to find. As compared with the some of the positivedeclarative [1], we find any in the corresponding question and negation:

Did he cffei her any chocolates?
He didn't offer L.er any zhocolates
[1n]

## Bibliographical note

On parts of speech, see Lyons (1968), Cb 4; on stativeness, see Schopf (1969), Ch 3. Guidance on further reading is otherwise reserved for those chapters where specific topics here sketched are discussed in more detail. For general bibliogr-phy, see Schcurweghs (1963-68).

## THREE

Verbs and the verb phrase

## 3.1

## Types of verb

There arc vaiicus ways in wincin it wiii be necessary to classify verbs in this chapter. We begin with a classification relating to the function of items in the verb phrase. This distinguishes lexical verbs from the closed system (2.14) of auxiliary verbs, and subdivides the latter into primary and modal auxiliaries.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { LEXICAL } & \text { walk, write, play, beautify, etc. } \\
\text { AUגILIARY }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Primary } \\
\text { Modal } \\
\text { do, have, he } \\
\text { can, may, shall, will, } \\
\text { could, might, should, would, }
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { must, ought to, used to, need, dare }
\end{array}
$$

## Note

As we shall see (3.22), some of the modals listed differ in their inflectional and syntactic behaviour from others and will be referred to as 'marginal'. On the other hand, further items like had better or tend to could be added to the list since they have a similar semantic relation in the verb phrase to the modals; these other expressions are often called 'semi-auxiliaries'.

## 3.2

## Verbal forms and the verb phrase

Many English verbs have five fcrms: the basp, the -S FORm, the PASt, the -ING participle, and the -ed participle. Examples of these forms and an indication of their functions are given in the table below. Regular lexical verbs tave the same -ed inflection for both the past tense and the -ed participle (called, see 3.4). Irregular lexical verb forms vary from three (eg: put, puts, putting, see 3.10 ff ) to eight (be, am, is, are, was, were, being, been, see 3.20). The modal auxiliaries are defective in not having infinitive (*to may), -ing participle (*maying), -ed participle ( ${ }^{*}$ mayed), or imperative ( ${ }^{*}$ may!). See further 3.21.

| FORM | SYMBOL | example | FUnCtions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) base | V | call drink put | (a) all the present tense except 3rd person singular: I/you/we/they call every day <br> (b) imperative: Call at once! <br> (c) subjunctive: He demanded that she call and see him <br> (d) the bare infinitive: He may call; and the to-infinitive: He wants her io cull |
| (2) $-s$ form (3rd person singular present) | V-s | calls <br> drinks <br> puts | 3rd person singular present tense: He/she/it calls every day |
| (3) past | $V-e d_{1}$ | callea <br> drank <br> put | past iense. He called yesterday |
| (4) -ing participle (present participle) | V-ing | calling drinking putting | (a) progressive aspect (be +V -ing): He's calling in a moment (b) in -ing participle clauses: Calling early, I found her at home |
| (5) -ed participle (past participle) | V -ed ${ }_{2}$ | called drunk put | (a) perfective aspect (have + V-ed ${ }_{2}$ ): He has drunk the water (b) passive voice (be + V-ed ${ }_{2}$ ): He is calied Jack (c) in -ed participle clauses: Called early, he inad a quick breakfast |

The abbreviation V-ed will be used where V-e. $]_{1}$ has the same form as V -ed ${ }_{2}$.

## The morphology of lexical verbs

3.3

We will consider lexical verbs under two heads: regular (sucn as call) and irregular (such as drink). In all of therm, the -s form and -ing participle are predictable from the base form. They differ in that the -ed $d_{1}$ and $-e d_{2}$ forms in irregular verbs cannot be predicted from the base.

## Regular lexical verbs

3.4

Regular lexical verbs have the following forms:

| V | base | call | like | try |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V-ing | -ING PARTICIPLE | calling | liking | trying |
| V-s | -SFORM | calls | likes | tries |
| V-ea | PAST/-EDPARTICIPLE | called | liked | tried |

These are regular in that we can predict the other forms if we know the base of such a verb. This is a very powerful rule, since the base is the form listed in dictionaries and the vast majority of English verbs belong to this regular class. Furthermore, all new verbs that are coined or bnrrowed from other languages adopt this pattern.

## 3.5

The -ing and -s forms
The -ing form is a straightforward addition to the base:

$$
\text { push } \sim \text { pushing } \quad \text { sleep } \sim \text { sleeping }
$$

Syllabic /1/ ceases to be syllabic before the inflection (as in wriggle, wriggling), and whether or not speakers pronounce final $r$ (as in pour), the $r$ is pronounced before the inflection.
The $-s$ form is also predictable from the base. It has three spoken realizations: $/ \mathrm{zz} /, \mid \mathrm{z} /$, and $/ \mathrm{s} /$, and two spellings, $-s$ and -es.
(1) Pronounced $/ \mathrm{zz} /$ after bases ending in voiccd or voiceless sibilants and spelled -es Linless the base already ends in $-e, \epsilon g$

| pass $\sim$ passes | budge $\sim$ budges |
| :--- | :--- |
| buzz $\sim$ buzzes | push $\sim$ pushes |
| catch $\sim$ catches | camouflage $\sim$ camouflages |

(2) Pronounced $/ z /$ and spelled $-s$ after bases ending in other voiced sounds, eg

| cail $\sim$ calls rob~robs | flow~flows |
| :---: | :--- |
| Note: do $\sim$ does | go $\sim$ goes |
| say $\sim$ says $\quad$ | have $\sim$ has |

(3) Pronounced $/ s /$ and spelled $-s$ after bases ending in other voiceless sounds, eg

$$
\text { cut } \sim \text { cuts } \quad \text { lock~locks } \quad \text { sap~saps }
$$

3.6

The past and the -ed participle
The past $\left(V-e d_{1}\right)$ and the -ed participle ( $\mathrm{V}-e d_{2}$ ) of regular verbs (spelled -ed unless the base ends in $-e$ ) have three spoken realizations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { /Id/after bases ending in } / \mathrm{d} / \text { and } / \mathrm{t} / \text {, eg } \\
& \text { pad } \sim \text { padded } \quad \text { pat } \sim \text { patted } \\
& / \mathrm{d} / \text { after bases ending in voiced sounds other than } / \mathrm{d} /, \text { eg } \\
& \text { mow } \sim \text { mowed } \quad \text { budge } \sim \text { buaged } \\
& \text { /t/ after bases ending in voiceless sounds other than } / \mathrm{t} /, \text { eg } \\
& \text { pass } \sim \text { passed } \\
& \text { pack } \sim \text { packed }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Further inflectional spelling rules

3.7

Donbling of consonant
Final base consonants (except $x$ ) are doubled before inflections beginning with a vowel letter when the preceding vowel is stressed and spelled with a single letter:

| bar | barring | barred |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| permit | permitting | permitted |

There is no doubling when the vowel is unstressed or written with two letters:

| enter | entering | entered |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dread | dreading | dreaded |

exceptions:
(a) Bases ending in certain consonants are doubled also after single unstressed vowels: $-g \rightarrow-g_{0}^{\tau},-c \rightarrow c k-:$

| humbug <br> traffic | humbugging <br> trafficking | humbugged <br> trafficked |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

(b) BrE , as distinct from AmE, breaks the rule with respect to certain other consonants also: $-l \rightarrow-l l-,-m \rightarrow-m m-,-p \rightarrow-p p-$ :
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { signal } & \begin{array}{l}\text { signalling } \\ \text { signal }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { signaling } \\ \text { signalled }\end{array} & (\mathrm{BrE}) \\ \text { signaled } \\ \text { travel } \\ \text { travel } & \begin{array}{l}\text { travelling } \\ \text { traveling }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { travelled } \\ \text { traveled }\end{array} & (\mathrm{BrE}) \\ (\mathrm{AmE})\end{array}\right\}$
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { program(me) } & \text { programming } & \text { programmed }(\mathrm{BrE}) \\ \text { program } & \text { programing } & \text { programed } \\ \text { worship } & \text { ( } \mathrm{AmE})\end{array}\right\} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { worshipping }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { worshipped }\end{array} & (\mathrm{BrE}) \\ \text { worship } & \text { worshiping } & \text { worshiped } & (\mathrm{AmE})\end{array}\right\}$

Most verbs ending in $-p$, however, have the regular spellings in both BrE and AmE, eg: develop, envelop, gallop, gossip.
. 3.8
Treatment of $-\boldsymbol{y}$
(a) In bases ending in a consonant $+y$, the following changes occur before inflections that do not begin with $i$ :

$$
\text { carry } \sim \text { carries carry } \sim \text { carried but carry carrying }
$$

 a vowel:

$$
\text { lay~ laid } \quad \text { pay~paid }
$$

Say~said has the same change of spelling but, in addition, a change of yowel; see also 3.5 .
(b) In bases ending in $-i e$, the $i e$ is replaced by $y$ before the -ing inflection:

$$
\text { die~dying } \quad \text { lie } \sim \text { lying }
$$

3.9

## Deletion of $-e$

Final $-e$ is regularly dropped before the -ing and -ed inflections:
shave shaving shaved
Verbs with bases in $-e e,-y e,-o e$, and often -ge are exceptions to this rule in that they do not drop the -e before -ing; but they do drop it before -ed, as do also forms in -ie (tie ~tied):

| -ee: | agree | agreeing | agreed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -ye: dye | dyeing | dyed |  |
| -oe: | hoe | hueing | hoed |
| -ge: sing | singeing singed |  |  |

## Irregular lexical verbs

3.10

Irregular lexical verbs differ from regular verbs in the following ways:
(a) Irregular verbs either do not have a $/ \mathrm{d} /$ or $/ \mathrm{t} /$ inflection (drink ~ drank $\sim d r u n k$ ) or break the rule in 3.6 for a voiced inflection (eg: burn~burnt /t/, beside the regular burned /d/).
(b) ${ }^{\top}$ rregular verbs typically, but not necessarily, have variation in their base vowel:

$$
\text { find } \sim \text { found } \sim \text { found } \quad \text { write } \sim \text { wrote } \sim \text { written }
$$

(c) Irregular verbs have a varying number of distinct forms. Since the -s and -ing forms are predictable for regular and irregular verbs alike, the only forms that need be listed for irregular verbs are the base ( V , the past ( V -ed $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ ), and the past participle ( V -ed $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ). Most irregular verbs have, like regular verbs, only one common form for the past and the -ed participle, but there is considerable variation in this respect, as the table shows:

|  | BASE | V-ed $_{1}$ | V-ed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all alike | cut | cut | cut |
| V-ed ${ }_{1}=$ V-ed |  | meet | met |
| $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}$-ed | met |  |  |
| all different | come | came | come |
|  | speak | spoke | spoken |

These characteristics form the basis of the classification that follows. In many cases, there are prefixed verbs having the same inflections, eg: outdo beside do. ' R ' denotes that the item occurs also with regilar inflections.

### 3.11

CLASS 1: V-ed ${ }_{1}$ is identical with $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{ed}_{2}$
Suffixation is used but voicing is variable
Vowel identity in all the parts

| V | V-ed |  | V | V-ed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a burn | burnt (R) | 1 b | bend | bent |
| dve!! | divelt ( R ) |  | build | build |
| learn | learnt ( R ) |  | lend | lent |
| smell | smelt (R) |  | rend | rent |
| spell | spelt (R) |  | send | sent |
| spill | spilt (R) |  | spend | spent |
| spoil | spoilt (R) | 1 c | have make | had made |

Note
For Class la verbs, the regular /d/ form is especially AmE and the /t/form especially BrE.

### 3.12

CLASS 2: V-ed $d_{1}$ is identical with V-ed ${ }_{2}$
Suffixation is used but voicing is variable
Change of base vowel

| $V$ | V-ed |  | V | V-ed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 a bereave | bereft (R) | 2 b | beseech | besought |
| cleave | cleft |  | bring | brought |
| creep | crept |  | buy | bought |
| deal | dealt |  | catch | caught |
| dream | dreamt (R) |  | seek | sought |
| feel | felt |  | teach | taught |
| flee | fled |  | think | thought |
| ňeep | $k \sim$ ¢ | 2c | lose | lost |
| kneel | knelt (R) |  | sell | soîd |
| lean | leant (R) |  | tell | told |
| icap | leapt (R) |  | hear | heard |
| leave | left |  | say | said |
| meun | meant |  | shue | (snou) (R) |
| sleep | slept |  |  |  |
| sweep | swept |  |  |  |
| weep | wept |  |  |  |

## Note

Where there are regular variants, these are usually preferred in AmE.

### 3.13

class 3: All three parts V, V-ed ${ }_{1}$, and V -ed ${ }_{2}$ are identical No suffix or change of the base vowel

| V and V-ed | V and V-ed | V and V-ed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bct (R) | knit (R) | shu't |
| bid 'make a bid' (R) | let | slit |
| burst | put | split |
| cast | quit (R) | spread |
| cost | rid (R) | sweat (R) |
| cut | set | thrust |
| hit | shed | wed (R) |
| hurt | shit | wet (R) |

## Note

The transitive cost 'estimate the cost of' and shed 'put in a shed' are R.
3.14

CLASS 4: $\mathrm{V}^{-e d_{1}}$ is identical with V-ed ${ }_{2}$
No suffixation
Change of base vowel

|  | V | V-ed |  | V | V-ed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a | bleed | bled | 4 c | bind | bound |
|  | breed | bred |  | find | found |
|  | feed | fed |  | grind | ground |
|  | hold | held |  | wind | wound |
|  | lead | led | 4d | light | lit (R) |
|  | meet | met |  | slide | slid |
|  | read | read | 4 e | sit | sat |
|  | speed | sped (R) |  | spit |  |
| 4b | cling | clung |  |  | $\{$ (spit) |
|  | dig | dug |  |  | ( $\operatorname{esp}$ AmE) |
|  | fling | flung | 4f |  |  |
|  | hang | hung |  | get | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { got } \\ \text { gotten } \end{array}\right. \text { (AmE) }$ |
|  | s!ing | slung |  | shine | shone |
|  | slink | slunk |  | shoot | shot |
|  | spin | spun |  |  |  |
|  | stick | stuck | 4 g | fight | fought |
|  | sting | stung | 4h | stand | stood |
|  | strike | struck | 4 i | stride | strude |
|  | swing | swung |  |  |  |
|  | win | won |  |  |  |
|  | wring | wrung |  |  |  |

Note
[a] When hang means 'execute', it is usually R.
b] The metaphorical strike is in Class 6 c .
[c] AmE gotten is used in the sense 'acquired', 'caused', 'come'.
[d] The transitive shine 'polish' can be R, esp in AmE.
3.15

CLASS 5: V-ed $d_{1}$ is regular; V-ed $d_{2}$ has two forms, one regular, the other nasal.

| V | $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{ed} d_{1}$ | $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{ed} d_{2}$ | V | V -ed ${ }_{1}$ | V -ed ${ }_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hew | howed | hewn (R) | shear | sheared | shorn (R) |
| mow | mowed | mown (R) | show | showed | shown (R) |
| saw | sawed | sawn (R) | sow | sowed | sown (R) |
| sew | sewed | sewn (R) | strew | strewed | strewn (R) |
|  |  |  | swell | swelled | swollen ( R ) |

CLass 6: V-ed $d_{1}$ and $V$-ed $d_{2}$ are irregular, the latter always suffixed and usually with $-(e) n$. There are subclasses as follows:
A: V-ed $d_{1}$ and V-eu $\dot{u}_{2}$ have the same vowel
B: V and V-ed ${ }_{2}$ have the same vowel
C: all three parts have different vowels
D: ali three parts have the same vowel
$\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{V}-e d_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}-e d_{2}$ have different vowels

|  | V | V -ed ${ }_{1}$ | V-ed ${ }_{2}$ |  | V | V -ed ${ }_{1}$ | V -ed ${ }_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6Aa | break choose freeze sreak steal <br> (a)wake weave | broke <br> chose <br> froze <br> sroke <br> stole <br> (a):wohe <br> wove | broken <br> chosen <br> frozen <br> sonken <br> stolen <br> (a)woken ( R ) woven | 6Bc | (for)bid give | (for)$\operatorname{bad}(e)$ gave | (for)bidden <br> given |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | E2J | drew | d.ew | drıx: |
|  |  |  |  | 6 Be | fall | fell | fallen |
|  |  |  |  | 6 Bf | eat | ate | eaten |
| 6 Ab | bear <br> swear <br> tecr <br> wear | bore swore tc."• wore | borne sworn torn worn | 6 Bg | see | saw | seen |
|  |  |  |  | 6 Bh | slay | slew | slain |
|  |  |  |  | 6Ca | drive <br> ride <br> rise <br> strike | drove <br> rode <br> rose <br> struck | driven <br> ridden <br> risen <br> stricken <br> (meta- <br> phorical) <br> striven $(\mathrm{R})$ <br> written |
| 6Ac | bite | bit | bitten |  |  |  |  |
|  | hide | hid | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { hiddacn } \\ \text { (hid) }) \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6Ad | forget tread | forgot trod | forgotten trodden |  | strive write | strove wrote |  |
| 6Ae | lie | lay | lain |  |  |  |  |
| 6Ba | blow | blew | blown | 6 Cb | fly | flew | flown |
|  | grow | grew | grown | 6 Cc | do | did | done |
|  | know | knew | known |  | go | went | gone |
|  | throw | threw | thrown | 6D | beat | beat | beaten |
| 6Bb | forsake shake take | forsook shook took | forsaken shaken taken | 6E | dive thrive | dove <br> (AmE) <br> throve (R) | dived ( R ) <br> thrived ( R ) |

Noté
'She has borne six children and the youngest was born a month ago.'

### 3.17

CLAss 7: V-ed $_{1}$ and V-ed $d_{2}$ are irregular; there is no suffixation but there is always some vowel change.

|  | V-ed $_{1}$ | V-ed |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7a | begin | began | begun |
|  | drink | drank | drunk |
|  | ring | rang | rung |

3.19 The auxiliaries

|  | chrink | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { shrank } \\ \text { shrunk } \end{array}\right.$ | shrunk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sing | sang | sung |
|  | sink | sank | sunk |
|  | spring | sprang | sprung |
|  | stink | stank | stunk |
|  | swim | swam | swum |
| 7b | come | came | come |
|  | run | ran | run |

The auxiliaries do, have, be
3.18

Do
The auxiliay do ius the ićlowing forms:

|  | NON- <br> NEGATIVE | UnCONTRACTED <br> NEGATIVE | CONTRACTED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NEGATIVE |  |  |  |

Do as lexical verb ('perform', etc) and as pro-verb has the full range of forms, including the present participle doing and the past participle done (see 3.16):

What have you been doing today?
A: You said you would finish it. B: I have done so.

### 3.19

## Have

Have has the following forms:

|  | NON- | UNCONTRACTED | CONTRACTED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | NEGATIVE | NEGATIVE | NEGATIVE |
| base | have,'ve | have not, 've not | haven't |
| -s form | has, 's | has not,'s not | hasn't |
| past | had, 'd | had not, 'd not | hadn't |
| -ing form | having | not having |  |
| -ed participle | had (only as lexical verb) |  |  |

Note
In the stative sense (3.35) of possession, have is often (especially in BrE ) constructed as an auxiliary. AmE prefers the do-construction:

$$
\text { I }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { haven't } \\
\text { don't have }
\end{array}\right\} \text { any books }
$$

In dynamic senses (receive, take, experience, etc), lexical have in both AmE and BrE normally has the do-construction:
Does he have coffee with his breakfast?
Did you have any difficulty getting here?
The do-construction is required in such expressions as
Did you have a good time?
There is also the informal have got, where have is constructed as an auxiliary, which is frequently preferred (especially in BrE ) as an alternative to have. It is particularly common in negative and interrogative sentences. As a further alternative $f=r$ expressing negation, we have the negative determiner no:
I haven't got any books I have no books

### 3.20

Be
The lev:cal and auxiliary verb bo js uniziue amoñ English verbs in having eight different forms:

|  |  | NON- <br> NEGATIVE | UNCONTRACTED NEGATIVE | CON- <br> TRACTED NEGATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| base |  | be |  |  |
| present | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { 1st person } \\ \text { singular } \end{array}\right.$ | am, 'm | am nut, 'm not | (aren', ain') |
|  | 3 rd person singular | is, 's | is not, 's not | isn't |
|  | 2nd person, 1st and 3rd person plural | are, 're | are nut, 're rot | aren't |
| past | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 1 \text { st and 3rd } \\ \text { person } \\ \text { singular } \end{array}\right.$ | was | was not | wasn't |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2nd person, } \\ & \text { 1st and 3rd } \\ & \text { person plural } \end{aligned}$ | were | were not | :veren't |
| -ing form |  | being | not being |  |
| -ed participle |  | been |  |  |

## Note

[a] Aren't I is widely used in BrE, but there is no generally acceptable contracted form for am not in declarative sentences. Ain't is substandard in BrE and is so considered by many in AmE; as well as serving as a contracted am not, it is used also for isn't, aren't, hasn't, and haven't.
[ $b]$ The lexical verb be may have the do-construction in persuasive imperative sen tences and regularly has it with negative imperatives:

Do be quie!' Don't be silly!

## The modal auxiliaries

3.21

The modal auxiliaries are the following:

| NON- <br> NEGATIVE | UNCONTRACTED NEGATIVE | COntracted NEGATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| can | cannot, can not | can't |
| $\{$ could | could not | couldn't |
| fmay | may not | mayn't |
| $\{$ might | might not | mightn't |
| Ssinall | shall not | shan t |
| \{should | should not | shouldn't |
| \{will, 'll | will not, 'll not | won't |
| \{would, 'd | would not, 'd not | wouldn't |
| must | must not | mustn't |
| ought to | uught no: tu | sughtn't to |
| used to | used not to | usedn't to |
|  |  | didn't use to |
| need | need not | needn't |
| dare | dare not | daren't |

## Note

[a] Mayn't is restricted to BrE , where it is rare.
b] Shan't is rare in AmE.
[c] Ought regularly has the $t o$-infinitive, but AmE occasionally has the bare infinitive in negative sentences and in questions (although should is commoner in both cases): You oughtn't smoke so much; Ought ycu smoke so much ?

### 3.22

## Marginal modal auxiliaries

Used always takes the to-infinitive and occurs only in the past tense.
It may take the do constriction, in which case the spellings didn't used to and didn't use to both occur. The interrogative construction used he to is especially BrE ; did he used to is preferred in both AmE and BrE .

Dare and need can be coustructed either as modal auxiliaries (with bare infinitive and with no inflected $-s$ form) or as lexical verbs (with to-infinitive and with inflected $-s$ form). The modal verb construction is restricted to non-assertive contexts (see 2.20 ), ie mainly negative and interrogative sentences, whereas the lexical verb construction can always be used and is in fact the more common. Dare and need as auxiliaries are probably rarer in AmE than in BrE .

|  | MODAL AUXILIARY <br> CONSTRUCTION | LEXICAL VERB <br> CONSTRUCTION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| positive <br> negative <br> interrogative <br> negative- <br> interrogative | He needn't go now | He needs to go now <br> He doesn't need to go now <br> Ne go now? |

## Not

[a] Non-assertive forms are not confined to overtly negative and/or interrogative sentences but can also be present in adverbials, eg: He need do it only under these circumstances, He need do it but once; in determiners, eg: He need have no fear, No soldier dare disobey; in pronouns, eg: No one dare predict....; or even implicitly, eg: All you need do 'r, . . . ('You need do no more than . . .').
iii) Blesls sf:ie two constructions ara wirel" arceptable in the case of dare: We do not dare speak.

## Finite and non-finite verb phrases

3.23

The verb forms operate in finite and non-finite verb phrases, which are distinguished as follows:
(1) Finite verb phrases have tense distinction (see $3 . \overline{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{ff}$ ):

$$
\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { studies } \\
\text { studied }
\end{array}\right\} \text { English }
$$

(2) Finite verb phrases occur as the verb element of a clause. There is person and number concord between the subject and the finite verb (cf 7.18 and 7.26). Concord is particularly overt with be (cf 3.20):

Itam You/we/they +are $\mathrm{He} /$ she/it + is
With most lexical verbs, concord is restricted to a contrast between 3rd and ncn-3rd parson singelar nresent:

## $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He reads } \\ \text { They read }\end{array}\right\}$ the paper every morning

With the mudal auxiliaries there is, however, no concord:
I/you/he/we/they can play the cello
(3) Finite verb phrases have mood (3.45). In contrast to the 'unmarked' indicative mood, we distinguish the 'marked' moods imperative (see $7.58 f f$ ), and subjunctive (see 3.46).
(4) The non-finite forms of the verb are the infinitive ((to) call), the -ing participle (calling), and the -ed participle (called). Non-finite verb phrases consist of one or more such items. Compare:

FINITE VERB PHRASES
He smokes heavily
NON-FINITE VERB PHRASES
To smoke like that must be dangerous
He is working
He had been offended before
I found him working
Having been offended before, he
was sensitive
3.24

The modal, purfective, progressive and passive auxiliaries follow a strict order in the complex verb phrase:
[I] MODAL, always followed by an infinitive, as in
He would visit
[II] PERFECTIVE, always followed by an -ed form, as in

## He had visited

He would have visited
[III] progressive, always followed by an -ing form, as in
He was visiting
He would have been visiting
[IV] PASSIVE, always followed by an -ed form, as in

## He was visited <br> He would have been being visited

The last example is added for completeness but the full range of auxiliaries is rarely found simultaneously in this way (though less rarely with the get passive: 7.5). Rather, it should be noted that, while the above order is strictly followed, gaps are perfectly normal. For cxample:

I + III: He may be visiting
II + IV: He has been visited

### 3.25

## Contrasts expressed in the verb phrase

In addition to the contrasts of tense, aspect, and mood (which are dealt with in the present chapter, 3.26-55), it may be convenient to list here the other major constructions which affect the verb phrase or in which verb-phrase contrasts play an important part.
(a) Voice, involving the active-passive relation, as in

A doctor will examine the applicants
~ The applicants will be examined by a doctor
will be discussed in 7.5 and $12.14-32$.
(b) Questions requiring subject movement involve the use of an auxiliary as operator:

John will sing $\sim$ Will John sing?
John sang $\sim$ Did John sing?
This topic is dealt with in 7.44-57.
(c) Negation makes analogous use of operators, as in

Juhu will sing ~ Jún won't sing
John sang $\sim$ John didn't sing
and will be handled in 7.33-42
(d) Emphasis, which is frequently carried by the operator as in

John will sing!
John DìD sing!
is treated in 14.35 .
(e) Imperatives, as in Go home, John; You go home, John; Don't (you) go yet; Let's go home, are discussed in 7.58-62.

## Tense, aspect, and mood

3.26

Time is a universal, non-linguistic concept with three divisions: past, present, and future; by tense we understand the correspondence between the form of the verb and our concept of time. Aspect concerns the manner in which the verbal action is experienced or regarded (for example as completed or in progress), while mood relates the verbal action to such conditions as certainty, obligation, necessity, possibility. In fact, however, to a great extent these three categories impinge on each other: in particular, the expression of time present and past cannot be considered separately from aspect, and the expression of the future is closely bound up with mood.

## Tense and aspect

3.27

We here consider the present and past tenses in relation to the progressive sad perfective aspects. The range can be seen in the sentence frame
'I _--- with a special pen', filling the blank with a phrase having the verb base write

| SIMPLE | COMPLEX <br> progressive <br> am writing | present |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| past | write | was writing  <br> perfective  <br> have written past | (present) perfect <br> had written <br> perfect progressive <br> have been writing <br> had been writing | | (past plu-) perfect |
| :--- |
| (past (or plu-) perfect |

### 3.23

## Present

We need to distinguish three basic types of present:
(2) Timeless, exnressed with the simple present form: I (always) write with a special pen (when I sign my name)
As well as expressiing haiutuaı action as iuere, che ti,neiess present is used for universal statements such as

The sun sets in the west
Spiders have eight legs
(b) Limited, expressed with the present progressive:

I am writing (on this occasion) with a special pen (since I have mislaid my ordinary one)
Normally he lives in London but at present he is living in Boston In indicating that the action is viewed as in process and of limited duration, the progressive can express incompleteness even with a verb like stop whose action cannot in reality have duration; thus the bus is stopping means that it is slowing down but has not yet stopped. The progressive (usually with an adverb of high frequency) can also be used of habitual action, conveying an emotional colouring such as irritation:

He's always writing with a special pen - just because he likes to be different
(c) Instantaneous, expressed with either the simple (especially in a series) or the progressive form:

Watch carefully now: first, I write with my ordinary pen; now, I ::rite with a special pen
As you see, I am dropping the stone into the water
The simple present is, however, usual in radio commentary on sport ('Moore passes to Charlton'), and in certain performative declarations ('I name this ship Snaefell') it is oblizatory.

## Note

The verbs keep (on), go on have a similar function to the normal progressive auxiliary be:

John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { keeps } \\ \text { goes on }\end{array}\right\}$ asking silly questions

Past
3.29

An action in the past may be seen
(1) as tavia 5 taken place at a particular point of time; or
(2) over a period; if the latter, the period may be seen as
(a) extending up to the present, or
(b) relating only to the past; if the latter, it may be viewed as
(i) having been completed, or as
(ii) not having been completed


Typical examples will be seen to involve the perfective and progressive aspects as well as the simple past:
(1) I wrote my letter of 16 June 1972 with a special pen
(2a) I have written with a spacial pen since 1972
(2bi) I wrote with a special pen from 1969 to 1972
(2bii) I was writing poetry with a special pen
Habitual activity can also be expressed with the simple past (' He always wrote with a special pen'), but since - unlike the simple present - this is
not implied without a suitable adverb, usea to or (less commonly) would may be ueeded to bring out this sense:
$\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { used to } \\ \text { would }\end{array}\right\}$ write with a special pen
Note
Past time can be expressed with present tense forms. The 'historic present' is fairly common in vivid narrative:
At that moment, in comes a policemar
but has no such journalistic overtones with verbs of communicating:
John tells me that there was a car accident last night
On the other hand, past tense forms need not refer to past time. 'Did you wart to see me?' is little more than a slightly noliter version of 'Do you...?' For the 'modal past', see 3.47 and 11.48; for the past by 'back-shift' in indirect speech, see 1153.

### 3.30

## The past and the perfective

In relation to (2a), it is not the time specified in the sentence but the period relevani to the time specified that must cxtend to the present. Contrast

John lived in Paris for ten years
(which entails that the period of residence has come to an end and which admits the possibility that John is dead) with

## John has lived in Paris for ten years

which entails that John is still alive but permits the residence in Paris to extend either to the present (the usual interpretation) or to some unspecified date in the past. Compare also:

For generations, Nepal has produced brilliant mountaineers
For generations, Sparta $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { produced } \\ \text { was producing }\end{array}\right\}$ fearless varrios
The first claims that Nepal is still in a position to produce more mountaineers, even if a long time may have elapsed since the last was produced. The second sentence, on the other hand, is uncommitted as to whether any further warriors can be produced by Sparta.
The choice of perfective aspect is associated with time-orientation and consequeatly also with various time-indicators (lately, since, so far, etc). It is therefore helpful to consider these two together. Here are some examples:
I worked $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { yesterday (evening) } \\ \text { throughout January } \\ \text { on Tuesday }\end{array} \quad\right.$ I have worked $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { since last January } \\ \text { up to now } \\ \text { lately } \\ \text { already }\end{array}\right.$

ADVERBIALS
WITH SIMPLE PAST
(refer to a period now past)

## ADVERBIALS

## WITH PRESENT PERFECT

(refer to a period beginning in the past and stretching up to the present)

ADVERBIALS WITH EITHER
SIMPLE PAST OR PRESENT PERFECT
I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { worked } \\ \text { have worked }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { today } \\ \vdots \text { his mon } \pm h \\ \text { for an hour }\end{array}\right.$
Note
There is some tendency (especially in AmE) to use the past iniurmally in place of the perfective, as in I saw it already (= 'I have already seen it').

### 3.31

## Indefinite and definite

Through its ability to involve a span of time from earliest memory to the present, the perfective has an indefiniteness which makes it an appropriate verbal expression for introducing a topic of discourse. As the topic is narrowed down, the emerging definiteness is marked by the simple past as well as in the noun phrases (cf 4.20 ). For example:

He says that he has seen a meteor at some time (between earliest memory and the present)

## as compared with

He says that he saw the meteor last night that everyone is so excited about
Compare also:
Did you know that John has painted a portrait of Mary?
Did you know that Jonn painted this portrait of Mary?

### 3.32

## Past perfect

What was said of the perfect in $3.29 f$ - applies to the past perfect, with the complication that the point of current relevance to which the past perfect extends is a point in the past:

| Past <br> relevant point | Present | Future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -thtotrturiv | V |  |

Thus:
(I say now [present] that) When I met him [relevant point in the past] Joho had lived in Paris for ten years

In some contexts, the simple past and the past perfect aie interchangeable; eg:
I ate my lunch after my wife $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { came } \\ \text { had come }\end{array}\right\}$ home from her shopping
Here the conjuncticn after is sufficient specification to indicate that the arrival frum the shupping expediiion nad aken piace beiore the eating, so that the extra time indication by means of the past perfect becomes redundant.
Note
There is no interchangeability when the past perfect is the past of the perfect:
Jchn tells me that he hus. $l^{\prime} t$ seen Mary siz.ce Munday
John told me that he hadn't seen Mary since Monday
*John told me that he didn't see Mary since Monday

### 3.33

The past and the progressive
As with the present (3.28), the progressive when used with the past specifies the limited duration of an action:

I :"as writing with a special pen for a period last night but my hand grew tired

In consequence, it is a convenient device to indicate a time span within which another event (indicated by the simple past) can be seen as taking place:

While I was writing, the phone rang
The ability to express incomplete action with the progressive is illustrated by the contrasting pair:

He read a bock that evening (implies that he finished it)
He wus reading a book that evening (implies that he did not finish it) and more strikingly by:

The girl was drowning in the lake (will permit 'but someone dived in and rescued her')
The girl drowned in the lake

Habitual activity may be expressed by the progressive provided it is clear that the habit is temporary:
At that time, we were bathing every day
and not merely sporadic:
*We were sometimes walking to the office
But general habits may be pejoratively referred to (cf 3.28):
My brother was always losing his keys

### 3.34

## The perfest progressive

Limited duration (or incompleteness) and current relevance cin be jointly expressed with the perfect progressive. Compare:

He has eaten my chocolates (they are all gone)
He was eating my chocolates (bit I stopped him)
He has been eating my chocolates (but there are some left)
Frequently the perfect progressive implies an especially recent activity, the effects of which are obvious, and the adverb just commonly accompanies this usage:

It has rained a great deal since you were here
Oh look! It has just been raining

### 3.35

## Verbal meaning and the progressive

As pointed out in 2.8, the progressive occurs only with dynamic verbs (or more accurately, with verbs in dynamic use). These verbs [ A ] fall into five classes while the stative verbs [B], which disallow the progressive, can be seen as belonging to one of two classes.
[A] DyNAMIC
(1) Aciivity verbs: abandon, ask, beg, call, drink, eat, help, learn, listen, look at, play, rain, read, say, slice, throw, whisper, work, write, etc.
(2) Process verbs: change, deteriorate, 万row, mature, slow down, widen, etc. Both activity and process verbs are frequently used in progressive aspect to indicate incomplete events in progress.
(3) Verbs of bodily sensation (ache, feel, hurt, itch, etc) can have either simple or progressive aspect with little difference in meaning.
(4) Transitional event verbs (arrive, die, fall, land, leave, lose, etc)
occur in the progressive but with a change of meaniag compared with simple aspect. The progressive implies inceptici., ie only the approach to the transition.
(5) Momentary verbs (hit, jump, kick, knock, nod, tap, etc) bave little duration, and thus the progressive aspect powerfully suggests repetition.
[B] stative
(1) Verbs of inert perception and cognition: abhor, adore, astonish, believe, desire, detest, dislike, doubt, feel, forgive, guess, hate, hear, imagine, impress, intend, know, like, love, mean, mind, perceive, please, prefer, presuppose, realize, recall, recognize, regard, remember, satisfy, see, smell, suppose, taste, think, understand, want, wish, etc. Some of these verbs may take, cther thar a reripient cubject (7.11). in which case they belong with the A1 class. Compare:
I think you are right [B1]
I am thinking of you all the time [A1]
(2) Relational verbs: apply to (everyone), be, belong to, concern, consist of, contain, cost, depend on, deserve, equal, fit, have, include, involve, lack, matter, need, owe, own, possess, remain (a bacielor), require, resembie, seem, sound, suffice, tend, etc.

## The future

### 3.36

There is no obvious future tense in English corresponding to the time/ tense relation for present and past. Instead there are several possibilities for denoting future time. Futurity, modality, and aspect are closely related, and future time is rendered by means of modal auxiliaries or semi-auxiliaries, or by simple present forms or progressive forms.

### 3.37

## Will and shall

will or 'll+infinitive in all persons
shall+infinitive (in 1st person only; chiefly BrE),

## I will/shall arrive tomorrow <br> He'll be here in half an hour

The future and modal functions of these auxiliaries can hardly be separated (cf $3.50 f$ ), but shall End, particularly, will are the closest approximation to a colourless, neidral future. Will for future can be used in all persons throughout the English-speaking world, whereas shall (for 1st person) is largely restricted in this usage to southern BrE .

The auxiliary construction is also used to refer to a statement seen in the past from a point of orientation in the future：
They will have finished their book by next year
Note
Other modal auxiliaries can have future reference also：＇He may leave tomorrow＇$=$ ＇He will possïlly leave ．．．＇

### 3.38

## Be going to + infinitive

This construction denotes＇future fulfilment of the present＇．Looked at more carefully，be going to has two more specific meanings，of which one，＇future of present intention＇，is used chiefly with personal subjects：
When are you going to get married？
The other meaning is＇future of present cause＇，which is found with both personal and non－personal suljects：

## She＇s going to have a baby

It＇s going to rain
Both of these suggest that the event is already＇on the way＇．Be going to is not generally used in the main clause of conditional sentences，will＇＇ll or shall being preferred instead：

If you leave now，you＇ll never regret it

### 3.39

## Present progressive

The present progressive refers to a future happening anticipated in the present．Its basic meaning is＇fixed arrangement，plan，or programme＇：

## He＇s moving to London

Since the progressive is used to denote present as well as future，a time adverbial is cften used to clarify in which mearing the verb is being used：

$$
\text { They are washing the dishes }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { now } \\
\text { later }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The present progressive is especially frequent with dynamic transitional verbs like arrive，come，go，land，start，stop，etc，which refer to a tran－ sition between two states or positions：

The plane is taking off at 5.20
The President is coming to the UN this week

### 3.40

## Simple present

The simple present is regularly used in subordinate clauses that are con－ ditional（introduced by if，unless，etc）or temporal（introduced by as soon as＇，before，when，etc；see 11．47）
What will you say if I marry my boss？
The guests will be drunk before they leave
The use of the simple preseat in main clauses may be said to represent a marked future aspect of unusual certainty，in that it attzibutes to the future something of the positiveness one normally associates with present and past events．It is used for statements about the calendar：

Yesterday was Monday，today is Tuesday，and tomorrow is
Wンánecざay
and to describe immutable events or＇fixtures＇：
When is high tide？
What time is the football match？
Both the simple present and the progressive（3．28）are often used with dynamic transitional verbs：arrive，come，leave，etc，both having the muaning if＇pian＇or＇prograrıme＇：
The train $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { leaves } \\ \text { is leaving }\end{array}\right\}$ tonight from Chicago

### 3.41

## ill shall＋progressive

The auxiliary verb construction（3．37）can be used together with the pro－ gressive infinitive to denote a＇future－as－a－matter－of－course＇：will／shall + $b e+\mathrm{V}$－ing．The use of this combination avoids the interpretation（to which will，shall，and be going to are liable）of volition，insistence，etc：
He＇ll do his best（future or volitional interpretation possibie）
He＇ll be doing his best（future interpretation only）
This complex construction can be used to convey greater tact and con－ sideration than the simple auxiliary construction does：

When will you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { put on } \\ \text { be putting on }\end{array}\right\}$ another performance？
When will you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { come？} \\ \text { be coming } ?\end{array}\right.$

### 3.42

## Be to +infinitive

This expresses (a) arrangement, (b) command, or (c) contingent future:
(a) We are to be married soon

There's to be an investigation
(b) You are to be back by 10 o'clock
(c) If he is to succeed, he must work harder

### 3.43

## Be about to + infinitive

This construction expresses near future, ie imminent fulfilment:
The taxi is here and we are about to leave
Be . . . to mav enclose other items such as shortly or soon to provide a means of future expression; with other items again (bound, liable, certain, (un)likely), future expression is overlaid with modal meaning:
He is certain to address tie meting ( $=I t$ is ceriuin that he will address . . .)

### 3.44

## Future time in the past

Some of the future constructions just discussed can be used in the past tense to express time which is in the future when seen from a viewpoint in the past.
(1) AUXiliary verb construction with would (rare; literary narrative style)

The time was not far off when he would regret this decision
(2) be going to+infinitive (often with the sense of 'unfulfilled intention')
You were going to give me your address
(3) Past progressive

## I was meeting him in Bordeaux the next day

(4) be to +INFINITIVE (formal = 'was destined', 'was arranged')

He was later to regret his decision
The meeting was to be held the following week
(5) be about to ('on the point of'); if 3.43

He was about to hit me

## Mood

3.45

Mood is expressed in English to a very minor extent by the subjunctive, as in
So be it then!
to a much greater extent by past tense forms, as in
If you taught me, I would learn quickly
but above all, by means of the modal auxiliaries, as in
It is strange that he should have left so early

### 3.46

The subjunctive
Three cauteguries vir suljuuctive maj le disuinguiahni:
(a) The mandative subjunctive in that-clauses has only one form, the base (V); this means there is lack of the regular indicative concord between subject and finite verb in the 3rd person singular present, and the present and past tenses are indistinguishable. This subjunctive can be used with any verb in subordinate that-clauses when the main clause contains an expression of recommeidaticn, rezolution, demai.d, and so un (iYe de:nai.d, require, move, insist, suggest, ask, etc that . . .). The use of this subjunctive occurs chiefly in formal style (and especially in AmE) where in less formal contexts one would rather make use of other devices, such as $t o$-infinitive or should + infinitive:

It is was necessary that every member inform himself of these rules
It is necessary that every member should inform himself of these rules
It is necessary for every member to inform himself of these rules
(b) The formulaic subjunctive also consists of the base (V) but is only used in clauses in certain set expressions which have to be learned as wholes (see 7.64):

Come what may, we will go ahead
God save the Queen!
Suffice it to say that...
Be that as it may ...
Heaven forbid that . . .
(c) The subjunctive were is hypothetical in meaning and is used in conditional and concessive clauses and in subordinate clauses after
optative verbs like wish (see 11.48). It occurs as the 1st and 3rd person singular past of the verb be, matching the indicative was, which is the more common in less formal style:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If she }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { were } \\
\text { was }
\end{array}\right\} \text { to do something like that, ... } \\
& \text { He spoke to me as if I }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { were } \\
\text { was }
\end{array}\right\} \text { deaf } \\
& \text { I wish I }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { were } \\
\text { was }
\end{array}\right\} \text { dead }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note
Only were is acceptable in 'As it were' (=so to speak); were is usual in 'If I were you'.

### 3.47

Modal past
Just as was could replace were in 'If I were rich', $s \supset$ in closed or unreal conditions involving all other verbs than $b e$, it is the past tense that conveys the impossibility. See further, 11.48. Other modal or quasimodal uses of the past are illustrated by

## I wondered if you'd like a drink

which involves an attitudinal rather than a time distinction from ' $I$ wonder if you'd like a drink', and

We were catching the 8 o'clock train and it is nearly 8 o'clock already which seems to depend on a covert subordinating clause such as 'We agreed that . . ' in which the past tense is purely temporal.

## The uses of the modal auxiliaries

3.48

CAN/COULD
can

| (1) Abiiity <br> $=$ <br> be able to, <br> be capable of, <br> know how to | He can speak Engiish but ine can't write <br> it very well ('He is able to speak/ <br> capable of speaking...') |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{cc}\text { (2) Permission } \\ = & \text { be allowed to, } \\ \text { be permitted to } & \text { May }\end{array}\right\}$ I smoke in here? |  |
| (Can is less formal <br> than may in this sense) |  |


| (3) Theoretical possibility | Anybody can make mistakes |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Contrast may = | The road can be blocked ('It is possible |
| factual possibility) | to block the road') |


| could |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (1) Past ability | I never could play the banjo |
| (2) Present or future permission | Could I smoke in herz? |
| (3) Present possibility (theoretical <br> or factual) | We could go to the concert <br> The road could be blocked |
| (4) Contingent possibility or ability <br> in unreal conditions | If we had more money, we <br> could buy a car |

Note
[a] Ability can bring in the implication of willingness (especially in spoken English): $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Can } \\ \text { Could }\end{array}\right\}$ you do me a favour?
[b] Past permission is sometimes expressed by could:
This used to te the children's room rut they couldn't make a noise there because of the neighbours
More generally, the past can/could for permission and possibility is could have + V-ed:

Tonight you can dance if you wish but you could have danced last night equally
[c] With some perception verbs (3.35), can $V$ corresponds to the progressive aspect be $V$-ing with dynamic verbs:
I can hear footsteps; who's coming?

### 3.49

MAY/MIGHT
may
(1) Permission $=$ be allowed to (In this sense may is more tormal than can. Instead of may not or rare mayn't, the stronger mustn't is often used in the negative to express prohibition.)
(2) Possibility (usually factual) The road may be blocked ('It is possible that the road is blocked'; less probably: 'It is possible to block the road')
might
(1) Permission (rare)
(2) Possibility (theoretical or factual)

Might I smoke in here? We might go to the concert What you say might be true

## Note

a] May and might are among the modal auxiliaries which involve differences of meaning in passing from declarative to interrogative or negative; see 7.42, 7.5i.
[b] There is a rare use of may as a 'quasi-subjunctive' auxiliary, eg to express wish, normally in positive sentences (ef 7.64):
May he never set foot in this house again!

### 3.50

SHALL/SHOULD
shal! (volitional use; rf 3.37)

| (1) Willingness on the part of | He shall get his money |
| :--- | :--- |
| the snaker in 2nd and 3rd | You shall do exactly as you wish | person. Restricted use

# (2) Intention on the part of the 

 spcaker, oniy ir 1st person
## I shan't be long

We shall let you know our decisior We shall overcome

## (3) $a$ Insisterze. ..es ${ }^{4}$ ricted use <br> $b$ Legal and quasi-legal injunction all do as I saj He shall be punished The vendor shall maintain the equipment in good repair

Of these three meanings it is only the one of intention that is widely used today. Shall is, on the whole and especially outside BrE , an infrequent auxiliary with restricted use compared with should, will, and would; will is generally preferred, except in 1st person questions:

## Shall/*Will I come at once?

## In the first person plural, eg

What shall/will we drink?
sholl asks for instructions, and will is non-volitional future (especially in AmE). Will $I /$ we has become increasingly common not only in contexts of non-volitional futurity (Will I see you later ?), but also in sentences expressing helplessness, perplexity, etc:

## How will I get there? What will I do? Which will I take?

 This usage is predominantly AmE (though should is commonly preferred) but examples may be found in BrE too. A similar meaning is also conveyed by be going iutWhat are we going to do?
should

| (1) Obligation and logical necessity ( $=$ ought to) | You should do as he says They should be home by now |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2) 'Putative' use after cert:in expressions, eg: it is a pity that, I am surprised that (ste 11.51. 12.12, 12.17) | ```It is odd that you should say this to me I am sorry that this should have happened``` |
| (3) Coutingent use (1st person only and especially BrE ) in the main clause (= would) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { We }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { should } \\ \text { would } \end{array}\right\} \text { love to go abroad (if } \\ & \text { we had the chance) } \end{aligned}$ |
| (4) In rather formal real conditions | If you should change your mind, please let us know |

3.51

WILL/WOULD
will (cf 3.37)

| (1) Willingness. Used in | He'll help you if you ask him |
| :--- | :--- |
| polite requests | Will you have another cup of coffee? |
|  | Will :ou (jleasu, kincily, eiu) opєn |
|  | the window? |

(2) Intention. Usually contracted
'll; mainly 1st person

I'll write as soon as I can We won't stay longer than two hours
(3) Insistence. Stressed, hence no 'll contraction

He lwill do it, whatever you say
('He insists on doing it . . .')
(Cf He shall do it, whatever you say $=$ 'I insist on his doing it . . .') He 'will keep interrupting me
(4) Prediction
$C f$ the similar meanings of other expressions for logical necessity and habitual present. The contracted form 'll is common.
(a) Specific prediction: The game $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will } \\ \text { must } \\ \text { should }\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}\text { be finished } \\ \text { by now }\end{gathered}$
(b) Timeless prediction:

Oil $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will float } \\ \text { floats }\end{array}\right\}$ on water
(c) Habitual prediction:

He'll (always) talk for hours if you give him the chance
would

| (1) Willingness <br> (2) Insistence | Would you excuse me? <br> It's your own fault; you 'would take the baby with you |
| :---: | :---: |
| (3) Characteristic activity in the past (often aspectual in effect: 3.26 ff) | Every morning he would go for a <br> long walk (ie 'it was customary') <br> John 'would make a mess of it <br> (informal = 'it was typical') |
| (4) Contingent use in the main clause of a conditional sentence | He would smoke too much if I didn't stop him |
| (5) Probability | That would be his mother |
| Note <br> Volition with preference is expressed with would rather/soon <br> A: Would you like tea or would you rather have coffee? B: I think I'd rather have tea. |  |
| The expression with sooner is informal. |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3.52 \\ & \text { MUST } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (1) Obligation or compulsion in the present tense ( $=b e$ obliged to, have (got) to); except in reported speech, only had to (not must) is used in the past. There are two negatives: $(1)=$ 'not be obliged to': needn't, don't have to; (2)='be obliged not to': mustn't. See 3.22, 3.49, 7.42. | You must be back by 10 o'clock Yesterday you had to be back by 10 o'clock <br> Yesterday you said you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { had to } \\ \text { must }\end{array}\right\}$ be back by 10 o'clock <br> You $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { needn't } \\ \text { don't have to } \\ \text { are not obliged to }\end{array}\right\}$ be back by <br> 10 o'clock |
| (2) (Logical) necessity Must is not used in sentencos with negative or interrogative meanings, can being used instead. Must can occur in superficially interrogative but answerassuming sentences. | There must be a mistake but: There cannot be a mistake <br> Mustn't there be another reason for his behaviour? |

3.53
oUGHT TO
Obligation; logical necessity You ought to start at once
or expectation
They ought to be here by now
Note
Ought to and should both denote obl:gation and logical necessity, but are less categorical than must and have to. Ought to is often felt to be awkward in questions involving inversion, and should is preferred. Still less categorical than cught is had/'d better/best (plus bare infiniiive):
A: Must you go?
B: Well, I don't have to, but I think I'd tetter (go).

### 3.54

The tense of modals


| PRESENT | PAST |
| :--- | :--- |
| can | could |
| may | could (might) |
| shüll | should |
| will'll | would/'d |
| must | (had to) |
| - | usea to |
| ought to | - |
| need | - |
| dare | dared |
| He can speak English now | He couldn't come yesterday |
| He'll do anything for | He wouldn't come when I asked |
| money | him yesterday |

The usual past tense of may denoting permission is could:
Today, we $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { can } \\ \text { may }\end{array}\right\}$ stay the whole afternoon
Yesterday, we could only stay for a few minutes
The following modals are not used in the past tense except in reported speech: must, ought to, and need (but of 3.22). Had to serves as the past of both must and have to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { must } \\
\text { has to }
\end{array}\right\} \text { leave now } \\
& \mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { must } \\
\text { had to }
\end{array}\right\} \text { leave in a hurry yesterday }
\end{aligned}
$$


3.55

The modals and aspect
The perfective and progressive aspects are normally excluded when the modal expresses 'ability' or 'permission', and also when shall or w:'l express 'volition'. These aspects are freely used, however, with other modal meanings: eg

'possibility' | He may have missed the train |
| :--- |
| He may have beez visiting his mother |
| He can't be swimming all day |
| He can't have been working |

'necessity' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He must have left his umbrella on the bus } \\
\text { I must be dreaming } \\
\text { You must have been sitting in the sun }\end{array}\right.$

'prediction' | The guests will have arrived by now |
| :--- |
| John will still be reading his paper |

## Bibliographical note

On tense and aspect, see Allen (1966); Palmer (1974); Schopf (1969); on the meanings of the modal auxiliaries, see Halliday (1970); Leech (1971); Lyons (1977).

## FOUR <br> NOUNS, PRONOUNS, AND THE BASIC NOUN PHRASE

## 4.1

The basic noun ghrase
The noun phrase typically functions as subject, object, complement oit sentences, and as complement in prepositional phrases. Consider the different subjects in the following:
(a) The g:irl
(b) The pretty girl
(c) The pretty girl in the corner
is Mary Smith
(d) The pretty girl who became angry
(e) She

Since noun phrases of the types illustrated in (b-d) include elements that will be dealt with in later chapters (adjectives, prepositional phrases, etc), it will be convenient to postpone the treatment of the noun phrase in corporating such items. We shall deal here with the elements found in those noun phrases thai consist of pronouns and numerals, and of nouns with articles or other closed-system items that can occur before the noun head, such as predeterminers like all.

## Noun clasess

4.2

It is necessary, both for grammatical and semantic reasons, to see nouns as falling into different subclasses. This is easily demonstrated by taking the four nouns John, bottle, furniture and cake and considering the extent to which it is possible for each to appear as head of the noun phrase operating as object in the following sentence (some in the fourth line is the unstressed determiner: 4.5):
I saw $\left\{\begin{array}{llll}\text { (1) } & \text { (2) } & \text { (3) } & \text { (4) } \\ \text { John } & \text { *bottle } & \text { furniture } & \text { cake } \\ \text { *the John } & \text { the bottle } & \text { the furniture } & \text { the cake } \\ \text { *a John } & \text { a bottle } & \text { *a furniture } & \text { a cake } \\ \text { *some John } & \text { *some bottle } & \text { some furniture } & \text { some cake } \\ \text { *Johns } & \text { bottles } & \text { *furnitures } & \text { cakes }\end{array}\right.$

The difference between column 1 (with its four impossible usages) and column 4 (with none) indicates the degree of variation between classes. Nouns that behave like John in column 1 (Paris, Mississippi, Gandhi, . . .) are PROPER NOUNS, further discussed in 4.23. The nouns in columns 2, 3 and 4 are all COMMON NOUNS, but there are important differences within this class. Nouns which behave like bottle in column 2 (chair, word, fincer, remerk, . ?, which mus! be seen as :ndividual ceuntab!s entities and cannot be viewed as an undifferentiated mass, are called count nouns. Those conforming like furniture to the pattern of column 3 (grass, warmth, humour, . . .) must by contrast be seen as an undifferentiated mass or continuum, and we call them NON-COUNT nouns. Finally in column 4 we bave nnuns which combine ibe charas. teristics of count and non-count nouns (cake, paper, stone, . . .); that is, we can view stone as the non-count material (as in column 3) constituting the entity a stone (as in column 2 ) which can be picked up from a pile of stones and individually thrown.

## 4.3

It will be noticed that the categorization count and non-count cuts across the traditional distinction between 'abstract' (broadly, immaterial) nouns like warmth, and 'concrete' (broadly, tangible) nouns like bottle. But while abstract nouns may be count like remark or non-count like warmth, there is a considerable degree of overlap between abstract and non-count. This does not proceed from nature but is language-specific, and we list some examples which are non-count in English but count nouns in some sther languages:
anger, applause, behaviour, chaos, chess, conduct, courage, dancing, education, harm, homework, hospitality, leisure, melancholy, moonlight, parking, photography, poetry, progress, publicity, research (as in do some research), resistance, safety, shopping, smoking, sunshine, violence, weather

## Note

Another categorization that cuts across the count and non-count distinction will identify e small class of nouns that behave like most adjectives in being gradable. Though such degree nouns are chiefly non-count ('His acts of great foolishness' $=$ 'His acts
were very foolish'), they can also be count nouns: ‘The children are such thieves! See further 5.27.

## 4.4

Nevertheless, when we turn to the large class of nouns which can be both count and non-count, we see that there is often considerable difference in meaning involved and that this corresponds broadly to concreteness or particularization in the count usage and abstractness or generalization in the non-count usage. For example:

COUNT
I've hau maty üfficuilies
He's had many odd experiences
Buy an evening paper
She was a beauty in her youth
The talks will take place in Paris
There were bright lights and Light travels faster than sound harsh sounds
The lambs were eating quietly
There is lamb on the menu
In many cases the type of distinction between lamb count and lamb noncount is achieved by separate lexical items: (a) sheep $\sim$ (some) mutton; (a) calf $\sim($ some $)$ veal; (a) pig $\sim($ some $)$ pork; (a) loaf $\sim(s o m e) ~ b r e a d ; ~(a) ~$ table $\sim($ some $)$ furniture.

Note
Virtually all non-count nouns can be treated as count nouns when used in classificatory senses:
There are several French wines available ( $=$ kinds of wine)
This is a bread I greatly enjoy (=kind of bread)

## 4.5

Determiners
There are six classes of determiners with respect to their co-occurrence with the noun classes singular count (such as bottle), plural count (such as bottles), and non-count nouns (such as furniture). The check marks in the figures that follow indicate which noun classes will co-occur with members of the determiner class concerned.

|  | COUNT | NON-COUNT |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| SINGULAR | bottle |  |
| PLURAL | furniture |  |
|  | bottles |  |

[A]

the
possessive ( $m y$, our, etc: see 4.87)
whose, which(ever), what(ever) some (stressed)
any (stressed) see $4.92 f$
no
[R]

zero article, as in'Thzy need, furritio.e') some (unstressed)
any (unstressed)
enough
[C]

[D]

these those
[E]

 every each either neither
[F]


Note
[a] Many of the determiners can be pronominal (4.78)
Either book $\sim$ Either of the books $\sim$ You can have either
[b] Every can co-occur with possessives: his every word ( $=$ 'each of his words').
4.6

## Closed-system premodifiers

In addition to determiners, there is a large number of other closed-system items that occur before the head of the noun phrase. These form three classes (predeterminers, ordinals, and auantifiers) which have been set up on the basis of the possible positions that they can have in relation to determiners and to each other. Within each of the three classes, we will make distinctions according to their patierning with the ci? sses of singular count, plural count, and non-count nouns

## Note

We will also include here some open-class premodifiers that commute to a significant extent with closed-system items, eg: three times (cf: once, twice), a large quantity of (cf: much).

## Predeterminers

4.7

All, both, half


These predeterminers can occur only before articles or demonstratives but, since they are themselves quantifiers, they do not occur with the following 'quantitative' determiners: every, (n)either, each, some, any, no, enough.
All, both, and half have of-constructions, which are optional with nouns and obligatory with personal pronouns:
all ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) the meat
all of it
both (of) the students both of them
half (of) the time half of it

With a quantifier following, the of-construct:on is preferred (especially in AmE ):
all of the many boys
All three can be used pronominally:
All/both/half passed their exams

All and both (but not half) can occur after the head, either immediateiy or within the predication:

The students were all hungry
They may have all innished
The predeterminer both and the determiners either and neither are not plural proper but 'dual', ie they can refer only to two. Compared with the numeral two, both is emphatic:

All is rare with singular concrete count nouns (?I haven't used all the pencil) though it is less rare with contrastive stress: I haven't read ALL the book, where book is treated as a kind of divisible mass. The normal constructions would be all of the book or the whole book.

Before rertain siiugular emporal nouns and especially in adjunst phrases, all is often used with the zero article: I haven't seen him all day: Note
[a] There is also an adverbial half (as in half wine, half water) which occurs in familiar emphatic negation and can precede enough:

$$
\text { He hasn't }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { half } \\
\text { nearly }
\end{array}\right\} \text { enough money! }
$$

Added to numbers from one upwards, a half co-occurs with plural nouns: one and a half days. A preceding determiner or numeral is common with of- construction (my half of the room, one half of her time), but infrequent otherwise.
[b] The postposed all in 'They were all hungry' must not be confused with its use as an informal intensifying adverb in ' He is all upset' ( 5.23 Note $b$ ).
[c] The items such (a), what (a) as predeterminers are discussed in 5.27.

## 4.8

## Double, twice, three/four ... times

The second type of predeterminer includes double, twice, three times, etc, which occur with non-count and plural count nouns, and with singular count nouns denoting number, amount, etc.:

## double their salaries

twice his strength
three times this amount
Three, four, etc times as well as once can co-occur with the determiners $a$, every, each, and (less commonly) per to form 'distributive' expressions with a temporal noun as head:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { once } \\ \text { twice } \\ \text { three } \\ \text { four } \\ \ldots\end{array}\right\} \text { times }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { every } \\ \text { each } \\ \text { (per) }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { day } \\ \text { week } \\ \text { month } \\ \text { year } \\ \text { decade } \\ \ldots\end{array}\right.$
4.9

One-third, two-fifths, etc
The fractions one-third, two-fifths, three-quarters, etc, used with noncount and with singular and plural count nouns, can also be followed by determiners, and have the alternative of-construction:
He did it in one-third (of) the time it took me

## Postaeterminers

4.10

Items which must follow determiners but precede adjectives in the premodification structure include numerals (ordinal and carüinal) and quantifiers. The numerals are listed in 4.97.

### 4.11

## Cardinal numerals

Apart from one, which cand co-occur only with singular count nouns, all cardinal numerals (two, three, ...) co-occur only with plural count nouns:

He has one sister and three brothers
The two blue cars belong to me

## Note

One may be regarded as a stressed form of the indefinite article: 'I would like a/one large cigar'. In consequence, although the definite article may precede any cardinal, the indefinite can not.

### 4.12

## Ordinal numerals and gencral ordinals

In addition to the ordinals which have a one-for-one relation with the cardinals (fourth $\sim$ four; twentieth $\sim$ twenty), we cousider here items like next, last, (an)other, additional, which resemble them grammatically and semantically. Ordinal numerals, except first, co-occur only with count nouns. All urdinals usually precede any cardinal numbers in the noun phrase:

The first three, planes were American
The general ordinals, however, may be used freely before or after cardinals, according to the meaning required:

His $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { last two } \\ \text { two last }\end{array}\right\}$ books were novels
Note
Another has two functions. It can be the unstressed form of one other (cf4.11 Note) or it can have the same meaning as 'second' with indefinite article:

I don't like this house: I'd prefer another one
Another $\}$ blue car
A second $\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { two volumes of poetry }\end{array}\right.$

## Quantifiers

4.13

There are two small groups of closed-system quantifiers:
(i) , nany, 'aj) $f_{t w,}$ anü veverai co-varur oniy wih plurai couni nuuns:

The few words he spoke were well chosen
(2) much and (a) little co-occur only with non-count nouns:

There hasn't been much good weqther recently
Several is rarely (and much virtually never) preceded by a determiner, and in the case of few and little there is a positive/negative contrast according as the indefinite article is or is not used:

He took
$\begin{cases}\mathrm{a} \text { few biscuits } & \text { (=several) } \\ \text { few biscuits } & \text { (=not many) } \\ \text { a little butter } & \text { (=some) } \\ \text { little butter } & \text { ( }=\text { not much) }\end{cases}$

Since the first of these has a plural count noun and the third a non-count noun, neither of which (4.5) co-occurs with the indefinite article, it will be clear that in these instances $a$ belongs to the quantifier alone.

## Note

[a] The quantifei (u) little must be distinguished frem the bomouymous adjective as in A little bird was singing.
[b] Many and few can be used predicatively in formal style (His faults were many), and many has the additional potentialitv of functioning as a predeterminer with singular count nouns preceded by $a(n)$ :

Many an ambitious student (=Many ambitious students)
[c] The quantifier enough is used with both count and non-count nouns:
There are (not) enough chairs
There is (not) enough furniture
Occasionally it follows the noun (especially non-count) but this strikes many people as archaic or dialectal.

### 4.14

There is also a large open class of phrasal quantifiers. Some can co-occur equally with non-count and pliral count nouns:

The room contained $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { plenty of } \\ \text { a lot of } \\ \text { lots ofi }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { students } \\ \text { furniture }\end{array}\right.$
These (especially lots) are chiefly used informally, though plenty of is stylistically neutral in the sense 'sufficient'. Others are restricted to occurring with non-count nouns:
The room contained $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { great } \\ \text { good }\end{array}\right\} \text { deal of } \\ \text { a }\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { large }) \\ \text { (smaii) })\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { quantity } \\ \text { amount }\end{array}\right\}$ of $\}$ money
or to plural count nouns:
The room contained a $\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\text { great }) \\ \text { (!arge) } \\ \text { (good) }\end{array}\right\}$ number of students
As these examples suggesi, it is usual to find the indefinite article and a quantifying adjective, the latter being obligatory in Standard English with deal.
4.15

The phrasal quantifiers provide a means of imposing countability on non-count nouns as the following partitive expressions illustrate:

| general partitives | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { two pieces } \\ \text { a bit } \\ \text { an item } \end{array}\right\} \text { of }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { news } \\ \text { information } \\ \text { furniture } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| typical partitives | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { a slice of cake } \\ \text { a roast of meat } \\ \text { a few loaves of bread } \\ \text { a bowl of soup } \\ \text { a bottle of wine } \end{array}\right.$ |
| MEASURES | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { a pint of beer } \\ \text { a spoonful of medicine } \\ \text { a pound of butter } \end{array}\right.$ |

## Reference and the articles

4.16

## Specific/generic reference

In discussing the use of the articles, it is essential to make a distinction between specific and generic reference. If we say

A lion and two tigers are sleeping in the cage
the reference is specific, since we have in mind specific specimens of the class 'tiger'. If, on the other hand, we say
Tigers are dangerous animals
the reference is generic, since we are thinking of the class 'tiger' without special reference to specific tigers.
The distinctions that are important for count nouns with specific reference disappear with generic reference. This is so because generic reference is used to denote what is normal or typical for members of a class. Consequently, the distinctions of number and definiteness are neutralized since they are no longer relevant for the ganeric concept. Singular or plural, definite or indefinite can sometimes be used without change in the generic meaning, though plural defnite occurs chietly with nationality names (cf 4.18):
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The German } \\ \text { A German }\end{array}\right\}$ is a good musician
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The Germans } \\ \text { Germans }\end{array}\right\}$ are good musicians

Ai least the following three forms can be used generically with a count noun:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The tiger } \\ \text { A tiger }\end{array}\right\}$ is a dangerous animal
Tigers are dangerous animals
But with non-count nouns, only the zero article is possible:
Music can be soothing

## Note

There is considerable (though by no means complete) interdependence between the dynamic/stative dichotomy in the verb phrase and the speciñ/geveric dichotomy in the noun phrase, as appears in the following example:
generic reference/simple aspect The tiger lives in the jungle
specific reference $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { simple aspect } \\ \text { rrogressive } \\ \text { aspect }\end{array}\right.$ The tiger at this circus performs twice a day
generic reference/simple aspect $\begin{aligned} & \text { The English drink beer in pubs } \\ & \text { specific reference } \begin{cases}\text { simple aspect } & \text { The Englishmen (who live here) drink beer every } \\ \text { day } \\ \text { progressive } & \text { The Englishmen are just now drinking beer in }\end{cases} \end{aligned}$ the garden
4.17

Systems of article usage
We can thus set up two different systems of article use depending on the type of reference:

| SPECIFIC <br> REFERENCE | depinite |  | indefinitb |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the tiger | the ink | a tiger | (some) ink |
|  | the tigers |  | (some) tigers |  |
| GENERIC |  | the tiger |  |  |
| REFERPACE |  | tigers | ink |  |

With definite specific reference, the definite article is used for all noun classes:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Where is the pen } \\ \text { Where are the pens } \\ \text { Where is the ink }\end{array}\right\}$ bought?
With indefinite specific reference, singuiar count nouns take the indefinite article $a(n)$, while non-count and plural count nouns take zero article or unstressed some (any in non-assertive contexts, 4.93)

I want a pen/some pens/some ink
I don't want a pen/any pens/any ink

## Generic reference

4.18

Nationality words and adjectives as head
There are two kinds of adjectives that can act as noun-phrase head with generic reference ( $c f 5.6 f f^{\prime}$ ):
(a) Pluralyersonal (for example the Fivench = the Frencianation; the rich=those who are rich)
(b) singular non-personal abstract (for example: the evil= that which is evil)

The lexical variation in a number of nationality words, as between an Englishman/several Englishmen/the English, depending on type of reference, appears from the following tuble.
Where nationality words have no double form like Eng'ish, Englishman), the + plural can be both generic and specific:

The Greeks are musical [generic]
The Greeks that I know are musical [specific]

| name of country or continent | adjective | specific reference |  | generic reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | singular | plural(two,...) | plural |
| China | Chinese | a Chinese | Chinese | the Chinese |
| Japan | Japanese | a Japanese | Japanese | the Japanese |
| Portugal | Portuguese | a Portuguese | Portuguese | the Portuguese |
| Switzerland | Swiss | a Swiss | Swiss | the Swiss |
| Vietnam | Vietnamese | a Vietnamese | Vietnamese | the Vietnamese |
| Israel | Israeli | an Israeli | Israelis | the Israelis |
| Pakistan | Pakistani | a Pakistani | Pakistanis | he Pakistanis |
| Germa=y | German | a German | Germans | the Germans |
| Greece | Greek | a Greek | Greeks | the Greeks |
| Africa | African | au Airican | Africans | tie Africians |
| America | American | an American | Americans | the Americans |
| Europe | European | a European | Europeans | the Europeans |
| Asia | Asian | an Asian | Asians | the Asians |
| Australia | Australian | an Australian | Australians | the Australians |
| Italy | Italian | an Italian | Italians | the Italians |
| Russia | Russian | a Russian | Russians | the Russians |
| Belgium | Belgian | a Belgian | Belgians | the Belgians |
| Brazil | Brazilian | a Brazilian | Brazilians | the Brazilians |
| Hungary | Hungarian | a Hungarian | Hungarians | the Hungarians |
| Norway | Norwegian | a Norwegian | Norwegians | the Norwegians |
| Denmark | Danish | a Dane | Danes | the Danes |
| Finland | Finnish | a Finn | Finns | the Finas |
| Poland | Polish | a Pole | Poles | the Poles |
| Spain | Spanish | a Spaniard | Spaniards | the Spaniards |
| Sweden | Swedish | a Swede | Swedes | the Swedes |
| Arabia | Arabic | an Arab | Arabs | the Arabs |
| England | English | an Englishman | Englishmen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Englishmen } \\ \text { the English } \end{array}\right.$ |
| France | French | a Frenchman | Frenchmen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Frenchmen } \\ \text { the French } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Holland, the Netherlands | \}Dutch | a Datcioman | Dutchmen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Dutchmen } \\ \text { the Dutch } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Ireland | Irish | an Irishman | Irishmen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { irishmen } \\ \text { the Irish } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Wales | Welsh | a Welshman | Welshmen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Welshmen } \\ \text { the Welsh } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Britain | British | (a Briton) | (Britons) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { the British } \\ \text { (Britons) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| Scotland | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Scots } \\ \text { Scottish } \\ \text { (Scotch) } \end{array}\right.$ | a Scotsman a Scot <br> (a Scotchman) | Scotsmen <br> Scots <br> (Scotchmen) | Scotsmen the Scots (Scotchmen, the Scotch) |

## Note

a) The adjective Grecian refers chiefly to ancient Greece: a Grecian urn.
[b] Arabic is used in Arabic numerals (as opposed to Roman numerais) and in the Arabic language; he speaks Arabic fluently. But an Arabian camel.
[c] A Britisher is colloquial (esp AmE).
[d] The inhabitants themselves prefer Scots and Scottish to Scotch, which however is cominonly used in such phrases as Scotch terrier, Sco:ch whisky; contrast the Scottish universities, the Scottish Highlands, a Scottish accent, etc, denoting nationality rather than type.
e] Nationality nouns tend to be used only of men: He is a Spaniard but she is Swedish.

### 4.19

## Non-count and plural count nouns

When they have generic reference, both concrete and abstract non-count nouns, and usually also plural count nouns, are used with the zero article:

$$
\text { He likes }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { wine, wood, cream cheese, . . . } \\
\text { music, chess, literature, hisiory, skiing, . . } \\
\text { lakes, games, long walks, . . . }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Postmodification by an of-phrase usually requires the definite article with a head noun, which thus has limited generic (partitive) reference:


Similarly, the wines of this shop is an instance of limited generic reference, in the sense that it does not refer to any particular wines at any one time. Postmodification with other prepositions is less dependent on a preceding definite article:
Mrs Nelson adores $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Venetian glass } \\ \text { the glass of Venice } \\ \text { *glass of Venice } \\ \text { the glass from Venice } \\ \text { glass from Venice }\end{array}\right.$

This type of postmodification structure should be compared to the frequent alternative with an adjectival premodification. In comparison with some other languages English tends to make a liberal interpretation of the concept 'generic' in such cases, so that the zero article is used also where the reference of the noun head is restricted by premodification.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NON-COUNT } \\ & \text { NOUNS } \end{aligned}$ | Canadian paper | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { the paper of Canada } \\ \text { paper from Canada } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinese history | the history of China |
|  | Trotskyite politics | the politics of Trotsky |
|  | American literature | the literature of America |
|  | Restoration comedy | the comedy of the Restoration |
| plural COUNT | Japanese cameras | cameras from Japan |
| NOUNS | Oriental women | the women of the Orient |

The zero article is also used with other plural nouns that are not unambiguously generic:
Appearances can be deceptive
Thinge aren't w. wat they ved to 上s
Niote
Just as non-count nouns can be used as count (4.4 Note), so count nouns can be used as non-count in a generic sense:
This bread tastes of onlon; has it been alongside ontons?

## Specific reference

1.20

Indefinite and definite
Just as we have seen in 4.16 a correspondence between aspect and reference in respect of generic and specific, so we have seen in 3.31 a correspondence between the simple and perfective in respect of what must be regarded as the basic article contrast:
$A n$ intruder has stolen $a$ vase;
the intruder stole the vase from $a$ locked cupboard;
the cupboard was smashed open.
As we see in this (unusually explicit) example, the definite article presupposes an earlier mention of the item so determined. But in actual usage the reiation between presupposition and the definite articie may be much less overt. For example, a conversation may begin:

The house on the corner is for sale
and the postmodification passes for some such unspoken preamble as
There is, as you know, $a$ house on the corner
Compare also What is the climate like ? - tnat is, the climate of the area being discussed. Even more covert are the presuppositions which permit the definite article in examples like the following:

John asked his wife to put on the kettle while he looked in the paper to see what was on the radio

No prior mention of a kettle, a paper, a radio is needed, since these things are part of the cultural situation.

On a broader plane, we talk of the sun, the moon, the stars, the sky as aspects of experience common to mankind as a whole. These seem to require no earlier indefinite reference because each term is so specific as to be in fact unique for practical human purposes. This gives them something approaching the status of those proper names which are based on common count nouns: the Bible, the United States, for example (cf4.24 ff).
Note
[a] The indefinite article used with a proper name means 'a certain', 'one giving his name as':

A Mr Johnson wants to speak to you
[b] The definite article given heavy stress is used (especially informally) to indicate superlative quality:

Chelsea is THE place for young people
Are you THE Mr Johnson (= the famous)?

### 4.21

Common nouns with zero article
There are a number of count nouns that take the zero article in abstract or rather specialized use, chiefly in certain idiomatic expressions (with verbs like be and go and with prepositions):

| go by car | but |
| :--- | :--- |
| be in bed | sit in/look at, . . . the car |
| go to school | make/sit on, . . the bed |
| (an institution) | go into/take a look at, . . . the school |
| (a building) |  |

The following list gives a number of common expressions with zero article; for comparison, usage with the definite article is also illustrated.

[^0]'InSTITUTIONS' (often with at, in, to, etc)

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { be in } \\
\text { go to }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { bed }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { lie down on the bed } \\
\text { church } \\
\text { prison } \\
\text { admire the church } \\
\text { hospital (esp BrE) } \\
\text { class (esp AmE) }\end{array}\right.$

| walk round the prison |
| :--- |

redecorate the hospital


PARALLEL STRUCTURES
arm in arm
hand in hand
he took her by the arm
a paper in his hand
day by day
teaspoonful by teaspoonful
te's neither man nor boy
husband and wife
man to man
face to face
from dawn to dusk
from beginning to end
from right to left
from west to north
from the beginning of the day to the end of it
keep to the right he lives in the north

## Note

Compare also familiar or peremptory vocatives: That's right, girl/ Come here, man! Vocatives take neither definite nor indefinite article in English.

### 4.22

## Article usage with common nouns in intensive relation

Unlike many othei lauguages, English requires the definite or indefinite article with the count noun complement in an intensive relation (7.6).

With indefinite reference, the indefinite article is used
(i) intensive
complementation John became a businessman
(ii) complex transitive complementation (active verb) Mary considered John a genius
(iii) complex transitive
complementation
(passive veib) John was taken ior a linguist
The complement of turn and go, however, has zero article (12.9 Note $a$ ):
John started out a music student before he turned linguist
Definite reference requires the definite article:
(i) John Escame
(ii) Mary consicered Johii $\}$ the genius of the family
(iii) John was taken for

However, the zero article may be used with the noun complement after copulas and 'naming verbs', such as appoint, declare, elect, when the noun designates a unique office or task:
(i) John is (the) captain of the team
(ii) They elected him
(iii) He was elcited
(the) President of the United States

## Unique reference

## Proper nouns

4.23

Proper nouns are names of specific people (Shakespeare), places (Milwaukee), countries (Australia), months (September), days (Thursday), holidays (Christmas), magazines (Voguc), and so forth. Names nave 'unique' referense, and (as we have seen in 4.2) do not share such characteristics of common nouns as article contrast. But when the names have restrictive modification to give a partitive meaning to the name (cf 4.19), proper nouns take the (cataphoric) definite article.

| Uniqub mbaning | Partitive mbaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| during Easter | during the Easter of that year |
| in tne England of Queen Elizabeth |  |
| in England | in Denmark |
| in the Denmark of today |  |
| Chicago | the Chicago I like ( $=$ 'the aspect of |
| Chakespeare | Chicago') |
| the young Shakespeare |  |

Proper names can be converted into common nouns (App I.29):
Shakespeare (the author) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a Shakespeare ('an author like S.' } \\ \text { Shakespeares ('authors like S.' or } \\ \text { 'copies of the works of S.') }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

Pioper nouns are written with initial capital letters. So also, frequently, are a number of common nouns with unique reference, which are therefore close to prope: pouns, eg: fate, fortune, heaven, hell, nature, paradise.

### 4.24

The following list exemplifies the main classes of proper nouns:
Personal names (with or without titles; 4.25)
Calendar items (4.26):
(a) Festivals
(b) Months and days of the week

Geographical names (4.27):
(a) Continents
(b) Countries, counties, states, etc
(c) Cities, towns, etc
(d) Lakes
(c) Mountains

Name + common noun (4.28).

### 4.25

Personal names
Personal names with or without appositive titles:

| Dr Watson | Lady Churchill |
| :--- | :--- |
| President rompıiou | Cardinai Speliman |
| Mr and Mrs Johnson | Judge Darling (mainly |
| Note the following exceptions: |  |
|  |  |
| the Emperor (Napoleon) | the Lord (God) |
| (but:Emperor Haile Selassie) | (the) Czar (Alexander) |
| the Duke (of Wellington) |  |

The article may also precede some other titles, including Lord and Lady in formal use. Family relations with unique reference behave like proper nouns:

Father (Daddy, Dad, familiar) is here
Mother (Mummy, Mum, familiar) is out
Uncle will come on Saturday
Compare:
The father was the tallest in the family
4.26

## Calendar items

(a) Names of festivals:

| Christmas (Day) | Independence Day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Easter (Sunday) | Whit(sun) (mainly BrE) |
| Good Friday | Passover |

(b) Names of the months and the days of the week:

## Note

Many such items can readily be used as count nouns:
I hate Mondays
There was an April in my childhood I well remember

### 4.27

Geographical names
(a) Names of continents:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (North) America } & \text { (Medieval) Europe } \\
\text { (Central) Australia } & \text { (East) Africa }
\end{array}
$$

Note Antarctica but the Antarctic, like the Arctic.
(b) Names of countries, counces, states, etc (normaily no articic witn premodifying adjective):

| (modern) Brazil | (industrial) Staffordshire |
| :--- | :--- |
| (west) Scotland | (northern) Arkansas |

Note Argentina but the Argentine, the Ruhr, the Saar, the Sahara, the Ukraine, the Ćrimea, (the) Lebanon, the Midwest; the Everslades (and othei pluial iames, see 4.30).
(c) Cities and towns (normally no article with premodifying word): (downtown) Boston (ancient) Rome (suburban) London
Note The Hague; the Bronx; the City, the West End, the East End (of London).
(d) Lakes:

Lake Windermere
Silver Lake
(e) Mountains:

## Mount Everest Vecuvius

Note the Mount of Olives (cf 4.19).

### 4.28

## Name + common nown

Name + common noun denoting buildings, streets, bridges, etc. There is a regular accentuation pattern as in Hampstead HEATH, except that names ending in Street have the converse: Lamb Street.

| Madison Avenue | Westminster Bridge | Kennedy Airport |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Park Lane | Westminster Abbey | Oxford Street |
| Portland Place | Greenwich Village |  |

Note the Albert Hall, the Mansion House; the Haymarket, the Strand, the Mall (street names in London); the Merrit Parkway, the Pennsylvania Turnpike; (the) London Road as a proper name but only the London road to denote 'the road leading to London'.

## Note

Names of universities where the first part is a place-name can usually have two forms: the University of London (which is the official name) and London University. Universities named after a person have only the latter form: eg: Yale University, Brown University.

### 4.29 <br> Proper nouns with definite article

The difference between an ordinary common noun and a common noun turned name is that the unique reference of the name has been institutionalized, as is made overt in writing by the use of initial capitals. The following structural classification illustrates the use of such proper nouns which retain the phrasal definite article:

## WITHOUT MODIFICATION

## The Guardian

WITH PREMODIFICATION
the Suez Canal
the Ford Foundation

WITH POSTMODIPICATION
the House of Commons
the institute of Psjchiairy the Bay of Biscay

The Times

The Washington Post the British Broadcasting Corporation (the BBC)
the Cambridge College of Arts and Tccínology
the District of Columbia

## ELLIPTED ELEMENTS

The original structure of a proper noun is sometimes unclear when one element has been dropped and the elliptic form has become institutionalized as the full name:
the Tate (Gallery)
the Atlantic (Ocean)
the $M$ ermaid (Theatre)
the (River) Thames

Note
When the ellipted item is a plural or a collective implying plurality, the truncated name is pluralized:
the Canary Islands $\sim$ the Canaries
the Pennine Range (or Chain)~the Pennines

### 4.30

The following classes of proper nouns are used with the definite article:
(a) Plural names
the Netherlands
the Midlands
the Hebrides, the Shetlands, the Bahamas
the Himalayas, the Alps, the Rockies, the Pyrenees
Sn alsn moie generally, the names of woods, families, etc: the Wilsons ( $=$ the Wilson family).
(b) Geographical names

Rivers: the Avon, the Danube, the Euphrates
Seas: the Pacific (Ocean), the Baltic, the Mediterranean Cauals: th: Panama (Canal), the Erie Canal
(c) Public institutions, facilities, etc

Hotels and restaurants: the Grand (Hotel), the ふెavoy, the Hilton Theatres, cinemas, clubs, etc: the Globe, the Athenaeum
Museums, libraries, etc: the Tate, the British Museum, the Huntingdon
Note Drury Lane, Covent Garden.
(d) Newspapers: the Economist, the New York Times, the Observer After genitives and possessives the article is dropped: today's New York Times.
Note that magazines and periodicals normally have the zero article: Language, Life, Time, Punch, New Scientist.

## Number

## Invariable nouns

4.31

The English number system comprises SINGULAR, which denotes 'one', and plural, which denotes 'more than one'. The singular category includes common non-count nouns and proper nouns. Count nouns are variable, occurring with either singular or plural number (boy~boys), or have invariable plural (cattle). Fig 4:1 provides a summary, with relevant section references.


Fig 4:1 Number classes
Note
In addition to singular and plural number, we may distinguish dual number in the case of both, either, and neither (4.5, 4.7,4.94) since they can only be used with reference to two. On reference to three or inore, see 4.9!.

### 4.32

Invariable nouns ending in -s
Note the following classes which take a singular verb, except where otherwise mentioned:
(a) news: The news is bad today
(b) SOME dISEASES: measles, German measles, mumps, rickets, shingles. Some speakers also accept a plural verb.
(c) SUBJECT NAMESIN-ICS (usually with singular verb): classics, linguistics, mathematics, phonetics, etc
(d) sOME GAMES: hilliards, bowls (esp BrE ), darts, dominoes, draughts ( BrE ), checkers (AmE), fives, ninepins
(e) SOME PROPER NOUNS: Algiers, Athens, Brussels, Flanders, Marseilles, Naples, Wales; the United Nations and the United States have a singular verb when considered as units.

## Plural invariable nouns

4.33

SUMMATION PLURALS
Tools and articles of dress consisting of two equal parts which are joined constitute summation plurals. Countability can be imposed by means of $a$ pair of: a pair of scissors. three pnirs of trourers.

| bellows | tongs | pants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| binoculars | tweezers | pyjamas (BrE), |
| pincers | glasses | pajamas (AmE) |
| pliers | spectacles | shorts |
| scales | braces 'BrE) | suspenders |
| scissors | flannels | tights |
| shears | knickers | trousers |

Note
[a] Many of the summation plurals can take the indefinite article, especially with premodification: a garden shears, a curling-tongs, etc (cf zero plurals, 4.43 ff ); obvious treatment as count nouns is not infrequent: several tweezers.
[b] Plural nouns commonly lose the inflection in premodification: a suspender belt.

### 4.34

OTHER 'PLURALIA TANTUM'IN $-S$
Among other 'pluralia tantum' (ie nouns that only occur in the plural), the following nouns end in $-s$. In many cases, however, there are forms without $-s$, sometimes with difference of meaning.

## the Middle Ages

bowels
amends (make every/all possible amends)
annals
the Antipodes
archives
arms ('weapons', an arms depot)
arrears
ashes (but tobacco ash)
auspices
banns (of marriage)
brain(s) ('intellect', he's got good brains, besile a good brain)
clothes (cf cloths, /s/, plural of cloth)
the Commons (the House of Commons)
contents (but the silver content of the coin)
customs (customs duty)
dregs (coffee dregs)
earnings
entrails
fireworks (but he let off a firework)
funds ('money'; but a fund, 'a
source of money')
goods (a goods train)
greens
guts ('bowels'; but cat-gut)
heads (heads or tails?)
holidays (summer holidays, BrE ,
but he's on holiday, he's
taking a holiday in Spain)
ietters (a mau of letuers)
lodgings
looks (he has good looks)
the Lords (the House of Lords) manners
mtans (a пиап of neans)
oats
odds (in betting)
outskirts
pains (take pains)
particulars (note the particulars)
premises ('building')
quarters, headquarters (but the
Latin quarter)
regards (but win his regard)
remains
riches
savings (a savings bank)
spirits ('mood'; but he has a
kindly spirit)
spirits ('alcohol'; but alcohol is a spirit)
stairs (a flight of stairs)
sucis
surroundings
thanks
troops (but a troop of scouts)
tropics (but the Tropic of Cancer) vaiuables
wages (but he earns a good wage) wits (she has her wits about her;
but he has a keen wit)

Note
Cf also pence in 'a few pence', 'tenpence', beside the regular penny ~ pennies.

### 4.35

UNMARKED PLURALS
cattle
people (but regular when=
clergy (but also singular) 'nation')
folk (but also informal folks)
police
vermin
youth (but regular when $=$ 'ycung mar')

## Variable nouns <br> 4.36 <br> Regular plurals

Variable nouns have two forms, singular and plural, the singular being the form listed in dictionaries. The vast majority of nouns are variable in this way and normally the plural ( $-s$ suffix) is fu!ly predictable both in sound and spelling by the same rules as for the $-s$ inflection of verbs (3.5). Spelling creates numerous exceptions, however.
(a) Treatment of $-y$ :

Beside the regular $s p y \sim s p i e s$, there are nouns in $-y$ to which $s$ is added:
with proper nouns: the Kennedys, the two Germanys
after a vowel (except the $u$ of -quy): days, boys, jour::eys
in a few other words such as stand-bys
(b) Nouns of unusual form sometimes pluralize in 's: letter names: dot your i's
numerals: in the 1890's (or, increasingly, 1890s)
abbreviations: two MP's (or, increasingly, MPs)
(c) Nouns in -o have plural in -os, with some exceptions having either uptional or obligatory -cess
Plurals in -os snd-ces:
archipelago, banjo, buffalo, cargo, commando. flamingo, halo, motto, tornado, volcano
Plira!s n nly in -oes:
echo, embargo, hero, Negro, potato, tomato, torpedo, veto

### 4.37

Compounds
Compounds form the plural in different ways, but (c) below is the most usual.
(a) PLURAL IN FIRST ELEMENT
attorney general attorneys general, but more usually as (c)
notary public
passer-by
mother-in-law
grant-in-aid
man-of-war
coat of mail
mouthful
spoonful notaries public passers-by mothers-in-law, but also as (c) informally
grants-in-aid men-of-war coats of mail mouthsful spoonsful \}but also as (c)
(b) PLURAL IN BOTH FIRST AND LAST ELEMENT
gentleman farmer
manservant
woman doctor
gentlemen farmers menservants women doctors
(c) PLURAL in last element (ie normai)
assistant director assistant directors
So also: boy friend, fountain pen, woman-hater, breakdown, grown-up, sit-in, stand-by, take-off, forget-me-not, etc

## Irregular plurals

4.38

Irregular plurals are by definition unpredictable and have to be learned as individual items. In many cases where foreign words are involved, it is of course helpful to know about pluralization in the relevant languages particularly Latin and Greek. Thus, on the pattern of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { analysis } \rightarrow \text { analyses } \\
& \text { ie can infer the coitust p'üals: } \\
& \text { axis } \rightarrow \text { axes } \quad \text { basis } \rightarrow \text { bases } \quad \text { crisis } \rightarrow \text { crises, etc }
\end{aligned}
$$

But we cannot rely on etymological criteria: plurals like areas and villas, for example, do not conform to the Latin pattern (areae, villae).

### 4.39

VOICING + SPIURA
Some nouns which in the singular end in the voiceless fricatives spelled $-t h$ and $-f$ have voiced fricatives in the plural, followed by $/ z /$. In one case the voiceless fricative is /s/ and the plural has /ziz/: house $\sim$ houses.
(a) Nouns in -th

There is no change in spelling.
With a consonant before the $-t$, the plural is regular: berth, birth, length, etc.
With a vowel before the $-t h$, the plural is again often regular, as with cloth, death, faith, moth, but in a few cases the plural has voicing (mouth, path), and in several cases there are both regular and voiced plurals: bath, oath, sheath, truth, wreath, youth.
(b) Nouns in $-f(e)$

Plurals with voicing are spelled -ves.
Regular plural only: belief, chief, cliff, proof, roof, safe.
Voiced plural only: calf, elf, half, knife, leaf, life, loaf, self, sheaf, shelf, thief, wife, wolf.
Both regular and voiced plurals: dwarf, handkerchief, hoof, scarf, wharf.
Note
The painting term still life has a regular plural: still lifes.

### 4.40

MUTATION
Mutation involves a change of vowel in the following seven nouns:

| foot $\sim$ feet | man $\sim$ men | woman $\sim$ women |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tooth $\sim$ teeth | louse $\sim$ lice | $/ \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{I} /$ |  |
| goose $\sim$ geese | mouse $\sim$ mice |  |  |

Note
With woman/women, the pronunciation differs in the first syllable only, while postman postmen, Englishman/-men, etc have no difference in pronunciation at all between singular and plural

### 4.41

THB-EN PLURAL
Tais occurs in three nouns:

| brother | brethren | 3rethren (with mutation) $=$ 'fellow members of <br> a religious society'; otherwise regular brothers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child | children <br> (with vowel change $/ \mathrm{aI} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{I} /$ ) |  |
| ox | oxen |  |

## ZBRO PLURAL

### 4.42

Some nouns have the same spoken and written form in both singular and plural. Note the difference here between, on the one hand, invariable nouns, which are either singular (This music is too loud) or plural (All the cattle are grazing in the field), and, on the other, zero plural nouns, which can be both singular and plural (This sheep looks small; All those sheep are mine).

### 4.43

Animal names
Animal names often have zero plurals. They tend to be used partly by people who are especially concerned with animals, partly when the animals are referred to as game. Where there are two plurals, the zero plural is the more common in contexts of hunting, otc, eg: We caught only a fer.' fish, whereas the regular plural is used to denote different individuals or species: the fishes of the Mediterranean.

### 4.44

The degree of variability with animal names is shown by the following lists:

Regular plural: bird, cow, eagle, hen, rabbit, etc
Usually regular: $e l k, c r a b, d u c k$ (zero only with the wild bird)

Both plyralz: antelope, reindeer, fish, flounder, herring
Usually zero: n'ke, trc:'t, carp, deer, moose
Only zero: grouse, sheep, plaice, salmon

### 4.45

Quantitative nouns
The numeral nouns hundred, thousand, and usually million have zero plurals except when unpremodified; so too dozen, brace, head (of cattle), yoke (rare), gross, stone (BrE weigit).

He always wanted to have hundreds/ihousands of books and he has recently bought four hundred/thousand
Other quantitative and partitive nouns can be treated similarly, though the zero plurals are commoncr in informal or technical usage:

Dozens of glasses; tons of coal
He is six foot/feet (tall)
He bought eight ton(s) of coal
Plura' mias:re exneessicas arn norrall* singu! rized when they premídify (4.33 Note $b$ ): a five-pound note, a ten-second pause.

### 4.46

Nouns in - (e)s
A few nouns in -(e)s can be treated as singular or plural:
He gave one series/two series of lectures
So too species. With certain other nouns such as barracks, gallows, headquarters, means, (steel) works, usage varies; they are sometimes treated as variable nouns with zero plurals, sometimes as 'pluralia tantum' (4.34).

## FOREIGN PLURALS

4.47

Foreign plurals ofter ocuur along with regular plurais. They are commoner in technical usage, whereas the -s plural is more natural in everyday language; thus formulas (general) ~formulat (in mathematics), antennas (general and in electronics) ~antennae (in biology).

Our aim here will be to survey systematically the main types of foreign plurals that are used in present-day English and to consider the extent to which a particular plural form is obligatory or optional. Most (but by no means all) words having a particular foreign plural originated in the language mentioned in the heading.

### 4.48

Nouns in -us (Latin)
The foreign plural is $-i$, as in stimulus $\sim$ stimuli.
Only regular plural (-uses): bonus, campus, chorus, circus, virus, etc Both plurals: cactus, focus, fungus, nucleus, radius, terminus, syllabus Only foreign plural: alunnus, bacillus, locus, stimulus
Note
The usual plurals of corpus and genus are corpora, genera.

### 4.49

Nouns in -a (Latin)
The foreign plural is $-a e$, as in alumna $\sim$ alumnae.
Only regular plural (-as): aiea, arena, dilemma, dip'oma, drama, etc
Qoth relurals: autenna, formula, nobu!a, :cr:'ehra
Only foreign plural: alga, alumna, larva

### 4.50

Nouns in -um (Latin)
The foreign p'ural is $-a$, as in curriculum $\sim$ curricila.
Only regular plural: album, chrysanthemum, museum, etc
Usually regular: forum, stadium, ultimatum
Both plurals: aquarium, medium, memorandum, symposium
Usually foreign plural: curriculum
Only foreign plural: addendum, bacterium, corrigendum, desideratum, erratum, ovum, stratum
Note
Media with reference to press and radio and strata with reference to society are sometimes used informally as singular. In the case of data, reclassification as a singular non-count noun is widespread, and the technical singular datum is rather rare.

### 4.51

Nouns in -ex, -/x (Latin)
The foreign plural is -ices, as in index $\sim$ indices.
Both regular and fureign plurals: apex, index, vortex, appendix, matrix Only foreign plural: codex

### 4.52

Nouns in -/s (Greek)
The foreign plural is -es, as in basis $\sim$ bases.
Regular plural (-ises): metropolis
Foreign plural: analysis, axis, basis, crisis, diagnosis, ellipsis, hypothesis, oasis, parenthesis, synopsis, thesis

### 4.53

Nouns in -on (Greek)
The foreign plural is $-a$, as in criterion $\sim$ criteria.
Only regular plurals: demon, electron, neutron, proton
Chiefly regular: ganglion
Both plurals: automaton
Only foreign plural: criterion, phenomenon

## Note

Informally, criteria and phenomena are sometimes used as singulars.

### 4.54

French nouns
A few nouns in $-e(a) u$ retain the French $-x$ as the spelling of the plural, beside the commoner - $c$, bit the plurals are almost always nronouncer as regular, $|z|$, irrespective of spelling, eg: adieu, bureau, tableau, plateau.

### 4.55

Some French nouns in -s or $-x$ are pronounced with a final vowel in the singular and with a regular $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in the plural. with no spelling change: chamois, chassis, corps, faux pas, patois.

### 4.56

Nouns in -o (Italian)
The foreign plural is $-i$ as in tempo $\sim$ tempi
Only regular plural: soprano
Usually regular plural: virtuoso, libretto, solo, tempo

## Note

Graffit is usually a 'pluralia tantum' (4.34), confetti, spaghetti non-count singular.

### 4.57

Hebrew nouns
The foreign plural is -im, as in kibbutz $\sim$ kibbutzim.
Usually regular: cherub, seraph
Only foreign plural: kibbutz

## Gender

4.58

English makes very few gender distinctions. Where they are made, the connection between the biological category 'sex' and the grammatical category 'gender' is very close, insofar as natural sex distinctions determine English gender distinctions.

It is further typical of English that special suffixus are not generally used to mark gender distinctions. Nor are gender distinctions made in the article. Some pronouns are gender-sensitive (the personal he, she, it, and the relative who, which), but others are not (they, some, these, etc). The patterns of pronoun substitutions fc : singular nouns give us a set of ten gender classes as illustrated in Fig 4:2.


Fig 4:'z Gender classes

### 4.59

## [A/B] Personal masculine/feminine nouns

These nouns are of two types. Type (i) has no overt marking that suggests morphological correspondence between masculine and feminine, whereas in Type (ii) the two gender forms have a derivational relationship (cf App I.14).

| (i) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| morphologically | bachelor | spinster | king | queen |
| unmarked for | brother | sister | man | woman |
| gender | father | mother | monk | nun |
|  | gentleman | lady | uncle | aunt |

(ii)

| morphologically | bridegroom | brije | host | hostess |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| marked for | duke | duchess | steward | stewardess |
| gender | emperor | empress | waiter | waitress |
|  | god | goddess | widower | widow |
|  | hero | heroine | usher | usherette |

Some masculine/feminine pairs denoting kinship have common (dual) generic terms, for example, parent for father/mother, and child for son/ daughter as well as for boy/girl. Some optional feminine forms (poetess, authoress, etc) are now rare, being replaced by the dual gender forms (poet, author, etc).

### 4.60

## [C] Personal dual gender

This is a large class including, for example, the following:

| artist | fool | musician | servant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chairman | foreigner | neighbour | speaker |
| cook | friond | novelist | student |
| criminal | guest | parent | teacher |
| doctor | inhabitant | person | writer |
| enemy | librarian | professor |  |

For clarity, it is sometimes necessary to use a 'gender marker':

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { boy friend } & \text { girl friend } \\
\end{array}
$$

The dual class is on the increase, but the expectation that a given activity is largely male or female dictates the frequent use of sex markers: thus $a$ nurse, but a male nurse; an engineer but a woman engineer.

## Note

Where such nouns are used generically, neither gender is relevant though a masculine reference pronoun may be used (cf 7.30):

If any student calls, tell hir. I'll be back socn
When they are usd with specific reference, they must of course be either masculine or feminine and the context may clearly imply the gender in a given case:
I met a (handsome) student (and he . . .)
I met a (beautiful) student (and she . . .).

### 4.61

## [D] Common gender

Common gender nouns are intermediate between personal and non-personal. The wide selection of pronouns (who, he/she/it) should not be
understood to mean that aii these are possible for all nouns in all contexts. A mother is not likely to refer to her baby as $i t$, but it would be quite possible for somebody who is not emotionally concerned with the child or is ignorant of or indifferent to its sex. Cf 4.63.

### 4.62

[E] Collective nouns
These differ from other nouns in taking as pronoun substitutes either singular ( $i t$ ) or plural (they) without change of number in the noun (the army ~it/they; cf: the armies $\sim$ they): cf 7.20. Consequently, the verb may be in the plural after a singular noun (though less commonly in AmE than in BrE ):

Tue conumitee $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { has } \\ \text { have }\end{array}\right]$ met anu $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { it has } \\ \text { they have }\end{array}\right]$ rejected the proposai
The difference reflects a difference in attitude: the singular stresses the non-personal collectivity of the group and the plural the personal individuality within the group.

We may distinguish three subclasses of collective nouns:
(a) SPECIPIC: army, clan, class, club, committee, crew, crowd, family, flock, gang, government, group, herd, jury, majority, minority
(b) GENERIC: the aristocracy, the bourgeoisie, the clergy, the elite, the gentry, the intelligentsia, the laity, the proletariat, the public
(c) unique: the Arab League, (the) Congress, the Kremlin, the Papacy, Parliament, the United Nations, the United States, the Vatican

### 4.63

## [F/G] Higher animals

Gender in higher animals is chiefly observed by people with a special concern (eg with pets).

| buck | doe | gander | goose |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bull | cow | lion | lioness |
| cock | hen | stallion | mare |
| dog | b:tch | tiger | tigress |

A further class might be set up, 'common higher animals', patterning with which - it, ( ?who) - he/she, to account for horse, cat, tiger, etc, when Iu sex distinction is made or known. In such cases, he is more usual than she.

### 4.64

[H] Higher organisms
Names of countries have different gender depending on their use. (i) As geographical units they are treated as [J], inanimate: 'Looking at the map we see France nere. It is one of the largest countries of Europe.' (ii) As political/economic units the names of countries are often feminine, $[\mathrm{B}]$ or [G]: 'Fraince has been able to increase her exports by 10 per cent over the last six months." England is proud of her poets." (iii) Esp. in BrE, sports teams representing countries can be referred to as personal collective nouns, $[\mathrm{E}]$ : 'France have improved their chance of winning the cup.
The gender class [ H ] is set up to embrace these characteristics, and in it we may place ships and other entities towards which an affectionate attitude is expressed by a personal substitute:
What a tuis'y shiap. What io she called?

The proud owner of a sports car may refer to it as she (or perhaps as he if the owner is female).

### 4.65

## [I/J] Lower animals and inanimate nouns

Lower animals do not differ from inanimate nouns in terms of our present linguistic cr:teria; ie beth snake and $b \times x$ have which and it as pronouns. Sex differences can, however, be indicated by a range of gender markers for any animate noun when they are felt to be relevant: eg: she-goat, he-goat, male frog, hen-pheasant.

## Case <br> 4.66

Common/genitive case
As distinct from personal pronouns (4.79), English nouns have a two-case system: the unmarked common Case (boy) and the marked genitive CASE (boy's). Since the functions of the common case can be seen only in the syntactic relations of the noun phrase (subject, object, etc), it is the functions of the genitive that need separate scrutiny.

The forms of the genitive inflection
4.67

The -s genitive of regular nouns is realized in speech only in the singular, where it takes one of the forms $/ \mathrm{zz} /,|\mathrm{z} /|$,$\mathrm{s} / , following the rules for s$ inflection (3.5). In writing, the inflection of regular nouns is realized in the singular by -' $s$ and in the plural by putting an apostrophe after the plural $s$.
As a result, the spoken form/sparz/ may be related to the noun spy as follows:

The spies were arrested
The spy's companion was a woman
The spies' companions were women in each case
(It could of couse also be the $s$ form of the verb as in ' $\mathrm{He} s_{Y}^{-}$ies c a behalf of an industrial firm'.) By contrast, an irregular noun like man preserves a number distinction independently of g=nitive singular and genitive plural distinctions: man, men, man's, men's.
Note
In postmodified noun phrases, there can be a difference between the genitive and plural because of the different location of the inflection (4.74):
The palace was the King of Denmark
They praised the Kings of Denmark

### 4.68

In addition to its use with regular plurals, the 'zero' genitive occurs
(a) with Greek names of more than one syllable, as in Euripides'/-diz/ plays!
(b) with many othor saines ending in, $/ \mathrm{z} /$ where, in speech, zero is a variant of the regulai $/ \mathrm{Iz} /$ genitive. There is vacillation both in the pronunciation and spelling of these names, but most commnnly the pronunciation is the /Iz/ form and the spelling an apostrophe only. Thus Burns' (or, less commonly, Burns's), is pronounced, irrespective of the spelling, /zuz/ (or $/ \mathrm{z} /$ );
(c) with fixed expressions of the form for . . . sake as in for goodness' sake /s/, for conscience' sake /s/.

### 4.69

## Two genitives

In many instances there is a functional similarity (indeed, semantic identity) between a noun in the genitive case and the same noun as head of a prepositional phrase with $o f$. We refer to the -s genitive for the inflection and to the OF-GENITIVE for the prepositional form. For example:

## What is the ship's name?

What is the name of the s.hip?
Although as we shall see ( $4.71 f$ ) there are usually compelling reasons for preferring one or other construction in a given case, and numerous environments in which only one construction is grammatically acceptable, the degree of similarity and overlap has led grammarians to regard the two constructions as variant forms of the genitive.
4.70

## Genitive meanings

The meanings of the genitive can best be shown by sentential or phrasal analogues such as we present below. For comparison, a corresponding use of the of-genitive is given where this is possible.

| GENITIVES | ANALOGUES |
| :--- | :--- |
| (a) possessive genitive <br> my son's wife |  |
| Mrs Johnson's passport <br> of the gravity of the earth | My son has a wife <br> the earth has gravity |


| (b) subjective genitive |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| the Luy's appoiluaion | the boy apelie? |
| his parents' consent | his parents consented |
| cf the rise of the sun | the sun rose |

(c) objective genitive

| the family's support | (...) supports the family |
| :--- | :--- |
| the boy's reiease | (...) released îie buy |

of a statement of the facts (....) stated the facts

| (d) genitive of origin <br> the girl's story <br> the general's letter <br> of the wines of France | the girl told a story <br> the general wrote a letter |
| :--- | :--- |
| (e) descriptive genitive  <br> a women's college a college for women <br> $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { a doctor's degree } \\ \text { cf the degree of doctor }\end{array}\right\}$ a summer day/a day in the summe |  |

(f) genitive
partitive genitive
ten days' absence $\} \quad$ the absence lasted ten days an absence of $t \in n$ days $\}$
the height of the tower the tower is (of) a certain height part of the problem the problem is divisible into parts
(g) appositive genitive
the city of York
York is a city
the pleasure of meeting you
meeting you is a pleasure

## Note

Except for temporal measure, the -s genitive is now only rarely found with mean ings ( f ) and (g), but cf: the earth's circumference, journey's end, Dublin's fair city.

### 4.71

## The choice of genitives

The semantic classification in 4.70 is in part arbitrary. For example, we could claim that cow's milk is not a genitive of origin but a descriptive genitive ('the kind of milk obtained from a cow') or even a subjective genitive ('the cow provided the milk'). For this reason, meanings and sentential analogues can provide only inconclusive help in choosing between $-s$ and of-genitive use.
The choice can be more securely related to the gender classes represented by the noun which is to be genitive. Generally speaking, the $-\varepsilon$ genitive is favoured by the classes that are highest on the gender scale (see Fig 4:2), ie animate nouns, in particular persons and animals with personal gender characteristics. Although we can say either the yo!ngest children's toys or the toys of the youngest children, the two forms of the genitive are not normally in free variation. We cannot say, for example, *the door's knob or * the hat of John.
Relating this fact to 4.70 , we may infer that the possessive use is especially associated with the $-s$ genitive and that this is because we think of 'possession' chiefly in terms of our own species. $\mathrm{I}^{\dagger}$ is possible to see the partitive gentive at the opposite pole on comparable grounds: the disallowance of the $-s$ genitive matches the irrelevance of the gender of a noun which is meroly t-in $\tilde{0}$ measured or dissected.
A further factor influencing the choice of genitive is information focus, the-s genitive enabling us to give end-focus to one noun, the of-genitive to another ( $c f .14 .2$ ). Compare the following:
(a) The explosion damaged the ship's funnel
(b) Having looked at all the funnels, he considered that the most handsome was the funnel of the Orion

This principle is congruent again with the preference for the of-genitive with partitives and appositives where an -s genitive would result in undesirable or absurd final prominence: *the problem's part.
Note
The relevance of gender is shown also in the fact that the indefinite pronouns with personal reference (4.58) admit the -s genitive while those with non-personal reference do not: someone's shadow, "something's shadow.

### 4.72

## Choice of $-s$ genitive

The following four animate noun clacses normally take the -s genitive:
(a) PERSONAL NAMES: Segovia's pupil

George Washington's statue
(b) PERSONAL NOUNS: the boy's new shirt my sister-in-law's pencil
(c) Collective nouns: the government's conviction the nation's social security
(d) higher animals: the horse's tail the lion's hunger
The inflected genitive is also used with certain kinds of inanimate nouns:
(e) Geographical and institutional names:

| Europe's future | the school's history |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maryland's Democratic | London's water supply |

Maryland's Democratic Senator
(f) TEMPCPAL NOUNS a moment's thought the theatre season's first big event
(g) nouns of special interest to human activity the 'rain's total soiid weight the mind's general development the game's history science's influence

### 4.73

## Choice of the of-genitive

The of-genitive is chiefly used with nouns that belong to the bottom part of the gender scale (4.58), that is, especially with inanimate nouns: the title of the book, the interior of the room. In these two examples, an -s genitive would be fully acceptable, but in many instances this is not so: the hub of the wheel, the windows of the houses. Related no doubt to the point made about information focus (4.71), however, the corresponding personal pronouns would normally have the inflected genitive: its hub, their windows.
In measure, partitive, and appositive expressions, the of-genitive is the usual form except for temporal measurs (a month's rest) and in idioms such as his money's worth, at arm's length. Cf 4.70 Note.
Again, where the of-genitive would normally be used, instances are found with the inflected form in newspaper headlines, perhaps for reasons of space economy:
fire at ucla: institutb's roof damagbd
where the subsequent news item might begin: 'The roof of a science institute on the campus was damaged last night as fire swept through . . .'

Note
On the other hand, beside the regular -s genitive in John's life, the child's life, the idiom for the life of me/him requires both the of-genitive and a pronoun,

### 4.74

## The group genitive

In some postmodified noun phrases it is possible to use an $-s$ genitive by affixing the inflection to the final part of the postmodification rather than to the head noun itself. Thus:

## the teacher's room

the teacher of music's room


This 'group genitive' is regularly used with such postmodifications as in someone else's house, the heir apparent's name, as well as prepositional phrases. Other examples involve coordinations: an hour and a half's discussion, a week or so's sunshine. The group genitive is not normally acceptable following a clause, though in colloquial use one sometimes hears examples like:

Old man what-do-you-call-him's house has been painted
?A man I know's son has just been arrested
In normal use, especially in writing, such $-s$ genitives would be replaced by of-genitives:

The son of a man I know has just been ariested

## The genitive with ellipsis

4.75

The noun modified by the -s genitive may be omitted if the context makes its identity clear:

My car is faster than Juhn's (ie: than John's car)
His memory is like an elephant's
John's is a nice car, too

With the of-genitive in comparable environments, a pronoun is normally necessary:

The population of New York is greater than that of Chicago
4.76

Ellipsis is especially noteworthy in expressions relating to premises or establishments:

I shall be at Bill's
Here Bill's would normally mean 'where Bill lives', even though the hearer might not know whether the appropriate head would be house, apartment, flat, digs (BrE); 'lives' is important, however, and hotel room

I shall be at the dentist's
would refer to the dentist's professional establishment and the same applies to proper names where these refer to commercial firms. It would not be absuru to white:

## I shall be at Harrod's/Foyle's/Macy's

This usage is normal also in relation to small 'one-man' businesses: I buy my meat at Johnson's.
With large businesses, however, their complexity and in some sense 'plurality' cause interpretation of the $-s$ ending as the plural inflection, and the genitive meaning - if it survives - is expressed in writing by moving the apostrophe (at Macys'). On the other hand, conflict between plurality and the idea of a business as a collective unity results in vacillation in concord:

Harrods is/are very good for clothes

### 4.77

## Double genitive

An $\sigma_{j}$-genitive car becombined with an-s genitive in a construction called the 'double genitive'. The noun with the $-s$ genitive inflection must be both definite and personal:

An opera of Verdi's An opera of my friend's
but not:
*A sonata of a violinist's *A funnel of the ship's

There are conditions which also affect the noun preceding the of-phrase. This cannot be a proper noun; thus while we have:

Mrs Brown's Mary
we cannot have:
*Mary of Mrs Brown *Mary of Mrs Brown's

Further, this noun must have indefinite reference: that is, it must be seen as one of an unspecified number of items attributed to the postmodifier:

A friend of the doctor's has arrived
*The daughter of Mrs Brown's has arrived
A daughter of Mrs Brown's has arrived
Any daughter of Mrs Brown's is welcome
*The War Requiem of Britten's
The double genitive thus involves a partitive (4.70) as one of its components: 'one of the doctor's friends' (he has more than one) and hence not '*one of Britten's War Requiem'. Yet we are able, in apparent defiance of this siatcmeri, to use demonstrátives as fellowis:

## That wife of mine

This War Requiem of Britten's
In these instances, which always presuppose familiarity, the demonstratives are not being used in a directly defining role; rather, one might think of them as having an ellipted generic which allows us to see wife and War Requiem appositively as members of a class of objects: 'This instance of Britten's works, namely, War Requiem'.

## Note

So too when 'A daughter of Mrs Brown's' is already established in the linguistic context, we could refer to 'The/That daughter of Mrs Brown's (that I mentioned)'.

## Pronouns

4.78

Pronouns constitute a heterogeneous class of items (see Fig 4:3) with numerous subclasses. Despite their variety, there are several features that prenouns (or major subclasses of pronouns) have in common, which distinguish them from nouns:
(1) They do not admit determiners (but $c f 4.96$ );
(2) They often have an objective case: 4.79 ;
(3) They often have person disisinction: 4.80;
(4) They often have overt gender contrast: 4.31 ;
(5) Singular and plural forms are often not morphologically related.

We can broadly distinguish between items with specific reference (4.8390 ) and thase with more indefinite reference (4.91-97).


## Fig 4:3 Pronouns

Note
Many of the items dealt with here have an alternative (this, which) or exclusive ( $m$, her) determiner functicn. The inisrrelaticas make it convenient, however, to bring them together.

### 4.79

## Case

Like nouns, most pronouns in English have only two cases: common (somebody) and GENITIVE (somebody's). But six pronouns have an objective case, thus presenting a three-case system, where common case is replaced by subjective and objective. There is identity between genitive and objective her, and partial overlap between subjective who and objective who (see 4.88). The genitives of personal pronouns are, in accordance with grammatical tradition and a primary meaning (4.70), called 'possessive pronouns'.

| subjective | $I$ | we | he | sine they | who |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| objective | $m e$ | us | him | her them | who $(m)$ |
| genitive | $m y$ | our | his | her their | whose |

There is no inflected or -s genitive with the demonstratives or with the indefinites except those in -one, -body.

### 4.80

Person
Personal, possessive, and reflexive pronouns (Table 4:1) have distinctions of person:

1st person refers to the speaker $(I)$, or to the speaker and one or more others (we);
2nd person refers to the person(s) addressed (you);
3rd person refers to one or more other persons or things (he/she/it, they).

Table 4:1
PERSONAL, RBFLEXIVE, POSSBSSIVB PRONOUNS

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRRS } \\ & \text { PRON } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONAL } \\ & \text { OUNS } \end{aligned}$ | REFLEX- <br> IVE PRO- | pesse <br> PRON | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SSIVE } \\ & \text { OUNS } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | subj case | $\begin{aligned} & \text { obj } \\ & \text { case } \end{aligned}$ |  | determiner function | nominal function |
| 1st <br> pers | sing |  | I | me | myself | my | mine |
|  | pl |  | we | us | ourselves | our | ours |
| 2nd pers | sing |  | you |  | ynurse.'f | your | yours |
|  | pl |  |  |  | yourselves |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3rd } \\ & \text { pers } \end{aligned}$ | sing | masc | he | hi.n | hinself | his |  |
|  |  | fem | she | her | herself | her | hers |
|  |  | nonpersonal | it |  | itself | its |  |
|  |  |  | they | them | themselves | their | theirs |

Note
Both 2nd person you and 3rd person they have an indefimite usage: 4.96 and Note.

### 4.81

## Gender

In 3rd person singular, the personal, reflexive, and possessive pronouns distinguish in gender between masculine (he/him/himself/his), feminine (she/her/herself/hers), and non-personal (it/itself/its). Relative and interrogative pronouns and determiners distinguish between personal and nor-personal gender: see 4.89

### 4.82

## Number

The 2nd person uses a common form for singular and plural in the personal and possessive series but has a separate plural in the reflexive (yourself, yourselves). We, the 1st person plural pronoun, does not denote ' more than I' (cf: the boy~the boys) but 'I plus one or more others'. There is thus an interrelation between number and person. We may ex clude the person(s) addressed:

Are we [John and I] late, Mary? (ie 3rd + 1st)
('Yes, you are')
or it may be inclusive:
Are we [you and I] late, Mary? (ie 2nd + 1st)
('Yes, we are')
Are we [you, John, and I] late, Mary? (ie $2 \mathrm{nd}+3 \mathrm{rd}+1 \mathrm{st}$ )
See further 4.84 .
Note
In severa! dialects, and fairly generally in familiar AmF, there are devices for indicating plural you: you all (Sthn AmE), you guys, etc.

### 4.83

?".sona': proi.c in.s
The relation of personal to reflexive and possessive pronouns is shown in Table 4:1. Personal pronouns function as replacements for co-referential noun phrases in neighbouring (usually preceding) clauses:

John waited a while but eventually he went home
John told Mary that she should wait for him
When John arrived, he went straight to the bank
When a suoordinate clause precedis the main as in this last example, the pronoun may anticipate its determining co-referent:

When he arrived, John went straight to the bank
The personal pronouns have two sets of case-forms. The subjective forms are used as subjects of finite verbs and often as subject complement:
$H e$ hoped the passenger would be Mary and indeed it was she
The objective forms are used as objects, and as prepositional complements. Especially in informal usage, they also occur as subject complements and as the subject (chiefly lst person) of sentences whose predicates have been ellipted:

I saw her with thems at least, I thought it was her
A: Who broke the vase? B: Me.

## Reflexive pronouns

4.84

Reflexive pronouns replace a co-referential noun phrase, normally within the same finite verb clause:

John has hurt himself
Mary intended to remind herself
The rabbit tore itself free

Mary told John that she would look after $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { herself } \\ \text { him } \\ \text { *himself }\end{array}\right.$
When a mixture of persons is involved, the reflexive conforms to a 1st person or, if there is no 1 st person, to a 2 nd person:

You, John and I mustn't deceive ourselves
You and John mustn't deceive yourselves
The indefinite one (4.96) has its own reflexive as in 'One mustn't fool oneself', but other indefinites use himself or themselves:

No one must focl himself

### 4.85

In prepositional phrases expressing spatial relationship, usually between concretes, the personal pronouns are used despite co-reference with the subject:

He looked about him
Have ynu anv money nn voii?
She had her fiancé beside her
They placed their papers in front of them
But reflexive pronouns are often preferred when the refereuce is metaphorical and emotive; in the following example, the reflexive is obligatory:
She was beside herself with rage
There are however non-metaphorical examples in which there is considerable vacillation:

She felt within her (self) the stirring limbs of the unborn child
Holding a yellow bathrobe around her(self), she walked up to him
In variation with personal pronouns, reflexives often occur after as, like, but, cxcept, and in coordinated phrases:
For somebody like $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { me } \\ \text { myself }\end{array}\right\}$ this is a big surprise
My brother and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \text { myself }\end{array}\right\}$ went sailing yesterday
In a related but emphatic usage, reflexives occur in apposition, with positional mobility:

I've never been there myself
I myself have never been there
I have never myself been there
4.86

Reciprocal pronouns
We can bring together two sentences such as
John likes Mary Mary likes John
with a reciprocal structure somewhat similar to a reflexive:

$$
\text { John and Mary like }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { each other } \\
\text { one another }
\end{array}\right.
$$

In this example, with two antecedents, each other would be commoner, but where more than two are involved, one another is often preferred:
The four children are fond of one another
He put all the books beside one another
The reciprocn! proncuas cá t freely used in the genitive.
The students borrowed each other's notes

### 4.87

## Possessive pronouns

The posscssive pronouns combine genitive functicas (?s described for nouns with the $-s$ genitive, 4.70 ff ) with pronominal functions. In the latter respect, the co-referential item they replace may be in the same clause (as with reflexives) or a neighbouring one (as with the personal pronouns):
John has cut his finger; apparently there was a broken glass on his desk
The possessives belong to two series: the attributives ( $m y$, your, etc, which are syntactically determiners: 4.5 ) and the nominals (mine, yours etc, which are used like the genitive with ellipsis: 4.75). Compare

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Mary's } \\
\text { Her }
\end{array}\right\} \text { book } \quad \text { The book is }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Mary's } \\
\text { hors }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Unlike many other languages, English uses possessives with reference to parts of the body and personal belongings, as well as in several other ex pressious:

He stood at the door with his hat in his hand
Mary has broken her leg
Don't lose your balance!
They have changed their minds again!
The definite article is, however, usual in prepositional phrases related to the object, or, in passive constructions, the subject:

She took me by the hand
Somebody must have hit me on the head with a hammer
I must have been hit on the head with a hammer

### 4.88

Relative pronouns
The functions and interrelations of the relative pronouns are best handled in connection with relative clauses $(13.8 f)$ and nominal relative clauses (11.16). Here we need only tabulate an inventory of the items, none of which shows number distinction.
(a) The wh-series reflects the gender (personal/non-personal) of the antecedent:
personal: who, whom, whose
non-personal: which, whose

There is an inflected genitive (used as a relative determiner: 'the man whose daughter') for both who and which, but there is a preference for the of-genitive (of which) with non-personal antecedents. The personal objective whom is often replaced by who but never when preceded by a preposition. For nominal relative clauses, there is the personal whoever and the non-personal pronoun and determiner which(ever); in addition there is a nominal relative pronoun and determiner what(ever): 'What(ever) (monev) I have you can borrow'.
(b) That is a general purpose relative pronoun, used irrespective of gender or case excepi that thu genitive must involve postposed of: 'the knife that I broke the blade of' (informal).
(c) Zero is used identically to that except that it is unacceptable where the relative pronoun is subject in its clause:

## The pen I want is missing <br> *The pen writes best is missing

4.89

## Interrogative pronouns

The interrogatives are identical in form and in case relations with the relative pronouns, but in addition to the basic difference between interrogative and relative there are functional differences in detail.
(a) Inierrcgative Cieierminers
personal: whose
personal or non-personal: which, what
(b) Interrogative pronouns
personal: who, whom, whose
non-personal: what
personal or non-personal: which
Whether as pionouns c: determiaers, which and what have a constant relationship to each other with respect to definiteness (4.20); what has indefinite reference and which has definite reference:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Which } \\
\text { What }
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { girls } \\
\text { books }
\end{array}\right\} \text { do you like best? }
$$

Which here implies that the choice is made from a limited number of known girls or books, whereas wi.at inplies a choice from an indefinite number of girls or books, not previously specified. Moreover, the answer to a which-question would probably be more specific than the answer to a what-question. Like many other determiners (eg: both and all), which has an alternative of-rhrase construction:

$$
\text { Which (of the) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { girls } \\
\text { books }
\end{array}\right\} \text { do you like best? }
$$

### 4.90

## Demonstrative proncuns

The demonstratives have number contrast and can function boih as determiners and pronouns. The general meanings of the two sets can be stated as 'near' and 'distant' reference:

| 'near' reference: | singular <br> this | plural <br> t!! es |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 'distant' reference: | that | those |

In this respect. they match the pairs her $\sim$ thern, now/ther:, and, as :.ith these, the relative immediacy and relative remoteness operates both literally and metaphorically:
I like these (pictures, which are near me) better than those (pictures, over there on the far side)
I like this (idea that you've just mentioned) better than that (other one that you wrote to me about last year)
I will tell you this secret [forward or cataphoric reference] because you kept that other one [back or anaphoric reference] so faithfully
By further metaphorical extension, we have $t^{\prime}: i s /$ these used to connote interest and familiarity in informa! style ('Then I saw, away in the distance, this lovely girl, dud . . '). Tnere can be a corresponding emotive rejection implied in that/those ('Here is that awful Jones and those children of his'). As subject, pronouns may have personal or non-personal reference:

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { This/That girl' } \\
\text { This/That }
\end{array}\right\} \text { is Mary } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { This/That pen } \\
\text { This/That }
\end{array}\right\} \text { is mine }
$$

In other than subject function, pronoun reference is non-personal:

$$
\text { He is going to marry }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \text { this girl } } \\
{ \text { *this } }
\end{array} \quad \text { I bought } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { this picture } \\
\text { this }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

As relative antecedent, that/those can appear in formal use but there is no contrast with this/these, and only those can have personal reference:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { that which was expensive (rare) } \\
\text { that who danced well } \\
\text { those which were expensive } \\
\text { those who danced well }
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 4.91

## Universal pronouns and determiners

The universal pronouns and determiners comprise each, all, every, and the every compounds. Two have -s genitives: everyone's, everybody's. Despite their singular form, the compounds have collective reference, and a! ong with every they entail reference to a number of three or (usually) more. Each entails reference to two or more, and has individual reference. Thus:

There were two boys who called and I gave an apple to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { each } \\ \text { *everybody }\end{array}\right.$
There were seven boys who called and I gave an apple to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { each } \\ \text { everybody }\end{array}\right.$
There is, however, a meaning difference between each and everybody. Each refers to individuals already specified, whereas everybody does not:
I walked into the room and gave an app.' to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { each } \\ \text { everybody }\end{array}\right.$
Every one, each (one), and all have of-constructions; and except all, these pronouns can have a singular or plural pronoun for co-reference:


Every can also be used with plural expressions such as every two weeks, every few months, and there is a universal place compound everywhere as in Everywhere looks beautiful in the spring.

Nute aiso be used in refertnie to non-persunai divisitle cuunt nours
I have started the book but I haven't read it all

### 4.92

## Partitive pronouns

Parallel to the universal pronouns, we have three sets of partitive pronouns with associated determiners: see Table 4:2. Their use can be illustrated as follows:

He saw something/some material
Did he see anything/any material?
He saw nothing/no material

As well as their use with plurals and non-count nouns (4.5). the determiners some and any can be used with singular count nouns when they are stressed. Some is frequently followed by or other:

Any apology will satisfy them
There was some ${ }^{\prime}$ Borok (or other) published on the subject last yeall Note
[a] In familiar style, the stressed some means 'extraordinary':
That's 'some pغ̀n you have there!
[b] We should note the partitive place compounds as in He went somewhere, Did he go anywhere?, He went nowhere.
Table 4:2
universal and partitive pronouns and determiners


### 4.93

Non-assertive usage
The contexts which require the any series or 'non-assertive' forms (cf 7.35) chiefly involve
(a) the negatives nc:, never, no, neither, nor;
(b) the 'incomplete negatives' hardly, little, few, least, seldom, etc;
(c) the 'implied negatives' before; fail, prevent; reluctant, hard, difficult, etc; and comparisons with too;
(d) questions and conditions.

Although the main markers of non-assertion are negative, interrogative, and conditional clauses, it is the basic meaning of the whole sentence which ultimately determines the choice of the some or the any series. For e:amnle, in the sertence

Freud contributed more than anyone to the understanding of dreams
the use of the non-assertive anyone is related to the fact that the basic meaning is uegative, as appears in the paraphrase

Nobody contributed more to the understanding of dreams than Freud
Conversely, some is often used in negative, interrogative, or conditional sentences, when the basic meaning is assertive ('positive orientation', see 7.46):

Did $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { somebody } \\ \text { anybody }\end{array}\right\}$ telephone last night?
The difference between these last two can be explained in terms of different presuppositions: somebody suggests that the speaker expected a telephone call, whereas anybody does not. In making an invitation or an offer, it is for the same reason polite to presuppose an acceptance:

## Would you like some wine?

Note
The following examples further illustrate the use of the some series in superficially non-assertive contexts:
If someone were to drop a match here, the house would be on fire in two minutes But what if somebody decides to break the rules?
Will somebody please open the door?
Why don't you do something else?
Conversely, the any series is used with stress (cf4.92) in superficially assertive sentences with the special meaning of 'no matter who, no :"tatter what':
He will eat anything

Anyone interested in addressing the meeting should let us know Any offer would be better than this
You must marry sठmenene - and you mustn't marry just anyone

### 4.94

Either, neither, and the negatives
Among the partitive pronouns, the relationship between either, neither, and none is similar to that between each, every, and none among the universal pronouns. Both as pronouns and as determiners, either and neither have in fact a strictly dual reference. Compare :
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { None (of the }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { many } \\ \text { thirty }\end{array}\right\}\end{array}\right\}$ students) $\}$ failed the exam

### 4.95

## Quantifiers

The general quastifers usid prowominally are (a) the 'multal' many and much, (b) the 'paucal' few and little, and (c) several and enough. Their use in respect to count and non-rount reference natches the position outlined in connection with their determiner function: 4.13.

## Numerals

4.96

The uses of one
(a) numbrical one when used with animate and inanimate singular count nouns is a stressed variant of the indefinite article $a(n)$. It is in contrast with the dual two and both and the plural numerals three, four, etc; several, and indefinite some. It has similar contrasts when used pronominally:
I need $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a nail } \\ \text { one }\end{array} \sim\right.$ I need $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { some nails } \\ \text { some }\end{array}\right.$
$\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { (The) one } \\ \text { A }\end{array}\right\}$ boy/pen $\sim$ One of the boys/pens
(The) one is also in contrast with the other in the correlative construction:
One went this way, the other that way
Note that there is a somewhat formal or old-fashioned use of one meaning 'a certain' before personal proper names:
I remember one Charlie Brown at school
(b) REPLACIVE ONE is iseu as an anaphoric substitute for a singular or plural count noun. It has the sir.gular form one and the plural ones. Replacive one can take determiners and modifiers (though not usually possessives or plural demonstratives):

A: I am looking for a particular book on syntax.
B : Is this the one you mean? (=Is this it ?)
A. Yes, I'd like a drink, but just a small one.

B: I thought you preferred large ones.
It is modified by the $-s$ genitive in preference to the of-genitive, in sharp contrast to the demonstratives which can take only the ofgenitive; compare:

I prefer John's car to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { his employer's one } \\ \text { that of his emplnyer }\end{array}\right.$
(c) INDEFINITEONE means 'people in general', implying inclusion of the speaker. This use of one is chiefly formal and is often replaced by the more informal you:
One would
You'd $\}$ think they ryould rur a later bue then that!
Indefinite one has the genitive one's and the reflexive oneself. In AmE, repetition of co-referential one is characteristically formal, he or (informally) you being preferred instead:
One can't be too careful, can $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { one } ? \\ \text { you? }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

The corresponding indefinite which implies exclusion of the speaker is they: 'They say (=it is said that) they (=some relevant unspecified people) are going to dig up our street next month.'

### 4.97

Cardinals and rerdinals
The system of cardinal (one, two, etc) and ordinal (first, second, etc) numerals will be clear from the following list. Both types can function pronominally or as premodifiers, except that nought occurs chiefly as the name of the numeral, being replaced by the determiner no or the pronoun none in general use. With hundred, thousand, million, the indefinite article often replaces one. Pronominally, the ordinals are preceded by an article (Today is the fourth of July) and resemble superlatives with ellipted heads: of 5.8.

```
    0 nought, zero
    1 one
2 two
3 three
4 four
5 five
6 six
7 seven
8 \text { eight}
nine
9 nine
10 ten
11 eleven
2 twelve
13 thirtcen
4}\mathrm{ fourteen
15 fifteen
16 sixteen
1/ seventeen
18 eighteen
19 nineteen
20 twenty
twenty-one, etc
30 thirty
40 forty
50 fifty
60 sixty
7 0 \text { seventy}
80 eighty
0 ninety
00 one hundred
one hundred
01 one hundred and one, etc
1,000 one thousand
100,000 one hundred thousand
1,000,000 one million
```

[^1]
## Bibliographical note

For theoretical discussion of nouns and noun phrases, see Sørensen (1958); Bach (1968). On reference and the articles, see Christophersen (1939); Robbins (1968). On relevant transformational studies, see Stockwell et al (1973), Ch 3, 4, 11.
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## ADJECTIVES AND ADVERBS

## Chararteristics of the adjective

5.1

Ve zumaci tull wheiheía a word is an adjuctive by ivoking aititin isolatiou: the form does not necessarily indicate its syntactic function. Some suffixes are indeed found only with adjectives, eg: -ous (App I.20), Uut many common adjectives have no identifying shape, eg: good, hot, little, young, fat. Nor can we identify a word as an adjective merely by considering what in.耳ecticns or affives it will alic:v. It is tuue that meny adjectives infleet for the comparative and superlative, eg: great, greater, greatest. But many do not allow inflected forms, eg: disastrous, * disastrouser, ${ }^{*}$ disastrousest (5.36). Moreover, a few adverbs can be similarly inflectè, ey: (Heworked) hard, harder, hardest (5.38). It is also true that many adjectives provide the base from which adverbs are derived by means of an -ly suffix, eg: adjective happy, adverb happily (App I.22). Nevertheless, some do not allow this derivational process; for example, there is no adverb *oldly derived from the adjective old. And there are a few adjectives that are themselves derived from an adjective base in this way, eg: kindly, an item functioning also as an adverb.

## 5.2

Most adjectives can be both attributive and predicative (5.3), but some are either attributive only (5.13ff) or predicative only (5.18).

Two other features usually apply to adjectives:
(1) Most can be premodified by the intensifier very, eg: The children are very happy.
(2) Most can take comparative and superlative forms. The comparison may be by means of inflections, eg: The children are happier now, They are the happiest people I know, or by the addition of the premodifiers more and most (periphrastic comoarison) eg: These students are more intelligent, They are the most beautifui paintinas I nave ever seen.

Ae adjective phrase is a phrase with an adjective as head, as in (He was) so very happy, or as sole realization, as in (He was) happy. Adjectives function syntactically only in adjective phrases, but since it is the adjective that generally determines the function of the adjective phrase, we have often found it conveniint to use adjectives alone to illustrate the functions of adjective phrases and we have often referred to adjectives as a shorter way of referring to adjective phrases.

## Syntactic functions of adjectives

5.3

Attributive and predicative
The major syntactic functions of adjectives are attributive and predicative.
Adjectives are atiuioutive wieu they promociily nuuns, ie: appear between the determiner (4.5) and the head of the noun phrase:
the beautiful painting
his main argument
Predicative adjectives can be
(a) subject complement:

Your daughter is pretty
(b) object complement:

He made his wife happy
They can be complement to a subject which is a finite clause (11.3):
Whether he will resign is uncertain
or a non-finite clause (11.3):
Driving a bus isn't easy
Similarly, adjectives can be object complement to clauses:
I consider $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { what he did } \\ \text { playing so hard }\end{array}\right\}$ foolish
The adjective functioning as object complement often expresses the result of the process denoted by the verb (7.9):

He pulled his belt tight (As a result, his belt was then tight)
He pushed the window open (As a result, the window was then open)

## Postpositive

5.4

Adjectives can sometimes be postpositive, ie they can sometimes follow the item they modify. A postposed adjective (together with any complementation it may have) can usually be regarded as a reduced relative clause.
Indefinite pronouns ending in -body, -one, -thing, -where can be modified only postpositively:

## I want to try on something larger (ie 'which is larger')

Postposition is obligatory for a few adjectives, which have a different sense when they occur attributively or predicatively. The most comnon are probably elect ('soun to take office') and proper ('as strictly deSned'), as in
the president elect
the City of London proper
In several compounds (mostly legal or quasi-legal) the adjective is postposed, the most common being: attorney general, body politic, court martial, heir apparent, notary public (AmE), postmaster general.
Pustposition (in freference to attri'buive pusition) is usual for a few $a$-adjectives (5.42) and for absent, present, and (esp BrE ) concerned, involved, which normally do not occur attributively in the relevant sense:

## The house ablaze is next door to mine

The people involved were not found
Some postposed adjectives, especially those ending in -able or -ible, retain the basic meaning they have in attributive position but convey the implication that what they are denoting has only a temporary application (13.4). Thus, the stars visible refers to stars that are visible at a time specified or implied, while the visible stars refers to a category of stars that can (at appropriate times) be seen.
5.5

If an adjective is alone or premodified merely by an intensifie, postposition is zormaily nut allowed:
The (rather) timid soldiers
*The soldiers (rather) timid $\}$ approached their officer
However, if the noun phrase is generic and indefinite, coordinated adjectives or adjectives with a clause element added can be postposed, though such constructions are not very frequent:

Soldiers timid or cowardly don't fight well
A man usually honest will sometimes cheat

More commonly, we find
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Timid or cowardly soldiers } \\ \text { Soldiers who are timid or cowardly }\end{array}\right\}$ don't fight well
A man who is usually honest will sometimes cheat
It is unacceptable to prepose the whole of an adjective phrase in which there is complementation of the adjective:
*The easiest to teach boys were in my class
Postposition is normally possible:
The boys easiest to teach were in my class
They have a house much larger than yours
Students brave enough to attempt the course deserve to pass
though it is more usual to prepose the adjective (and its premodifiers. if any) and postpose the complementation:

The easiest boys to teach were in my class
They have a much larger house than yours
But if the adjective is modified by enough, too, or so, the modified adjuctive nümally cainnot be senarated from its complementation:
*Brave enough students to attempt the course deserve to pass
*A brave enough student to attenpt :he sourse deserves to pass
*Too/So easy boys to teach were in my class
*A too/so easy boy to teach was in my class

## Note

[a] An adjective modified by enough, too, or so can be separated from its complementation if the modified adjective is positioned before the indefinite article of the noun phrase:
He is (not) brave enough a student to attempt the course
He thought him too difficult a boy to teach
But with enough and too, this construction seems to be possible only if the adjective phrase is part of the subject complement or object complement, and with enough it is more common if the adjective is premodified by nor. With so, the construction is also possible if the adjective phrase is part of the subject or object:
So easy a bcy to leach deserves to pass
I have never met so difficult a man to please
[b] Aplenty (AmE) and galore (both informal) are postposed obligatorily, eg: There were presents galore.

## Head of a nown phrase

5.6

Adjectives can often function as heads of noun phrajes. As such, they do not inflect for number or for the genitive case and must take a definite determiner. Most commonly, such adjectives have personal reference:

The exi.emely old need a great deal of attention
We will nurse you: sick and feed your hungry
The young in spirit enjoy life
The rich will help only the humble poor
The wise look to the wiser for advice
The old who resist change can expect violence
These adjectives have generic and plural reference. It is often possible to add a general word for human beings such as people and retain the generic reference (in which case the definite determiner is normally omitted) but the use of the adjective as head of the noun phrase is probably more common. The adjective can itself be modified, usually by restrictive modification (13.3). ?

## Note

Althouch adjectives functioning as noun-phrase heads generally reauire a definite determiner, they can be without a determiner if they are conjoined (cf 'Parallel Structures', 4.21):

He is acceptable to both old and young.

## ג5.7

Some adjectives óenotung nationaities can de noun-purase neads:

## You British and you French ought to be allies

The industrious Dutch are admired by their neighbours
The adjectives in question are virtually restricted to words ending in -(i)sh (eg: British, Spanish), -ch (Dutch, French) and -ese (eg: Chinese, Japanese), and the adjective Swiss. As with the previous type, these noun phrases have generic reference and take plural concord, but they cannot be modified by adverbs. They can be modified by adjectives, which are normally non-restrictive, ie: the industrious Dutch is interpreted as 'the Dutch, who are industrious, . . ' (13.3).

## Note

[a] Postmodifying prepositional phrases and relative clauses can be either restrictive or non-restrictive:

The Irish (who live) i. 1 America retain sentimental links with Irelaud The Polish, whe are very rebellious, resisted strongly
[b] These adjectives are sometimes used not to refer to the nation as a whole but to some part of it; for example, troops:

The British have control of the bridge

## 5.8

Some adjectives can function as noun-phrase heads when they have abstract reference. These take singular concord. A few are modifiable by adverbs. They include, in particular, superlatives:

The latest (ie 'tie latest news, thing') is that he is going to run for election
The very best (ie 'the very best part, thing') is yet to come
He ventured into the unknown
He went from the sublime to the extremely rid:culous
Note
There are a number of set phrases in which such an adjective is complement of a preposition, eg: (He left) for good, (He enjojed it) to the full, in short.

## Verbless adjective clause

5.9

An adjective (alone or as head of an adjective phrase) can function as a verbless clause. The clause is mobile, though it usually precedes or follows the subject of the superordinate clause:
(By tnen) nervous, the man opened the letter
The man, (by then) nervous, opened the letter
The man opened the letter, (by then) nervous
The implied subject is usually the subject of the sentence. Thus, while we have

The man restrained the woman, who was aggressive
we lo not have as its equivalent
*The man restrained the woman, aggressive
However, if the clause contains additional clause constituents, its implied subject can be other than the subject of the sentence:

She glanced with disgust at the cat, quiet (now) in her daughter's lap Other examples of verbless adjective clauses:
Long and untidy, his hair played in the breeze
Anxious for a quick decision, the chairman called for a vote
The implied subject of the adjective clause can be the whole of the superordinate clause. For example,
Strange, it was she who initiated divorce proceedings
is semantically equivalent to: That it was she who initiated diuurce proceedings is strange.
An adverb may sometimes replace, with little difference in meaning, an adjective functioning as a verbless clause:
Nervously,
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Nervousty, } \\ \text { Nervo:s, }\end{array}\right\}$ the man opened the letter
the adjective refers to the subject without explicit reference to the action, and unless otherwise stated, the characterization is only temporary in its application. But if an explicit time indicator is introduced, the application of the adjective is extended in time. For example, when we insert always, the man's nervousness becomes a permanent characteristic, and is not specifically connected with the action:
Always nervous, the man opened the letter
Note
When the implied subject is the whole clause, a corresponding adverb can replace the adjective with little or no difference in meaning, as with strangely for strange:
Strangely, it was she who initiated divorce proceedings
The adjective, unlike the adverb, allows a that-or how-clause to follow:
Strange $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that it turned out that way } \\ \text { how she sill likes him }\end{array}\right.$
In such cases, It's is ellipted (9.0) and the adjective is not separated from the clause by a comma.

### 5.10

CONTINGENT ADJECTIVB CLAUSB
A contingent ádjeciive ciause e::presses the ciroumitance c:- conditinn under which what is said in the superordinate clause applies. A subordinator is often present but can be omitted.

Enthusiastic, they make good students (=When enthusiastic, . . .)
Whether right or wrong, he always comes off worst in an argument because of his inability to speak coherently

## When ripe, these apples are sweet

The implied subject of the contingent adjective clause is normally the subject of the superordinate clause, but it can also be the object:

## We can drink it hot

You must eat it when fresh
The adjective then usually comes finally and could be regarded as a comFlement ( $\sim f 12.26 f$ ).

The imp'ied subject can te the whole of the superordinaie clause:
If (it is) possible, the dog should be washed every day

### 5.11

## Exclamatory adjective sentence

An adjective as head of an adjective phrase or as its sole realization can be an exclamation:

How good of you! How wonderful! Excellent!

## Syntactic subclassification of adjectives

5.12

Adjectives can be subclassified according to whether they can function as:
(1) both attributive and predicative, eg: a hungry man $\sim$ the man is hungry; these are the majority and constitute the central adjectives
(2) attributive only, eg: an uticr fool $\sim^{*}$ the fool is $u * t e r$
(3) predicative only, eg: *a loath woman $\sim$ the woman is loath to admit it
The restrictions of adjectives to attributive or predicative use are not always absolute, and sometimes vary with individual speakers.

## Attributive only

5.13

In general, adjectives that are restrictea to attributive position or that occur predominantly in attributive position do not characterize the referent of the noun directly. For example, an olci friend ("one who has been a friend for a long period of time') does not necessarily imply that the person is old, so that we cannot relate my old friend to my friend is old. Olic reiers to the fiiendship and does not characterize the person. In that use, old is attributive only. On the other hand, in that old man, old is a central adjective (the opposite of young) and we can relate that nld man to that man is old.

Adjectives that characterize the referent of the noun directly are termed
INHERENT, those that do not are termed NON-INHERENT.
Some non-inherent adjectives occur also predicatively. For example, both a new student and a new friend are non-inherent, though the former can be used predicatively:

That student is new
*My friend is new

## Note

A few words with strongly emotive value are restricted to attributive position, eg: you poor man, my dear lady, that wretched woman.

### 5.14

INTENSIFYiNG ADJECTIVES
Some adjectives have a heightening or lowering effect on the noun they modify. Two semantic subclasses of intensifying adjectives can be distinguished for our present purpose ( $c f 8.12 f^{\prime}$ ): emphasizers and amplifiers. Emphasizers have a general heightening effect: amplifiers scale $u_{F}$. wards from an assumed norm, denoting the upper extreme of the scale or a high point on the scale.

Emphasizers are attributive only. Examples include:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a certain ('sure') winner } & \text { pure ('sheer') fabrication } \\
\text { an outright lie } & \text { a real ('undoubted') hero }
\end{array}
$$

Amplifiers are central adjectives when they are inherent:
a complete victory ~the victory was complete
their extreme condemnation $\sim$ their condemnation was extreme his great folly ~his folly was great
But when they are non-inherent, they are attributive only:
a complete fool $\sim$ *the fool is complete
a perfect : diot $\sim^{*}$ the idiot is perfect


| a close friend | utter folly | a strong opponent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| his entire salary | the very end | a great supporter |

Several intensifiers have homonyms that are central adjectives, eg:
Those are real flowers $\sim$ Those flowers are real ('not artificial')

## Note

[a] Certain intensifying adjectives are always attributive only, in particular mere, sheer, utter.
[b] Many adjectives can be used as intensifiers, usually with restrictions on the nouns they modify, eg: a great/big fool ('very foo'ish'), a great/big baby ('very babyish'), a great friend, but not * $a$ big friend ('very friendly'). These are also restricted to attributive position.

### 5.15

LIMITER ADJECTIVES
Limiter adjectives particularize the reference of the noun (cf 8.8). Examples include:

```
the main reascn
the only occasion
the precise reason the same student
```

Some of these have homonyms. For example, certain in a certain person is a liniter ('a particular person'), while in a certain winner it is an intensifier ('a sure winner'). In John is certain that he will win, it is semantically related to the intensifier, but it is equivalent to sure in the sense of 'confident' and is limited to predicative position.

Note
Notice the use of very as a limiter adjective in You are the very man I want.

### 5.16

related to adverbials
Other adjectives that are attributive only can be related to adverbials.
These non-inherent adjectives include:
my former friend $\sim$ formerly my friend
an occasional visitor ~occasionally a visitor
Some require implications additional to the adverbial:
the late president $\sim$ till lately the president (now dead)
If the adjectives premodify agentive nouns, the latter suggest as weii a relationship to the verb base:
a hard worker ~a worker who works hard
a big eater $\sim$ someone who eats a great deal
There are also instances where the noun normally lacks a corresponding verb but whcre the adjective (not always attributive) refers to the process part of the noun's meaning:
an excellent pianist $\sim$ a pianist who plays the piano excellently
The implied process can be associated with an inanimate object:
a fast car~a car that one drives fast
a fast ruad $\sim$ a road on which one can drive fast
Some of these adjectives have a temporal meaning. We might include with them acting ('for the time being') as in the acting chairman.
5.17
denominal adjectives
Some adjectives derived from nouns ( 5.20 (h)) are attributive only, eg:
criminal law $\sim$ law concerning crime
an atomic scientist $\sim$ a scientist specializing in atomic science
a medical school ~a school for students of medicine

## Note

The same item may also be a centrai adiective. For exampie, a criminal law can be a law which seems criminal, in which case criminal is a central adjective. For positional differences between these two in the adjective phrase, see 13.40 f . With particular noun phrase heads, an attributive noun may be an alternative to the denominal adjective, eg: criminal detection/crime detection, or may be used exclusively, eg: law school, not *leal school; cf the converse in medical school, not *medicine school.

### 5.18

Preuicative only
Adjectives that are restrictec or virtually restricted to predicative position are most like verbs and adverbs. They tend to refer to a (possibly tem-
porary: 13.4) condition rather than to characterize. Perhaps the most common are those referring to health or lack of health: faint, ill (esp BrE), well, unwell. However, some people use ill and (to a lesser extent) unwell as attributives too.
A larger gioup comprises adjectives that can or must take complementation (12.11), eg: cfraid (that, of, about), conscious (that, of), fond (of), loalh (to).
Many closely resemble verbs semantically:
He is afraid to do it $\sim \mathrm{He}$ fears to do it
They are fond of her ~They like her
Some have homonyms that can occur both predicatively and attributively, eg: the conscious patient $\sim$ the patient is conscious.
Note
Sick (esp AmE) is the exception amuag these 'health' adjectives in that its attributive use is very common:
The sick woman $\sim$ The woman is sick

## Semantic sub-classification of adjectives

5.19
[A] Stative/dynamic
Adjestives are characteristisally stative, but many can he ssen as dynamic (2.16). In particular, most adjectives that are susceptible to subjective measurement (5.20) are capable of being dynamic. Stative and dynamic adjectives differ in a number of ways. For example, a stative adjective such as tall cannot be used with the progressive aspect or with the imperative: *He's being tall, *Be tall. In contrast, we can use careful as a dynamic adjective: He's being careful, Be careful.
Adjectives that can be used dynamically include: awkward, brave, calm, careless, cruel, extravagant, foolish, funny, good, greedy, impudent, irrit able, jealous, naughty, noisy, rude, timid.

## [B] Gradable/non-gradable

Most adjectives are gradable, that is to say, can be modified by adverbs which convey the degree of intensity of the adjective. Gradability (5.33) includes comparison:

## tall taller <br> tallest

beautiful more beautiful most beautiful
and other forms of intensification:

## very young so plain extremely useful

All dynamic adjectives are gradable. Most stative adjectives (tall, old) are gradable; some are non-gradable, principally 'technical adjectives'
like atomic (scientist) and hydrochloric (acid) and adjectives denoting provenance, eg: British (cf. 5.20)

## $[C]$ Inherent/non-inherent

Most adjectives are inherent (5.13), and it is especially uncommon for dynamic adjectives to be other than inherent; an exception is wooden in The actor is being wooden, which is both dynamic and non-inherent.

## Note

Whether or not an adjective is inherent or non-inherent, it may involve relation to an mplicit or explicit standard. Big is inherent in a big mouse, the standard being the relative size of mice; contrast a little mouse. Big is non-inherent in a big fool, the standard being degrees of foolishness; contrast a bit of a fool. The relative standard is to be distinguished from gradability as well as from the inherent/non-inherent contrast. For example, perfect and good are non-inherent in a perfect mother and a good mother, the standard being motherhood, but only good is gradable (a very good mother, *a very per-
 elephant), the inherent adjective in an enormous $N$ is not gradable (*a very enormous $N)$.

### 5.20

## Semantic sets and adjectival order

Sumaniic seis have beeu pruposed tu accuunt for the usual order of adjectives and for their co-occurrence ( 13.40 f ):
(a) intensifying adjectives (5.14), eg: a real hero, a perfect idiot
(b) postdeterminers (4.10), and limiter adjectives (5.15), eg: the fourth student, the only occasion
(c) general adjectives susceptible to subjective measure, eg: careful, naughty, lovely
(d) general adjectives susceptible to objective measure, including those denoting size or shape, eg: wealthy, large, square
(e) adjectives denoting age, eg: young, old, new
(f) adjectives denoting colour, eg: red, black
(g) denominal adjectives denoting material, eg: a woollen scarf, a metallic substance, and denoting resemblance to a material, eg: metallic voice, silken hair, cat-like stealth
(h) denomiual adjectives denoting provenance oi styie, eg: a Britisin ship, a Parisian dress

## Characteristics of the adverb

5.21

The most common characteristic of the adverb is morphological: the majority of adverbs have the derivational suffix -ly
There are two types of syntactic function that characterize adverbs, but an adverb need have only one of these:
(1) adverbial
(2) modifier of adjective and adverb.

In both cases the adverb functions directly in an ADVERB PHRASE of which it is head or sole realization. Thus, in the adjective phrase far more easily intelligible, intelligible is modified by the adverb phrase far more easily, easily is modified by the adverb phrase far more, and more is modified by the adverb phrase far, in this last case an adverb phrase with an advert as sole realization. In this chapter we have often found it convenient to refer to the syntactic functions of a particular adverb or type of adverb, since it is generally the adverb that dictates the syntactic functions of an adverb phrase (cf 5.2).

### 5.22

## Adverb as adverbial

An adverb may function as adverbial, a constituent distinct from subject, verb, ubjecil, and cionplercant (2.5).
Three classes of adverbials are established and discussed in Chapter 8: adjuncts, disjuncts, conjuncts.

ADJUNCTS are integrated within the structure of the clause to at least some extent. Eg:

## They are waitıng outside

I can now understand it
Hes spuke tc me about it briefly
disjuncts and CONJUNCTS, on the other hand, are not integrated within the clause. Semantically, DISJUNCTS express an evaluation of what is being said either with respect to the form of the communication or to its content. Eg:
Frankly, I am tired
Fortunately, no one complained
They are probably at home
Semantically, CONJUNCTS have a connective function. They indicate the connection between what is being said and what was said before. Eg:

We have complained several timcs about the auise, and yet he does nothing about it
I have not looked into his qualifications. He seems very intelligent, though
If they open all the windows, then I'm leaving

## Adverb as modifier

5.23

Modifier of adjective
An adverb may premodify an adjective:

That was a VERY funny film
It is extremel y good of you
She has a REALLY beautiful face
One adverb - enough - postmodifies adjectives, as in high enough.
Most commonly, the modifying adverb is an intensifier (cf 5.14). The most frequently used intensifier is very. Other intensifiers include sol pretty/rather/unusually/quite/unbelievably (tall). Many are restricted to a small set of lexica! items, eg: deeply (anxious), highly (intelligent), strikingly (handsome), sharply (critical). Many intensifiers can modify adjectives, adverbs, and verbs alike.

Adverbs as premodifiers of adjectives may also be 'viewpoint' (cf8.7), as in politically expedient ('expedient from a political point of view'), toclnionlly possiblo, theoreticall!, sound.

## Note

[a] Viewpoint adjuncts that appear after the noun phrase are related to the premodifying adjective within the phrase:
A good paper EDITORIALLY can also be a good paper COMMERCIALLY more "sually,
An editorially good paper can also be a commercially good paper
$[b]$ All, as an informal synonym of completely, premodifies certain adjectives, mostly having an unfavourable ense: He is all upset, Your brother is all wrong.

### 5.24

## Modifier of adverb

An adverb may premodify another adverb, and function as intensifier:
They are smoking VER Y heavily
They didn't injure him that severely (informal)
I have secn So very many letters like that one
As with adjectives, the only postmodifier is enough, as in cleverly enough.
A few intensifying adverbs, particularly right and well, premodify particles in phrasal verbs:

IIe knocked the man RIGHT out
They left him WELL behind

### 5.25

Modifier of prepositional phrase
The few adverbs that premodify particles in phrasal verbs also premodify prepositions or (perhaps rather) prepositional phrases:
The nail went RIGHT through the wall
His parents are DEAD against the trip

### 5.26

## Modifier of deterniner, predeterminer, postdeterminer

Intensifying adverbs can premodify indefinite prowouns, predeterminers, and cardinal numerals:

NEARL Y everybody came to our party
over two hundred deaths were reported
I paid more thati, ten pounds for it
The indefinite article can be intensified when it is equivalent to the unstressed cardinal one:
They will stay $A B O U T a$ week
With ordinals and superlatives, a definite determiner is obiigatory:
Sne gave me ALMOS' i.ie iargesi piece of cake

## Modifier of noun phrase

5.27

A few intensifiers may premodify noun phrases: quite, rather (esp BrE), and the predetermiurs such and ewclanuatory what. The noen phrace is normally indefinite, and the intensifiers precede any determiners. Rather requires the head to be a singular count noun and gradable (4.3 Note):
He told sUCH $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a \text { (funny) story } \\ \text { (funny) stories }\end{array}\right.$
I have never heard SUCH wickedness
It was Rather a mess
He was QUITE some player
What a (big) fool he is!
So and interrogative and exclamatory how also precede the indefinite article, but they require the noun phrase to contain a gradable adjective and the head of the noun phrase to be a singular countable noun. In this use, they cause the adjective to move in front of the article:
I didn't realize that he was so big a fool
How tall a man is he? HOW tall a man he is!

## Note

[a] In superficiali'y similar noun phrases, rather may be intensifying the adjective, in which case it may precede or follow the determiner:
*It is rather a table
It is rather a big table
It is a rather big table
[b] Kind of and sort of (both informal) usually follow the determiner:
He gave a sort of laugh
but may sometimes precede it: That was sort of a joke.

Other of phrases precede a determiner:
1 had A Brt Cē a shock
[c] In informal or familiar style, wh-interrogatives can be intensified by ever and by certain set phrases, eq:
Where ever did I leave my keys?
Who on earth opened my letter?
What in heaven's name are you doing? (familiar)
Who the hell are you? (familiar)
Those intensified by ever are to be distinguished from $n h$-subordinators ( $11.6 f f^{\circ}$ ), which are written as one word with ever:
Wherever I park my car, I get fined
[d] For anaphoric such ('like that '), see 10.39 .

### 5.29

Some adverbs signifying place or time postmodify noun phrases (13.24):

## PLACE: the way ahead, the neighbour upstairs, the sentence below

TIME: the meeting yesterday, the day before

## Note

Indefinite pronouns, wh-pronouns, and $w h$-adverbs are postmodified by else: someone else('s), all else, who else, what else. Else also postmodifies compounds with where: somewhere, anywhere, everywhere, nowhere.

### 5.29

In some of the phrases in 5.28 the adverb can also be used as a premodifier: his home journey, the above photo, the upstairs neighbour.
A few other adverbs are also used as premodifiers: the away games, the then president, in after years. Then and above are probably the most common.

### 5.30

## Advert as complement of preposition

Some place and time adverbs function as complement of a prepcsition. C? the place adverbs, here and there take the most prepositions: along, around, down, from, in, near, on, out (of), over, round, through, under, up. Home can be the complement of the prepositions at, from, near, toward(s). Others are restricted to the preposition from:
above, abroad, below, downstairs, indoors, inside, outdoors, outside, upstairs, within, without
Time adverbs most commonly functioning as complement of prepositions are shown in the diagram.

ADVERBS


## Comparison and intensification

5.31

There are three degrees of comparison:
ABSOLUTE: young/easily
COMPARATIVE: youngerimore easily
SUPERLATIVE: youngest/most easily
The comparative is used for a comparison between two, and the superlative where more than two are involved. The superlative is sometimes used for? comparison between twc. 'He is the youngest (of the two brothers)', but this is considered loose and informal by many.

## Comparison (cf $11.37 f f$ ) is expressed by

(1) the inflected forms in -er and -est,
(2) their periphrastic equivalents in more and most,
(3) the forms for equatiunal, lesser and least degrees of comparison, notably as, less, leasi.
Too in the sense 'more than enough' might also be mentioned here, eg: It's too long ('longer than it should be').

Note
[a] More and most have other uses in which they are not equivalent to the comparison inflections, as the paraphrases of the following sentences show:

He is more than happy about it ( $=$ He is happy about it to a degree that is not adequately expressed by the word happy)
He is more good than bad ( $=\mathrm{It}$ is more accurate to say that he is good than that he is bad)
In BrE , the sentence She is most beautiful can mean only that she is extremely beautiful and not that she is more beautiful than all others. This absolute sense of most is common in AmE too.
[b] Too is also commonly used (esp in AmE) as a synonym of very in negative sentences, as in I don': feel too good.

### 5.32

## Basis of comparison

Wie can make iti tasiz of comarisen c."plici". The most cemmon woys of doing so include correlative constructions introduced by than (correlative to more, less) and by as (correlative !o as), and prepositional phrases with of:

John is more/less stupid than Bob (is)
John wehaves more/less politciy then $B \approx b$ (dwes)
John is as stupid as Bob (is)
John behaves as politely as Bob (does) [4]
John is the stupider of the (two) boys [5]
Of the (two) boy's, John behaves the more politely [6]
John is the most stupid of the (three) boys
Of the (three) boys, John behaves the most politely
The basis of comparison can also be shown by the noun which the adjective premodifies:

John is the more stupid boy (formal; more commonly 'John is more stupid than the other boy')
John is the most stupid boy

## Note

[a] The propositional phrases in [5-8] zan be eithe- initial or final. Fina! rosit:on is more frequent, especially when the conmarison involves an adjective rather than an adverb.
[ 4 ; A basis of comparison may be implicit in the use of the absolute form, and in such cases the basis of comparison can also be made explicit (6.44):
He is stupid for a child of! is age

### 5.33

Gradability
Amplifiers and comparatives can modify grüdable arijectives and adverbs. The range for emphasizers and those downtoners not expressing
degree (eg: virtually) is much wider, as we can see from their co-occurrence with a non-gradable adjective such as non-Christian:
He is definitely/virtually/*more/*very non-Christian
cf He is very unchristian.
There are also restrictions on the use of particular intensifiers, and these can sometimes be stated in semantic terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { most }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { happy ('subjcutive', cf } 5.20) \\
\text { *tall ('objective', cf } 5.20)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { utterly }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { wrong ('negative') } \\
\text { "right ('positive') }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Amplifiers and comparatives are available for adjectives that refer to a quaiity inat is thought oi as having valués on a scaie, ancifur adierbes that refer to a manner or to a time that is thought of in terms of a scale. Thus, in John is English the adjective English does not allow amplifiers or comparatives if it refers to John's nationality, but admits them if it refers to his behaviour:

John is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very English } \\ \text { more English than the English }\end{array}\right.$
We can also achieve an intensifying effect by repeating attributive adjectives or degree intensifiers:
an old, old man ('a very old man')
very, very good ('extremely good')

## Nete

There are exceptions to the co-occurrence of a particular intensifier with a semantic class of adjectives. For example, though utterly tends to co-occur with 'negative' adjectives, utterly reliable and utterly delightful are common. People vary in the exceptions they allow.

### 5.34

Unmarked term in 'How' questions and measure phascs
How is used as a pro-form for degree intensifiers of the adjective or adverb in questions and exclamations:

## How efficient is he? How efficiently does he work? <br> How beautiful she is! How beautifully she dances!

'Measure' adjectives that cover a scale of measurement and have a term for each end of the scale use the upper extreme as the 'unmarked' term in How questions and with the measurements:

A: How old is your son? B: He's three months (old)

How old is he? is equivalent to What is his r.re?, and He's three months old is equivalent to His age is three months.
Adjectives that are used as the unmarked term in How questions and with measurements are listed, with the marked term given in parenthesis:

| deep (shallow) | old (young) <br> high (low) | thick (thin) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| long (short) |  | wide (narrow) |

Other adjectives are used as the unmarked term for premodification by interrogative How (How heavy is it ?) but are not used with measurements (*It is two pounds heavy). They include:

| big (small) | fat (thin) | large (little) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Origk! (dim) | Lanvj (1:~hi) | sirung (weih; |

Some adverbs also use an unmarked term in How questions, eg:
How much/often/quickly did they complain?

## Note

If we use the markeo term, as in How young is John? we are asking a question that presupposes that John is young, whereas the unmarked term in How old is John? does not presuppose that John is old. Notice that neither term is neutral in exclamations:
How young he is! ('He is extremely young')
How old he is! ('He is extremely old')

## Inflection of adjectives for comparison

5.35

The inflectional suffixes are -er for the comparative and -est for the superlative: young $\sim$ younger $\sim$ youngest. A small group of highly frequent adjectives have their corresponding comparatives and superlatives formed from different stems:
good $\sim$ better $\sim$ best bad $\sim$ worse $\sim$ worst
far $\sim$ further/farther $\sim$ furthest/farthest

Old is regularly inflected as older, oldest, but in a specialized use, restricted to human beings in family relationships, the irregular furms elder, eldest are normally substituted, but only attributively or as noun phrase head:

## My elder/eldest brother is an artist John is the elder *My brother is elder than I am

The regular inflections sometimes involve changes in spelling or pronunciation.

CHANGES IN SPELLING
(1) Final base consonants are doubled when the preceding vowel is stressed and spelled with a single letter (cf3.7):

$$
\text { big } \sim \text { bigger } \sim b i g g e s t
$$

(2) In bases ending in a consonant $+y$, final $y$ is changed to $i(3.8)$ :

$$
\text { early } \sim \text { earlier } \sim \text { earliest }
$$

(3) Final $-e$ is dropped before the inflections (3.9):

$$
\text { brave } \sim \text { braver } \sim \text { bravest } \quad \text { frce } \sim \text { freer } \sim \text { freest }
$$

CHANGES IN PRONUNCIATION
(1) Syllabic /l!. as in simpie, ceases to be syllabic before inflections.
(2) Whether or net speakers pronounce final $r$, as in poor, the $r$ is of course pronounced before the inflections.
Note
Well('in good health') and ill ('in bad health', esp BrE) are inflected like good and bad respectivuly for the comparative:

He feels better/worso

### 5.36

Monosyllabic adjectives can normally form their comparison by inflection. Many disyllabic adjectives can also do so, though like most monosyllabic adjectives they have the alternative of the periphrastic forms:

My jokes are $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { funnier/funniest } \\ \text { more funny/most funny }\end{array}\right.$
Common disyllabic adjectives that can take inflected forms are those ending in an unstressed vowel, syllabic $/ 1 /$, or $/ \partial(\mathrm{r}) /$ :
(1) $-v$ : funny, noisy, wealthy, friendly
(2) -ow: hollow, narrow, shallow
(3) -le: gentle, feeble, noble
(4) -er, -ure: clever, mature, obscure

Common adjectives outside these four categories that can take inflectional forms include common, .handsome, polite, quiet, wicked.
Other adjectives can take only periphrastic forms:

$$
\text { We are }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { *reluctanter } \\
\text { *reluctantest } \\
\text { more reluctant } \\
\text { the most reluctant (of all) }
\end{array}\right\} \text { to say anything }
$$

$\mathrm{Nc}^{+9}$
Adjectives of participle form do not take inflections:
*iiringer, *woundeder
5.37

Most adjectives inflected for comparison seem to be able to take periphrastic forms more easily when they are predicative and are followed by the basis of comparison:

He is more wealthy than I thought
Periphrastic forms are, however, abnormal with a number of monosyllabic adiectives, including those listed in 5.35 as forming their comparison irregularly.

### 5.38

## Inflection of adverbs for comparison

For a small number of adverbs, the inflected forms used for comparison are the same as those for adjectives. As with adjectives, there is a small group with ccmparatives anu' supariatives formed fiom d:fferent sieme:
well $\sim$ better $\sim$ best
badly $\sim$ worse $\sim$ worst
little $\sim$ less $\sim$ least
much $\sim$ more $\sim$ most
far $\sim$ further/farther $\sim$ furthest/farthest
Adverbs that are identical in form with adjectives take inflections, following the same spelling and phonetic rules as for adjectives, eg: early, late, hard, slow, fast, quick, long. Soon, which has no corresponding adjective, is frequently used in the comparative (sooner), but is not common in the superlative (soonest).

### 5.39

## Modification of comparatives and superlatives

The comparatives of both adjectives and adverbs can themselves be premodified by amplifying intensifiers - certain nour phrases (nost of them informal) and adverbs. In the following examples we parenthesize intensifiers of these intensifiers:
\(\left.\left.$$
\begin{array}{r}\left.\begin{array}{r}\text { (that) } \\
\text { (so) (very) }\end{array}\right\} \text { much } \\
\text { (all) the } \\
\text { far } \\
\text { a good bit/a lot } \\
\text { a good deal/a great deal } \\
\text { lots }\end{array}
$$\right\} $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { bener }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}more <br>

less\end{array}\right\}\)| careful |
| :--- |
| carefully |

better
sooner less $\}$ carefully

Similarly, many downtoners may premodify the comparatives:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|l}\begin{array}{l}\text { rather } \\
\text { somewhat }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { better } \\
\text { sooner }\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}\text { a iittle } \\
\text { a (little) bit }\end{array}
$$ \& \begin{array}{l}more <br>

less\end{array}\end{array}\right\}\)| careful |
| :--- |
| carefully |

The inflectional superlative may be premodified by very: the very best. If very premodifies the superlative, a determiner is obligatory, as in She put on her very best dress. Comparatives and superlatives can also be postmodified by intensifying phrases, the most common of which is by far, eg: He is funnier/funniest by far.

## Correspondence between adjertive and adverb

### 5.40

Adverbs are regularly, though not invariably, derived from adjectives by suffixation (App I.22). In addition, a correspondence often exists between constructions containing adjectives and those containing the corresponding adverbs. The simplest illustration is with adverbs equiva lent to prepositional phiases cuntaini.g a noun phrase with the corresponding adjective:
He liked Mary considerabıy
He liked Mary to a considerable extent
He spoke to John sharply
He spoke to John in a sharp manner
Politically, it is a bad decision
From the politica! poi.nt of view, it is a bad decision
Sometimes, either the adjective or the adverb forms may appear, with little or no semantic difference $(5.9,5.43)$. But normally, the adjective and its corresponding adverb appear in different environments:
her incredible beauty: her beauty is incredible $\sim$ she is incredibly
beautiful
5.41

There are many cases where a construction with the adverb form seems basic to an understanding of the corresponding construction with the adjective form.
(1) There are regular correspondences between sentences with an adverb and noun phrases with an adjective:

He loved her deeply ~ his deep love for her
He writes legibly~his legible writing
(2) The adjective-noun sequence may imply a prozess or $u$ time relationship, with a corresponding noun phrase containing an adverb (5.16). For example, in the second of the following two interpretations of a beautiful dancer, the adjective refers to the process part of the agentive noun:
(a) a dancer who is beautiful
(b) a person who dances beautifully
(3) Most intensifying adjectives (5.14) can be seen as related to adverbs: a real idiot $\sim$ he is really an idiot
(4) Many limiter adjectives ( $c f 5.15$ ) can be seen as related to adverbs: the main reason $\sim$ it was mainly the reason

## The adjective and other word-classes

## Adjective and adverb

$5 . \mathfrak{2}$
Certain words beginning with $a$ - have a predominantly predicative use. With respect to their ability to be used predicatively with both be and another intensive verb such as seem, we can contrast an $a$ - adjective such as asleep with an $a$-adverb such as abroad:

$$
\text { The patient was }\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \text { asleep } } \\
{ \text { abroad } }
\end{array} \quad \text { The patient seemed } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { asleep } \\
* \text { abroad }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$A$ - adjectives are unacceptable as part of the predication after verbs of motion. $A$-adverbs, however, are acceptable and denote direction after such verbs. Notice the contrast between the $a$-adverbs in He went aboard abroad/around/away and the $a$-adjectives in *He went afraid/alert asleep/awake.
Common $a$-adjectives are: ablaze, afloat, afraid, aghast, ulert, alike, alive, alone, aloof, ashamed, asleep, averse, awake, aware.

## Note

[a] Alert and aloof are freely used attributively. Some of the other $a$-adjectives occasionally function attributively, though normally only when they are modified: the half. asleep children, a somewhat afraid soldier, a really alive student ('lively'), a very ashamed girl.
[b] Some $a$-adjectives freely take comparison and premodification by very, eg: afraid, alert, alike, aloof, ashamed, averse. Others do so marginally, eg: asleep and awake. Alive to in the sense 'aware of' can be premodified by very and compared. Some of the $a$-adjectives, like many verbs, can also be premodified by very much (particularly afraid, alike, ashamed, aware), and aware can be premodified by (very) well
too.

### 5.43

Certain items that function as adjectives are also used to definc in some way the process denoted by the verb; this is a typical use of adverbs, eg: loud and clear in He spone loud and clear. If in its adverbial use the item is not restricted to a position after the verb or (if present) the object, it undoubtedly belongs to both the adjective and adverb classes. For example, long and still, which commonly function as adjectives, are adver'ss in pre-verb position in the following sentences:

Such animals have long had to defend themselves
They still can't make up their minds whether to go or not
Furthermore, the item clearly represents two different words if there is a semantic diiference in the two uses, as with long and still.
in some cases, the adjective form and a corresponding -ly adverb can be used interchangeably, with little or no semantic difference, except that many people find the adjective form objectionable:
He spoke loud and clear/loudly and clearly
Drive slow (esp AmE)/slowly
She buys her clothes cheap/cheaply (cf 12.26 f )
In other cases, there is no corresoonding adverh form of the same, lexical item, so that only the adjective form is available:

## We returned early/fast/late today

Only a limited number of adjectives have adverbial uses: *We returned rapid today.
The principal syntactic difference between the use of the adjective and adverb forms is that the adjective form, if admissible at all, is restricted to a position after the verb or (if present) the object:

He slowly drove the car into the garage
He drove the car slowly into the garage
*He slow drove the car into the garage
(?)He drove the car slow into the garage

## Note

For adverbs as postmodifiers and as premodifiers in noun phrases, see 5.27 ff .

## Adjective and noun

5.44

Some items can be both adjectives and nouns ( cfalso 5.6 ff ). For e...ample, criminal is an adjective in that it can be used both attributively (a criminal attack) and predicatively (The attack seemed criminal to us).

But criminal also has all the characteristics of a noun; for example, in
having number contrast and the capacity to be subject of a clause, as in The criminals pleaded guilty to all charges.

Criminal is therefore both an adjective and a noun, and the relationship between the adjective criminal and the noun criminal is that of conversion (App I.25). Examples of other converted nouns:
There was only one black in my class
He is investigating the ancients' conception of the universe
The king greeted his nobles
5.45

Nouns commonly function as premodifiers of other nouns (13.34f):

| the city council | a love poem |
| :--- | :--- |
| a stone wall | August weather |

In this function, the attributive nouns resemble adjectives. However, the basically nominal character of these premodifiers is shown by their correspondence to prepositional phrases with the noun as complement:

| the council of the city | a poem about love |
| :--- | :--- |
| a wall (made) of stone | weather (usual) in August |

Such a correspondence is not available for attributive adjectives:

| the urban council | a long poem |
| :--- | :--- |
| a thick wall | hot weather |

though we can sometimes use a postmodifying prepositional phrase with a related noun as complement, eg: a long poem $\sim$ a poem of considerable length.
Some nouns can even function both attributively and predicatively. Moreover, these nouns are like adjectives in that they do not take number variation. The nouns denote material from which things are made or refer to style (cf the corresponding classes of adjective, 5.20):
that concrete floor $\sim$ that floor is concrete ( $=$ is of concrete)
Worcester porcelain ~this porcelain is Worcester
Some nouns can appear in predicative noun phrases after seem. In this, they resemble adjectives:

He seems a fool
His friend seems very much an Englishman
Your remark seems nonsense to me
These are indeed very close semantically to adjectives (foolish, English, nonsensical). The closeness is of course greatest for non-count nouns such as nonsense and fun, since, like adjectives, they do not have number
variation and can appear without an overt determiner. But, unlike adjectives functioning as heads of noun phrases ( 5.6 ff ), these non-count nouns take the zero article when they function (say) as direct object:

I like nonsense
He experienced bliss

## Adjective and participle

5.46

There are many adjectives that have the same form as purticiples ( $c$, 13.29 ff ):

His views were very surprising The man seemed very offended
These adjectives can also be attributive: his surprising views, the offended man, the downhearted children.
The -ed participle of intransitıve veros can alse oe ised aititibutivily: the escaped prisoner ('the prisoner who has escaped'), a grown boy ('a boy who has grown (up)'). Only with some of these is the predicative use allowed:
*The prisoner is escaper
Her son is grown (dubious in BrE , but full-grown or g.own-up is fully acceptable)
As with downearted, there may be no corresponding verb, and sc.nctimes a corresponding verb has a different meaning. We can therefore have ambiguous sentences where the ambiguity depends on whether we have a participle or an adjective:
They were (very) relieved (to find her at home) - adjective
They were relieved (by the next group of sentries) - participle

### 5.47

Often the difference between the adjective and the participle is not clearcut, and lies in the verbal force retained by the latter. The verbal force is explicit for the -ing form when a direct object is present. Hence, in His views were clarming tis audience, the -ing form is a participle. Similarly. the verbal force is explicit for the -ed form when a by agcntive phrase with a personal agent is present, indicating the correspondence to the active form of the sentence, as in The man was offended by the policeman.
For both participle forms, modification by the intensifier very is an explicit indication that the forms have adjective status:
His views were very alarming The man was very offended
We might therefore expect that the presence of very together with an explicit indicator of verbal force would produce an unacceptable sentence. This is certainly so for the -ing participle form:
*His views were very alarming his audience
However, with the -ed participle form, there appears to be divided usage, with increasing acceptance of the co-occurrence of very with a by agentive phrase containing a personal agent:
?The man was very offended by the policeman
And there is certainly no problem of co-occurrence if the agent is nonpersonal:

I'm very disturbed by your attitude
Note
[a] A participle is sometimes made fully adjectival by being compounded with another element:
He is looking (a: a painting)
It is bre..ning (nis theart)
He is (very) good-looking
it is (very) heart-breaking
[b] It is not only participles allowing the intensifier very that can be attributive (13.29): the winning team, his published work, the captured prisoner.
[c] A few adjectives are differentiated from participles by taking the -en suffix where participles with the same base have the -ed suffix (shaved) or are without a suffix (drunk, shrunk): sliaven, drunken, shrunken. For a few others, there is no difference between adjective and participle in spelling, but there is in pronunciation. Whereas the vowel of the participle suffix -ed is not pronounced, the suffix is treated in the adjective as a separate syllable pronounced /rd/: blessed, crooked, dogged, learned, ragsed. The adjective aged is disyllabic when it is a synonym of elderly' (my aged father), but is monosyllabic in such a sentence as My father is aged seventy.

## The adverb and other word-classes

5.48

Conjunct and conjunction
A few conjuncts, eg: so, yet, resemble coordinators both in being connectives and in having certain syntactic features (of 9.10 ff ). In particular, unlike clauses introduced by subordinators, those introduced by conjuncts cannot be moved in front of the preceding clause. Thus, the order of the following two clauses (with the conjunct so in the second clause) is fixed:
We paid him a very large sumı. So he kept quiet aboui what he saw.
If we change the order of the clauses, the relationship is changed and so must now refer to some preceding clause. However, the conjuncts differ from coordinators in that they can be preceded by a coordinator:

We paid him a very large sum, and so he kept quiet about what he saw.

### 5.49

Reaction signal and initiator
Certain other items must be positioned initially. They are important be-
cause of their high frequency in spoken English and some are restricted to the spoken language.
(1) reactior: signals, eg: no, yes, hm
(2) initiators, eg: well, oh, ah

Reaction signals normaily serve only as response utterances. Initiators can serve botil as response utterances and as initiators of conversations.

## Bibliographical note

On adjectives in general, see Vendler (1968). On the position of adjectives, see particularly Bolinger (19676); on stative and dynamic adjectives, see Schopf (1969); on de itenification of adjectives and adverbs, see Bolinger (1972); on adverbs in general, sce Chapter 8 below.

## SIX <br> PREPOSITIONS AND PREPOSITIONAL PHRASES

6.1

In the most general terms, a preposition expresses a relation between two enuties, une beitg itat repioscatci by the prepusitionul complenent. Of the various types of relational meaning, those of placeand time are the most prominent and easy to identify. Other relationships such as INSTRUMENT and CAUSE may also be recognized, although it is difficult to describe prepositional meanings systematically in terms of such labelc. Sone prefositinncluces mav, be test e'ucidaide by seeing a preposition as related to a clause; eg. The man with the red beard $\sim$ The man who has the red beard; my knowledge of Hindi~I know Hindi (4.70, 6.37).

## 6.2

## The prepositional phrase

A prepositional phrase consists of a preposition followed by a prepositional complement, which is characteristically a noun phrase or a $w h$ clause or V-ing clause:
preposition prepositional complement
at the bus-stop
from what he said
by signing a peace treaty
That-clauses and infinitive clauses, although they frequently have a nominal function in other iespects, do not occur as prepositional complements. Alternations between the presence and absence of a preposition are observed in cases like:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He was surprised at }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { her remark } \\ \text { her saying this } \\ \text { what she said }\end{array}\right. \\ \text { He was surprised that she said this }\end{array}\right.$
Further examples of verbs and adjectives which can have either prepositional complements or that-clauses are:
decide (on), inform (of), insist' 'on), afraid (of), aware (of), sorry (about), sure (of): see 12.11 f .
Note
[a] Exceptionally (mainly in idioms), an adverb (5.30) or an adjective may function as prepositional complement: at once, before long, in there, until now, since when, at least, at worst, in brief. Prepositional phrases can tnemselves be prepositional complements: 'He crawled from under the table.'
b] That-clauses can often become in effect prepositional comnlements through the use of the appositive constriction the fact that:

She became aware of the fact that I had deceived her

## 6.3

## Postposed prepositions

Normally a preposition must be followed by its complement; but there are some circumstances in which this does not happen, either because the complement nas to take first position in ihe ciáuso, or ticuuse it is absent:

WH-QUESTIONS: Which house did you leave it at? (7.52f)
At which house is he staying? (formal)
relative clauses: The old house which I was telling you about is empty (i3.6 ff) (about which I was telling you: formal)
wh-CLAUSES : What I'm convinced of is that the world's pepulation
will zrow to an unforeseen extent (11.16)
exclamations: What a mess he's got into! (7.63).
PASSIVES: She was sought after by all the leading impresarios of the day (14.8)
INFINITIVE CLAUSES: He's impossible to work with (12.13)
A prejudice against such postposed prepositions remains in formal English, which offers (for relative clauses and for direct or indirect questions) the alternative of an initial preposition:
It was a situation from which no escape was possible
This construction is often felt, however, to be stilted and awkward in informal English, especially in speech, and indeed in some cases (7.53 Note u) the postposed preposition has no preposed alternative.

## Note

In formal style, notwithstanding is sometimes postposed:
His intelligence notwithstanding, he was not successful in the examination
In addition there are several idiomatic usages such as all the world over, all the year round, search the house through (cf: search through it).

## 6.4

Simple arid complex prepositions
Most of the common English prepositions, such as at, in, and for, are
sImple, ie consist of ine word. Other prepositions, consisting of more than one word, are called complex. Most of these are in one of the following categories:
[A] ADVERB or PREP+PREP: along with, as for, away from, out of, up to, etc
[B] VERb/ADJECTIVE/Conjunction/etc + Prep: owing to, due to, beca:ise of, etc
[C] FREP + NOUN +PREP: by means of, in comparison with, in front of, etc

In [C], which is by far the most numerous category, the noun in some complex prepositions is preceded by a definite or indefinite article:
in the light of; as $a$ result of
Nute
Monosyllabic simple prepositious are nua inalıv unstressed; polysyluabic preposıtions (whether simple or complex) are normally stressed. In complex prepositions, the stress falls on the word (adverb, noun, etc) preceding the final preposition.

## 6.5

Prcpesitions and prepesiticnal adverbs
A prepositional adverb is a particle which behaves like a preposition with ellipted complement:
A car drove past the door (past is a preposition)
A car drove past (past is a prepositional adverb; ie: past something or someone identified in the context)
In the examples below, the adverb is respectively (a) an adjunct, (b) a postmodifier:
(a) Despite the fine weather, we stayed in all day (place adjunct)

He hasn't been here since (time adjunct)
(b) The day before, I had spoken to him in the street (postmodifier) Noto
Prepositions normally unstressed are accented when they are prepositional adverbs: He 'stayed in the 'house
He 'stayed 'in
6.6
S.jntactic functions of prepositional phrasos

Prepositional phrases may function as:

The people were singing on the bus
(b) Disjunct (8.2):

To my surprise, the doctor phoned
(c) Conjunct (8.2):

On the other hand, he made no attempt to help the victim or apprehend her attacker
(d) Postmodifier in a noun phrase ( 13.19 ff ):

The people on the bus were singing
(e) Complementation of a verb (12.10, 12.29): We depend on you
(f) Complementation of an adjective (12.11):

I am sorry for his parents
Note
[a] Prepositional phrases may occasionally have a nominal function, eg as subject of a clause:
Between six and seven will suit me
[b] In (e) and $(f)$ the preposition is closely related to and is determined by the preceding verb at adjentive

| DIMENSION- <br> TYPE 0 <br> (point) | POSITIVE |  | NEGATIVE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | direction | position | direction | position |
|  | to $\rightarrow X$ | $\begin{aligned} & a t \\ & \bullet \times \end{aligned}$ | (away) from $\times \longrightarrow$ | away from |
| DIMENSION- <br> TYPE $1 / 2$ <br> (line or surface) | $o n(t o)$ | on | off | off |
| DIMENSION- <br> TYPE 2/3 <br> (area or volume) |  |  |  |  |

Fig 6:1 Place and dimension

## Prepositional Meanings: Place <br> 6.7 <br> Dimension

When we use a preposition to indicate place, we do so in relation to the dimensional properties, whether subjectively or objectively conceived, of the location concerned. Consider the following examples:
6.8 Positive position and direction: at, to, etc

## My car is at the cottage

There is a new roof on the cottage
There are two beds in the cottage
The use of at makes cottage a dimensionless location, a mere point in relation to which the car's position can be indicated. With on, the cottage becomes a two-dimensional area, covered by a roof, though on is also capable of use with a one-dimensional object, as in 'Put your signature on this line'. With in, the cottage becomes the three-dimensional object which in reality it is, though in is capable of being used with objects which are essentially two-dimensional, as in 'The cow is in the field', where field is conceived of as an enclosed space (contrast 'We walked on the beach'). Fig 6:1 sets out the dimensional orientation of the chief prepositions of place.
Note
Some of the prepositions in Fig 6:1 can be replaced by other prepositions with the same meaning: upon is a formal equivalent of on; inside and within can substitute for in, and outside for out of.

## 6.8

## Positive position and direction: at, to, etc

Between the notions of simple position (or static location) and direction (movement with respect to a destination) a cause-and-effect relationship obtaius:

DIRECTION
Tom went to the door
Tom fell on(to) the floor
Tom dived in(to) the water

## POSITION

as a result: Tom was at the door as a result: Tom was on the floor as a result: Tom was in the water

A prepositional phrase of 'position' can accompany any verb, although the meaning of 'direction' generally (but by no means always - see 6.16 requires a dynamic verb (3.35) of 'motional' meaning, such as go, move, $f l y$, etc.

The contrast between on ( $=$ 'surface') and in ( $=$ 'area') has various implications according to context, as these examples show:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { on the window: } \\ \text { in the window/mirror: }: \\ \text { (window= glass surface) } \\ \text { a face appeared in the window/mirror } \\ \text { (window, mirror = framed area) }\end{array} \\ \text { (window }\end{array}\right.$
(on the island:
in the island:
(window, mirror = framed area)
Robinson Crusoe was marooned on an uninhabited island
He was born in Long Island
(the island has an institu tional identity)

The opposition between at (dimension-type $\cap$ ) and in (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ) can also cause difficulty. In is used for continents, countries, provinces, and sizeable territories of any kind; but for towns, villages, etc, either at or in is appropriate, according to point of view: at/in Stratford-upon-Avon. A very large city, such as New York, London, or Tokyo, is generally treated as an area: He works in London, but lives in the country. But one could treat it as a point on the map if global distances were in mind: Our plane refuelled at London on its way from New York to Moscow.
With buildings, a!so, both at and in can be used. The difference here is that at refers to a building in its institutional or functional aspect, whereas in refers to it as a three-dimensional structure:
He's $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at school ( } \mathrm{BrE} \text { ) } \\ \text { in school (AmE) }\end{array}\right\}$ (='He attends/is attending school')
Ire’s ī school ! = (in B-E) 'He’s astual!’’ incide tho building - ret, =? on the playing fields')

So too, at/in Oxford.
Note
[a] In many cases (especially in colloquial English), on and in may be used for both position and uestinatic.: He lived in the waier; He fell on the floor.
[b] In addition to the prepositions mentioned, against, about, and around are commonly used as prepositions of simple position or destination: against in the sense 'touching the side surface of' (He's leaning against the wall); about and around in the sense of 'in the vicinity of' (He's been snooping about/around the place all day).
[c] Two additional meanings of on as a preposition of position are 'attached to': the apples on the tree
and 'on top of'
Humpty Dumpty sat on the wall

## 6.9

Negative position and direction: away from, off, etc
There is a parallel cause and effect relation with the negative prepositions away from, off, off of (informal AmE), out of:

DIRECTION
T'om went away from the doo: position
Tom was away from the door (=Tom was not at the door)
The negative character of these prepositions is shown by the parenthesized paraphrase. Cf: off $=$ 'not on', out of $=$ 'not in'.
6.10

Relotive position: by, over, under, etc
Apart from simple positicu, prepositions may express the relative position of two objects or groups of objects:

He was standing by his brother ( $=$ 'at the side of')
I left the keys with my wallet ( $=$ 'in the same place as')
Above, over, on top of, under, underneath, beneuth, below express relative position vertically whereas before, in front of, behind, after represent it horizontally. The diagram depicts the relations expressed by ' A is above X ', ' D is behind X ', etc. The antonyms above and below, over

and under, in front of and behind are not positive and negative, but converse oppusites:

The picture is above the mantelpiece $=$ The mantelpiece is below the picture
The bus is in front of the car=The car is behind the bus
Over and under as place prepositions are roughly synonymous with above and below respectively. The main differences are that over and under tend to indicate a direct vertical relationship and/or spatial proximity, while above and below may indicate simply 'on a higher/lower level than':
The castle stands on a hill above (rather than over) the valley
The doctor and the policeman were leaning over (rather than above)
the body when we arrived
Underneath and öeneath are less common substitutes for under; beneath is formal is style. Underneath, like on top of, generally indicates a contiguous relation.

## Note

Other prepositions of relative position are beside, near ( $t 0$ ), between, amid(st! (formal), among, amongst (esp BrE).

### 6.11

## Relative destination: by, over, under, etc

As well as relative position, the prepositions listed in 6.10 (but not, generally, above and below) can express relative destination :

The bush was the only conceivable hiding-place, so I dashed behind it When it started to rain, we all went underneath the trees

This use is distinct from that denoting passage behind, under, etc (6.12).
6.12

Passage: by, over, under, etc
With verbs of motion, prepositions may express the idea of PASSAGE (ie movement towards and then away from a place) as well as destination. With the prepositions listed in 6.10 , this occurs in sentences like:

He jumped over a ditch
Someone ran behind the goal-posts
 there is an ambiguity: we can supply either the meaning of 'passage' ( $=$ 'the ball passed under the table on the way to some other destination') or the meauing of 'destination' ( $=$ 'the ball rolled under the table and stayed there').
Noto
A triple ambiguity may in fact arise with the above sentences, or more clearly with A mouse scuttled behind the curtain, which may be interpreted not only in the senses of 'passage' and 'destination', but aiso in a positioial sense, implyi.ig that :'se mc ase stayed (scuttling back and forth) behind the curtain all the time.

### 6.13

## Passage: across, through, past

The sense of 'passage' is the primary locative meaning attached to across (dimension-type $1 / 2$ ), through (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ) and past (the 'passage' equivalent of by which may also, however, be substituted for past in a 'passage' sense). Note the parallel between across and on, through and in in the diagram:

DIMENSION-
TYPE $1 / 2$ $\qquad$ on the grass $\qquad$ across the grass
dimension-
TYPE $2 / 3$ $\square$ H1U1
The upper pair treat the grass as a surface, and therefore suggest short grass; the lower pair, by treating the grass as a volume, suggest that it has height as well as length and breadth - that is, that the grass is long. There is a meaning of over corresponding to across in this sense: The ball rolled over/across the lawn.

### 6.14

Direction: up, down, along, etc
Up, down, along, across (in a slightly different sense from that of 6.13), and (a)round, with verbs of motion, make up a group of prepositions ex${ }_{F}$ ressing movement with reference to an axis or directional path. $U p$ and down contrast in terms of vertical direction, while along ( $=$ 'from one end towards the other') contrasts with across ( $=$ 'from one side to another') in terms of a horizontal axis.


But $u p$ and down are also used idiomaticallv in reference to a horizontal axis:
I walked up and down the platform
$U p$ and down here express the notion of 'along', and need not have any vertical implications.
With (a)round, the directional path is an angle or a curve:
We ran up the hill
We ran (a)round the corner
Toward(s) is in a category of its own, having the meaning 'in the direction of'.

### 6.15

Crientation: beyond, over, past, etc
Mcst prepositions lisıed in 6.10 and 6.14 can be used in a static sense of orientation. This brings in a third factor apart from the two things being spatially related: viz a 'point of orientation', at which (in reality or imagination) the speaker is standing. Beyond ( $=$ 'on the far side of') is a preposition whose primary meaning is one of orientation; furthermore, over ( BrE ), past, across, and through can combine the meaning of 'beyond' with more specific information of dimension-type, etc, on the lines described in 6.13:

He lives across the moors (ie 'from here')
The village past the bus-stop/through the wood, etc

I'p, down, along, across, and (a)round (see 6.14) are used orientatioually with reference to an axis in

The shop down the road (ie towards the bottom end of . . .)
His office is up/down the stairs (ie at (or towards) the top/bottom of . . .)
There's a hotel across/along the road (ie on the other side/towards the other end of . . .)
He lives (a)round the corner

### 6.16

## Resultative meaning

All prepositions which have motional meaning can also have a static resultative meaning indicating the state of having reached the destination:

$$
\text { I managed to get }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { over the fence } \\
\text { across the river }
\end{array}\right\} \text { (ie so that I was then }
$$

So too with the verb be:
The horses are over the fence (ie are now beyond)
Resultative meaning is not always distinguishable out of context from other static meanings; its presence is often signalled, however, by certain adverbs: already, just, at last, (not) yet, etc.

### 6.17

## Pervasive meaning: all over, throughout, etc

Over (dimension-type $1 / 2$ ) and through (dimension-type $2 / 3$ ), especially when preceded by all, have pervasive meaning (either static or motional):
That child was running all over the flower borders
Chaos reigned all through the house
Throughout, substitutable for all through, is the only preposition whose primary meaning is 'pervasive'. Occasionally the 'axis' type prepositions of 6.14 are also used in a pervasive sense:
There were crowds (all) along the route
They put flowers (all) around the statue

### 6.18

## Seven senses of over

Let us now see how one preposition (over) may be used in most of the senses discussed:

| position: | A lamp hung over the door |
| :--- | :--- |
| destination: | They threw a blanket over her |

## pASSAGB: They climbed over the wall

orientation: They live over ( $=$ 'on the far side of') the road
resultative: At last we were over the crest of the hill PERViSIVE (Static): Leaves lay thick (all) over tie ground pervasive (motion): They splashed water (all) over me

### 6.19

Verbs containing prepositional meaning
When a verb contains within it the meaning of a following preposition, it is often possible to omit the preposition; the verb then beccmes transitive, and the prepositional complement becomes a direct object. For example, climb (up), jump (over), flee (from), pass (by): 'He climbed (up) the hill'.

### 6.20

## Metaphorical or abstract use of place prepositions

Mayy plare prepositions have abstract meanings which are clearly related, through metaphorical connection, to their locative uses. Very c.'ten prepositions sc used keep the groupinge (in terms of similar:ty or contrast of meaning) that they have when used in literal reference to place. This is often true, for example, of temporal usage (6.21).
One may perceive a stage-by-stage extension of metaphorical usage in such a series as:
(i) in shallow water (purely iiteral)
(ii) in deep water (also metaphorical = 'in trouble')
(iii) in difficulties (the preposition is used metaphorically)
(iv) in a spot ( $=$ 'in a difficult situation'; both the preposition and the noun are metaphorical, since literally spot would require at: 6.7)
Examples in relation to the literal meanings are:
IN/OUT OF; AMID (rare)/AMIDST (formal)
position $\rightarrow$ state, condition:
iniout of danger; amidst many tioubles
enclosure $\rightarrow$ abstract inclusion:
in stories/plays; in a group/party; in/out of the race

## above/below/beneath

vertical direction $\rightarrow$ abstract scale:
such behaviour is beneath (not below) him; he's above me in salary

## UNDER

vertical direction $\rightarrow$ subjection, subordination:
under suspicion/orders/compulsion

UP/DOWN
movement on vertical axis $\rightarrow$ movement on list or scale: up/down the scale; up/down the social ladider

## FROM/TO

starting point/destination $\rightarrow$ originator/recipient:
a letter/present from Browning to his wife (6.29-30)

## BEYOND/PAST/OVER

resultative meaning; physical $\rightarrow$ abstract:
beyondlpast endurance; we're over the worst
BETWEEN/AMONG, AMONGST (esp BrE)
relative position $\rightarrow$ abstract relation between participants: a fight between two boys; they agree among(st) themselves
idotu
A few prepositions (chicfly in and out of) can operate in an apparently converse relationship. For example:
The horse is in foal (=The foal is in the horse['s wumb])
The office is out of envelopes (=There are no envelopes in the office)
Cf also (a ship) in ballast, out of breath.

## Time

6.2i:

Time when: at, on, in
$A t$, on, and in as prepositions of 'time when' are to some extent parallel to the same items as positive prepositions of position (6.7), although in the time sphere there are only two 'dimension-types', viz 'point of time' and 'period of time'

At is used for points of time, chiefly clock-time (at ten o' clock, at 6.30 pim, at noon, etc); also, idiomatically, for holiday periods (at the weekend (BrE), at Christmas, at Easter); and for phrases at night, at the/that time, etc.

On is used with phrases referring to days (on Monday, on the following del!. on $M\left(n n^{\prime}\right.$ (the) first): otherwise in or. less commonly. during is used to indicate periods of time: in the evening, during Holy Week, in August, in the months that followed, in the eighteenth century, etc.
Note
On Monday morning, on the following evening, etc illustrate an exceptional use of cn with a complement referring to a part of a day, rather than a whole day. But with phrases like early morning, late afternoon it is normal to use in: in the late afternoon.

### 6.22

Duratinn: for, cic
Duration is expressed by for; contrast:

$$
\text { We camped there }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { for the summer (ie all through) } \\
\text { in the summer (ie at some time during the } \\
\text { summer) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

So too in idiomatic purases like for ever and for good ('for ever').
Over, all through, and throughout have a durational meaning parallel to their pervasive meaning in reference to place (6.17): We camped there throughout tho summer. Over normally accompanies noun phrases denoting special occasions (such as holidays and festivals), and so generally refers to a shorter period of time than all through or throughout.
From . . . to is another pair of prepositions whose locative meaning is transferred to duration. The AmE alternative expression (from)... through avoids the ambiguity as to whether the period mentioned second is included in the total span of time:

We camped there (from) June through September (AmE)
(=up to and including September)
We camped there from June to (or till) September
(=up to [?and including] September)
Not 3
[a] Except with verbs like stay, during refers to a point or period within duration rather than to duration itself: He spoke during the meeting.
[b] Perticu!- ri, with zegati: es and superlativer, for or (esp inforn ally) in exp-essus exclusive duration: I haven't seen him forl in years.

### 6.23

Before, after, since, and until/till
As prepositions (but of 11.21 ), these occur almost exclusively as prepositions of time, and are followed by either (a) a temporal noun phrase (eg: before next week), (b) a subjectless V-ing clause (eg: since leaving school), or (c) a noun phrase with a deverbal noun (App I.13, I.16, I.24) or some other noun phrase interpreted as equivalent to a clause:
until the fall of Rome ( $=$ 'until Rome fell')
berore the war ( $=$ 'before the war started or too' place')
Until specifies a terminal point with positive and a commencement point with negative predications:

We slept until midnight ( $=$ We stopped sleeping then)
We didn't sleep until midnight ( $=$ We started sleeping then)

### 6.24

Between, by, and up to
Other prepositions of time are between, by, and up 10 :

I'll phone you betwéen lunch and three o'clock
By the time we'd walked five miles, he was exhausted
Up to last week, I hadn't received a reply
By specifies a commencement point; contrast:
$B y$ that time he was exhausted ( $=\mathrm{He}$ was then exhausted)
Until that time he was exhausted ( $=$ He was then no longer exhausted)
This meaus that by-phrases do not co-occur with verbs of durative meaning:

$$
\text { He lay there }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
* \text { by } \\
\text { until }
\end{array}\right\} \text { midnight }
$$

## Absence of prepositions of time

6.25

Prepositions of time when are always absent from adjuncts having the deictic words last, next, this, and that; the quantifying words some and every; and nouns which have 'last , 'next', or 'this' as an element of their meaning: yesterday/today/tomorrow. Cf 8.31 ff . For example:
I saw him last Thursday
I'll mention it next time I see him
Plums are more plentiful this year
Every summer she returns to her childhood home
The preposition is usually optional with deictic phrases referring to times at more than one remove from the present, such as (on) Monday week ( BrE ), (in) the January before last, (on) the day before yesterday. So too with phrases which identify a time before or after a given time in the past or future: (in) the previous spring, (at) the following weekend, (on) the next day. On the whole, the sentence without the preposition tends to be more informal and more usual.

## Note

Informally, we also have omission of the temporal preposition in sentences such as I'll see you Sunday, where the preposition on is omitted before a day of the week standing on its own. Another informal type of omission is in initial position preceding a plural noun phrase:
Sundays we go into the country

We stayed there (for) three months
The snowy weather lasted (for) the whole time we were there
(For) a lot of the time we just lay on the beach
The omission almost invariab!y takes place with phrases which begin with all, such as all day, all (the) week:
We stayed there all week (rot * for all week)
In other cases, however, the omission is impossible: for example, where the action of the verb is clearly not continuously co-extensive with the period specified:

I lived there three years I lived there for three years
*I taught him three years I taught him for three years
rnitial nositinn in the clause also seems to discor-age, omics:on: Frr 500 years, the cross lay unnoticed.

## Prepositionel pli.rese chiefly as adjunct

Cause $\sim$ purpose
6.27

CAUSE, REASON, MOTIVE: BECAUSE OF, etc
At one end of the spectrum of cause $\sim$ purpose, we have prepositions expressing either the material cause or tie psychological cause (motive) of a happening:
Because of the drought, the price of bread was high that year
On account of his wide experience, he was made chairman
I hid the money, for fear of what my parents would say
The survivors were weak from exposure and luck of food
Some people support charities out of duty
Phrases of cause, reason and motive answer the question 'Why . . .?'
6.28

PURPOSE, INTENDED DESTINATION: FOR

## He'll do anything for morey <br> Everyone ran for shelter <br> He died for his country

The notion of 'purpose' can be seen from the possibiliiy of paraphrase by a clause (in order) to . . (see 11.31): for money = 'in order to gain money'.

Phrases of purpose or destination answer the questions 'Why . . .?', What . . . for?', 'Where . . . for?', or 'Who . . . for?'. They frequently occur as postmodifiers as well as adverbials: the scenery for the play, etc.
6.29

RECIPIENT, GOAL, TARGET: $F O R, T O, A T$
He made a beautiful doll for his daughter
So used for 'intended recipient' (his daughter may or may not have actu--lly received the doll), the for phrase can often be equated with an ir direct object $(7.6,12.28)$ : He made his daughter a beautiful doll. In contrast, a to phrase usually expresses the 'actual recipient':

He gave a beautiful doll to his daughter
(which entails that his daughter actually received the doll). Here again there is a transformational relationship with the indirect object construction (7.9):

$$
\mathrm{I}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { save } \\
\text { lent } \\
\text { sold }
\end{array}\right\} \text { the book to my friend } \leftrightarrow \mathrm{I}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { gave. } \\
\text { lent } \\
\text { sold }
\end{array}\right\} \text { my friend the book }
$$

At, in combinations such as aim at (where the prepositional phrase is complementary to the verb), expresses INTENDED GOAL or TARGET:

After aiming carefully at the bird, he missed it completely
A vicious mongrel was snapping at his ankles
As the first senience shows, the intended goal need not be achieved. A contrast in many cases (kick at, charge at, bite at, catch at, shoot at, chew at) may be drawn between this use of at, in which some idea of 'aim' is implied, and the direct object construction, which indicates attainment of the goal or consummation of the action as planned. In other cases, to must be used if the attainment of the goal is to be stressed: He ran at me/He ran to me. Similarly, point at/to, throw at/to.

## Note

There is a comparable difference between at and to when combined with verbs of utterance such as roar, bellow, shout, nutter, growl: He shouted at me suggests that I am being treated merely as a target ( eg of abuse), while He shouted to me implies that the shouter is communivating with me, ie that I am the recinient of the message. At here usually suggests hostility.

### 6.30

SOURCE, ORIGIN: FROM
The cunverse of to (='goal') is from (='source'):
Bill lent the book to me $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{I}$ borrowed the book from Bill
From is also used with reference to 'place of origin':
He comes from Austria ( $=$ he is Austrian)

This type of prepositional phrase occurs not only as an adjunct, but as a postmodifier: the man from Mars; a friend of mine from London.

## Means ~agentive

6.31

MANNER: WITH, IN . . MANNER, LIKE
We were received with the utmost courtesy
The task was done in a workmanlike manner
The army swept through the city like a pestilence
Note that like with intensive verbs, as in Life is like a dream, refers not to manner but to resemblance.
Note
As distinct from like, prepos:tiunal as refers to actual role:
He spoke $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { like a sawyer ('after the manner of ...') } \\ \text { as a lawyer ('in the capacity of ....) }\end{array}\right.$
6.32

MEANS, INSTRUMENT: BY, WITH, WITHOUT
By can express the meaning 'hy' me?ns of':
I usually go to work by bus/train/car
The thief must have entered and left the house by the breck door
By working the pumps, we kept the ship afloat for another 40 hours
With, on the other hand, expresses instrumental meaning:
He caught the ball with his left hand
Someone had broken the window with a stone
There is a correspondence between these sentences (which normally require a human subject and a direct object) and sentences containing the verb use: He !sed his left hand to catch the ball; Someone had used a stone to break the window. There is also an alternative construction in which the noun phrase denoting the instrument becomes the subject: His left hand caught the ball; A stone had broken the window. (On 'instrumental' subjects, see 7.10.)

For most senses of with, including that of insirument, without ex presses the equivalent negative meaning: I drew it without a ruler (ie 'I did not draw it with a ruler').

Phrases of mcans and instrument answer the question 'How . . .? :
A: How did he do it? B: By working hard.
Note
[a] Mode of transport is expressed by on as well as by: on the bus/the train/a ship/a plane. These are not purely locative phrases (location in such cases would be expressed by in rather than on: 6.7), but rather indicate the condition of being 'in
tran: it'. Mhus, Igo to work on the bus can be an alternative to I go to work by bus. $O n$ is used instead of $h v$ in the phrases on foot, on horseback.
[b] Of is used with die in expressions like He died of hunger.

### 6.33

INSTRUMENT, AGENTIVE: WITH, BY
While the 'instrument' is the inert and normally inanimate cause of an action (the ball that breaks a window), the 'agentive' is its animate (normally human) initiating cause (the boy who threw the ball). In a passive sentence, the agentive or instrument can be expressed by a $b_{j}$ phrase, but only the instrument can be expressed by a with phrase:
The window was broken $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { by a ball/by a boy } \\ \text { with a ball/*with a boy }\end{array}\right.$
The agentive by-phrase alsっ occurs as a postmodifier to signify anthership or the like: a novel by Tolstoy, a picture by Degas, etc.

### 6.34

Stimulus: $A T$
The relation between an emotion and its stimulus (normally an abstract stimulus) can often be expressed by at or by the instrumental by:

I was alarmed at/by his behaviour
Both of these can be treated as passive equivalents of His behaviour alarmed me, and the noun phrase following at may be treated as a 'quasiagent'. See further 12.11. Other prepositions introducing stimuli are illustrated in the examples resentful of, disappointed with, sorry about.

## Note

[a] A number of other prepositions may introduce 'quasi-agents' after certain participles:

I'm worried about this ( $\sim$ This worries me)
He's interested in history ( $\sim$ History interests him)
Cf also: His plans were known to everyone (Everyone knew his plans)
[b] In BrE , with rather than $a t$ is used when the 'stimulus' is a person or ohject rather than an event: I was fu-ious with (not ai) Jo'in; I was deligited with (not at), he piesent. But in AmsE, I was furicus/angry/livid at Jol.n is quite usual. With abstract nouns, at is unrestrictedly acceptable: I was furious at John's behaviour.

### 6.35

## Accompaniment: with

Especially when followed by an animate complement, with has the meaning 'in company with' or 'together with':

I'm so glad you're coming with us
Jock, with several of his friends, was drinking till 2 am

In the second sentence, the with phrase serves a function very close to coordination with and: 'Jock and several of his friends were . . .'
An example of a phrase of accompaniment as postmodifier is:
Curry with rice is my favourite dish
In this as in most other senses (but cf 6.36) without is the negative of with: They're going without us; You never see him without (ie 'unaccompanicd by') his dog.
6.36

Support, opposition: for, with, against
Are you for cr against the plan? (ie Do you support or oppose the plan?)
It is prudent to go with rather than against the tide of public opinion
For conveys the 1aea of support, with that of solidarity or movement in sympathy; against conveys the contrary idea of opposition. In this use, there is no negative without contrasting with with.
6.37

Prepositional phrase chiofly as postmodifier
'Having': of, with, without
Beside the following examples:

> (1)
(a) a man of courage
(b) a man with large ears

## (2)

a comparable relation exists paraphrasable with have: 'The man has courage', 'The man has large ears'. The two columns differ in that (1) makes $a$ man the centre of attention, while (2) makes something about him the centre of attention. They also differ in the definiteness attributed to man (4.20), such that column (2) presupposes previous specification. The preposition of in (la) is normally used with abstract attributes, while with in (1b) is more general and is especially common with concrete attributes. The correspondence of the of - and $-s$ genitive in column (2) is also io be noted ( 4.69 fj ).
The negative of with is again withouts
women without children ('childless women')
the house without a porch ('... which has no porch')
The correspondence between phrases with with or without and relative clauses with have applies also to clauses in which have is followed by a quasi-clausal ouject (13.19):
the girl with a boy friend in the navy
( $\sim \ldots$ who has a boy friend in the navy)

### 6.38

## Prepositional phrase chiefly as disjunct or conjunct

Concession: in spite of, despite, for + all, with + all, notwithstanding
I admire him, in spite of his faults
He lost the fight, for all his boasting
In spite of is a general-purpose preposition of concession; despite is rather more formal and notwithstanding is formal and rather legalistic in style. The combinations for all and with all (all being an obligatory predeterminer with this meaning) are chiefly colloquial.
6.39

Reference: with regard to, with reference to (formal), as to ( BrE ), as for
With reference to your letter of April 29th, I confirm . .
As for the burglar, he escaped through the attic window
As to and as for ( $=$ 'returning to the question of . . .') are less formal than the other complex prepositions in this group. Other prepositions within the same general area of meaning are regarding, in regard to, with respect to, in resneci ef, and on the matter of. Most can he used in postri.odifying phrases as well as in disjuncts: I'd like to know your opinion as to/with regard to the burglar's behaviour.

### 6.40

## Exception: except for, but, etc

All the students except/but John passed the test
Commonly the complement is itself a prepositional phrase:
The weather is good today, except in the south-east
Except, excepting, and but function generally (in the case of but exclusively) in postmodifying phrases. Thus but cannot occur initially as a preposition: *But me, everyone was tired. The prepositional phrase, in such constructions, is often separated from its noun head: and postponed to the end of the clatse (cf 14.30).
Everyone but me was tired ~Everyone was tired but me
Except for, with the exception of, and apart from are used primarily in disjuncts.
Note
The resemblance and the contrast between but as a preposition and but as a conjunction ( 10.36 ) are brought out in:

[^2]
### 6.41

Negative condition: but for
It is to be noted that but for is not used in the sense of exception, but rather that of 'negative condition': But for Gordon, we should have lost the match (ie 'If it hadn't been for Gordon...., 'If Gordon hadn't played as he did . . .', etc).

Prepositional phrase chiefly as complementation of verb or adjective
6.42

Subject matter: about, on
He told me about his adventures
He's lecturing on new technicues of management
Wia the mearing 'un the s.ivject of, wncerning', ub, ai urd in car: combine with a considerable range of verbs and adjectives, including:

$$
\text { speak about/on } \quad \text { silent about/on }
$$

On tends to refer to deliberate, formal linguistic communication (speaking, lecturing, writing, etc) and is therefore inappronriate for verhs like chat or quarrel.
This difference of meaning occurs also with postmodifying phrases:
a book about/on butterflies a story about a princess

## Note

[a] Of is a somewhat rarer and more literary alternative to about in tell . . of; speak of; talk of; inform ... of; etc. Both about and of are possible with think, but with a difference of meaning: He thought about the problem $={ }^{\prime}$ He pondered/considered
the problem'; He th. oug'it of the prob'em='He brought the problem to his mind'.
[b] A less usual alternative to about and on is concerning, which is formal to the point of being ratier stilted: a dispute concerning land rights.

### 6.43

Ingredient, material: with, of, out of
After verbs of 'making', with indicates an ingredient, whereas of and out of signify the material or constituency of the whole tning:
You make a cake with eggs (ie 'eggs are one of the ingredients')
He made the frame (out) of wood (ie 'wood was the only material')
The same contrast of meaning is seen with build and construct:
The terminal was built/constructed with reinforced concrete
The terminal was built/constructed (out) of reinforced concrete
With also enters into expressions such as paved with brick, filled with water, loaded with hay.

Of (used with nouns denouing 'material') is found in a postmodifying function as well as in adverbials: a bi ucelet uj solid gold, a table of polished oak (ie 'made/consisting of polished oak'); here it may also be used metaphorically: a man of steel; a heart of stone.

### 6.44

## Respect, standard: at, for

A gradable adjective implies some standard or norm (5.19): big means something different in This elephant is big, This cat is big, since 'big for an elephant' presupposes a larger scale, and a larger norm, than 'big for a cat'. We can make the norm explicit by a for phrase:

He's not bad for a youngster (ie considering he is a youngster)
That dog is long-legged for a terrier
A further way in which a prepositional phrase may specify the meaning of a gradable adjective is to use at to introduce the respect in which the adjective is appropriate to its noun phrase:

He's bad/hopeless/terrible at pames
These two prepositional uses are not restricted to adjectival complementetion:

I'm a complete dunce at mathematics
For an Englishman, he speaks foreign languages remarkably_well
It's a dreadfully expensive toy for what it is

### 6.45

Reaction: to
Instead of regarding John's blunder in my surprise at John's blunder as the stimulus of the surprise (as in 6.34), we can regard the surprise as the reaction to the blunder. If we make the main clause represent the event acting as a 'stimulus', we can express the reaction by the preposition to followed by an abstract uoun of emotion: To my annoyance, they rejected the offer. Tu my annoyance in this context is an attitudinal disjunct, comparable with adverbs such as annoyingly, surprisingly ( 8.50 f).
Alternatively, we can use a to-phrase to identify the PBrson reACTING: To me, their rejection of the offer was a surprise. In this last sense, to is not limited to emotive reactions; it applies equally to inteliectual or perceptual responses:

To a mind based in common sense, his ideas are utterly absurd It looked to me like a vast chasm
6.46

Modification of prepositional phrases
It is worth noting that prepositional meanings (particularly of time and place) are subject to modification as regards degree and measure, and that prepositions may therefore (like many adjectives and adverbs) be preceded by intensifiers. For example:

He had wandered right ( = 'completely') off the path
Now their footsteps could be heard direct'y above my head
There is doubt in such cases as to whether the intensifier should be treated as applying to the whole prepositional phrase, or to the preposition alone. Occasionally, the possibility of placing the intensifier after the phrase suggests that it is the phrase as a whole that is qualified:
「ew peupie aite against puilic ownersnip cumpietely

## Bibliographical note

For some theoretical discussion of prepositional roles, see Fillmore ( ${ }^{1968}$ ). On English prepositions, see Aksenenko (1956); Close (1962); Leech (1969a), Chapter 8, on prepositions of place; Quirk (1968), on complex prepositions.

## SEVEN

## THE SIMPLE SENTENCE

## Clause patterns

## 7.1

Simple and complex sentences
It was pointed out in 2.4 that elements such as V (erb) and O (bject) were constituents of sentences and also of clauses within sentences. From now on, we shall speak of clauses and clause structure whenever a statement is true both for sentences and for the clauses of which it is composed:

superordinate clause
In the present chapter, however, we shall be dealing culy with simple sentences: that is, sentences consisting of only one clause.

## 7.2

## Clause types

Concentrating on those elements that are normall; obligatory, we can usefully distinguish seven clause types which we may designate in italics with the abbreviations explained in 2.4-10:

| (1) $S V A$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{V}_{\text {Intons }} & \mathrm{A}_{\text {piace }} \\ \text { Mary is } & \text { in the house }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2) $S V C$ | $\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {tntens }} \mathrm{C}_{\text {s }}$ |
|  | Mary is $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { kind } \\ \text { a nurse }\end{array}\right.$ |
| (3) SVO | $\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {monctrazs }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |
|  | Somebody caught the ball |
| (4) SiOA | S $\mathrm{V}_{\text {complex trans }}$ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> I put $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$  <br> the plate on the table   |
| (5) SVOC | $S \mathrm{~V}_{\text {complex erans }} \mathrm{O}_{d} \mathrm{C}_{0}$ |
|  | We have proved him $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { wrong } \\ \text { a fool }\end{array}\right.$ |
| (6) svoo | $\bigcirc \mathrm{V}_{\text {diuiuas }} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ |
|  | She gives me expensive presents |
| (7) SV | $\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {Intrans }}$ |
|  | The child laughed |

Note
[a] Most obligatory adjuncts are A place, but there are many cajes :-- which, the tern place' applies only in a broad metaphorical sense: He is without a job
We kept him off cigarette,
while some are not $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ at all: 'They treated iim kindly'
[b] Among the relatively minor patterns not accounted for here, we might mention $\mathrm{SV} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{3}$ : John made Mary a good husband (ie 'John was a good husband to Mary')

## 7.3

Complementation
The elements $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{C}$, and A in the above patterns are obligatory elements of clause structure in the sense that they are required for the complemen tation of the verb. By this we mean that, given the use of a particular verb in a particular sense, the sentence is incomplete if one of these elements is omitted: *I put the book (Type SVOA) and *He resembled (Type SVO) are unacceptable. In some cases, however, a direct object or object complement in cne of these patterns may be considered granmaticaily optional:

He's eating - of He's eating an apple (Type SVO)
He made her career - cf He made her career a success (Type SVOC)
He's teaching - cf He's teaching German (Type SVO), Ite's teaching the boys (German) (Type SVOO)
Our approach, however, will be to regard these as cases of conversion (App I.30), whereby a verb such as eat is transferred from the transitive to the intransitive category. Thus He's eating is an instance of clause-type $S V$ rather than of SVO (with optional deletion of the object).

## 7.4

## Optional adverbials

The patterns of 7.2 can be expanded by the addition of various optiona adverbials; of 2.10. For example (optional adverbials are bracketed):

7.5

One way of distinguishing the various clause types is by means of 'transformational' relations, or relations of grammatical paraphrase.

Clauses containing a noun phrase as object are distinguished by their ability to be converted into passive clauses, the object noun phrase assuming the function of subjert ( $\gamma_{\text {pas }}^{\gamma}=$ p? ssive verb $n$ brase $)$, the subiect appearing (if at all) in an optional by-phrase, symbolized here as [A]:

Many' critiss dicliked the play $\left(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \leftrightarrow$ The play was disliked (by many critics) ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {pass }}[\mathrm{A}]$ )
Where the passive draws more attention to the result than to the action or agency, the 'resulting' copula get (12.8) frequently replaces be, though chiefly in rather informal usage:

The window was broken by my younger son
I know how the window got broken
A more gradually achieved result can be sometimes expressed by become:
With the passage of time, the furniture became covered in dust
The foliowing examples illustrate the passive with other clause lypes:
Queen Victoria considered him a genius $\left(\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) \leftrightarrow$ He was considered a genius by Queen Victoria ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p} \text { pass }} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}[\mathrm{A}]$ )
An intruder must have placed the ladder there $\left(\mathrm{SV} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{A}_{200}\right) \leftrightarrow$ The
ladder must have been placed there by an intruder ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p} \text { pase }} \mathrm{A}_{100}[\mathrm{~A}$ )
My father gave me this watch ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ )
$\leftrightarrow\left\{I\right.$ was given this watch by my father $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\text {pass }} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{~A}]\right)$
$\leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { This watch was given me by my father ( } \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {peese }} \mathrm{O}_{1} \text { [AD }\end{array}\right.$
As Type SVOO clauses have two objects, they often have two passive
forms, as shown above - one in which the direct object becomes subject, and another (more common) in which the indirect object becomes subject.
There is sometimes equivalence between Types $S V, S V C$, and $S V A$ as is shown by occasional equivalences of the following kind:
$S V \leftrightarrow S V C_{8}$
The baby is sleeping $\leftrightarrow$ The baby is asleep
Two loaves will suffice $\leftrightarrow T$ wo loaves will be sufficient
S V $\leftrightarrow$ S V A
He hurried $\leftrightarrow$ He went fast
SVCs $\leftrightarrow S V A$
He is jobless $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{He}$ is without a job
On the whole, English rrefors to avoid the plain $S V$ nattern where niternatives are available (14.33).

## 7.6

## Intensive relationship

An SVCC clause is often equivalent to a clause with an infinitive or that clause ( 12.20 ff ):
I imagined her beautiful $\leftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I imagined her to be beautiful } \\ \text { I inagined that she was beautiful }\end{array}\right.$
This equivalence shows that the O and the C of an SVOC clause are in the same relation to one another as the S and C of an $S V C$ clause. The relation is expressed, wherever it is expressed at all, by an intensive verb. The intensive relationship is important in other aspects of grammar apart from clause patterns. It underlies, for example, relations of apposition ( 9.45 ff ).
Further, we may extend the concept of intensive relationship to the relation of subject to adverbial and object to adverbial in SVA and SVOA patterns respectively. (For SVOA patterns, see 8.29, 8.44.)
SVOO clauses can be transformed into SVOA clauses by the substitution of a prepositional plrase for the indirect object, with a change of order (12.28):
She sent Jim a card $\leftrightarrow$ She sent a card to Jim
She left Jim a card $\leftrightarrow$ She left a card for Jim
To and for, in their recipient senses (6.29), are the prepositions chiefly involved, but others, such as with and of, are occasionally found:

I'll play you a game of chess $\leftrightarrow$ I'!! play a game of chess with/ against you
She asked Jim a favour $\leftrightarrow$ She asked a favour of Jim

## 7.7

## Multiple class membership of verbs

It must be borne in mind that one verb can belong, in various senses, to a number of different classes (App I.30), and hence enter into a number of different clause types. The verb get is a particularly versatile one, being excluded only from Type $S V$ (and not even from this universally):

## SVC: He's getting angry

SVA: He got through the window
SVO: He'll get a surprise
SVOC: He got his shoes and socks wet
SVOA: He got himself into trouble
SVOO: He got her a splendid present
Through the multiple class membershio of verbs, ambiguities can ariseI found her an entertaining partner, like She called him a steward, could be interpreted either as SVOC or as SVOO.
7.8

## Clanse elements syntactically defined

## A subject

(a) is normally a noun phrase (4.1) or a clause with nominal function (11.13 ff);
(b) occurs before the verb phrase in declarative clauses, and immediately after the operator (2.3) in questions (but of $7.53,14.12 \mathrm{ff}$ );
(c) has number and person concord, where applicable (7.18, 7.26), with the verb phrase.
An object (direct or indirect)
(a) like a subject, is a noun phrase or clause with nominal function;
(b) normally follows the subject and the verb phrase (but $c f 7.53,7.63$, 14.11);
(c) by the passive transformation, assumes the status of subject (7.5), but of 12.16 .
An inuikect object, where boh objects are present, precedes the direct object (except in rare instances like BrE Give it me), and is semantically equivalent to a prepositional phrase (7.6).
A complement (subject or object)
(a) is a noun phrase, an adjective phrase, or a clause with nominal function, having a co-referential relation with the subject (or object);
(b) follows the subject, verb phrase, and (if one is present) object (but cf $7.53,14.11,14.28$ );
(c) does not become subject through the passive transformation.

An ADVERBIAL (see 8.1)
(a) is an adverb phrase, adverbial clause, noun phrase, or prepositional phrase;
(b) is generally mobile, ie is capable of occurring in more than one position in the clause;
(c) is generally optional, ie may be added to or removed from a sentence without affecting its acceptability, but of the cbligatory adverbial of the $S V A$ and $S V O A$ patterns (7.2).

## Clause elements semantically considered <br> 7.9

Agentive, affected, recipient, attribute
The most typical semantic role of a subject is A GENTIVE; that is, the animate being instigating or causing the happening denoted by the verb:

John opened the letter
The most typical function of the direct nbject is that of the AFFECTED participant; ie a participant (animate or inanimate) which does not cause the happening denoted by the verb, but is directly involved in some other way:

Many MPs criticized the Prime Minister
The most typical function of the indirect object is that of RECIPIENT; ie an animate participant being passively implicated by the happening or state:
I've found you a place
The role of the subject complement is that of attribute of the subject, whether a current or existing attribute (with stative verbs) or one resulting from the event described by the verb (with dynamic verbs).

> CURRENT ATTRIBUTE: He's my brother; He seems unhappy
> RESULTING ATTRIBUTE: He became restless; He turned traitor $(12.8 f)$

The role of the object. complement is that of attribute of the object, again either a current or resulting attribute:
CURRENT ATTR'BUTE: I ate the meat cold; I prefer coffee black
resultingattribute: They elected him President; He painted the wall blue (12.26f)

## Note

Although. I've found a place for the magnolia tree and I've found a place for Mrs Jones appear to be gimmatically equivalent, only the second can be transformed into a clause with indirect object:

## 've found Mrs Jones a place

I've found the magnolia tree a place
This is because a tris is inanimate and cannot adopt a recipient role. With the verb give, however, there can be exceptions (cf 7.16):
l've given the bathroom a thorough cleaning

### 7.10

## Agentive and instrumental subject

Apart from its agentive function, the subject frequently has an instrumental role; that is, it expresses the unwitting (generally inanimate) material cause of an event:

The avalanche destroyed several houses
V.: $:+$ h intransitive yorbe, the eubject alsen frequently has the AFFECTED role that is elsewhere typical of the object:

## Jack fell down

The pencil was lying on the table
We may' also extend this latter function to subjects of intensive verbs:
The pencil was on the table
It is now possible to see a regular relation, in terms of clause function, between adjectives or intransitive verbs and the corresponding transitive verbs expressing CAUSATIVE meaning:

| Saffected V | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {agent//nstr }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affocted }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| The door opened | John/The key opened the door |
| The flowers have died | The frost has killed the flowers |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {affeoted }}$ V C | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {agent//nstr }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affected }}$ |
| The road became narrower | They narrowed the road |
| I got angry | His manner angered me |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {agentive }} \mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {agentive }} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{\text {affectod }}$ |
| My dog was walking | I was walking my dog |

### 7.11

## Recipient subject

The subject may also have a recipient role with verbs such as have, own possess, benefit (from), as is indicated by the following relation:

Mr Smith has bought/given/sold his son a radio $\rightarrow$ So now his son has/owns! possesses the radio
The perceptual verbs see and hear also require a 'recipient' subject, in contrast to look at and listen to, which are agentive. The other perceptual
verbs taste, smell, and feel have both an agentive meaning corresponding to look at and a recipient meaning corresponding to see:
Foolishly, he tasted the soup
*Foolishly, he tasted the pepper in the soup
The adverb foolishly requires the agentive; hence, the second sentence, which can only be understood in a non-agentive manner, does not make sense.
Verbs indicating a mental state may also require a recipient subject:
I thought you were mistaken ( $c f$ It seemed to me . . .)
I liked the play (cf The play gave me pleasure)
Normally, recipient subjects go with stative verbs (3.35). Some of them (notably have and possess) have no passive form:
They have a beautiful house $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow^{*}$ A beautiful house is had by them
7.12

Locative, temporal and eventive subjects
The subject may have the function of designating place or time:
This path is swarming with ants (=Ants are swarming all over this path)
The bus holds forty people ( $=$ Forty people can sit in ite bus)
Unlike swarm, the verbs in such sentences do not normally admit the progressive (*The bus is holding . . .) or the passive (*Forty people are held. . .).
Temporal subjects can usually be replaced by the empty it (7.13), the temporal expression becoming adjunct:
Tomorrow is my birthday (= It is my birthday tomorrow)
The winter of 1970 was exceptionally mild (=It was exceptionally mild in the winter of 1970)
Eventive subjects (with abstract noun heads designating arrangements and activities) differ from others in permitting intensive complementation with a time adverbial (cf 12.10):
The concert is on Thursday (but * The concert hall is on Thursday)
7.13

Empty it subject
Finally, a subject may lack semantic content altogether, and consist only of the meaningless 'prop' word it, used especially' with climatic predications:

It's raining/snowing, etc It's getting dark It's noisy in here

Nota ' subject it as discussed here must be distinguished from the 'anticipatory' of sentences like It was nice seeing you ( 14.24 ff , where the 'prop' subject is a replace ment for a postponed clausal subject ( $=$ Seeing you s'as nice)

## Locative and effected object

7.14

We turn now to roles of the DIRECTOBJECT. Apart from the AFFECTED OBJECT (7.9), semantic types of direct object are the LOCATIVE OBJECT and the EFFECTED OBJECT. An example of the 'locative object' is:
The horse jumped the fence ('. . . jumped over the fence')
There are simi.ar uses of such verbs as turn. leave, reach, surround, penetrate, mount, cross, climb (see also 6.19).
an effuctei ubject is unc that -efers to semethine which evists only by virtue of the activity indicated by the verb:
Baird invented television I'm writing a letter
With agentive subject and an affected object, one may always capture pant of the meaning of a clause (eg: $X$ destroved $Y$ ) by saying ' X did something to $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$; but this does not apply to an effected object - Baird invented television does not imply 'Baird did something to television'.

One may include in this category also the type of object (sometimes called 'cognate') which repeats, partially or wholly, the meaning of the verb, as in sing a song.

## Note

A more dubious category of object consists of phrases of extent or measure, as in
He ran a mile It costs ten dollars. It weighs almost a ton
As these clauses do not generally permit the passive transformation, there is reason to
 analyse them as $S V A$ rather than S much:
What does it weigh? How much does it weigh?
The ambiguity of sentences such as We ate a lot, which may be $S V O$ or $S V A$, is discussed in 8.18.
7.15

A third type of effected object takes the form of a verbal noun preceded by a common verb of general meaning, such as do, make, have, take, give. This construction is often more idiomatic, especially in colloquial English, than an equivalent construction with an intransitive verb (see further 14.33):

He did little work that day ('He worked little that day')
He made several attempts to contact me ('He attempted several times to contact me')

The prisoner made no comment
He's having a bath/a holiday ( BrE )/a smoke
He took a rest/a vacation (AmE)/a dislike to her/a dive into the water
He gave a jump/a yell, etc
Have and take in these examples have agentive subjects (have being the typical British, and take the typical American form), while give usually has an involuntary force and therefore accompanies an 'affected' subject. Note
Have can more easily have an affected subject than take (The baby had/*took a bath), but cf: He took a beating.
7.16

## Affected indirect object

There is only one exception to the rule that the indirect object has the role of 'recipient': this is when give (or sometimes related verbs like pay, owe) has an 'effected' object as direct object and an 'affected' object as indirect object:

I paid her a visit ('I visited her')
I gave the door a couple of kicks ('I kicked the door a couple of times')

These clauses, as the paraphrases make clear, are equivalent to clauses with a direct object as 'affected' object.

### 7.17

## Summary

Although the semantic functions of the elements (particularly S and O) are quite varied, there are certain clear restrictions, such as that the object cannot be 'agentive' or 'instrument'; that a subject (except in the passive) cannot be 'effected'; that an indirect object can have only two functions those of 'affected' and 'recipient'. The assignment of a function to the subject seems to have the following system of priorities:

If there is an 'agentive', it is $S$; if not,
If there is an 'instrument', it is $S$; if not,
If there is an 'affected', it is $S$; if not,
If there is a 'locative' or 'temporal' or 'eventive', it may be $S$; if not The prop word it is S .
Naturally, where the passive transformation applies, it transfers the role of the direct or indirect object to the subject.
Note
The above treatment of sentence elements does not include discussion of clauses as S , 0 , and C .

## Concord

7.18

Subject-verb concord
The most important type of concord in English is concord of number between subjeci and verb. Thus (3) and (4) are ungrammatical:
(1) The window is open
(3) *The window are open (sing + plur)
(sing + sing)
(2) The windows are open (plur + plur)
(4) *The windows is open (plur + sing)

A clause in the position of subject counts as singular for purposes of concord: How they got there doesn't concern me, To treat them as hostages is criminal. The same is true of prepositional phrases, etc, acting as subject: After the exome is the time to rolax, etc. Nominal relative clauses on the other hand, since they are equivalent to noun phrases (11.13), may have plural as well as singular concord: What were once human dwellings are now nothing but piles of rubble.

Note
[a] In fac', it is nossitie to g-nera'ize the rule as 'A subject which is not def nitely marked for plural requires a singular verb'. This would explain, in addition to clausal and adverbial subjects, the tendency in informal speech for is/was to follow th: 冫 pseu'o-subisct there in evister tial ser tences such as There's. ${ }^{\text {Lundreds }}$ of people on the waiting list ( 14.19 ff).
[b] Apparent exceptions to the concord rule arise with singular nouns ending with the -s of the plural inflection (measles, billiards, mathematics, etc, 4.32), or conversely plural nouns lacking the inflection (cattle, people, clergy, etc, 4.35):

## Measles is sometimes serious Our people are complaining

[c] Plural words and phrases (including coordinate phrases, see 7.21) count as singular if they are used as names, titles, quotations, etc (see further 9.57):

Crime and Punishment is perhaps the best-constructed of Dostoyevsky's novels; but The Brothers Karamazov is undoubtedly his masterpiece.
'The Cedars' has a huge garden
'Senior Citizens' means, in common parlance, people over sixty
The titles oi some works which are collections of stories, etc, however, can be singular or plural: The Canterbu:y Tales exist/exists in meny manuscripts.

### 7.19

## Notional concord, and proximity

Two factors interfere with concord as presented in 7.18. ‘Notional concord' is agreement of verb with subject according to the idea of number rather than the actual presence of the grammatical marker for that idea. Thus the government is treated as plural in The government have broken all their promises $(\mathrm{BrE})$, as is shown not only by the plural verb have, but also by the pronoun their.

The principie of 'proximity' denotes agreement of the verb with whatever noun or pronoun closely precedes it, sometimes in preference to agreement with the headword of the subject:

No one except his own supporters agree with him
Cre in ten take drugs

### 7.20

## Collective nouns

In BrE , collective nouns, notionally plural but grammatically singular, obey notional concord in examples such as the following where AmE usually has the singular:

The public are tired of demonstrations
The audieace were enjoying every minute of it
Although singular and plural verbs are more or less interchangeable in these contexts, the choice is based, if on anything, on whether the group is being considered as a single undivided body, or as a collection of individuals ( $c f 4.62$ ). Thus plural is more likely than singular in [2], because consideration is being given to the individual reactions of members of the audience. Contrastingly, singular has to be used in sentences like The audience was enormous.

## Coordinated subject

7.21

When a subject consists of two or more noun phrases coordinated by and, a distinction has to be made between appositional and non-appositional coordination. Under NON-APPOSITIONAL COORDINATION we include cases that can be treated as an implied reduction of two clauses. These have a verb in the plural:
Tom and Mary are now ready ( $\leftrightarrow$ Tom is now ready and Mary is now ready)
What I say and what I think are my own affair ( $\leftrightarrow$ What I say is ... and what I think is . . .)
A singular verb is used with conjoinings which represent a single entity:
The hammer and sickle was flying from a tall flag pole
Conjoinings expressing a mutual relationship, even though they can only indirectly be treated as reductions of clauses in this way, also lake a plural verb:

Your problem and mine are similar ( $\leftrightarrow$ Your problem is similar to mine and mine is similar to yours)

With the less common appositional coordination, however, no such reduction is possible at all, for the coordinated structures refer to the same thing. Hence a singular verb is used:

This temple of ugliness and memorial to Victorian bad taste was erected at the Queer's express wish

The two opening noun phrases here both refer to the same thing. The following example, however, is ambiguous and could have either a singular or plural verb according as the brother and editor are one person or two:
His younger brother and the subsequent editor of his collected papers וyas/were with him at his death-bed
Some latitude is allowed in the interpretation of abstract nouns since it is not always easy to decide if they represent one quality or two:

Your fairness and impartiality has/have been much appreciated

### 7.22

A single noun head with coordinate mudifiers may imply two scparate senteures ( $c f 9.33$ ), with the result that a plural verb may follow a singular noi court ricun subject quite legitimately:
Good and bad taste are inculcated by example
$(\leftrightarrow$ Good taste is . . . and bad taste is . . .)
A similar collapsing of coordinate subjects into a single structure is observed when the subject is a clause:
What I say and think are no business of yours

$$
(\leftrightarrow \text { What } I \text { say is . . . and what I think is . . .) }
$$

where the alternative with is would mean
That which I say and think is no business of yours

### 7.23

Concord involving (either . . .) or is illustrated as follows:
Either the Mayor or his deputy is bound to come
Either the strikers or the bosses have misunderstood
? Dither your brakes or your eyesight is at fault
Either your eyesight or your brakes are at fault
No problem arises with [1] and [2], but with [3] there is divided usage, neither singular nor plural seeming right. So too: ‘He asked whether one
lecture or two ?was/?were to be given'. With [4], the prınciple of proximity intervenes and the plural phrase determines the number of the verb.
Note
[a] The negative correlatives neither . . . nor, although disjunctive in meaning, behave in wlloquial speech more like and than like or as regards concord (cf 9.19):
Neither he nor his wife have arrived
is more natural in spoken idiom than the form preferred by some: Neither he nor his wife has arrived
[b] Grammatical concord is usually obeyed for more than:
More than a thousand inhabitants have signed itie petition
More than one person has protested against the proposal
Thus although more than one person is notionally plural, a singular verb is preferred because (one) person operates as head of a singular noun phrase

## Indefinite expressions of amount

7.24

Another area of ambivalence is that of indefinite and negative expressions of amount. For example, in

I've ordered the shrubs, but none (of them) have/has yet arrived grammatical concor2' wuuld suggest that none is singular; but notional concord (we might paraphrase as 'they have not arrived') invites a plural verb. Has is therefore more conventionally 'correct', but have is more idiomatic in speech. These comments may be extended to neither and either as indefinite pronouns:
I sent cards to Mavis and Margery but neither (of them) has/have replied; in fact, I doubt if either (of them) is/are coming.

If a prepositional phrase with a plural complement follows the indefinite construction, a plural verb is favoured not only because of notional concord but because of the proximity rule:
none of ther, are . . either of the girls are . . .

### 7.25

The same proximity principle may lead to plural concord even with the indefinites each, every, everybody, anybody, and nobody, which are otherwise undoubtedly singular:
?Nobody, nci even the teachers, were listening
?Every member of̂ that vasi crowd ot 50,000 people were pleased to see him

Although these sentences might well be uttered in casual speech, or inadvertently written down, most people would probably regard them as ungrammatical, because they flatly contradict grammatical concord.
Other, more acceptable, instances of 'attraction' arise with singular nouns of kind and quantity:

## A large numher of people have applied for the job <br> Those kird/sort/type of parties are very enjoyable (informal)

The latter illustrates an idiomatic anomaly: there is lack of number concord between the noun and the determiner those, as well as with the verb. The awkwardness can be avoided by rephrasing as Parties of that kind. . .

## Note

 immediately precedes the verb, can explain a singular verb in cases of inversion or of an adverbial quasi-subject: Where's the sclssors i; Here's John and Mary; There's several bags missing. As what precedes the subject here is not marked for plural ( 7.18 Note a), the singular verb follows by attraction. These are colloquial examples; in formal English are would be substituted.

### 7.26

## Concord of person

As well as concord of number, there is concord of person between subject and verb:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I am your friend (1st person singular concord) } \\ \text { He is ready } \\ \text { He knows you }\end{array}\right\}$ (3rd person singular concord)
Following the principle of proximity, the last noun phrase of a coordinate subject (where the coordinator is or, either . . or, or neither . . . nor) determines the person of the verb:

Neither you. nor I, nor anyone else knows the answer
Either my wife or I am going
Because many people find such sentences unacceptable, they often prefer to use a modal auxiliary, which is invariable for person, eg: Either my wife or I will be going.

## Note

In cleft sentences ( 14.15 f ), a relative pronoun subject is usually followed by a verb in agreement with its antecedent: It is I who am to blame. But 3rd person concord prevails (in informal English) where the objective case pronoun me is used: It's me who's to blame.

Other type, of concord
7.27
subject-complement concord
Subject-complement concord of number (but not of person) exists between $S$ and $C$ in clauses of type $S V C$; thus:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The child was an angel } \\ \text { The children were angels }\end{array}\right\}$ but not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { The child was angels } \\ { }^{*} \text { The children were an }\end{array}\right.$
This type of concord arises naturally from the denotative equivalence in the intensive relationship. There are, however, exceptions:
What we need most is books
They turned traitor (but They became traitors)
Good manners are a rarity these days
There is an equivalent type of concord between object and object complement in SVUC clauses; eg: He thinks these girls the best actors.

## Note

We sometimes find the verb in agreement with the complement: What we need most are books; Good manners is a rarity these days. Such sentences are probably ascribable to the workings of notional concord, the idea of plurality being dominant in the first and that of singularitv in the secend.

### 7.28

SUBJECT-OBJECT CONCORD
Subject-object concord of number, person, and gender is necessary, as well as subject-complement concord, where the second element is a reflexive pronoun ( $4.84 f$ ):
He injured himself in the leg
You should give yourself another chance.
The same concord relation holds when the reflexive pronoun occurs in other functions ( $e g$ as prepositional complement), or when the reflexive genitive his own, etc is used:
She's making a sweater for herself
They're ruining their own chances
In $\operatorname{BrE}$, collective noun sutjects permit plura: concord: The navy congratulated themselves on the victory.

### 7.29

PRONOUN CONCORD
Personal pronouns in the 3rd person agree with their antecedents both in number and (with the singular pronouns he, she, and it) in gender:
John hurt his foot
John and Beatrice hurt their feet
Beatrice hurt her foot The climbers hurt their feet

By contrast, John hurt her foot would mean that Johu hurt someone eise's foot (the someone else having been previously mentiond).

### 7.30

English bas no sex-neutral 3rd person singular pronoun, and so the plural pronoun they is often used informally, in defiarice of number concord, as a substitute for the indefinite pronouns everyone, everybody, someone, somebody, anyone, anybody, no one, nobody.

Everyone thinks they have the answer
Has anybody brought their camera?
No one could have blamed themselves for that
The plural pronoun is a convenient means of avoiding the dilemma of whether to use the he or she form. The same dilemma can arise with corrdinate subjects and with some incicinniu noun phras subjects, buitīre, resort to the evasive device of the plural pronoun is perhaps not so acceptable:
? Either he or his wife is going to have to change their attitude
? Not every drug addict can solve their problem so easily
The use of they in sentences like [1-3] is frowned upon in formal English, where the tendency is to use he as the 'unmarked' form when the sex of the antecedent is not determined. The formal equivalent of [ 1$]$ is therefore:

## Everyone thinks he has the answer

There is a still more pedantic alternative, the rather cumbersome device of conjoining both masculine and feminine pronouns:

Every student has to make up his or her own mind

## Note

On concord involving relative pronouns, see 13.5 .

## The vocative

7.31
$\therefore$ vocative is a nominal element added to a s $f$ ntence or clause optionally, denoting the one or more people iu whom it is addressed, and signalling the fact that it is addressed to them:
JరHN, I WANT you ( $\operatorname{voc} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}_{a}$ )
It's a lovely dÀy, Mrs fóhnson (S V C $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ voc)
yòu, my FRfend, will have to work HARDer (S voc V A)
These three sentinces show how a vocative may take an initial, medial, or final position in the sentence; in its optionality and freedom of position, it is more like an adverbial than any other element of clause structure.

Intonationally, the vocative is set off from the rest of the clause, either by constituting a separate tone-unit or by forming the post-nuclear part of a tone unit (App II.7). The most characteristic intonations are shown above: fall-rise for an initial vocative; rise for a medial or final vocative.

### 7.32

In form, a vocative may be
(1) A single name with or without title: John, Mrs Johnson, Dr Smith
(2) The personal pronoun you(markedly impolite); eg: Behave yourself, you. Or an indefinite pronoun; eg: Get me a pen, somebody.
(3) Standard appellatives, usually nouns without pre- or pestmodification (not even the possessive pronoun):

FAMILY Relationships: mother, father, uncle: or more iamiliar forms line mom(my) (AmEj, mum(my) (brib), dadu( $\left(1 y^{\prime}\right)$ auntie
endearments: (my) darling/dear/honey (AmE)/love
titles of respect: sir, madam, My Lord, Your Excellency, Your Majesty, ladies and gentlemen
miakkekj of Professicn cr status: ductor; Mr/Madam Chairman; Mr President, (Mr) Prime Minister; Father (for priest); Bishop
(4) A nominal clause (very occasionally): Whoever said that, come out here.
(5) Items under (1), (2), or (3) above with the addition of modifiers or appositive elements of various kinds:
(1) My dear Mrs Johnson; young John
(2) You with the red hair; you over there (impolite); informal but not impolite: you boys; you (young) fellows; you guys (AmE)
(3) Old man/fellow (familiar); young man/woman

One obvious function of a vocative in English is to seek the attention of the person addressed, and especially to single him out from others who may be within hearing. A second functica, less c'vious but certainly no less important, is to express the attitude of the speaker towards the addressee. Vocatives are generally used as a positive mark of attitude, to signal either respectful distance or familiarity (varying from mild friendliness to intimacy).

## Negation

7.33

The negation of a simple sentence is accomplished 'by inserting not, $n$ 't between the operator and the predication:

The attempt has succeeded $\sim$ The aticmpt has not succeeded
We may win the match $\sim$ We may not win the match
He is coming
We have been defeated $\sim$ We have not been dereated
In these instances, there is an item in the positive sentences that can serve as operator. When this is not so, the auxiliary do is introduced and this, like modal auxiliaries, is foliowed by the bare infinitive:

She sees me every week $\quad \sim$ She doesn't see me ever;' week
They understood the problem~They did not understand the problem
Sentences with iexical be behave exactly as when be is auxiliary: She is a teacher~She isn't a teacher. Lexical have usually has do as operator (thrugh in BrE it often need not, and informally got is often added):
He has enough money $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Ked jucsn"i iave eauugt müley iesp Amï } \\ \text { He hasn't (got) enough money (esp } \mathrm{BrE} \text { ) }\end{array}\right.$

### 7.34

## Abbreviated negation

In sircurstancas where it is possible to abbreviate the operato by the use of a contracted form enclitic to the subject (usually only a pronoun), two colloquial and synonymous forms of negation are possible(3.19-21):

| He isn't coming | $\sim$ He's not coming |
| :--- | :--- |
| We aren't ready | $\sim$ We're not ready |
| They haven't caught him | $\sim$ They've not caught him |
| She won't miss us | $\sim$ She'll not miss us |
| He wouldn't notice anything $\sim$ He'd not notice anything |  |

[a] As there is no contracted form of am not, I'm not coming has no alternative of the kind civen in the left-hand column above. Another consequence of this gap is that there is no universally accepted colloquial question form corresponding to the stiltedly formal Am I not correct? The contraction aren't is sometimes substituted: Aren't I correct? In AmE, ain't has consi之erable currency in both declarative and interrogative use.
[b] Restristinns on certain negative forms, especially mayn't mustn't, oughtn't, daren't and needn't, are noted in 7.42

### 7.35

## Non-asserive forms

There are numerous items that do not naturally occur outside negative, interrogative, and conditional clauses; for example:
We haven't seen any soldiers
*We have seen any soldiers
*We have seen any soldiers

These items (which way be determiners, pronouns, or adverbs) are the non-assertive forms (cf 4.93 ), and wie fr. :wing examples will illustrate their range:

| We've had some (lunch) | $\sim$ We have 't had any (lunch) |
| :--- | :--- |
| I was speaking to someone | $\sim$ I wasn't , eaking to anyone |
| I saw' him somewhere | $\sim$ I didn't .ce him anywhere |
| She, was somehow surprised | $\sim$ She wasn't in any way surprised |
| They sometimes visit us | $\sim$ They rarely/never/don't ever visit us |
| He helped to some extent | $\sim$ He didn't help at all |
| They've arrived already | $\sim$ They haven't arrived yet |
| Jolun is coming too | $\sim$ John isn't coming either |
| They ate too many (cakes) | $\sim$ They didn't eat very many (cakes) |
| He's stil! there | $\sim$ He isn't there now/any longer |
| I like her a great deal | $\sim$ I don't lile her much |
| He's been a long way | $\sim$ He hasn't been far |
| She was away a long time | $\sim$ She wasn't away long |
| He saw one or other of them $\sim$ He didn't see either (one, AmE) of |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In ceveral of the negative sentences, the neg?tive rarticle and the nen-assertive form can combine to produce a negative form (ever $\sim$ never ) or can be replaced by a negative form (He hadn't anything ~He had nothing). Note
Non-assertive forms cannot normally be subject in a negative sentence (but cf 4.93):
John didn't sec anyone~ $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { No one was seen by John }\end{array}\right.$

### 7.36

## Negative intensification

There are various ways of giving emotive intensification to a negative. For example, by any means and (informally) a bit are common alternatives to at all as non-assertive expressions of extent. Negative determiners and pronouns are given emphasis by at all, whatever: I found nothing at all the matter with him, You have no excuse whatever. Never is repeated for emphasis, or else combined with an intersifying phrase such as in (al!) his/her etc life: I'll never, never go there again; I've never in all my life seen such a crowd. The combinations not one and not a (single) are emphatic alternatives to no as determiner with a count noun
7.37

## Alternative negative elements

Instead of the verb, another element may be negated:
An honest man would not lic
No honest man would lie
I didn't see any birds
I saw no birds

The scope of negation (7.40) is however frequently different, so that
Many people did not come
does not mean the same as
Not many people came ('Few people came')
When negative adjuncts are made initial there is inversion of subject and operator:

I will never make that mistake again
Never again will I make tha! mistake (formal)

### 7.38

## More than one nnn-assertive form

If a clause contains a negative element, it is usually negative from that point onward. This means that the non-assertive forms must normally be used in place of every assertive form that would have occurred in the corresponding positive clause:

I've never thavelied ai.ywhere by air y'ot
I haven't ever been on any of the big liners, either
No one has ever said anything to either of us
Not many of the refugees have anywhere to live yet
The non-assertive forms even occur in positive subordinate clauses following a negative in the main clause:

Nobody has promised that any of you will be released yet
That wouldn't deter anyone who had any courage
Assertive forms, however, are equally likely in such cases; and more generally, assertive forms do occur following a negative, so long as they fall outside the scope of negation (7.40).

## Note

[a] Occasionally two negatives occur in the same clause: I can't l.ot obey ('I have to obey'); Not many people have nowhere to live ('Most people have 3omewhere to live'): No one has nothing to offer to society ('Everyon- has something to offes to societv').
[b] In substandard English, there is an entirely different kind of 'multiple negation', where more than one negative form is used, but the meaning is that of a single negative: No one never said nothing (Standard English, No one ever said anything).

### 7.39

Seldom, rarely, etc
There are several words which are negative in'meaning, but not in appearance. They include:

> seldom and rarely'
> scarcely, hardly, barely
> little and few (in contrast to the positive a little and a few) only

They have the following similarities to the ordinary negative items:
(1) They are followed by non-assertive rather than assertive forms:

I seldom get any sleep
I've spoken to hardly anyone who disagrees with me
Few changes in government have ever taken so many pcople
by surprise
Only two of us had any experience at sailing
(2) When in pre-subject position, some of them can cause subjectoperator inversion:

Rarely does crime pay so well as Mr Jones see ${ }_{\text {n }}$ ns to think Scarcely ever has the British nation suffered so much obloquy Little need I dwell upon the joy of that reunion
The inversion, as before, is literary or rhetorical in tone.
(3) They are followed by positive rather than negative tag-questions (7.48f):

She scarcely secms to care, does she?
In addition, there are verbs, adjectives, or prepositions with negative meaning that take non-assertive forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { He denies I ever told him } \\
& \text { I forgot to ask for any change } \\
& \text { Unaware of any hostility }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.40

## Scope of negation

A negative form may be said to govern (or determine the occurrence of) a non-assertive form only if the latter is within the SCOPE of the negation, $i e$ within the stretch of language over which the negative meaning operates (shown here with a horizontal bracket). The scope of the negation normally extends from the negative word itself to the end of the clause, or to the beginning of a final adjunct. The subject, and any adjuncts occurring before the predication, normally lie outsiue it. (The operator is sometimes within, and sometimes outside, the scope: 7.4 $\overline{\text { u }}$.) There is thus a $c \curvearrowleft n-$ trast between:

I definitely didn't speak to him ('It's definite that I did not')
I didn't definitely speak to him ('It's not definite that I did')
When an adverbial is final, however, it may or may not lie outside the scope (cf 8.8):
I wasn't Listening all the Time (ie I listened none of the time)
$\qquad$ -
I wasn't listening ǨLL the time (ie I listened some of the time)

If an assertive form is used, it must lie outside the scope:
I didn't listen tn snme of the speakers (ie I listened to some)
$\qquad$ $\perp$
I didn't listen to any of the speakers (ic I listened to none)
As we have seen (7.38), the scope can sometimes extend into a subordinate clause: I ä: ${ }^{\prime}$ n't know that anyone was coming.

### 7.41

## Focus of negation

We need to identify not only the scope, but the Focus of negation. A special or contrastive nuclear stress falling on a particular part of the clause indicates that the contrast of meaning implicit in the negation is located at that snot, and also that by implication the rest of the clause can be understood in a positive sense:

## HARry didn't attack the Labour Government <br> (ie 'Someone attacked . . ., but it wasn't Harry')

Harry didn't atrack the Labour Góvernment
(ie 'He did something to the Labour Government hut he didn't attack it')
Harry didn't attack the Lh3our Góvernment
(ie 'He attacked some government, but it wasn't the Labour one')
Scope and focus are interrelated such that the scope must include the focus. From this it follows that one way of signalling the extent of the scope is by the position of the focus. Indeed, since the scope of the negation is often not otherwise clearly signalled, we can indicate it by where we place the information focus. One example of this is when, atypically, the scope of the negation is extended to include a subordinate clause of reason, with a contrastive fall + rise to emphasize this:

```
I didn't leave hóme, because I was afraid of my father
    L
    ( \(=\) 'Because I was afraid of my father, I didn't leave home')
I didn't leave home because I was afraid of my făther
```

(='I left home, but it wasn't because I was afraid of my father')

Intonation may be crucial also in marking the extension of the scope backwards to include the subject: an occasional phenomenon found in subjects which contain one of the 'universal' items all or every:

All cats dor't like wàter (ie 'All cats dislike water')
All cats don't like wAter ( $: e$ ' Not all cats like water')

### 7.42

## Negation of modal auxiliaries

The negation of modal auxiliaries requires some attention, in that here the scofe of the negation riay or may not include the meaning of the auxiliary itself. We therefore distinguish between auxiliary negation and main verb negation:

```
AUXILIARY NEGATION:
    may not (='permission')
        You may not go swimming ('You are not allowed....')
    cannot, can't (in all senses)
        You can't be serious ('It is not possible that . . .')
        You can't go swimming ('You are not allowed . . .')
        She can't ride a bicycle ('She is not able to . . .')
    need not, needn't
        You reedn't pay thot fine ('You are nct obliged to . . .')
        It needn't always be my fault ('It is not necessary that . . .')
MAIN verb Negation:
    may not (='possibility')
    They may not bother to come if it's wet ('It is possible that they
        will not bother to come . . .')
```


## will not, won't (all senses)

Don't worry, I won't interfere ('I'm willing not to interfere')
$\qquad$
He won't do what he's told ('He insists on not doing . . .')
$\qquad$
They won't have arrived yet ('I predict that they've not arrived
$\qquad$ yet')
shall not, shan't (all senses)
Don't worry, you shan't lose your reward ('I'm willing to see
that you don't lose your reward')
I shan't know you when you return ('I predict that I shall not
know...')
must not, mustn't ( $=$ 'obligation')
You mustn't keep us uil waiting (' 1 ou'ii vỏnge ne iny not
keeping us all waiting')
ought not, oughtn't (both senses)
You oughtn't to keep us waiting ('obligation')
He oughtn't to be long ('necessity')

Certain auxiliaries (can and need) follow the pattern of auxiliary negation, while others (will, shall, must) follow that of main verb negation. May belongs to the former group in its 'permission' sense, but to the latter group in the sense of 'possibility'. Mustn't is not used at all (and must not only rarely) in the 'necessity' sense; instead, we can use can't in the sense of 'impossibility'. Thus the negation of You must be telling lies is You can't be telling lies. A common auxiliary negation of must is needn't, which has the two meanings of non-obligation and non-necessity:

A: Must we pack now? B: No, we needn't till tomorrow.
Because of the diametric opposition of meaning between 'permission' and 'obligation', an odd-seeming equivalence exists between may not ('non-permission') and mustn't ('obligation-not-to'):

You may not go swimming today
You mustn't go swimming today
On the whole, the past tense negative auxiliaries (mightn't, couldn't, wouldn't, shouldn't) follow the same negative pattern as their present tense equivalents, subject to the provisions described in 3.48 ff .

## Statements, questions, commands, exclamations

7.43

Simple sentences may be divided into four major syntactic classes, whose use correlates with different communicative functions:
(1) STATEMENTS are sentences in which the subject is always present and generally precedes the verb:
John will speak to the boss today
On exceptional statements not containing a subject, sec 9.6.
(2) QUESTIONS are sentences marked by one or more of these three criteria:
(a) the placing of the operator immediately in front of the subject: Will John speak to the boss today?
(b) the initial positioning of an interrogative or wh-element:

> Who will you speak to?
(c) rising intonatinn:

## You will speak to the bóss?

(3) COMMANDS are sentences which normally have no overt orai:matical subject, and whose verb is in the imperative (3.2):
Speak to the boss today
(4) exclamations are sentences which have an initial phrase introduced by what or how, without inversion of subject and operator: What a noise they are making!
We use the following adjectives for these four types: (1) declarative, (2) interrogative, (3) imperative, and (4) exclamatory.

## Note

There is an important exception to (2a) above. It is only in relatively formal use tha: negative questions (7.47) have the subject inmmediately aiter the operatur: Didjohn not send the letter? Normally the negative particle comes between operator and sutject, but almost invariably with contraction: Didn't John send it? ( $7^{*}$ Did not John send it?) Focusing adjuncts ( 8.8 ff ) can also appcar between operator and subject, and they make it possible for a preceding not to remain uncontracted: Did not even a single student come to the lecture? (formal)

## Questions

7.44

Questions can be divided into three major classes according to the type of answer they expect:
(1) those that expect only affirmation or rejection (as in Have you finished the book?) are YES-NO questions;
(2) those that expect a reply supplying an item of information (as in What is your name? How old are you?) are Wh- questions;
(3) those that expect as the reply one of two or more options presentid in the question are ALTERNATIVE questions; for example

Would you like to go for á wán or stay at home?

### 7.45

## Yes-no questions

Yes-no questions are usually formed by placing the operator before the subject and giving the sentence a rising intonation:

The iudt has Lert ~Fias the juai cerr?
If there is no item in the verb phrase that can function as operator, do is introd-aced as with negation (7.33):

## He likes Mary ~Does he like Mary?

Again as with negation, lexical be acts as uperator; in BrE this is often true for have also and informally got is added:

John was late~Was John late?
He has a car $\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Does he have a car? (esp AmE) } \\ \text { Has he (got) a car? (esp BrE) }\end{array}\right.$
Note
By placing the nuclear stress in a particular part of a yes-no question, we are able to 'focus' the interrogation on a particular item of information which, unlike the rest of the sentence, is assumed to be unknown (cffocus of negation, 7.41). Thus the focus falls in different places in the following otherwise identical questions:

Was he a famous actor in tHÓSE days?
('I know he was once a famous actor - but was it then or later?')
Was he a famous actor in those days?
('I know he was an actor in those days - but was he a famous one?')
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## Positive orientation

Another typical characteristic of yes-no questions is the use of the nonassertive forms any, ever, etc that we have already seen in operation in negative statements (7.35):

Soi:neone called last night
I suppose some of the class will be already here

Did anyone call last night?
Do you suppose any of the class will be here yet?

Like the use of the do auxiliary, non-assertive forms point to common ground between questions and negative statements. This ground is not hard to explain: clearly a question has neutral polarity, in the sense that it leaves open whether the answer is positive or negative. Hence questions, like negatives, belong to the class of 'non-assertions' (2.20).
On the other hand, a question may be presented in a form which is biased towards a positive or negat:ive answer. A question has positive orientation, for example, if it uses assertive forms rather than the usual non-assertive forms:

Did someone call last night? ('Is it true that someone called last night')
Has the boat left already?
Do you live somewhere near Dover?
Wöld jou like soizu cañe?
These questions indicate that the speaker thinks that the answer is yes: he merely asks for confirmation of that assumption.

### 7.47

Negative orientation
Negative orientation is found in questions which contain a negative form of oue kinci or auother:

Can't you give us any hope of success? ('Is it really true
that you can't . . .?')
Isn't your car working?
Does no one believe me?
Negative orientation is complicated, however, by an element of surprise or disbelief which adds implications of positive meaning. Thus [2] means 'Is it really true that your car isn't working? I had assumed that it was.' Here there is a combining of a positive and a negative attitude, which one may distinguish as the OLD ASSUMPTION (positive) and NEW ASSUMPTION (negative). Because the old assumption tends to be identified with the speaker's hopes or wishes, negative-oriented 'questions often express disappointment or annoyance:

Can't you drive straight? ('I'd have thought you'd be able to, but apparently you can't')
Aren't you ashamed of yourself ? ('You ought to be, but it appears you're not')
Hasn't the boat left yet? ('I'd hoped it would have left by now, but it seems that it hasn't')
A second type of negative question combines not (the formal signal of
negative orientation) with the assertive items which are the formal signals of positive orientation:

Didn't someone call last night?
Hasn't the boat lefi already?
Such questions are similar in effect to iype [I] tag questions (7.48) and to statements showing disbelief: 'Surely someone called last night!'

## Tag questions

7.48

The tag question consists of operator plus pronoun, with or without a negative particle (10.29); the choice and tense of the operator are determined by the verb phrase in the superordinate clause:

The boat hasn't leit, hus ii?
Joan recognized ynu, didn't she?
As these examples illustrate, if the superordinate clause is positive, the tag is negative, and vice versa. The nuclear tone of the tag occurs on the operator and is either a rise or a fall. Four main types of tag question emerge from the observance of these rules:

## RISING TONE

[I]
positive+negative He likes his jòb, Dóesn't he?
[II]

## negative + positivb He doesn't like his sòb, He doesn't like his

 Dóes he?FALLING TONE [III]
He likes his Jòm, Dodesn't he? [IV] Jòb, Dóes he?

The meanings of these sentences, like their forms, involve a statement and a question; each of them, that is, asserts something then invites the listener's response to it. Sentence [I], for example, can be rendered 'I assume he likes his job; am I right ?'. [II] means the opposite: 'I assume he doesn't like hiss jcb; am I right?'. Clearly, these sentences have a positive and a negative orientation respectively. A similar contrast exists between [III] and [IV]. But it is important, again, to separate two factors: an ASSUMPtion (expressed by the statement) and an expectation (expressed by the question). On this principle, we may distinguish the four types as:
[I] Positive assumption + neutral expectation
[II] Negative assumption + neutral expectation
[III] Positive assumption + positive expectation
[IV] Negative assumption + negative expectation
The tag with the falling tone, it will be noted, invites confirmation of the
statement, and has the force of an exclamation rather than a genuine question. In this, it is like (though perhaps not so emphatic as) exclamatory yes-no questions with a falling tone (7.56): Isn't it gorgeous WEASther!
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Among less common types of tag question, one may be mentic-ed in which both statcments and question are positive:
Your car is outside, ís it ?
Ycu've had an Accident, hÁve you?
This tag always has a rising nucleus, and the situation is characteristically preceded by oh or so, indicating the speakcr's arrival at a conclusion by inierence, or by recalling what has already been said. The tone may sometimes be one of sarcastic suspicien:

So rhàt's your little game, is it ?

### 7.50

## Declarative questions

I he deularative quistiou is a a exceptic näl type of jes:no quest:on identical in form to a statement, except for the final rising question intonation:

## You've got the explósive?

They've spoken to the ambÁssador?
You realize what the Risks are?
Boris will be there, I suppose?
He didn't finish the RACB?
Notice the occurrence of I suppose, impossible in normal questions. Declarative questions show their assertive character in the inadmissibility of non-assertive forms:
The guests have had $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { nothing } \\ \text { something }\end{array}\right\}$ to eat?
*The guests have had anytring ic eat ?
They are similar in iorce to type [I] or type [II] tag questions, except for a rather casual tone, which suggests that the speaker takes the answer yes or no as a foregone conclusion.

### 7.51

## Yes-no questions with modal auxiliaries

The formation of yes-no questions with modal auxiliaries is subject to certain limitations and shifts of meaning. The modals of 'permission' (may, esp BrE , and can) and of 'obligation' (must, esp BrE , and have to) involve
the speaker's authority in statements and the listener's authority in questions:
$\left\{\mathrm{A}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { May } \\ \text { Can }\end{array}\right\}\right.$ I leave now? ('Will you permit me...')
B: Yes, you $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { may } \\ \text { can }\end{array}\right\}$. ('I will permit you . . .')
A: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Must I } \\ \text { Do I have to }\end{array}\right\}$ leave now? ('Are you telling me...')
B: Yes, you $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { must } \\ \text { have to }\end{array}\right\}$. ('I am telling you. . .')
A similar switch from listener to speaker takes place with shall ('volition') which (especially in BrE ) implicates the speaker's will in statements, Lut the l:stcner's will in cuesticns:

You shall suffer for this! ('I intend to make you suffer . . .!')
Shall I switch off the television? ('Do you want me to . . .?')
The direct-question use of shall, however, is virtually restricted to first person subjents. Wiiin we, it has both exclusive and inclusive senses:

Shall we carry your suitcases? ('Would you like us to . . .?')
Shall we have dinner? ('World you like uc [inc'uding ynu] to . . .?')
May ('possibility') is not employed at all in questions; can (or more commonly, in AmE, could) takes its place:
$A:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Can } \\ \text { Could }\end{array}\right\}$ they have missed the bus? B: Yes, they $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { may have. } \\ \text { might have. }\end{array}\right.$
Need (in BrE ) is a non-assertive auxiliary in clauses where the corresponding positive form is must. Hence in questions:

A: Need it happen? (cf AmE/BrE: Does it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { need to } \\ \text { have to }\end{array}\right\}$ happen?) B: Yes, it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { must. } \\ \text { inas to. }\end{array}\right.$
If, on the other hand, must had occurred in A's question, it would have had 'positive orientation': 'Is it a fact that it must happen?' Compare Need it ever happen? with Must it always happen?, where the assertive form has to be retained.

## Wh-questions

7.52

Wh-questions are formed with the aid of one of the following interrogative words (or Q-words):
who/whom/whose, what, which
when, where, how, why

As a rulc,
(i) the Q-element (ie clause element containing the Q -words) generally comes first in the sentence;
(2) the Q -word itseif takes first position in the Q -e?ement.

The only exception to the cecond principle is when the Q -word occurs in a prepositionai complement. Here English provides a choice between two constructions, one formal and the other less so. In formal style, the preposition precedes the complement, whereas in coiloquial style, the complement comes first and the preposition retains the posit:on it has in a declarative sentence:

On what did you base your prediction? (formal)
What did you tase your prediction on?
We may perhaps express this difference more neatly by saying that colloquial English insists that the Q-word comes first, while formal English insistc that the Q -element as a viole comes first.
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The following are sentences in which the Q -element operates in various clause functions:

Who opened my LÈtter ? (Q-element: S)
Which books have you Lènt him? (Q-element: $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )
Whose beautiful antilues are these? (Q-element: $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ )
How wide did they make the вסоксаse? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ )
When will you come bàck? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {time }}$ )
Where shall I put the GLìsses? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ )
Why are they always complàiving? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {reason }}$ )
How did you Mènd it? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {procoss }}$ )
Huw much does he CÀre? ( Q -element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {intonsitring }}$ )
How lolig have you been wàrting? (Q-clement: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {duration }}$ )
How oftei: do you visit New yòrk? (Q-element: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {frequencr }}$ )
As the examples indicate, falling intonation, not rising intonation, is usual for $w h$-questions.
We see above that normal statement order of elements is upset in whquestions not only by the initial placing of the Q -element, but by the inversion of subject and operator in all cases except that in which the Q -element is subject, where the rule of initial Q-element takes precedence over the rule of inversion.
Subject-operator inversion is the same in its application to $w h$-questions
as in its application to yes-no questions; if there is no auxiliary in the equivalent statement, $d o$ is introduced as operator in the question. Lexical be (and sometimes, in BrE, have) acts as an operator: How are "'ou? Who have we here?
Ncte
[a] Adjuncts of instrument, reason, and purpose are normally questioned by the prepositional constructions:

## What shall I mend it with?

What did you do that for?
Although the latter could be replaced by Why did you do that?, it has no alternative with a preposed preposition: *For what did you do that / In this respect it is like informal questions with be followed by a final preposition. What was it in? (but not *In what was it?).
[6] Abbreviated questions consisting of Q -word and final preposition (which in this construction bears nuclear stress), Where to? What for/wilh? Who with/by?, are Pinnrul?r nin colloquial creech as questione consisting of the Q -word only: Where?
Who ? Why? There is a common abbreviated negative question Why not ? (: $: 0.36$ ).
[c] Although there is no Q -word for the verb, the content of the prediation can be questioned by what as the object of the generalized agentive verb do:

A: What are you doing? b: I'm reading.
A: What have you done with my book? b: I've hidden it.
 resent $\boldsymbol{Z}$, the equivalent prepositional complement construction is used: Who( $m$ ) did you give the present to? or To whom did you give the present?

## Alternative questions
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There are two types of alternative question, the first resembling a yes-no question, and the second a wh-question:
Would you like chócolate, vanfila, or STRÀwberry (ice-cream)? [1]
Which ice-cream would you Like? chócolate, vanílla, or STRAwberry?

The first type differs from a yes-no question only in intonation; instead of the final rising tone, it contains a separate nucleus for each alternative: a rise occurs on each item in the list, except the last, on which there is a fall, indicating that the ! ist is complete. The diference of intonation between alternative and yes-no questions is important, in that ignoring it can lead to misunderstanding - as the contrast between tiese replies indicates:

> alternative: A: Shall we go by Bús or TRAl̀n? B: By BÙs. yes-no: A: Shall we go by bus or TRAin? B: No, let's take the CAR.

The second type of alternative question is really a compound of two separate questions: a wh-question followed by an elliptical alternative question of the first type. Thus [2] might be taken as a reduced version of:

Which ice-cream would you Lìke? Would vou like chócolate, vanílla, or STRÀ wberry?
Any positive yes-no question can be converted into an alternative question by adding or not? or a maiching negative clause:
yes-no: Are you cóming?
alternative: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Are you cóming or Nòt ? } \\ \text { Are you cóming or Âren't you (coming)? }\end{array}\right.$
The alternative variant, by spelling out the negative aspect of the question, is rather petulant in tone, but is otherwise indistinguishable in meaning from the yes-no question.
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 coordination ( 9.21 ff ); that is, two or more separate questions are collapsed together, wherever convenient, by ellipsis (shown here by parentheses):
Did íraly win the World Cup or (did) Brazil (win the World Cup)? Often the elliptical part of an alternative question is placed within the first question:
Did italy or Brazil win the World Cup?
ÁRE you or AREn't you coming?
Where there is no repeated structure, no ellipsis is possible, and so the second question appears in its full form:
Is it Ráming or has it STÒPPED?

## Minor types of question

7.56
exclamatory question
The exclamatory question is a question in form, but is functionally like an exclamation (7.63). The most characteristic typs is a negative yes-no question with a falling instead of rising toue:

## Hasn't she Grown!

Wasn't it a marvellous còncert
These invite the listencr's agreement to something on which the speaker has strongly positive feelings.
A positive yes-no question, also with a falling tone, is another (but very informal) way of expressing a strong positive conviction:
'Am II HÙNGry! 'Did 'he look annòyed! 'Has Ishe Gròwn!
Both operator and subject usually receive emphatic stress.
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RHETORICAL QUESTION
The rhetorical question is one which functions as a forceful statement. More precisely, a positive rhetorical question is like a strong negative assertion, while a negative question is like a strong positive one.

## POSITIVE:

Is that a reason for despAir? ('Surely that is not a reason . . .')
Can anyone doubt the wisdom of this action? ('Surely no one can doubt . . .')

NEGATIVE:
Is no one going to derénd me? ('Surely someone is going to defend me')
 normal rising intonation of a yes-no question.
There is also a rhetorical wh-question, which is equivalent to a statement in which the Q -element is replaced by a negativic element:
Who knôws? ('Nobody knows')
What Difterence does it make? ('It makes no difference')
Again, the intonation is that of an ordinary wh-question, except that a rise-fall tone is likely.

## Commands
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Commands without a subject
We begin with the most common category of command, that wiicin differs from a statement in that
(1) it has no subject,
(2) it has an imperative finite verb (the base form of the verb, without endings for number or tense).
Dtherwise the clause patterns of commands show the same range and ordering of elements as statements; io cxample:

```
SV: Jump (V)
SVC: Be reasonable (V C)
SVOA: Put it on the table ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{VO}}{\textrm{d}}{(}\mp@subsup{\textrm{A}}{\mathrm{ placese}}{
```

The imperative verb, however, is severely restricted as to tense, aspect, voice, and modaiity. There is no tense distinction or perfect aspect, and only very ra-ely does the progressive form occur:

Be preparing the dinner when he comes in

A passive is equally rare, except when the auxiliary is some verb other than be, as in Get washed. These restrictions are connected with the understandable incongruity of combining an imperative with a stative nonagentive veī: *Sound louder / Modal auxiliaries do not occur at all in imperative sentences.
Commands are apt to sound abrupt unless toned down by markers of politeness such as please: Please eat up your dirner; Shut the door, please. Even this only achieves a minimum degree of ceremony; a more tactful form of request can only be arrived at if one changes the command into a question or a statement: Will you shut the door, please? I wonder if you would kindly shut the door; I wonder whether you would mind shutting the door; etc.
Note
Stative yorbs sam he internrated as dyramic, however, in epecial contextc: Know the aitswer by tomorrow/ ( $x=$ 'Get to know. . .', 'Luarn...').

### 7.59

## Commands with a subject

It is implied in the meaning of a command that the omitted subject of the imperative verb is the 2 nd person pronoun you. 'This is conirmed by the occurrence of $y$ ou as subject of a following tag question (Be qu:et, will you), n.nd by'the occ'rrense of yourself and of $n \supset$ other reflexive proncun as object: Behave yourself, not *Behave himself.

There is, however, a type of command in which the subject you is retained, differing from the subject of a finite verb in always carrying stress :

You be quiet!
You mind your own business, and leave this to me!
These commands are usually admonitory in tone, and frequently express strong irritation. As such, they cannot naturally be combined with markers of politeness, such as please: *Please, you be quiet / They may be used, however, in another way, to single out (by pointing) two or more distinct addressees: You come l:ere, Jack, and you go over there, Mary. A 3rd person subject is also possible:

Somebody open this doo:
Everybody shut their vyes
Iack and Susan stand over there
It is easy to confuse the subject, in these commands, with a vocative noun phrase (7.31). Whereas the subject always precedes the verb, the vocative (as we saw earlier) is an element that can occur in final and medial, as wel as initial, positions in the sentence. Another difference is that the vocative, when initially placed, has a separate tone-unit (typically fall-rise); the subject merely receives ordinary word-stress:

## vocative: mXry, play on mỳ side Ølay on MỲ side, MÁRY

SUBJECT: 'Mary play on MỲ side
The distinctness of vocative and imperative subject is corfirmed by the possibility of their co-occurrence: JOHN, 'you listen to MEl

### 7.60

## Commands with let

First person imperatives can be formed by preposing the verb let, followed by a sub;ect in (where relevant) the objective case:

## Let us all work hard (more usually: Let's . . .)

Let me have a look
The same annlies to 3rd nerson subjecis:
Let each man decide for himself
If anyone shrinks from this action, let him speak now

### 7.61

## Nagative commands

To negate 2 nd and 3 rd person imperatives, one simply adds an initial Don't, replacing assertive by non-assertive forms where necessary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Open some windows } \quad \sim \text { Don't open any windows } \\
& \text { You open the door } \quad \sim \text { Don't you open the door } \\
& \text { Someone open the door } \sim \text { Don't anyene open the door }
\end{aligned}
$$

1st person imperatives, on the other hand, have two possibilities:

$$
\text { Let's open the door } \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Let's not open the door } \\
\text { Don't let's open the door (informal and esp } \\
\text { BrE) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the second of these is available for 3 rd person imperatives:
Don't let anyone fool himself that he can get away with it

### 7.62

## Persuasive imperatives

A persuasive or insistent imperative is created by the addition of $d o$ (w:th a nuclear tone) before the main verb:

Do have some more sherry Do let's go to the theatre Note
[a] Do, like don't and let's, acts as an introductory imperative marker, and is not iden tical with the emphatic do of statements (14.35). To see this, notice that neither do nor don' $f$ in commands fulfils the conditions of the do construction in questions and negations; they are not introduced to make good the lack of an operator and can
indeed be used with the verb be: Do be seated; Don't be silly (Contrast the unac ceptability of 'He doesn't be silly!) This peculiarity of imperative do is aiso found in the quasi-imperative Why don't you construction: Why don't you be carefull [b] Do, don't, and let's are used in isolation as elliptical commands

$$
\text { A: Shall I open the door? B: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Yes, do. } \\
\text { No, don't. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

A : Shall we watch the game? $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{Ycs}$, let's.

### 7.63

## Exclamations

In discussing exclamations as a formal category of sentence (but cf 7.56) we restrict our attention to exclamatory utterances introduced by what (plus noun phrase) or how. These express an extreme degree of some variable factor (How beautijul!), but the variable may be left implicit. Thus What a book/ could refer to a very bad or to a very good book
Eiaciamatiuns resemble $w h$-questions in involving the initial placement of an exclamatory wh-element (the X-element). The syntactic order is therefore upset to the extent that the X-element (which may be object complement, or adverbial, as well as subject) may be taken from its usua (statement) position and put into a position of initial prominence. On the other kand, in contrast to wh-questions, there is generally no subjectoperator inversion:

X alement as subject: What an enormous crowd came! (S V)
X-element as object: What a time we've had today! ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{S}$ V A)
X-element as complement: How delightful her manners are! ( $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ S V)
X-element as adverbial: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { How I used to hate geography! ( } \mathrm{A} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { What a long time it lasted! ( } \mathrm{A} \mathrm{S} \mathrm{V})\end{array}\right.$
The X -element, like the Q -element of the wh-question, can also act as prepositional complement, the preposition normally being postposed:
What a mess we're in!

### 7.64

## Formulae

There are some sentences which, though appearing to lelong to one of the major classes, in fact enter into few of the relations of substitutability that are commol to members of those classes. For instance, the greeting formula (appropiate to a first meeting) How do you do? cannot be subordinated as an indirect question (*They asked him how he did) or answered in a corresponding statement form (*I do very well). Two slightly less restricted kinds of wh-question are the question without an aixiliary why ( + not $)+$ predication:

Why get so upset? Why not enjoy yourself?
and the how/what about type of question
What about the house? How about joining us?
These are not formulaic in the previous sense, but are irregular in that they lack some of the elements normally found in a wh-question.
There are also patterns which are defective in terms of regular clause or sentence structure, such as the verbless imperatives:
Off with the lid! Cut with it! Down wi:h the bosses!
To this we may add a number of exclamatory types:
If only I ' $d$ listened to my parents! (with modal past: 3.47, 11.48)
To think I was once a millionaire!
Oh for a drink! Oh to be free!
(archaic except when jocular)
You and your statistics! John and nes iaeas!
Now for some fun!
Apart from such cases, there are sentences whic! enntain fuss! ilized elements no longer productively used in present-day English: for example, the suljunctive romb:ned with inversion in

Far be it from me to (spoil the fun)
Suffice it to say (we 'ost')
Long live (anarchy)! (archaic except when jocular)
and without inversion in
God save the Queen! (God) Bless you!
A slightly less archaic formula for expressing a wish is may + subject + predication: May the best man win! May you be happy!

## Note

In very familiar style we find the question formula How come (you missed the bus)? Also familiar is the greeting formula How gocs it?, without do-periphrasis.

### 7.65

## Aphoristic sentences

Among other minor sentence types is the aphoristic sentence structure found in many proverts:

| The more, the merrier | $[1]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Least said, soonest mended | $[2]$ |
| Handsome is as bandsome does | $[3]$ |
| Easy come, easy go | $[4]$ |

Handsome is as handsome does
Easy come, easy go
equivalent constructions against each other. While they must all be considered grammatically anomalous, example [1] has a fairly productive pattern which will be dealt with under proportional clauses (11.34).

### 7.66

## Block language

In addition to the formulae of colloquial conversation, there is a whole realm of usage where, because of its rudimentary communicative role, language is structured in terms of single words and phrases, rather than in terms of the more highly organized units of clause and sentence.
Language so used may be termed 'block language'. It appears in such functions as labeis, titles, headings, notices, and advertisements. Simple bloci-language messages most often consist of a noun or noun phrase or neminal crause in is olatic.a no vert is needed, bernusa al' c'se ...ces sary to the understanding of the message is furnished by context. Examples are:

| ENTRANCE | ENGLISH DEPARTMENT | DANGER: FALLING KOCKS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PURE LEMON JUICE | FRESH TODAY | HIGHLY RECOMMENDED |
| A GRAMMAR OF CONTFMPORARY WHERE TO GO 'N LONDON <br> ENGLISH  |  |  |
| HOW TO WIN FRIENDS | THE FIRST LUXURY BOUND COLLECTOR'S |  |
| AND INFLUENCB <br> PEOPLE | EDITION OFAGATHA CHRISTIE'S WORK <br> TO BE AVAILABLE IN THIS COUNTRY |  |

In newspaper headlines, abbreviated clause structures have been developed:
(1) film-Star marries ex-priest ( $\mathrm{SVO} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )
(2) election a landslide for socialists ( $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ )
(3) NiXon to meet asian premiers ( $\mathrm{SVO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
(4) Shap.e yfices now figher than ever ( $\mathrm{S} \Lambda \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ )
(5) Jacklin beaten by bonallack (SVa)
(6) Chances of middle-east peare improving (S V)

These differ from ortiodox clause structures in having different tense conventions, and in omitting closed-system words of low information value, such as the articles and the finite forms of the verb $b e$.
Note
Prohibitions on notice boards often assume the special block-language form of a noun phrase introduced by No. For cxample, No smuking; No entry; No unauthorized entry after dark.

## Bibliographical note

On clause elements, see Anderson (1971); Fillmore (1968); Halliday (1967-8); Huddle ston (1971); Lyons (190́8), especially Chapter 8. On negation, see Klima (1964). On questions, see Bolinger (1957); Maione (1967). On commands, see Bolinger (1967a); Thorne (1969).

ADJUNCTS, DISJUINCTS, CONJUNCTS
8.1

Units realizing яdverbial functions
The íuncticus oí the adverbiai ale reanzed oy
(1) Adverb phrases, ie phrases with adverbs as head or sole realization: Peter was playing as well as he could We'll stay there
(2) Noun phrases (less common): Peter was playing last week
(3) Prepositional phrases:

Peter was playing with great skill
(4) Finite verb clauses:

Peter was playing although he was very tired
(5) Non-finite verb clauses, in which the verb is
(a) infinitive:

Peter was playing to win
(b) -ing participle:

Wishing to encourage him, they praised Tom
(c) -ed participle:

If urged by our friends, we'll stay
(6) Verbless clauses

Peter was playing, unaware of the danger
8.2

Classes of adverbials : adjuncts, disjuncts, conjuncts
Adverbials may be integrated to some extent into the structure of the
clause or they may be peripheral to it. If integrated, they are termed ADJUNCTS. If peripheral, they are termed DISJUNCTS and CONJUNCTS, the distinction between the two being that conjuncts have primarily a connective function.
An adverbial is integrated to some extent in clause structure if it is affected by such clausal processes as negation and interrogation. For example, it is an adjunct if
either (1) it cannot appear initially in a negative declarative clause:

> *Quickly they didn't leave for home
or (2) it can be the focus of a question or of clanse negation:
Does he write to his parents because he wants to (or does he write to them because he needs money)?
We didn't go to C'hicago on Monday, (but we did go there on Tuesday)
In contrast, a disjunct or a conjunct is not affected by either of these clausal processes. For example, the disjunct to my regret can appear initially in a negative declarative clause:

To my regret, they didn't leave for home
and cannot be the focus of a question $\sigma_{1}$ of clause negation:
*Does he write to his parents, to my regret, (or does he write to them, to my relief)?
*We didn't go to Chicago, to my regret, (but we did go there, to my relief)
Items can belong to more than one class. For example, naturally is an adjunct in
They aren't walking naturally ('in a natural manner')
and a disjunct in
Naturally, they are walking (' of course')

## 8.3

## Definitions of positional terms

We distinguish four positions of adverbials for the declarative form of the clause:

Initial position (ie before the subject)
Medial position:
M1: (a) immediately before the first auxiliary or lexical be, or (b) between two auxiliaries or an auxiliary and lexical be.

M2: (a) immediately before the lexical verb, or (b) in the case of lexical he: before the complement.
Final position: (a) after an intransitive verb, or (b) after any object or complement.
If there are no auxiliaries present, $M 1$ and $M 2$ positions are neutralized:
They sometimes watch teievision
If the subject is eliipted, initiai and medial positions are neutralized:
I've been waiting outside his door the whole day and yet haven't seen him
Final position includes any position after the stated clause elements, eg: I paid immediately for the book
I paid for the book immediately

## Adjuncts

8.4

## Syntactic features of adjuncts

Certain sjntactic features a-e general to aujuncis.
(1) They can come within the scope of predication pro-forms or predication ellipsis ( 10.29 ff). For examp.e, in

John greatly admires Bob, and so does Mary
the pro-form in the second clause includes the adjunct of the first clause, so that the sentence means the same as
John greatly admires Bob, and Mary greatly admires Bob
(2) They can be the focus of limiter adverbials such as only $(8.8 f)$ : They only want the car for an HOUR ('for an hour and not for longer')
(3) They can be the focus of additive adverbials such as also ( 8.8 ff ):

They will also meet $\lambda$ Fterwards ('afterwards in addition to some other time')
(4) They can be the focus of a cleft sentence (14.15f):

It was when we were in Paris that I first saw John
8.5

Adverb phrases as adjuncts
Adverb phrases as adjuncts can often
(1) constitute a comparative construction

John writes more clearly than his brother does
(2) have premodifying however to form the opening of a dependent adverbial clause:
However strongly you feel about it, you should be careful what you say
(3) have premodifying how, a pro-form for intensifiers in questions or exclamations:

How often does she wash her hair?
Ho:v cautiously he drives!
(4) have premodifying so followed by subject-operator inversion and a correlative clause:

So monotonously did he speak that everyone left

## 8.6

## Subclassification of adjuncts

lt is convenient to discuss adjuncts under classes that are essentially semantic. Fig 8:1 gives the ciasses and their subclasses.


Fig 8:1 Adjuncts
8.7

## Viewpoint adjuncts

Viewpoint adjuncts can be roughly paraphrased by "if we consider what we are saying from a [adjeitive phrase] point of view' or 'if we consider what we are saying from the point of view of [noun phrase]'.
Adverbs functioning as viewpoint adjuncts are most commonly derived from adjectives by the addition of a -ly suffix:

Geographically, ethnically, and linguistically, these islands are closer to the mainland than to their ueighbouring islands
To tap a private telephone line is not technically a very difficult opcration
Viewpoint adjuncts derived from nouns by the addition of the suffix -wise (especially AmE) are considered informal:
 a new science serics
All -ly viewpoint adjuncts have a corresponding participle clause with speaking, eg: visually $\sim$ visuc!ly speaking, and a corresponding prepositional phrase with the frame from a [adjective phrase] point of view, eg: moraliy $\sim$ from a moral point of view. Other examples of vicwpuint adjuncts:
inany of thise people iuave sufferid, ecunomically speaking, because of their political affiliations
As far as mathematics is concerned, he was a complete failure
Looked at politically, it was not an easy problem
Viewpoint adjuncts, whatever their structure, are usually in initial position.

## Focusing adjuncts

## 8.8

Focusing adjuncts indicate that what is being communicated is limited to a part that is focused - LIMITER ADJUNCTS - or that a focused part is an addition - addjtive adjuncts. Most focusing adjuncts are adverbs.

## limiters

(a) EXCLUSIVES restrict what is said to the part focused eg: alone, just, merely, only, purely, simply
(b) PARTICULARIZERS restrict what is said particularly or mainly to the part focused eg: chiefly, especially, mainly, mostly; in particular

## additives

also, either, even, neither, nor, too; as well as, in addition

Examples of their use with an indication of the part that is focused:
You can get a B grade JUST for that answer
The workers, IN PARTICULAR, are dissatisfied with the government
We bought some beer AS WELL
Focusing adjuncts cannot be modified: *very only, *extremely also Most of them cannot be coordinated: *just and exactly, *equally and likewise. But we have one cliché coordination:

He is doing it PURELY AND SIMPLY for your benefit

## Position and focus

8.9

Sentences such as
John only phoned Mary today
John also phoned Mary today
are ambiguous, the meaning varying with the intonation we give the sentence. In more formal English varying positions can distinguish most of the nienninge, vith a nucleus on the focused part in speech:
|John Only||phoned MÀry today|=Only Jorrn phoned Mary today (Nobody but John phoned Mary toda:')
|John only phoned MÀry today|=John phoned only MÀry today
(John phoned Mary today but nobody else)
$\mid$ John only phoned Mary toDAY|=John phoned Mary $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { only todAY } \\ \text { todAY only }\end{array}\right.$
(John phoned Mary today but not at any other time)
|John Àlso phoned Mary today|=Jórn, Also, phoned Mary today (John as well as somebody else phoned Mary today)
|John also phoned MÅry today|=John phoned also MÅry today (John phoned Mary as well as somebody else today)
|Johia also phoned Mary todAY| = John pioned Mary $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { also todÀv } \\ \text { todAY also }\end{array}\right.$
(John phoned Mary today as well as at some other time(s))
In speech, a nucleus on the lexical verb gives an unambiguous interpretation when only and aiso are before the lexical verb:
|John only phòned Mary today| (John did nothing else with respect to Mary but phone her)
|John also PHÒNed Mary today| (I?hn phoned Viary today in addition to something else he did with respect to Mary today)

But in the written form, this position remains ambiguous, since (for example) only can have as its focus phoned (llid nothing else but phone), Mary (phoned nobody but Mary), or today (on no other day). However, in practice the context usually makes it clear which interpretation is required.

### 8.10

Positions of focusing adjuncts
Most limiters can either precede or foilcor the part on which they are focused, though it is more usual for them to precede. Just, merely', purely, and simply must normally precede:

You can get a B grade just/merely/purely/Simply for that answer
On the other hand, alone must normally follow 'he part on which it is focusei, eg: You can yet a B grade fol that answer AloNE.
The following additives normally precede a focused part in the predicate but £ollow a focused subject: again, also, equally, similarly, in addition. On the other hand, too and as well normally follow a focused part, wherever in the clause it may be, while even normally precedes:

I know your family has expressed its support. We TOO/AS WELL will do what we can for you.
Yesterday the Robinsous were here with their new baby. They brought their other children TOO|AS WELL.
My father won't give me the money. He won't EVEN lend it to me.
Neither and nor are restricted to initial position and non-assertive either (7.35) to final position•

They won't help him, but NEITHER/NOR will they harm him
They won't help him, but they won't harm him EITHER

### 8.11

Focusing adjuncts in correlative constructions and cleft sentences
With certain limiters - just, simply, and mosi commonly cnly, and merely there can be subject-operator inversion when they follow an initial not in a correlative construction. Besides the normal

He not only protested: he (elso) refused to pay his taxes
we can also have
Not only did he protest: he (also) refused to pay his taxes
The focus can be on the subject or predicate or on some part of either of them. The second correlative clause, which often has (but) also, may be
implied rather than expressed. No: only (and less commonly not plus one of the other limiters) can appear initially in this construction without sub-ject-operator inversion, with focus on the subject:

NOT ONLY he protested: . . .
In a non-correlative construction, not even can also occur initially, but only with normal subject-verb order. The focus is on the subject:

## NOT EVEN John protested

If the focus of even is to be on the predication (or part of it), not even must follow the operator:
John may NOT EVEN have been protesting
Focusing adjuncts can appear within the focal clause of a cleft sentence:

It was only/also John who protested
We should distinguish the cleft seatence from the corre!ative structure which it resembles but from which it differs prosodically:

It was not that John protested; it was merely that he was rudu It's not just that he's young; it's surely that he's inexperienced
The adverbials are here functioning within the superordinate clauses in which the that-clauses are complement. Limiters, additives and some disjuncts (eg: possibly, probably) occur in this correlative structure.

## Intensifiers

8.12

Intensifiers can be divided into three semantic classes: emphasizers, amplifiers, downtoners. Intensifiers are not limited to indicating an increase in intensity; they indicate a point on the intensity scale which may be high or low. Emphasizers have a general heightening effect; amplifiers scale upwards from an assumed norm; downtoners have a lowering effect, usua'ly scaling downwards from an assumed norm. The three classes are shown with their subclasses:

INTENSIFIERS
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { EMPHASIZERS (eg: definitely) } \\ \text { AMPLIFIERS }\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { maximizers (eg: completely) } \\ \text { boosters (eg: very much) }\end{array}\right. \\ {\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { compromisers (eg: kind of) } \\ \text { diminishers (eg: partly) } \\ \text { minimizers (eg: hardly) } \\ \text { approximators (eg: almost }\end{array}\right.}\end{array}\right.$

Most of the common inteniifiers are adverbs, but there are also some noun phrases and a few prepositional phrases.

### 8.13

Emphasizers
Common emphasizers include:
[A] actually, certainly, clearly, definitely, indeed, obviously, plainly, really, s::rely, for certain, for sure, of course
[B] frankly, honestly, literally, simply; fairly (BrE), just
Examples of the use of emphasizers:
I honestly don't know what he wants
He actunlly sat next to her
I just can't underst?ed it
They literally tore his arguments to pieces
I simply don't believe it
While emphasizers in Group A seem to be free to co-occur with any verb or predication, those in Group B tend to be restricted. For example, honesily tends to co-occur with verbs expressing attitude or cognition:
They honestly admire her courage
He ionestly believes their accusaiion
Most emphasizers normally precede the item they emphasize (medial positions for verb phrases) but for certain and for sure are exceptional in being postposed.

## Note

[a] Certain emphasizers appear in restricted environments:
(1) always when preceded by can or could in a positive declarative clause:

You can always sleep on the fLðor (' You can certainly . . .')
(2) well when preceded by can, could, may, or might in a positive declarative clause: It may well be true that he beat her
('It may indeed be true . . .')
[b] Irdec.d can be postposed:
I appreciate your help indeed
This is more common after a cumplement of be which is realized by an adjective (particularly if modified by another intensifier) or a degree noun:
He was very tired indeed (' He was extremely tired')
It was a sacrifice indeed ('It was a great sacrifice')

## Amplifiers

8.14

Amplifiers are divided into (a) MAXIMIZERS, which can denote the upper
extreme of the scale, and (b) BOOSTBRS, which denote a high point on the scale. Boosters are very much an open class, and new expressions are frequently created to replace older ones whose impact has grown stale.
Most amplifiers can be contrasted in alternative negation with :o sc.ne extent:

He didn't ignore me completely, but he did ignore me to some extent
Some common amplifiers are given oelow, with examples of their use.

## MAXIMIZERS

absolutely, altogether, completely, entirely, fully, quite, thoroughly, utterly; in all respects; most
eg I can perfectly see why you are anxious about it
We absolutely refuse to listen to your grumbling
He quite forgot about her birthday
I entirely agree with you
BOOSTERS
badly, deeply, greatly, heartily, much, so, violently, well; ? grect deal, a good deal, a lot, by far; exclamatory how; more
eg They like he: ver; much
I so wanted to see her ('I wanted to see her so much')
I can well understand your problem
M2 (8.3) and final positions are open to most adverbs that are amplifiers; noun phrases and prepositional phrases are restricted to final position. In positive declarative clauses, final position is preferred for maximizers, but M2 position is preferred for boosters, including maximizers when used as boosters, ie when they denote a high point on the scale rather than the upper extreme. Hence, the effect of the maximizer completely in M2 position in He completely denied it is close to that of the booster strongly in He strongly denied it. On the other hand, when complete'y is final, as in He denied it completely, ihe intention seems to be closer to He denicd all of it.
In negative, interrogative and imperative clauses, final position is normal in all cases.
Note
[a] There is a prescriptive tradition inhibiting the use of very or the comparative with completely and perfectly and with their respective adjective forms.
[b] The adverbs extremely, most, and (when no comparative clause follows) more are restricted to final position.
[c] Some adverbs as boosters occasionally appear in $M I$ position (8.3) usually when they are themselves intensified or before an emphatic auxiliary:
8.15 Co-occurrence restrictions on amplifiers

I very much would prefer to see you tomorrow
I so did want to meet them
I well can understand your problem
But M. 2 position, eg: I would very much prefe: to see you tomorrow, is normal.
8.15

CO-OCCURRENCE RESTRICTIONS ON AMPLIFIERS
Amplifiers co-occur only with gradable verbs, whereas emphasizers can co-occur with non-gradable verbs such as drink or judge:

## He really drinks beer <br> He will definitely judge us

When amplifiers co-occur with non-gradable verbs they function as other types of adjunct:

He urin's seer a lot ('olten')
He will judge us severely ('in a severe manner')
However, a non-gradable verb can become gradable when the main concern is with the result of a process. For example, if the perfective particle $u p$ is added to drink or the nerfective aspect of the verb is used, we can add an amplifier such as completely:
He =omrletely drant up his bear
He has completely drunk his beer
Similarly, while judge is non-gradable, misjudge is gradable, since the latter is concerned with the result of the judging:
*He badly judged the situation
He badly misjudged the situation
Certain amplifiers tend to co-occur predominantly with certain verbs for example:

| entirely + agree | completely + forget |
| :--- | :--- |
| badly + need, want | greatly + admire, enjoy |

Amplifiers may occur with a semantic class of verbs, for cxample greatly with verbs having a favourable implication and utterly with verbs having an unfavourable implication. Some, such as deeply, occur with the class of 'emotive' verbs:

They wounded him deeply (emotional wounding)
They wounded him badl $\%$ (physical wounding)
Note
[a] If badly is used with judge, it is interpreted as a process adjunct (perhaps expressing a blend of process with result) and must be put in final position:

He judged the situation badly ('in a way that was bad and with bad results') The gradable/non-gradable distinction between judge and misjudge is found in other morphologically related verbs, eg:

NON-GRADABLE: calculate, estimate, rate, represent, behave, manago
gradable: nuscalualate. overestimate, underestimate, overrate, underrate, misreprescnt, misbehave, mismanage
[b] Much is largely used as a non-assertive (7.35), unless premodified or in the compared forms. With some attitudinal verbs, unpremodified much zan be used, but only in M2 position (8.3):

We would have much preferred/appreciated her taking that position
They will much admire/regret your methods

## Downtoners

8.16

Downtoners have a lowering effect on the force of the verb. They can be divided into four groups:

COMPROMISERS have only a slight lowering effect
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { DIMINISHERS } \\ \text { MINIMIZERS }\end{array}\right\}$ scale downwards considerably
APPROXIMATORS serve to express an approximation to the force of the verb

## COMPROMISERS

kind of/sort of (informal, esp AmE), quite/rather (esp BrE ), more or less

## eg I kind of like him (informal, esp AmE)

I quite enjoyed the party, but I've been to better ones ( $\operatorname{esp} \mathrm{BrE}$ )

## DIMINISHERS

partly, slightly, somewhat; in part, to some extent; a little
$e g$ The incident somewhat influenced his actions in later life
We know them slightly
MINIMIZERS
a bit; negatives (7.36): berolv, hardly, little, scarcely; non-assertives (7.35): in the least, in the slightest, at ull
eg I didn't enjoy it in the least
A: Do you like her? B: A bit.

## APPROXIMATORS

almost, nearly, as good as, all but
eg I almost resigned
Noun phrases are quite common as non-assertive minimizers, eg: 'I didn't sleep $a$ wink last night'; 'I don't owe you a thing'.

Most dowatoners favour M2 position (8.3) but can also occur finally, eg: 'He more or less agrees with you', 'He agrees with you more or less'. Some are restricted to M2: quite, rather, as good as, all but; eg 'I quite like him'. Others tend to be restricted either to M2 or to $M I(b)$, the position between two auxiliaries: barely, hardly, scarcely, practically, tirtually herce we may have 'He could hardly be described as an e...pert'. A few are restricted to $M 2$ in a positive clause, but can precede a negative phrase in M1: kind of, sort of, almost, nearlyl eg 'I almost didn't meet him'. A few others favour final position - a bit, at all - or are restricted to it - enough, a little, eg 'I didn't enjoy it at all', 'He hasn't worked enough'. A few can appear initially: in part, in some respects, to some extent/ eg 'To some extent he prefers working at home'.
8.17
appoximaiurs inuly a cialiai uf the inuin-vaiue or what is denuted by the verb. Hence we can say, with the approximator almost,
I almost resigned, but in fact I didn't resign
The negative minimizers partially deny the truth-value of what is said:
I can scarcely ignore his views; in fact I can't ignore his views
The second clause converts the partial denial in the first clause into a full denial.
Compromisers reduce the force of the verb. If we say
I kind of like him (informa', esp AmE)
we do not deny liking him, but we seem to be deprecating what we are saying, 'I might go as far as to say I like him'.
Diminishers are not usually the focus of negation, but when they are, the effect is to push the scaling towards the top:

They didn't praise him SLIGHTly ('They praised him a lot')
On the other hand, the effect of negation on those minimizers that accept negation is to deny the truth value of what is denoted by the verh:

We don't like it a blt ('We don't like it')
Four of the minimizers - barely, hardly, little, scarcely - are themselves negative and cannot be negated.
Note
Certain minimizers appear in restricted environments:
(1) possibly and conceivably when they co-occur with can or could in a non-assertive clause:

They can't possibly/conceivably leave now ('They can't under any circumstances leave now')
(2) never is a negative minimizer in

You will never catch the trai- tonight ('It is utterly impossible that you will catch the train tonight')
In questions, ever can replace never as minimizer:
Will he ever/never go to bed tonight?
8.18

Homonyms of intensifiers: quantifiers, time frequency adjuncts, time duration adjuncts
Many items that are intensificis are also used to denote a measure of quantity or of time duration or time frequency: all the minimizers; the compromisers enough, sufficiently, the boosters much, a lot, a good deal, a great deal, the diminishers a little, least, somewhat, to some extent. We car: therefore zontract severa! !eec of (cay) $q I^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ :

I like them a lot ('to a great extent' - booster intensifier)
I paid him a lot for his work ('a large amount' - quantifier)
I see him a lot ('often' - time jrequency adjunct)
I slept a lot last night ('a long time' - time duration adjunct)
Some of the quantifiers must be analysed as direct objects, because they can be made the subject of the passive form of the sentence:

They paid a lot for these pictures $\leftrightarrow A$ lot was paid (by them) for these pictures

## Process adjuncts

8.19

Process adjuncts define in some way the process denoted by the verb. They can be divided into at least three semantic subclasses:

MANNER
MEANS
INSTRUMENT
Common pro-forms for process adjuncts are in that way, that way (informal), like that.

Process adjuncts co-occur with dyramic verbs, bui not with siative verbs (3.35):

```
He likes them }}{\mp@subsup{}{}{*}\mathrm{ skilfully
He owns it }{*awkwardly
```

Process adjuncts favour, final position, since they usually receive the information focus. Indeed, no other position is likely if the process adjunct is obligatory for the verb:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { They live frugally } \\ \text { *They frugally live }\end{array} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { They treated his friend badly } \\ \text { *They badly treated his friend }\end{array}\right.\right.$
8.20 Manner adjuncts

Since the passive is often used when the need is felt to focus attention on the verb, process adjuncts are commonly placed in $M 2$ position (8.3) rather than finally when the verb is in the passive:

Tear gas was indiscriminately sprayed ou the protesters
Process adjuncts realized by units other than adverb phrases often occur initially, that position being preferred if the focus oi information is required on another part of the sentence:
By pressing this button you can stop the machine

### 8.20

## Manner adjuncts

Examples of ine üse uf nâıneı adjüacis:
They sprayed tear gas indiscriminately on the protesters
She replied to questions with great courtesy
He spoke in a way that reminded me of his father
He always writes in a carefree manner
They walked (in) single file
You should write as I tell you to
Manner adjuncts are realized mostly by adverb phrases and prepositional phrases (6.31), but also by noun phrases and clauses (11.33).
Noun phrases with way, manner, and style as head tend to have the definite article:
She cooks chicken $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the way I like } \\ \text { in }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the } \\ a\end{array}\right\} \text { way I like }\end{array}\right.$
As the above example illustrates, we can regard such noun phrases as having omitted the preposition in (cf $6.25 f, 8.21$ ).

An adverb manner adjunct can usually be paraphrased by in $a .$. . manner or in $a \ldots$ way with its adjective base in the vacant position. Where an adverb form exists, it is usually preferred over a corresponding prepusitional phrase with manner or way. Hence, 'He always writes carelessly' is more usual than 'He always writes in a careless manner/way'.
Adverbs as heads of manner phrase adjuncts are an open class. The main method of forming manner adverbs is by adding a -ly suffix to an adjective. Three minor methods are by adding -wise, -style, or -fashion to a noun, eg: snakc-wise, cowboy-style, peasant-fashion. With these forms the prepositional paraphrase would include postmodification: in the manner of a snake, in the style of cowboys, in the fashion of peasants.

Some adjuncts express a blend of manner with some cther meaning.
(1) Manner with result and intensification:

The soldiers wounded him badly ("in such a way and to such an extent that it resulted in his being in a bad condiaion",
(2) Manner with time duration:

He was walking slowly ('in such a way that each step took a long time')
Such items are more fully time adjuncts when they appear initially or medially: Sudderly, I felt free again ('it suddenly happened')
My brother quickly despised his school ('My brother soon came to despise')
(3) Manner with time when:

Put it together again ('in the way that it was before')

### 8.21

Means and instrument adjuncts
Examples of the use of means adjuncts:
He decided to treat the patient surgically
I go to school by car
He gained entrv into the Luilding by means of a b:ibe tc the zuard
Examples of the use of instrument adjuncts:
He examined the specimen microscopically
You can cut the bread with that knife
The injured horse was humanely killed with a rifle bullet
Most means and instrument adjuncts are prepositional phrases ( 6.31 f ), but some are adverb phrases and others are noun phrases without an article. We can consider the noun phrases as related to prepositional phrases (8.20):

He sent it (by) air mail

$$
\text { Fly }\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { with } \\
b y
\end{array}\right\}\right) \text { Air France }
$$

He travelled to Washington (bv) first class

## Note

Adverbs as means and instrument adjuncts cannot be modifizd. Hence, microscopically in 'He examined the specimen very microscopically' can only be a manner adjunct ('in microscopic detail'), although without the premodifier very it can be a means or instrument adjunct (' oy means of a microscope' or 'with a microscope').

## Subject adjuncts

### 8.22

Subject adjuncts relate to the referent of the subject in an active clause (or the agent in a passive clause) as well as to the process or state denoted by the verb. All are either adverb or prepositional phrases. Three groups can
be distinguished: general, volitional, and formulaic. The last group will be separately discussed in 8.23.

General subject adjuncts:
Resentfully, the workers have stood by their leaders ('The workers have stood by their leaders and were resentful about it')
With great unease, they elected him as their leader ('They were very uneasy when they . . .')
Volitional subject adjuncts:
He left his proposals vague on purpose ('It was his purpose to . . .') He deliberately misled us ('He was being deliberate when he ...')

Common volitional subject adjuncts: deliberately, (un)intentionally, F:arposely, relu-tontly, volיntaril.: wilfully (un) willingly! on nurpose. with reluctance
The subject adjuncts show their relationship to the subject by the paraphrase they allow. For example, we must provide a different paraphrase for the subject adjunct bitterly from its homonyms as manner adjunct and booster intensiuer:

Bitterly, he buried his children ('He was bitter when he . . .')
He spoke bitterly about their attitude ('He spoke in a bitter way . . .') He bitterly regretted their departure ('He very much regretted . . .')
Volitional subject adjuncts differ from other subject adjuncts in that
(1) they express the subject's intention or willingness, or the reverse
(2) they can often occur with intensive verbs:

He is deliberately being a nuisance
(3) they can more easily appear before clause negation:

Intentionally, he didn't write to them about it
Subject adjuncts require an animate sulject:
Joan resentfully packed their luggage
*The water resentfully boiled
However, in the passive form it is the agent (whether present or not) that must be animate:

Their luggage was resentfully packed (by Joan)
Subject adjuncts tend to occur initially or medially, but M2 position (8.3) is probably preferred.

### 8.23

Formulaic adjuncts
Except for please, formulaic adjuncts tend to be restricted to $M 2$ position (8.3). They are a small group of adverbs used as markers of courtesj. Al except please are modifiable by very. The most common are exemplified below:

He kindly offered me a ride ('He was kind enough to . . .')
We cordially invite you to our party ('We express our cordiality to you by inviting . . .')
She announced that she will graciously consent to our request ('. . . she will be gracious enough to . . .')
He humbly offered his apologies ('He was humble enough to offer . . .')
Take a seat please ('Please me by taking . . .')
Kindly and please are the only formulaic adjuncts to appear freely before imperatives. Kindly is restricted to initial position in imperatives:

Kindly leave the room
Please, however. is mobile:
Please leave the room
Open the door please

Uulike the other formulaic adjuncts, please is zormally lim:ted iv sentences having the function of a command, or containing a reported command, or constituting a request:

Will you please leave the room?
You will please leave the room
I wonder whether you would mind leaving the room please
I asked him whether he would please leave the room
May I please have my book back?
Please and (to a lesser extent) kindly are very commonly used to tone down the abruptness of a command.

## Placo adjuncts

8.24

Place adjuncts denote static position and also direction, movement, and passage, here brought together under the general term 'direction'. Most place adjuncts are prepositional phrases ( 6.7 ff ), but clauses (11.22), adverb phrases and noun phrases are frequently used:

He lives in a small village
The church was built where there haa once been an office block They are not there
She v'orks a long way from here

He ran past the sentry
They followed him wherever he went
I took the papers from the desk
He threw it ten yards
Position adjuncts can normally be evoked as a response to a where question:

A : Where is he staying? $\mathrm{B}:$ In a hotel.
The appropriate question for direction adjuncts is where plus the relevant directional particle, except that for 'direction towards' the particle to is commonly omitted:

A : Where are you going (to)? B: (To) the park.
A : Where have you come from? B: (From) the supermarket.
Adverbs commonly used for both position and direction: above, along, anywhere, around, away, back, below, by, down, east (and other compass points), elsewhere, everywhere, far, here, home, in, locally, near, off, opposite, out, over, past, round, somewhere, there, through, under, up, within.

A few adverbs denote direction only: aside, backward(s), downward(s), forward(s), inward(s), left, outward(s), right, sideways, upward(s).

Where in its various uses is a place adjunct; here and there are proforms for place adjuncts.

## Note

[a] For here, above, and below as signals in discourse reference, see 10.38.
[b] Anywhere and (normally) far are non-assertive (7.35).

### 8.25

## Co-occurrence restrictions on place adjuncts

Direction adjuncts are used only with verbs of motion or with other dynamic verbs (3.35) that allow a directional meaning:

## He jumped over the fence

She was whispering softly intc the microphone
On the other hand, position adjuncts can be used with most verbs, including stative verbs (3.35).
Position adjuncts are used as predicative adjuncts with the intensive verb be:

Your sister is in the next room
The house you want is on the other side of the street
Some direction adjuncts are also used with be, but with a resultative meaning, indicating the state of having reached the destination (6.8).

Some place adjuncts are obligatory, providing verb complementation to verbs other than be:

| We don't live here | They put the cat out |
| :--- | :--- |
| I'll get below | You should set that dish in the middle |

Place adjuncts are used also non-literally in phrasal verbs (12.2f):
The light is on ('is shining')
When John heard what happened, he blew up ('became very angry') They turned down the suggestion ('rejected')
$U p$, in particular, is used as an intensifier or perfectively:
You must drink up quickly ('finish drinking')
They closed up the factory ('closed cc.mpletely')
For the transferred or abstract use of place prepositions, see б́.20.
8.26

## Position and direction adjuncts in the same clause

Position and direction adiuncle can en-oreur, with tie pusition $\Longleftarrow d j u n c$ : normally following the direction adjunct in final position:

The cl.ildren are running arouild $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ ::pstairs $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
The position adjunct can be put in initially to avoid giving it endfocus (14.11):

Upstairs the children are running around
A prepositional phrase may be put in t'hat position, as in
In the park some of the children are walking to the lake
to prevent it from being interpreted as a postmodifier of a previous noun phrase. There are other ways of avoiding such an interpretation, eg:

Some of the children are in the park and walking to the lake
Two position adjuncts or two direction adjuncts can be coordinated:
We can wait for you here or in the car
They went up the hill and into the station
But a position and a direction adjenct normally cannot be coordinated. Hence in
The baby was crawling upstairs and into his parents' bedroom
upstairs can be interpreted only as a direction adjunct since it is coordinated with a phrase that has only a directional function.
8.27

## Hierarchical relationship

Two position adjuncts can co-occur:
Many people eat in restaurants $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ in London $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
Only the adjunct denoting the larger place can be moved to initial position:
In London many people eat in restaurants
*In restaurants many people eat in London
Initial position may be preferred in the case of a prepositional phrase that can also be interpreted as postmodiier of a previous noun phrase, as possibly with (restaurants) in London (cf 8.20).
Twe direction adjuncts can also co-occur:
lie came to London from Rome
He went from Rome to London
The normal order of these direction adjuncts accords with the interpretation of the verb. Come concerns arrival, and therefore the destination ( $t=$ Lor.lon) is normally' mentioned before the foint of departure 'from Rome), whereas go concerns departure and therefore the reverse order is normal.
The normal order of juxtaposed direction adjuncts otherwise follows the same order as the events described:
They drove down the hill $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ to the village $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
Similarly, only the adjunct relating to the earlier event can be transposed to initial position:
And then from Alexandria the party proceeded to Cairo

### 8.28

## Positions of place adjuncts

Both types of place adjunct favour final position:
pusition $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I'll meet you downstairs } \\ \text { You'll find the sugar whele the coffee is }\end{array}\right.$
direction $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { l'll go downstairs } \\ \text { We're moving some new furniture into the kitchen }\end{array}\right.$

Position adjuncts, particularly prepositional phrases, often appear initially. They may he put there to avoid end-focus (14.11), or to avoid misinterpretation (8.?.6), or to avoid a clustering of adjuncts at final position, though it is not usually possible to isolate any one reason.
Outside, children were jumping and skipping

Here . . . be and There . . . be with a personal pronoun as subject and the verb in the simple present are commonly used to draw attention to the presence of somebody or something:

Here I am/Here it is/There she is/There you are
Speakers sometimes put position adjuncts (especially here, there, and compounds with -where) in M2 and more rarely in M1 (8.3):

Life is everywhere so frustrating
We are here enjoying a different kind of existence
Place adjuncts can take the position between verb and object if the object is long:

$$
\text { They }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { moved into } \\
\text { found in }
\end{array}\right\} \text { ine kichen every conceivaule kind uf furniture }
$$

Some direction adjuncts are put initially to convey a dramatic impact. They normally co-occur with a verb in the simple present or simple past:
Away be goes On they marched

If the subject is not a pronoun but a noun (and therefore has greater information value), subject-"erh inversion is normal when any place adjunct is initial (14.12):

Away goes the servant
On the very top of the hill lives a hermit

Here $+b e$ and there $+b e$ with the verb in the simple present are common in speech:

## Here are the tools There's your brother

Direction adjuncts are put in initial position virtually only in literary English and in children's literature. A few exceptions occur in informal speech, mainly with go, come. and get in either the imperative with the retained subject you cr in the simple present:

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { On } \\ \text { Under }\end{array}\right\}$ you go
There they $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { go } \\ \text { come }\end{array}\right.$
Here $\left\{\begin{array}{l}I \\ \text { we }\end{array}\right\}$ go
Round
Here he comes

## Note

a] There are some idiomatic expressions with here and there.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Here } \\ \text { There }\end{array}\right\}$ you are $=$ This is for you
Here we are $=$ We've arrived at the expected place
There you are = That supports or proves what I've said
[b] Certain direction adjuncts are commonly used as imneratives, with an implied verb of motion:

Out(side)!, In(vide)!, (Oter) Here!, (Over) There!, (Right) Back!,
Dowr!!, $O_{\Delta}^{A}!$ !, U'p!', Under !, Left!, Right!, Away!
Up the stairs!, Out of the house!, To bed!
This applies also to some other adjuncts, eg: Quickly!, Slowly!, Carefully!

### 8.29

## Position adjuncts in relation to subject and object

Position adjuncts normally indicate where the referent of the subject and (if nresent) of the obiect are located, and usually the plare is the same for both referents:

I met John on a bus (John and I were on the bus)
But sometimes the places can be different:
I siw Jokn cn a 'hus (Juhn was un the bus but in need not have oeen)
With verbs of placing, the reference is always to the place of the object and normally thai will differ fiom the place of the suiject (cf 8.44 , and complex transitive complementation, $12.26 f$ ):

## I have/keep/put/park/shelter my car in a garage

With certain ver'bs of saying, arranging, expecting, position adjuncts are resultative and are like predicative adjuncts of the direct object (cf 12.14 Note):

I want my car IN THE GARAGE ('to be in the garage')
They plan a meeting AT MY HOUSE ('that there should be a meeting at
my house')
They offered a barbecue NEARBY ('to have a barbecue nearby')
I like my dinner IN TiTE KITCHEN ('to have my dinner in the kitchen')
The position adjunct may sometimes refer to the ouject in a conditional relationship:

I only like barbecues ON THE BEACH ('if they are held on the beach')

## Time adjuncts

8.30

Time adjuncts that are clauses (11.21) or prepositional phrases ( 6.21 ff ) or noun phrases ( $6.25 f$ ) are discussed elsewhere.

Time adjuncts can be divided into four main semantic classes:


## Time when adjuncts

8.31

Most time when adverbs can serve as a response to a when question:
A : When did he arrive? $\mathrm{B}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Last night. } \\ \text { While you were at the library. }\end{array}\right.$
When in its various uses is in part pro-form for the time adjuncts. For then as a pro-form for these time adjuncts, see $10.5,10.28$

Time when adjuncts can be divided into
[A] those denoting a point of time
[B] those denoting a boundary of time, ie a point of time but also implying the point from which that time is measured

Common adverbs in these two groups include:

## Group A

again ('on another occasion'), just ('at this very moment'), late
('at a late time'), now ('at this time'), nowadays ('at the present
time'), presently ('at the present time', esp imE), then ('at that time'), today

## Group B

afterwards, before, eventually ('in the end'), formerly, just ('a
very short time ago'), lataly ('a short time ago'), momentarily
('in a moment', AmE), previously ('before'), presently ('soon'), recently ('a short time ago'), since ('after that'), soon, then ('after thai')

Examples of the use of time when adjuncts:
Group A
I was in New York last year and am now living in Baltimore
I'm just finishing my homework
I was awarded my Bachelor of Arts degree in 1970
I'll tell you all the news when I get back home
Group B
I haven't any time at the moment but I'll see you soon
Take a drink and then go to bed
Wiai you bu her: afiur iuich:
He owed me a lot of money and wouldn't pay me back until I got my lawyer to write to him. He has paid me back in full since then She left him after he struck her

Most time when adjuncts in Group A normally occur finally, but just is restricted to M2 position (8.3), eg: I've just heard that you are leaving us. Nowadays and presently commonly occur initially, eg: Nowadays, many teem.igers have long hair. Those in Gīup 3 cormunly occur initially or at $M 2$ position.
Note
[a] Earlier and later are synonymous with before (that) and afterwards respectively
He remembered the many insults that he had earlier experienced
He handed in his resignation, and later regretted his hasty action
[b] Presently is synonymous with soon where there is a modal auxiliary or (for some speakers) when the verb is in the past:
They $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will presently call on him } \\ \text { presently called on him }\end{array}\right.$
(Some find presently unacceptable when it co-occurs with a verb in the past.)
On the other hand, when the verb is in the present, it is synonymous with at presert (esp AmE):

They are presentiy staying witn him

### 8.32

Most adverbs in Group B are used as correlatives to denote temporal sequence; as such they tend to occur initially or medially:

First they petitioned the Governor, but heard nothing from him. Then they wrote to the President, and received a polite but vague reply from some official. They next organized a peaceful demonstration. And finally they picketed all Federal buildings in the city.

Time when adjuncts can be in a hierarchical relationship:
I'll see you at nine $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ on Monday $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
The order of final adjuncts depends in part on information focus, but the tendency is for the superordinate adjunct (the one denoting the more extended period) to come last. However, the order may be reversed if the other adjunct is considerably longer:
I was in New York last year $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ before the first snow fell $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
Only the superordinate adjunct can occur initially (8.27, 836 ):
On Monday I'll see you at nine
*At nine I'll see you on Monday
8.34

Time duration adjuncts
Time duration adjuncts can be divided into two groups:
[A] these fencting length of time
[B] those denoting duration from some preceding point of time
Time duration adjuncts in Group A can serve as a response to a (for) how long question:

A: How long are you staying (for)? B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (For) About a month. } \\ \text { Till I can get my car repaired. }\end{array}\right.$
Adverbs in Group B cannot serve as a response to such a question though prepositional phrases and clauses can do so:

A: (For) How long have you been collecting stamps?
B: *Since/*Recently/Since last month/Since I was a child
Those in Group B co-occur with perfect aspect (cf 11.49):
His studies $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { haven't been } \\ \text { *weren't }\end{array}\right\}$ improving lately/rocer:tly/since
Common adverbs in the two groups include:

## Group A

always, long, momentarily ('for a moment'), permanently, temporarily
Group B
lately/recently ('during a recent period')
since ('from some time in the past')
Examples of the use of time duration adjuncts:

## Group A

I have c'ways lived here
I'll be in California for the summer
Was it noisy the whole night?
There was no trouble while we were there
Group B
He insulted me last year and I haven't spoken $!\cap$ him since
Things haven't become any better iutely
I have been waiting for the books to be delivered ever since I came to this apartment

Time duration adjuncts are normally positioned finally, except for three adyerbs normally positioned at $M 2$ (8.3) : momentarily, permanently, temporarily.
Note
[a] When Iately and recently are time when adjuncts (8.31), they can co-occur with simple past as well:

He lately/recently moved into a new apartment
Since requires perfect aspeci evea bhen ${ }^{\circ}+$ is a time when adjunct:

$$
\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { has since moved } \\
\text { *since moved }
\end{array}\right\} \text { into a new apartment }
$$

[b] Uninflected long is normally a non-assertive form (7.35) and positioned finally, but it can be an assertive form when it co-occurs with certain verbs and is then usually positioned at $M 2$. The verbs seem to be mainly verbs of belief or assumption (11.58), attitudinal verbs, and some verbs of speaking:

I have long admired his style of writing
I have long admired his style of writing
He has long thought of retiring at the age of 55
[c] Since when and until (or till) when are used to form questions:
A: Since when have you known him? B: Since he joined our club.

These are the normal positions for since and until/till, postposition being unacceptable for since and until: *When have you known him since ?, *When are you staying until?, and the less common position for till: ?When are you staying till' 'In this respect, they contrast with how long . . . for; for is normally postposed, the initial position being a formal variant.

## Time frequency adjuncts <br> 8.35

Most time frequency adjuncis can serve as a response to a how often question:
A: How often do you wash your car? B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Every Sunday. } \\ \text { Whenever I find a spare } \\ \text { half-hour. }\end{array}\right.$

Time frequency adjuncts are usually adverb phrases or noun phrases, and they can be divided semantically into two major sutelasses:
[I] those naming explicitly the times by which the frequency is measured: DEFINITE FREQUENCY
[II] those not doing so: indefinite frequency
Each of these subclasses can in turn be subdivided. In each case we list common adverbs.
[I] DEFINITE FREQUENCY
[A] PERIOD FREQUENCY
Committee meetings take place weekly
If so desired, rent cain be paid per week instead of per month common adverbs: hourly, daily, weekly, monthly, annually
[B] Number frequency
I have been in Singapore $\partial N C E$ (only)
He again demanded a refurd ('ror a sezond time')
common adverbs: again, once ('one time only'), twice, etc; otherwise phrases, eg: three times, on five occasions
[II] indefinite frequency
[C] usual occurrence
We normally don't go to bed before midnight As usual, nobody asked anything at the end of the lecture common adverbs: commonly, generally, invariably, normally, usually
[D] Continuous/continual frequency
Does she always dress well?
He is continually complaining about the noise
common adverbs: always, constantly, continually, continuously
[E] high frequency
I have often told them to relax more
Have you been drunk many times?
common advesbs: frequently, often, regularly, repeatedly
[F] LOW OR ZERO FREQUENCY
I sometimes think she doesn't know what she's talking about I have been in his office on several occasions
common adverbs: infrequently, occasionally, rarely, seldom, sometimes; never, ever ('at any time')
Time freque"cy adjuncts in Groups A and B normally occur finally. Those in Groups C-F are normally positioned at $M 2$, but are often found
at $M 1$ (8.3). Phrases (apart from those consisting of an adverb or a premodified adverb) are normally initial for Group C (eg: as usual, as a rule, for the most part) and final for Groups D-E (eg: at all times, many times, now and again).
Note
We should add to Groups E and F items that are used as intensifiers (8.18):
[E] much, a iut, a good deal, a great deal (all equivalent to often or very often)
[F] a lititie ('very occasionally'), little ('hardly at any time'),
less ('less frequently'), least ('least frequently '),
a biit ('occasionally'); bare!y, kardly, scarcely
eg I don't see him very much ('very often')
I go there very little ('very infrequently')
As frequency adjuncts, hardly and scarcely tend to co-occur with non-assertive ever ('at any time'):
I hartly/scencoly ever gethero

### 8.36

Adjuncts of definite frequency in Group A denote the period of time by which the frequency is measured, while those in [ $B$ ] express the measurement in number of times. Items frem eash group ran co-nccur, nermály with the item from $[\mathrm{B}]$ coming first:

Yor s'rould take the medicine twire [ P ] daily" [ A ]
Those in [A] can also co-occur with each other in a hierarchical relationship:
She felt his pulse hourly [A] each day [A]
The order of the adjuncts in final position depends in part on information focus, but only the one denoting the longer period can occur initially (cf8.27, 8.33):

Each day she felt his pulse hourly
Those in [B] can likewise co-occur with each other in a hierarchical relationship with a moraentary yerb (3.35):
I hit him twice on two occusions ('two times on each of two occasions')
As here, the superordinate adjunct tends to follow the subordinate adjunct; but it can be initial:

On two occasions I hit him twice
Adjuncts in [B] can often be the response to the question How many times?:

A: How many times did you hit him? B: Twice.

Adjuncts of indefinite frequency in Group $C$ denote usual occurrence. They differ from most of the other adjuncts of indefinite frequency in that they can precede the clausal negative, in which case they indicate that it is normal for something not to occur:

Generally/Normally/Usually, he doesn't take medicine
Those in $[\mathrm{E}]$ and $[\mathrm{F}]$ that precede negation express a high or low frequency. It is not contradictory to assert that it is frequent (or infrequent) for something to occur and at the same time that it is frequent (or infrequent) for it not to occur:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { Ofter } \\
\text { Occasionally }
\end{array}\right] \text { he doesn't take medicine, but }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { often } \\
\text { occasionally }
\end{array}\right] \text { he does }
$$

(take inedicine)
We can see from this example that often does not necessarily imply the majority of times, and the same is true for frequently. However, except for invariably, those in [C] do imply the majority of times; they also allow for exceptions. so that we can say:

Generally/Normally/Usually, he doesn't take medicine, but sometimes he does (take medicine)
Frequency adjuncts in [D] normally cannot precede negation:

$$
\text { *He }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { always } \\
\text { constantly }
\end{array}\right\} \text { doesn't pay his debts on time }
$$

Instead we use never, not . . . ever, or not . . . at all:

$$
\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { never pays } \\
\text { doesn't ever pay }
\end{array}\right\} \text { his debts on time }
$$

He didn't drink whisky at all

### 8.38

TIME FREQUENCY ADJUNCTS AND QU゙ANIIEIER 3
If the subject is generic (4.18), many adjuncts of inciefinite frequency, particularly when positioned initially or medially, are equivalent to certain predeterminers ( 4.7 ff ) or to certain quantifiers ( 4.13 ff ) in the noun phrase of the subject. For example, in

Sailors drink rum often ('on many occasions')
often refers to the frequency of the drinking of rum. However,

is very similar to
Many sailors drink rum
Other examples:
Universities sometimes have linguistics departments
=Some universities have linguistics departments
Students occasionally fail this course
$=A$ few students fail this course
Officers never get drunk while on duty
$=$ No officers get drunk while on duty
If the direct object is generic, the adjunct may be equivalent to a predeterminer or quantifier in the noun phrase of the direct object:

1 often tind spelling mistakes in students* essays
$=I$ find many spelling mistakes in students' essays

## Other time relationships

### 8.39

Adjuncts included here express some ielationship in tili. 2 other than those specified in $8.31-38$. One group consisis of adjuncts concerned with the sequence within the flause of two time relationships, and they enoccur with time when adjuncts. Many of the same items are also used as correlatives to denote temporal sequence between clauses or between sentences (8.32):

These techniques were originally used in the Second World War It wasn't until last night that I was finally introduced to her
She broke her leg for the first time when she was ten
Adverb phrases normally appear in $M 2$ (8.3) and other phrases in final position. Common adverbs in this group include afterwards, eventually, finally, first, later, next, originally, subsequently, then.

### 8.40

Another group consists of adjuncts that are similar to time duration adjuncts in that they express duration up to or before a given or implied time; they are related by assertive/non-assertive contrasts (7.35):
assertive forms: already, still, by now
non-assertive forms: yet, any more, any longer
negative forms: no more, no longer
They have finished iheir work by now
We haven't yet eaten
He would stay no longer

Most of these adjuncts occur either in M2 position (8.3) or finally, but already is normally in $M 2$ position.
The three items jet, already, and still are in particular closely related. In contrast to non-assertive yet, already and still cannot lie within the scope of clause negation (7.40) except in questions. Still, unlike already, Call precede negation. We therefore have the following possibilities:

## declarative positive

I already like him ('I have by this time come to like him.')
*I yet like him
I still like him ('I continue to like him')
declarative negative (adverb preceding negation)
*I already haven't spoken to him
*I yet haven't spoken to him
I sill' '山aien't spoke二 to him ('I harren't snolen to him so far')
declarative negative (adverb following negation)
*He can't alrecdy drive
He can't drive yet ('He can't drive up to this time')
?He can't still drive ('He can't continue to drive')

## interrogative positive

Have you already seen him? (That was quick)
Have you seen hir: yet? ('rou've been here ages)
Do you still see him? ('Do you continue to see him?')

## interrogative negative

Haven't you seen him already? ('Haven't you by this time seen Haven't you seen him yet? him?')
Don't you still see him? ('Don't you continue to see him?')

## Note

[a] The difference between already and yet in questions is that already expects an affirmative answer whereas yet leaves open whether the answer is negative or positive ( 7.46 f ).
[b] Yet can be assertive in certain contexts where it is similar in meaning to still:
I have yet to find out what he wants ('I have still tc . . .')
I can see him yet ('I can still see hinn')
There's plenty of time yet ('There's plenty of time still')
[c] Still often blends concessive and temporal meanings (cf8.53). For example, in It's very late and he's still working ('He's continuing even so to work')

### 8.41

## Relative positions of time adjuncts

Adjuncts from the three major subclasses that can co-occur in final position - time when, time duration, and time frequency - tend to occur in the order
time duration (D) - time frequency (F) - time when (W)

The following sentences exemplify the normal order (but cf 8.46):
I was there for a day or so (D) every year ( F ) during my chili,hood (W)
I'm paying my rent monthly (F) ihis year (W)
Our electricity was cut off briefly (D) today (W)

### 8.42

Coordination
Time adjuncts in the same subclass can be coordinated:

## TIME WHEN

today and tomorrow now or later
TIME DURATION
permanentiy or temporarilv for the week or (for the) monih
TIME FREQUENCY once or twice each day and (each) night

## Note

Now and then and now and again are common coordinated expressions used for time froqu•nc;' ('fror time to tirne', 'uccir:onal!"'). Similarly, aguin ani' agai" and over and over are used to denote frequent repetition and not just two repetitions (5.33).

## $8.4 \hat{3}$

## Time adjuncts and time reference

Time adjuncts play a part in specifying the time reference of the verb phrase. Thus, now determines that the reference in

## He is playing now

is present, and tomorrow that it is future in

## He is playing tomorrow

Some time adjuncts cannot co-occur with particular forms of the verb phrase. Thus, tomorrow does not co-occur with the simple past:
*He played tomorrew
For further discussion of this topic, see 3.30.

## Note

An apparent exception is with tilose verbs of saying, arranging, expecting, or wanting whose object has fulure reference. In such cases, though the expressed verb is in the past, there may be a time adjunct with future reference to the object:
She wanted the book tomorrow (= She wanted to have the book tomorrow)
The adjunct can co-occur with another that has past reference to the verb:
As far back as March, they predicted a crisis next month (ie their prediction of a crisis next month was made as far back as March).

### 8.44

Time adjuncts es predicative adjuncts with be
Time adjuncts can co-occur with all verbs, including be:
It's much warmer now
Many of them can also be used as predicative adjuncts with be.
TIME WHEN
The meeting will be tomorrow
timb duration
The show is from nine till twelve

## TIME FREQUENCY

Interviews are every hour
Re in such caces is equivalent to 'take rlace', and the s!biect mest the eventive. For example in

The opera will be tonight
the opera is interpreted as 'the performance of the opera'.
The reference of the time adjunct may be to the nbject (cf 8.29). There are two types of such references:
(1) The verbs denote the placing or movement of the object, and a place adjunct is present indicating the resulting place of the action. The time adjuncts denote time duration.

They threw him in prison for life ('He will be in prison for life')
(2) The verbs are of saying, arranging, expecting, or wanting where the object has future reference. The adjuncts denote time when, time duration, and time frequency.

TIME WHEN (cf 8.43 Note)
TIME DURATION
They offerea us the house for the summer ('that we could use the house for the summer')

## TIME FREQUENCY

I suggest an informal discussion occasionally ('that there should be an informal discussion occasionally')

### 8.45

Other classes of adjuncts
Some classes of adjuncts are realized by prepositional phrases or clauses and either rarely or not at all by adverb phrases. For example, there are
adjuncts expressing purpose (6 $28,11.31$ ), but there are iew adverbs used in this way. (See Note below.) Other classes of adjuncts are realized by prepositional phrases only; for example, adjuncts expressing source or origin (6.30), as in

He took the book from me
iNote
Perhaps symbolically ('for a symbolic purpose', 'as a symbol') and experimentally ('for an experimental purpose', 'as an experiment') in the following sentences are instances of adverbs used to denote purpose:

They symbolically buried the car as a protest against pollution
The teacher experimentally called the students by their first names

### 8.46

Relative positions of adjuncts
Where adjuncts cluster in tunal position, the normai order is
process - place - time
This order is exemplified in
He was working with his shears (process) in the garden (place) the whole morning (time).
Three other general principles apply to relative order whether within a class or between classes:
(1) The normal relative order can be changed to suit the desire for end focus (14.2)
(2) A clause normally comes after other structures, since otherwise these would be interpreted as adjuncts of the clause:

We stood talking for a very long time $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ where the fire had been $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$
(3) Longer adjuncts tend to follow shorter adjuncts:

$$
\text { I was studying earlier }\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right) \text { in the university library }\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)
$$

This principle often coincides with (1) and (2).
Adjuncts that can occur initially are often put in that position for reasons of information focus, but also to avoid having too many adjuncts in final position. We might, therefore, have moved the time adjunct in the first example of this section to initial position:
The whole morning he was working with his shears in the garden
It is not usual for more than one adjunct to be in initial position, but time and place adjuncts sometimes co-occur there:

In London, after the war, damaged buildings were quickly demolished and replaced by new ones

Viewpoint adjuncts also co-occur with other adjuncts in initial position: Economically, in this century our country has suffered many crises

## Disjuncts

8.47

Most disjuncts are prepositional phrases ( 6.38 ff ) or clauses ( 11.23 ff ). Disjuncts can be divided into two main classes: STYLE DISJUNCTS (by far the smaller class) and attitudinal disjuncts. Style disjuncts convey the speaker's comment on the form of what he is saying, defining in some way under what conditions he is speaking. Attitudinal disjuncts, on the other hand. comment on the content of the communication.

## Style disjuncts

8.48

Examples of the use of style disjuncts:
Seriously, do you intend to resign?
Personally, I don't approve of her
Strictly speaking, nobody is allowed in t.ere
There are twelve people present, to be precise
If I may say so, that dress doesn't suit you
The adverb phrase as style disjunct implies a verb of speaking of which the subject is the $I$ of the speaker. Thus, very frankly in

Very frankly, I am tired
is equivalent to I tell you very frankly. In a question, eg

## Very frankly, is he tired?

the disjunct may be ambiguous. Here, very frankly corresponds to I ask you vel j'frarkly or in the more probable Te!l me very frankly.
Common adverbs as style disjuncts include: bluntly, briefly, candidly, confidentially, frankly, generally, honestly, personally, seriously.

Style disjuncts normally appear initially.

### 8.49

For some adverb phrases as style disjuncts, we have a series corresponding to them in other structures. For example, in place of jrankly in

## Frankly, he hasn't a chance

we could put:
prepositional phrase - in all frankness
infinitive clause - to be frank, to speak frankly, to put it frankly
-ing participle clause - frankly speaking, putting it frankly
-ed participle clause - put frankly
finite verb clause - if I maj; be frank, if I can speak frankly, if I can put it frankly

For all of the adverbs listed in $\$ .48$, cor-esponding particle constructions with speaking are available as style disjuncts, eg: seriously ~ seriously speaking. Many have infinitive clauses of the form to be plus the stem adjective, eg: bluntly~to be blunt. Those allowing such infinitive clauses have a corresponding finite clause with if, eg: if I may be blunt.
Note
[a] The siyle disjunce generaily is to be arstiLguished arom the time jreyuercy adjunct generally, synonymous with usually (8.35). The style disjunct is exemplified in

The committee interviewed the two writers. Generally, the writers were against cense-shir.
[b] The style disjunct personally is to be distinguished from the intensifier personally, which is synonymous with the appropriate reflexive form of the pronoun:
I personally/myself have never been to New York
These are both to be distinguished from the adjunct personally:
He signed the document personally ('in person')

## Attitudinal disjuncts

### 8.50

Attitudinal disjuncts convey the speaker's comment on the content of what he is saying (cf $6.45,1 \mathrm{i} .45 f$ ). They can generally appear only in declarative clauses:

Obviously, nobody expected us to be here today
Understandably, they were all annoyed when they read the letter He is wisely staying at home today
They arrived, to our surprise, before we did
Of course, nobody imagines that he will repay what he borrowed To be sure, we have heard many such promises before
Even more important, he has control over the finances of the party
They are not going to buy the house, which is no! surprising in view of its exorbitant price
What is even more remarkable, he manages to inspire confidence in the most suspicious people

Many of the adverb phrases are paraphrasable by constructions in which the adjective base is subject complement, expressing an attribute
of the subject. The subjezt $i$, the content of the original sentence or the more usual form) anticipatory i! with th: = original sentence postposed:

Unfortunately, Bob rijected the offer
$=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { That Bob rejected the offer was unfortunate } \\ \text { It was unfortunate that Bot rejected the offer }\end{array}\right.$
Other such paraphrases are sometimes possible, eg
Rightly, Bob rejected the offer
= It was right for Bob to reject the offer
And similar paraphrases, including some using the verb base, are possible for many prepositional phrases:

To our regret, Bob rejected the offer
$=$ We regretted that Bob rejected the offer
Common adverbs as attitudinal disjuncts are given below in semantic groups.

Group I: speaker's comment on the extent to which he believes that what he is saying is true.
(a) These express primarily a subjective view on the truth of what is said, usually the view of the speaker:

Certainly, they have no right to be there ('I am certain that . . .') He has probably left by now ('I consider it probable that . . .')
Those expressing conviction: admittedly, certainly, definitely, indeed, surely, undeniably, undoubtedly, unquestionably
Those expressing some dcgree of doubt: quite (etc) likely, maybe (informal), perhaps, possibly, presumably, reportedly, supposedly
(b) These present degrees of conviction as open to objective evidence: Obviously, they have no right to be there ('It is obvious to me and to everybody else that . . ')
Those expressing conviction: clearly, evidently, obviously, plainly Those expressing some degree of doubt: apparently
(c) These refer to the reality or lack of reality in what is said: Those asserting the reality of what is said: actually, really
Those expressing a contrast with reality: only apparently, ideally, nominally, officially, superficially, technically, theoretically
Those expressing that what is being said is true in principle: basically, essentially, fundamentally

Group II: comment other than on the truth-value of what is said.
(a) These convey the attitude of the speaker without any necessary implication that the judgment applies to the subject of the sentence or indeed to the speaker. Thus,

Fortunately; John returned the book yesterday
might imply that John was fortunate in doing so (perhaps he would have needed to pay a large fine otherwise) or that someone clse (possibly, but not necessarily, the spearier) was fortunate as a result of John's action..
annoyingly, curiously, fortunntely, funnily enough (enough usual in BrE , obligatory in AmE), happily (formal), hopefully (esp AmE), luckily, natura!!y, not unnaturally, prefcrably, straigely, surnrisingly. understnndibly, minntunatoly, unhappily (formal), unluckily
(b) These convey the speaker's attitude, with an implication that the judgment applies to the subject of the sentence. Thus,

Wisely, John returned the bonk yesterday
implies that the speaker considers the action as wise and he also considers John wise for doing the action.
rightly, wrongly, foolishly, wisely
Adverbs with an -ing participle base, eg: surprisingly, are the most productive class of adverbs as attitudinal disjuncts.
While attitudinal disjuncts can appear in almost any position, the normal position for most is initial. However, some adverb phrases in [Ia], eg: probably, possibly, and all in [IIb], eg: rightly, normally occur at M2 (8.3).
8.51

The semantic distinction between adverbs in Groups I (eg: certainly, clearly) and II (eg:fsr:unate!y, wisely) is reflected in the fact that it is possible to use putative should (3.50) in the correspondences of Group II. If should is inserted in correspondences of Group I, it conveys obligation and alters the meaning of the sentence radically.
Group I
Cleariy, he is behaving well
$=I t$ is clear that he is behaving well
$\neq I t$ is cit ar that he should be behaving well ('ought to be behaving well ${ }^{\prime}$ )

Group II
Fortunately, he is behaving well

$$
=I t \text { is fortunate that he }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { is } \\
\text { should be }
\end{array}\right\} \text { behaving well }
$$

Putative should is excluded from Group I correspondences, where the factual basis of what is said is asserted or questioned, whereas it is admitted in Group II correspondences, where an opinion is expressed.
Note
[a] Surely is commonly used to invite agreement from the person or persons addressed.
b] Naturally and not unnaturally are paraphrasable by 'as might have been expected' or 'of course'. They do not correspond to it is natural or it is not unnatural.

### 8.52

The difference in meaning between Subgroups IIa (eg: surprisingly) and IIb (eg: rightly) is reflected in the fact that only in IIb can we make a paraphrase that relates to the subject of the clause:

## Subgroup IIa

Surprisingly, John returned the money
$=$ It was surprising that John should have returned the money
$\neq *$ John was surprising to return the money

## Subgroup IIb

Rightly, John returned the money
= It was right that John should have returned the money
$=$ John was right to return the money
The predication applies to the agent in a passive sentence, whether the latter is present or recoverable or indefinite. Hence, we can have the following paraphrases:
Rightly, the money was returned (by John/someone)
$=$ Johr:/sorncone was right tc return the money

## Conjuncts

### 8.53

Most conjuncts are adverb phrases or prepositional phrases. For distinctions between conjuncts and conjunctions, see $\bar{y} .10 \mathrm{ff}$.

Examples of the use of conjuncts are given below, followed by a list of common conjuncts, which are grouped according to their subclasses with references to the sections in Chapter 10 where their role is discussed:
i'd like you to do two things for me. First, phone the office and tell them I'll be late. Secondly, order a taxi to be here in about half an hour.
You can tell him from me that I'm not going to put up with his complaints any longer. What's more, I'm going to tell him that myself when I see him tomorrow.
I see that you've given him an excellent report. You're satisfied with his work then, are you?
I took him to the zoo early this morning and then we went to see a circus. All in all, he's had a very good time today.
It was a very difficult examination. Nevertheless, he passed it with distinction.
He doesn't need any money from us. On the contrary, we should be going to him for a loan.
enumerative (10.10): first, second, third . . .; first(ly), secondly, thirdly ...; one, two, three (especially in learned and technical usc); $a, b, c$ (especially in learned and technical use); for one thing... (and) for another (thing); for a start (informal); to begin with, to start with; in the first place, in the second place; next, then; finally, last, lastly; to conclude (formal)
REINFORCING (10.11): also, furthermore, moreover, then (informal, especially spoken), in au'diticii, above all, what is mure
EQUATIVE (10.11): equally, likewise, similarly, in the same way
transitional (10.13): by the way, incidentally
summative (10.14): then, (all) in all, in conclusion, to sum up
A PPOSITION (10.15, cf. also 9.48): ז،amely ( (ften âbbreviated to viz in formal written English), in other words, for example (often abbreviated to e.g. or eg), for instance, that is (often abbreviated to i.e. or ie in specialized written English), that is to say
RESULT (10.16): consequently, hence (formal), so (informal), therefore, thus (formal), as a result, [somehow ('for some reason or other')]
INFEREITIIAL (10.17): else, otherwise, then, in other words, in that case REFORMULATORY (10.18): better, rather, in other words
REPLACIVE (10.18): alternatively, rather, on the other hand
ANTITHETIC (10.20): instead (blend of antithetic with replacive), then, on the contrary, in contrast, by comparison, (on the one hand. . .) on the other hand

CONCBSSIVE ( 10.21 ff): anyhow (informal), anyway (informal), besides (blend of reinforcing with concessive), else, however, neverthe-
less, still, though, yet, in any case, at any rate, in spite of that, after all, on the other hand, all the same
TEMPORAL TRANSITION (10.5): meantime, meanwhile, in the meantime
Note
[a] Somehow has been listed with result conjuncts because it is closest to them semantically. It differs from all other conjuncts in not indicating a relationship between its clause and what precedes:
Somehow I don't trust him ('for some reason or other')
Somehow is used when the reason is not made explicit in the preceding context.
[b] On the oiher is an alternative form of on the other hand when it is correlative to on the one hand.

### 8.54

## Positions of conjuncts

The normal position for most conjuncts is initial. In that position they are usually separated from what follows by a tone unit boundary in speech or a comma in writing. In other positions, they may be in an independent tone unit or enclosed in commas to prevent confusion with homonyms or contribute towards indicating information focus.

Some conjuusts are restricted, or virtually restricted, to initial position: $a_{5}$ ain, n'so, :ltogether, besides, better, else, equally, further, hence, likewise, more, only, overall, similarly, so, still, then (antithetic), yet.

Medial positions are rare for most conjuncts, and final position rarer still. Those that readily occur finally include anyhow, anyway, otherwise, and (commonly) though. The last two frequently appear medially.
Virtually all conjuncts can appear with questions, most of them initially:

Anyway, do you know the answer?
Will you therefore resign?

## Note

So, yet, only, and else are distinguished by the punctuation convention that allows them to be separated from the previous clause by a comma where other conjuncts would require a more major mark of punctuation (A.pp III.2), eg: Thej thougit he waint coming, so they left without him. Ccnpare: They thought he wasn't coming; therefore coming, so they left without him. Cenpare.
they left without him, where at least a semi-colon is required between the two clauses. they left without him, where at least a semi-colon is required between the two clauses.
(Notice that else is normally, though not necessarily, preceded by the coordinator or, (Notice that else is normally, though not necessarily, preceded by the
eg: They must be satisfied, or else they would have complained by now.)
eg: Thyy must be satisfied, or else they would have complained by now.)
So, yet, and else usually occur without intonation or punctuation separation from what follows. However, when so signals a general inference from the previous linguistic context and nuight be paraphrased by 'it follows from what has been said', it is often marked by purstuation and intonation separation:
So, you think you know best (informal)
For so and yet in relation to coordinators, see 9.10-15.

### 8.55

## Conjuncts as correlatives

Sometimes the logical relationship between a subordinate clause and the following superordinate clause is emphasized by adding a conjunct to the latter:

Though he is poor, yet he is satisfied prith his situation
The sentences with the subordinator alone and the conjunct yet alone are similar in meaning:

Though he is poor, he is satisfied with his situation
He is poor, yet he is satisfied with his situation
The major difference is that the second states his poverty as a fact, whereas in the first his poverty is presupposed as given information (cf 14.4).

The conjuncts that reinforce particular subordinators (11.7) are shown below. It is more usual to reinforce condition and concession subordinators than cause and time subordinators.
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { condition: if . . . then } \\
\text { concession: although/(even) though/ } \\
\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { while/granted (that)! } \\
\text { even if }\end{array}
$$\right\} ···\left\{\begin{array}{l}yet/still/however/ <br>
nevertheiess/nonetheiess/ <br>
notwithstanding/anyway/ <br>

anyhow\end{array}\right.\end{array}\right\}\)| because/seeing (that) $\ldots\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { therefore/hence/accordingly/ } \\ \text { consequently }\end{array}\right.$ |
| :--- |
| time: $\quad$ while ... meanwhile/meantime |

Correlations with concession and cause are chiefly found in formal use.
Note
Certain other expressions with concessive force may correlate with a concessive conjunct, for example, true, clearly, or certainly, of 10.22 .

### 8.56

## Conjunctions for clauses with conjuncts

A clause containing a conjunct may be linked to a preceding clause by one of the coordinators (and, or, but). The following conjuncts seem to be limited to the specified cocrdinatois:

$$
\text { and so } \quad \text { or + else/again (replacive) }
$$

but + however/then (antithetic)/though
and/but + besides;still/yet/nevertheless
Two of these conjuncts - however and though - cannot follow the conjunction immediately. That is to say, if but is the coundinator, however and though cannot be initial, altinough either can be if there is no preceding conjunction. We can therefore have:

You can phone the doctor if you like, but I very much doubt, howe'ver, whether he will come out on a Saturday night.
You can phone the doctor if you like. However, I very much doubt whether he will come out on a Saturday night.

## but not

*You can phone the doctor if you like, but, however, I very much doubt whether he will come out on a Saturday night.
Conjuncts occasionally occur in dependent finite clauses; eg
(a) Adverbial clauses

I met him in the park, when, however, we had no time to speak
(b) Restrictive relative, clauses:

He was considered a man who might anyway break his promise
(c) Non-restrictive relative clauses:

I'm inviting Peter, who is a student, and who therefore cannot afford to spend too much morev.

## Bibliographical note

Some recent contributions on adverbs: Bolinger (1972); Greenbaum (1969) and (1970); Jacobson (1964).
9.1

This chapter is devoted primarily to coordination and apposition. But since these often anvolve eilipsis, it seems convenient to uring togener here other cases of ellipsis except those discussed under sentence connection ( $10.29 \mathrm{ff}, 10.44 \mathrm{ff}$ ), comparison ( 11.37 ff ), the adjective as head of noun phrase ( 5.6 ff ), and the genitive (4.75).
Ellipsis is most commonly used to avoid repetition, and in this respect in is 'ike sabstitution. For example, we can avoid the renetition of sinin

She might sing, but I don't think she will (sing) not only by the ellipsis of the word but also by the use of a pro-form:
She might sing, but I don't think she will do so
Another important reason for ellipsis is that by omitting shared items attention is focused on new material:
A: Have you spoken to him? B: (I have) Not yet (spoken to him).

## Ellipsis dependent on linguistic context

9.2

Adverbial finite clause
In adverbial finite clauses the whole of the predication or part of it can be omitted (cf 9.23 ff ):

I'm happy if you are (happy)
Mary is dusting the furniture because Alice won't (dust the furniture)
But we cannot eilipt merely the object:
*I'll open an account if you'll open (an account)
or marely the subject complement if the verb is other than be:
*He became a member, since she became (a member).

## 9.3

## Adverbial non-finite and verbless clauses

The subject (cэ-referential with that of the superordinate clause) and an appropriate form of be are ellipted in:
(1) participle clauses (11.3f)

Although (he was) told to stop, he kept on working
If the subordinator is not present, more than one subordinator can be supplied and there may be several possibilities for tense and aspect:
(If/When they are) Punished, they will not cooperate
Although living many miles away, ie attended the course
= Althot:gh he is living/was living/lives/lived many miles away,
he attended the course
(2) verbless clauses (11.5)

While (he was) at Oxford, he was active in the dramatic society

## 9.4

## Postmodification

Postmodifying clauses or phrases (i3.5 ff) can often be considered re-d:-ced relativ: clauses:
(1) postmodifying participle clauses:

The police rounded up men (who are/were) known to have been in the building at that time
The man owning that car will be fined for illegal parking (' who owns that car')
(2) postmodifying adjective phrases:

The men (who were) responsible for the administration of the school refused to consider the matter
(3) postmodifying prepositional phrases:

He spoke to the girl (who was) from New I'rrk
9.5

## Supplementing and appended clauses

A supplementing clause can be regarded as an elliptical clause (usually parenthetic or an afterthought) for which the whole of the preceding or interrupted clause constitutes the ellipsis:

```
I caught the train - just
(=I caught the train. I only just caught the train.)
```

In an appended clause only part of the preceding or interrupted clause constitutes the ellipsis, and an additional clause constituent is present:

They are meant to wound, perhaps to kill
(=They are meant to wound. They are perhaps meant to kill.)

## 9.6

Ellipsis not dependent on linguistic context
Some types of informal ellipsis are not dependent on the linguistic context. For example, Serves youright can be expanded to It serves you right. In most cases it is the initial word or words of a sentence that are ellipted Other examples are given, with an indication of what is ellipted:

| (I) Des your parden | (Ycu) Mad a good time? |
| :--- | :--- |
| (I am) Sorry I couldn't be there | (Are you) Looking for anybody? |
| (It's) Good to see you | (Is) Anything the matter? |
| (I've) Got to go now | (Does) Anybody need a lift? |

Determiners, operatore, and pronouns are commonly omitted in block language (7.66), eg in headlines, titles, notices. They are also commonly omitted in personal letters, in familiar style, in notes (eg of lectures), diaries, and (very drastically) in telegrams.

## Note

Several other types of ellipsis found only in familiar style in speech involve particular lexical items:
(1) ellipsis of an article: (The) Fact is we don't know what to do;
(2) ellipsis of a preposition: (Of) Course he's there; ellipsis that includes part of a word: 'Fraid ( $=I$ 'm afraid) I wor't be there. In contrast to the last example, there are many instances where the clipped form of a word is used in all but the most formal styles (App I.44): (tele)phone, photo(graph).

## Coordination

9.7

Syndetic and asjncietic coordination
The term coordination is used by some grammarians for both syndetic coordination, as in [1] - when coordinators (coordinating conjunctions) are present - and asyndetic coordination, as in [2] - when coordinators are absent but could be supplied:

Slowly and stealthily, he crept towards his victim [1]
Slowly, stealthily, he crept towards his victim [2]
Coordinated units are termed conjoins.

## 9.8

## Coordination and subordination

Explicit indicators of subordination are termed subordinating conjunctions or subordinators. Both coordination and subordination involve the linking of units; but, with the latter, one of the units is subordinated to the other. Thus, in his first and best novel each of the coordinated adjectives is a premodifier of novel. On the other hand, in his first good novel the adjective first does not modify novel directly; it modifies good novel and good in turn modifies novel. Thus, there is a hierarchy in relationships (cf 13.38) and first good are not coordinated.
Similar semantic relationships are found in both coordination and subordination:

He tried hard, but he failed
Althougn he tried haıd, he íaiied
The concessive-result relationship is the same for [1] and [2], though the ordering of the relationship is different. Moreover, the same semantic relationship between the clauses may be indicated by a conjunct (8.2). Thus, the conjunct yet in [3] has a very similar force to but in [1]:

He tried hard, yet he failed
Sent^nc: [3] is an asyndetic coordination which can become syndetic with the addition of but:

He tried hard, but yet he failed

## Clausal coordination

## Coordinators

9.9

In what follows we are concerned with clausal coordination. For the use of conjunctions in phrasal coordination, see 9.31 ff . There are three coordinators: and, or, but. And and or are the central coordinators from which but differs in some respects. On the gradient between the 'pure' coordinators and the 'pure' subordinators are for and so that (in the sense 'with the result that').

### 9.10

RESTRICTED TO INITIAL POSITION
The clause coordinators are restricted to initial position in the clause:
John plays the guitar, and his sister plays the piano
This is generally true of conjunctions and also of some conjuncts (notably yet and so), but it is not true of most conjuncts, eg: moreover:

John plays the guitar; his sister, moreover, plays the piano.

Note
The conjunctions though, as, and that are exceptional in appearing non-initially in certain circumstances (11.9):
Though he is poor, he is happy ~ Poor though he is, he is happy
Although, unlike though, is immobile:
*Poor although he is, he is happy
9.11

COORDINATED CLAUSES SEQUENTIALLY FIXED
Clauses beginning with a coordinator cannot be moved in front of the preceding clause without producing unacceptable sentences or at least changing the relationships of the clauses:

They are living in England or they are spending a vacation there
*C.- they are spending a vacetion there, they $2-\mathrm{e}$ !iving in Eng!and
This is also true for conjuncts, but not for most subordinators:
*Nevertheless John gave it away; Mary wanted it
Although Mary wanted it, John gave it away
For and so thai behive like coordinators. Contrast
*For he was unhappy, he asked to be transferred
Because he !'as uniappy, he asked to be transferred

## Note

When clauses are linked by the coordinators and by for and so that, a pronoun in the first clause cannot have cataphoric (ie forward) reference to a noun in the second clause For example, she cannot refer to Mary in
She was very tired, but Mary stayed the whole evening
On the other hand, the pronoun can (but need not) have cataphoric reference when the clauses are joined by a subordinator:
Although she was very tired, Mary stayed the whole evening

### 9.12

CONJUNCTIONS PRECEDING
The courdinaiors and also for and so that de uot allov! anoiher conjunction to preceje them. On the other hand, subordinators as well as conjuncts can be preceded by conjunctions. In [1] two clauses linked by the conjunct yet are also linked by and:

He was unhappy about it, and yet he did what he was told
In [2] two subordinate clauses are linked by and, which precedes the second subordinator because:

He asked to be transferred, bec.7use he was unhappy and because he saw no prospect of promotion

### 9.13

ELLIPSIS OF SUBJECT
The coordinators allow ellipsis of the subject of the clause they introduce if the subject is co-referential with that of the preceding linked clause:

I may see you tomorrow or (I) may phone later in the day
However, this does not apply to other conjunctions, including for and so that, or to conjuncts other than yet, so, or then ('after that'):
*He did not want it, for was obstinate
They didn't like it, yet (they) said nothing

## Note

A subordinator does not allow ellipsis even when its clause is linked by a coordiaator:
*Sire didn't tell him the bad news because he was tired and because looked unwell
If the second subordinator in the above sentence is omitted, ellipsis is normal
She didn't tell him the bad news because he was tired and looked unwell
Conjuncts otherwise not allowing ellipsis will do so if preceded by a coordinator:
*He went to bed early, nevertheless felt tired
He went to bed early, and nevertheless (he) felt tired

### 9.14

IINK!VG OF SUBOD.DINATE CLAUSES
As well as linking two main clauses, and and or can link subordinate clauses:

I wonder whether you should speak to him personally about the matter or whether it is better to write to him

Such linking is not possible for conjuncts or for the other conjunctions except but. But, however, is restricted to linking a maximum of two clauses, and, even so, can link only certain types of subordinate clauses:

He said that John would take them by car but that they might be late

## S. 15

LINKING OF MORE THAN TWO CLAUSES
Unlike but, and unlike the subordinators and the conjuncts, and and or can link more than two ciauses, and a'l but the final instance of these two conjunctions can be omitted. Thus

John might take them by car, Mary might go with them by bus, or I might order a taxi for them
is interpreted as
John might take them by car, or Mary might go with them by bus, or I might order a taxi for them
9.16

EMANTIC IMPLICATIONS OF COORDINATION BY AND
And denotes a relationship between the contents of clauses. We can usually make the relationship explicit by adding an adverbial. We illustrate this with parenthesized items in most of the following examples.
(1) The event in the second clause is a consequence or result of the event in the first:

He heard an explosion and he (therefore) phoned the police
(2) The event in the second clause is chronologically sequent to the event in the first:

She washed the dishes and (then) she dried them
 by but when this implication is present:

Robert is secretive and (in contrast) David is candid
(4) The second clause is a comment on the first:

They disliked John - and that's not surprising
(5) The second clause introduces an element of surprise in view of the content of the first:

He tried hard and (yet) he failed
Here too, but could replace and.
(6) The first clause is a condition of the second:

Give me some money and (then) I'll help you escape
The implication is shown by the paraphrase:
Give me some money. If you do, (then) I'll help you escape
For the conditional implication to apply, it is usual that
(a) The second clause has a modal auxiliary
(b) The verb of the first clause is an imperative or contains a modal auxiliary.
(7) The second clause makes a point similar to the first:

A trade agreement should be no problem, and (similarly) a
cultural exchange could be arranged
(8) The second clause is a 'pure' addition to the first:

He has long hair and (also) he wears jeans.

SEMANTIC IMPLICATIONS OF COORDINATION BY OR
(1) Usually or is EXCLUSIVE, expressing the idea that only one of the possibilities can be realized:

You can sleep on the couch, or you can go to a hotel, or you can go back to London tonight
When the content of the sentence allows the realization of more than one alternative, we can exclude the combination by adding either:

You can either boil an egg, or you can make some cheese sandwiches
Even so, a third clause can be added which explicitly allows both aiternauves:

You can either boil an egg, or you can make some cheese sandwiches, or you can do both

Some speakers avoid a sentence such as the last, because of presrriptive teaching that insists that either should accompany noly two alternatives.
(2) Sometimes or is understood as INCLUSIVE, allowing the realization of a combination of the alternatives, and we can explicitly include the third possibility by a third clause:

You can boil an egg, or you can make some cheese sandwiches, or you can do both
(3) The alternative expressed by or may be a restatement or a correction of what is said in the first conjoin:

He began his educational career, or, in other words, he started to attend the local kindergarten
They are enjoying themselves, or at least they appear to be enjoying themselves
(4) Or may imply 2 negative condition. Thus,

Give me some money or I'll shoot
can be paraphrased by
Give me some money. If you don't, I'll shoot.
This use of or is the negative of one use of and (9.16), but (unlike and) it does not require an imperative or modal auxiliary in the first clause:
They liked the apartment or they wouldn't have stayed so long
9.19 Either . . . or, both . . . and, neither . . . nor
9.18

SEMANTIC IMPLICATIONS OF COORDINATION BY BUT
But denotes a contrast.
(1) The contrast may be because what is said in the second conjoin is unexpected $i_{i,}$ view of what is said in the first conjoin:

John is poor, but he is happy
He didn't want their help, but he had to accept it
(2) The contrast may be a restatement in affirmative terms of what has been said or implied negatively in the first conjoin (9.2n):

John didn't waste his time in the weck before the exam, but studied hard every evening

With this relationship, it is normal to ellipt the repeated subject in the second clause.

With the first type of contrast, we can insert in the but clause concessive conjuncts such as ter ; with the second type, we can insert the antithetio conjunct on the contiary (cf 10.20).

### 9.19

Eiiher . . . or, both . . . and, neither . . . nor
There are three common correlative pairs: either... or, where either anticipates the alternative introduced by or (9.17); both . . . and, where both anticipates the addition introduced by and; and neither...nor, where neither negates the first clause and anticipates the additional negation introduced by nor. Thus, two clauses with neither in the first and nor in the second are the equivalent of two negative clauses conjoined by and:

David neither loves Joan nor wants to marry her
$=$ David does not love Joan and does not want to marry her
The position of the anticipatory element - either, both, neither generally indicates the scope of the alternative, addition, or add:tional negation respectively, while the second element - or, and, nor - generally introduces a truncated clause that has corresponding scope:
$\mathrm{He}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ has long hair $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ wears jeans
I can $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ knit $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ sew

He smoked $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ cigars $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ cigarettes
You can write $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { both } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ elegantly $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ clearly
But, unlike both, anticipatory eiher and neither can be placed before the lexical verb even when the scope does not include the whole of the predication:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { He }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { either } \\
\text { neither }
\end{array}\right] \text { smoked cigars }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { or } \\
\text { nor }
\end{array}\right] \text { cigarettes } \\
& \text { You can }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { either } \\
\text { nithei* }
\end{array}\right] \text { write elegantly }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { or } \\
\text { i..0r] }
\end{array}\right] \text { clearly }
\end{aligned}
$$

When either and neither are in the position before the lexical verb, the correlative clause introduced by or and nor can be a full clause, but in that case nor is followed by subject-operator inversion:

You cau $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { either } \\ \text { neither }\end{array}\right]$ write elegantly, $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { or you can } \\ \text { nor can you }\end{array}\right]$ write clearly
Fither differs from the other two anticipatory elements in that it can he positioned initially when the scope extends over the whole clause or over part of it. In such cases, the clause introduced by or is a full clause:

Either John sleeps on the couch, or you must book a hotel room for him Either you can write elegantly, or you can write clearly
Either Rob damaged the furniture or Peter did
Where, as in the last example, the predicates are identical, a nearequivalent but less common construction has phrasal coordination in the subject:

Either Bob or Peter damaged the furniture
With both and neither, ©a the other hand, it is usual to have phrasal coordination in the suoject (cf 9.42):
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Duth } \\ \text { Nc:ther }\end{array}\right]$ Bob $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { and } \\ \text { nor }\end{array}\right]$ Peter damaged the furniture

### 9.20

## Other correlatives

Nor and (less commonly) neither correlate with an actual or implied negative in the previous clause. In this use, they are roughly interchangeable, and can be linked to preceding sentences by the conjunctions
and or but, a possibility which excludes them from the class of coordinators (9.12). Both conjuncts require subject-operator inversion:

He did not want to ask them for help; (but) nor could he do without their help
We owe no money, (and) neither do they
A clause introduced by either of these conjuncts can be separated from the previous clause by a heavier mark of punctuation than the comma. Common anticipatory correlatives with but are not (and enclitic -n't) and not only:

He didn't come to help, but to hinder us
They not only broke into his office and stole his books, but they (alse) tore nhe manucripte
A more dramatic effect is achieved by positioning not only initially, with consequent subject-operator inversion:

Not only did they break into his office and steal his books, but they culso tore up iis manusci ipis
ivote
In a formal and mannered style, nor is occasionally found after an affirmative clause:
It was hoped that all would be agreeable to that proposal. Nor was this hope disappointed.

## Ellipsis in coordinated clauses

9.21

ELLIPSIS OF SUBJECT (AND AUXILIARIES)
Identical subjects of coordinated clauses are ellipted:
Peter ate a cheese sandwich and (Peter) drank a glass of beer
If the subjects and the auxiliaries are identical, ellipsis of both is normal:
Mary has was'ıed the dishes, (Mary has) dried them, and (Mary has) put them in the cupboard

As is usual for ellipsis in coordination, the realized items are in the first clause and the ellipsis is in subsequent clauses.

## Note

In subordinate clauses, ellipsis of subject alone or of subject with auxiliaries is generally not allowed (but of 9.3 f ):
Jack was looking well although he had slept little
Jack was looking well although (had) slept little
9.22

ELLIPSIS OF AUXILIARY ONLY
If the subjects of courdinated clauses are different, there may be ellipsis of an identical auxiliary:

John should clean the shed and Peter (should) mow the lawn
If there is more than one auviliary, it is normal for ali to be ellipted:
John must have been playing football and Mary (must have been) doing her homework

## ELLIPSIS OF PREDICATE OR PREDICATION

9.23

Ellipsis of first part of predicate or predication
The nrst part of tae predicate or of the predication niay io ellipted, and the subject may be ellipted as well. For pro-forms, see 10.29 ff .
(1) Verb phrase only or (less commonly) lexical verb only:

Yesterday Join was given a railway set, and Sue (was given) a doll
I work in a factory, and my brother (works) on a farm She will work today, and (she) may (work) tomorrow

If the clause contains an object with an object complement, the subject must be ellipted as well:

His suggestions made John happy, but (his suggestions made) Mary angry
*His suggestions made John happy, but his suggestions Mary angry
(2) Verb phrase plus subject complement:

John was the winner in 1971, and Bob (was the winner) in 1972
It's cold in December in England, but (it's cold) in July in New Zealand
(3) Verb phrase/lexical verb plus direct object:

Peier is playing football tor his school and Paul (iz playing football) for his club
Joan will cook the meals today and Barbara may (cook the meals) tomorrow
John will meet my family tonight and (John) will (meet my family) again tomorrow
In certain contexts there can be ambiguity as to whether the subject and verb are ellipted or the verb and object are ellipted. For example, the sentence

Bob will interview some candidates this morning and Peter this afternoon
can be interpreted as having either of these two kinds of ellipsis:
Bob will interview some candidates this morning and (Bob will interview) Peter this afternoon
Bob will interview some candidates this morning and Peter (will interview some candidates) this afternoon
9.24

Auxiliaries in predication ellipsis
The ellipted form of the auxiliary or lexical verb sometimes varies from that of the realized form when one is 3 rd person singular present and the A.the in aet:

I work in a factory and my brother (works) on a farm
In general, most co-occurrences of auxiliaries are allowed, for example:
His friends already belong to the club and he will (belong to the club) soon [present and modal]
John may be questioning our motives, but Peter hasn't (questioned our motives) [progressive and perfect]
I saw your parents last week, but (I) haven't (seen your parents) since [past and perfect]

One major exception is that an ellipted passive does not co-occur with any of the other forms:

Paul denied the charge, but the charge wasn't denied by his friends
*Paul denied the charge, but the charge wasn't by his friends
9.25

Ellipsis of whole of predication
If the predication is ellipted completely, it is usual to have the predication realized in the îrst clause and ellipted in subsequent clauses:

George will take the course and Bob might (take the course) too They can pay the fuil fee, and (they) certainly should (pay the full fee), but (they) probably won't (pay the full fee)

However, it is also possible to have the predication ellipted in the first clause, in which case it is realized in some subsequent clause:

George will (take the course), and Bob might, take the course
When the predication is ellipted in the first clause and the subject is
ellipted in a subsequent clause, we have COMPLEX ELLIPSIS (ie ellipsis with both previous and subsequent realizations):

John could have been (watching tclevision), but (John) wasn't, watching television
They no doubt can (pay the full fee), and (they) certainly should (pay the full fee), but (they) pı obably won't, pay the full fee
They can (pay the full fee) and (they) should pay' the full fee, but (they) won't (pay the full fee)

## Note

The co-occurrence of auxiliaries with predication ellinsis is the same as when only the first part of the predication is ellipted (9.24), provided that the realized predication is in the first clause. However, if the realized predication is in the last clause, then only auxiliaries that take the same head of the verb phrase will normally co-occur. Occasion. ally one or more of the auxiliaries is alsu ellipted:
They could (have saved more), and (they) should, have saved more
9.26

Ellipsis of direct object or subject complement
If the direct object alone is ellipted, the realized items must be in the last clause:

## John likes (Mary), and Peter hates, Mary <br> George opened (the door) and (George) closed the door

Similarly, if the subject complement alone is ellipted, and the verb in the last clause is other than be, the realized items must be in the last clause:

George was (angry), and Bob certainly seemed, angry
George has beew (the ciairmain), and (George) obviously could again become, the chairman

But it would be more common to have the pro-form so (10.36) in the second clause than to have any ellipsis:
George was angry, and Bob certainly seemed so
When the verb in the last clause is $b e$, the reaiizea items can be either in the first clause or in the last clause:

## Bob seemed angry, and George certainly was (angry) <br> John has recently become (a very hardworking student), and his brother always was, a very hardworking student

### 9.27

Ellipsis of adverbial
It is often more satisfactory to say that the scope of the adverbial is extended to subsequent clauses than to say that it is ellipted. This is
particularly so when the adverbial is positioned initially. For exəmple, unfortunately in

Unfortunately, John is not at home and Sally is too busy to see you appears to apply to a combination of the circumstances described in the two clauses rather than separately to each circumstance.

Conjuncts ( 8.53 ff ), disjuncts ( 8.47 ff ), and adjuncts of viewpoint (8.7), time ( 8.30 ff ), and place ( 8.24 ff ) commonly have extended scope:

If John is a member, then we should call on him and (we should) ask him to take us along [conjunct]
T'o my surprise, they didn't appoint nim, and they didn't even interview him [disjunct]
Theoretically, I have no objections to his proposal and neither have any $c^{f}$ my collong.'es [vimupoint adiunct]
This afternoon Mary intends to take the children to the beach, but I am going to wash my car [time adjunct]
In our school, students and teachers get on well together, but this harmony is comparatively recent [place adjunct]

Initial position of these adverbials is usually interprecd as impıying an extension of scope to subsequent coordinated (or for that matter, suberdinated) clouses

If the adverbials are in the middle of the clause or at the end of any but the last clause, they are generally interpreted as applying only to the clause in which they actually appear:

Joan is perhaps shopping and the children are at school Joan is shopping, perhaps, and the children are at school
Joan is shopping, and the children are perhaps at school
However, if there is an ellipsis that links the two clauses more closely, the scope of the adverbial is extended to the second clause:
Mary is perhaps inside the supermarket and John outside
Process adjuncts ( 8.19 ff ) are occasionally ellipted, with the realized itens present in the last clause:

Bill drinks (sparingly), and Peter smokes, sparingly
If there is no comma or intonation break, the adjunct applies only to the second clause:

Mary spoke and John answered rudely

### 9.28

Ellipsis of head of noun phrase and of prepositional complement
The head of a noun phrase can be ellipted:

We wanted fried fish, but they gave us boiled (fish)
She wore the red dress, but the blue (dress) suits her better
This type of ellipsis is not limited to coordination:
He prefers Dutch cheese to Danish (cheese)
The complement of a prepositional phrase can be ellipted, with the realized complement in the second clause:

Bob is bored with (music), but Peter enjoys, music

### 9.29

## Intonation and punctuation marking of ellipsis

The point where ellipsis has taken place is often marked in speech by an intoration break, that is to say, it co-occurs with the end of an intonation unit (App II.7)
When the ellipsis is in the second and subsequent clauses there is no intonation or punctuation marking for the ellipsis of the subject, or of the subject and immediately following elements:

## Peter conks his own meals and (Peter) washes his own clothes

It appears that a 'gap' is not felt when the ellipsis immediately follows the conrdinator. In contrast, intonation marking is usually present in other cases of ellipsis, though punctuation marking is frequently absent:

John likes Mary, and Peter (likes) Susan
The men were drinking and the women (were) listening to music
When the ellipsis is in the first clause, there is usually intonation or punctuation marking both at the point of ellipsis and at the corresponding point in the fully realized clause:
Gerald likes (Sylvia), but Peter hates, Sylvia
He looked (tired), and (he) indeed was, tired
They can (be disciplined), (they) should (be disciplined), and (they) will be, discipline ${ }^{\text {u }}$
However, intonation and punctuation maiking may be absent if the ellipsis results in the linking oî two lexical verbs:

John found (a valuable stamp) and sold a vaiuable stamp
If the first verb can be interpreted as either transitive with ellipsis of the object or as intransitive, the presence of intonation or punctuation marking after the first verb suggests that it is intransitive and that there is therefore no ellipsis. Thus

Joan writes, and sings ballads
suggests that Joan writes various things and not necessarily ballads.

### 9.30

Semantic affect of ellipsis in coordinated clauses
Often the effect of ellipsis is no more than to suggest a closer connection between the content of the clauses, but sometimes the effect is to indicate that there is a combined process rather than two separate processes (cf 9.41 J ). Thus

Did Peter tell lies and hurt his friends?
implies that Peter's telling lies had the result that he hurt his friends. The sentence is one question, and may be answered by yes or no. There is no such implication in

Did Peter tell lies, and did he hurt his friends?
where Peter's telling lies and his hurting his friends are regarded as two suparaic procuses a.fí thicic ale tho sepaĩuic quesiiuns. Someiinius, intonation may also be a factor. For example,
Shall we take the car or go by bús?
will probably be regarded as one q̣uestion and could be answered by No, let's so hy taxi. On the ether hard,

Shall we take the $C \hat{A}$ or go by bùs?
Shall we take the CAR or shall we go by sùs?
would both be regarded as alternative questions ( $7.54 f$ ), requiring as an answer a choice between taking the car or going by bus.

The combinatory effect is common when the coordinated clauses are direct or indirect questions or subordinate to another clause, or when negation is involved.

## Phrasal coordination

9.31

And and or are the main coordinators for phrasal coordination. But is used only to link adjective phrases and adverb phrases:

A very long but unus'a!'ly interesting iourney
He wrcte to them polite!y but firmly
Although we have suggested that there is ellipsis of the rest of the clause when the verb phrases or parts of them are directly linked, we do not posit ellipsis of the rest of the clause when other phrases are directly conjoined by and and or. For example,
Peter and John played football
is not regarded as elliptical for
Peter played football and John played football
though, of course, the two sentences can be synonymous. Instead we regard Peter and John as ? coordinated plural phrase functioning as subject of the sentence, analogous to the boys or the pro-form they. This type of coordination is phrasal coordination.

## Note

Most subordinators cannot be used to link phrases, but two - if and though - are used quite freely to link adjective phrases and adverb phrases, as is the conjunct yet:
A very pleasant if talkative child
A shabby though comfortable armchair
A simple yet devout prayer
He looked at me kindly if somewhat sceptically
He spoke firmly though pleasantly
He drove quickly yet safely

## Noun phrases

9.32

Noun phrases are commonly conjoined (13.37):

## Peter and John were there

I wiite articles on current affairs for niwspapers and magazines
If $J$ (or its case variant) realizes our of the conjoins, conventions of politeness require that $I$ should always appear last, and it is also common for $y o u$ to be put first:

## you or I; you or they; my friend and me; you, John, and me

9.33

Within the noun phrase there may ve eilipsis cf thu head. For example, in

## Old and young men were invited

the subject is elliptical for old men and young men.
In contrast, there is no ellipsis, for the normal interpretation, in
Honest and clever students always succeed
where the same students are both ionest and clevar. Honest and clever are therefore conjoined adjectives. Similarly, there is no ellipsis of the noun head with appositional coordination (7.21), as in

I like teaching a studious or hard-working undergruduate
If merely two adjectives are conjoined, the coordinator and can be omitted with non-elliptical premodifying adjectives only. Contrast
*Old, young men were invited
Honest, clever students always succeed

The head of the noun phrase is very occasionally ellipted in the second conjoin when an adjective is present (cf 9.28):
The strong nations and the weak (nations)
9.34

Ellipsis of a noun phrase head can occur with modifiers other than adjectives. For example, with postmodifying prepositional phrases:
He has wurkers from Ireland and (workers) from France in his factory and with numerals:
I think there were two (Prime Ministers) or three Prime Ministers who were assassinated, but I forget wh:ch
But phrasal coordination with numerals may express approximation. in which case there is no ellipsis: one or two reasons, a bottle or two, ten or eleven students, three or four hundred people.
There is a similar set of coordinate expressions of approximation with a pro-form in the second conjoin:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { They waited tez or so years } \\ \text { He had a dollar or so to spend }\end{array}\right\}$ [units of measurement]
He had a dollar or so to spend
He's drunk brandy or something)
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { He's drunk or something } \\ \text { He coughed or something }\end{array}\right\}$ [nouns/adjectives/verbs]
He went to New Orleans or $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { somewhere } \\ \text { some place (esp AmE) }\end{array}\right\}$ [place adjunct]
He left at ten or some such time [time adjunct]
For non-coordinate means of expressing approximation, see $5.26 f, 8.16 f$.

### 9.35

As pro-forms, demonstratives can be linked to each other or to other determiners in the noun phrase, but the singular forms of the demonstratives are cermally not lirked to their corresponding plurals:
this (book) and that book
these (chairs) and those chairs that (reason) oir scme other reason these (students) or other students

A noun phrase can be lirked to a pro-form in the second conjoin:

| his friends and mine | that method and the other |
| :--- | :--- |
| her idea and John's | your proposals and others |

Note
Possessive pronouns are not normally linked, except his followed by her:
bis or her friends but *our and their work
9.36

An article realized in the first conjoin of a noun phrase is often ellipted in the second conjoin:
a boy or (a) girl the boys and (the) girls

When premodifiers are present, there can also be ellipsis of the head of the noun phrase in the first coujoin:
the old (mes) and (the) young men
Other determiners can also be ellipted in the second conjoin:
my brother or (my) sister other boys and (other) girls
9.37
it is nornal for a premodiñer iculized in inu first cunjoir of a nown purase to be ellipted in subsequent conjoins:
young boys and (young) girls
If we ellipt the premodifier, we must ellipt an accompanying determiner:
the young men and (the young) women
Hance,
the young men and the women
does not have ellipsis of the premodifier young in the second conjoin.
Similarly, a postmodifier is commonly ellipted, but it must be realized in the last conjoin and ellipted in previous conjoins:
boys (studying at this school) and girls studying at this school
cows (kept on our farm), bulls (kept on our farm), and pigs kept on cur farm

If a determiner is present in the first conjoin, it can be either ellipted or retained in subsequent conjoins without affecting the ellipsis of the postmodifier:
the boys (studying at this school) and (the) girls studying at this school Ellipsis can occur even if the determiners in the conjoins differ:
many boys (studying at this school) and some girls studying ai this school
We can prevent the postmodifier from applying to the first conjoin by reversing the order of the conjoins:
some girls studying at this school and many boys

It is also possible to ccmbine ellipsis of premodifier and postmodifier For example, in place of
the older boys studying at thlls school and the older girls studying at this school
we can have
the older boys and the older girls studying at this school
with ellipsis of the postmedifier in the first conjoin; or the older boys and older girls studying at this school
with the additional ellipsis of the determiner in the second conjoin; or the older boys and girls studying at this school
with ollipsis $n$ f the preme difier sc well As yre rave seen before, zenarats determiners in the two conjoins do not allow the interpretation that there is ellipsis of any premodifier, and therefore
the older boys and the girls studying at this school
does nut have ellipsis of the premodifier older in the second conjoin.
9.38
sina and or can link more than two noun phrases (cf9.15), and all but the final instance of the conjunctions can be omitted:
We thanked John, Peter, and Robert ('. . . John and Peter and Robert')
They will employ John, Peter, or Robert (‘. . . John or Peter or Robert')
The same applies to the coordination of other units:
He was tall, dark and handsome
You can spend your vacation at a hotel, in a cottage by the sea, or at a summer camp
9.39

Units other than noun phrases
(1) Prepositional phrases:

The attacks in June and in July failed
He climbed up the wall and over the wall
If the two or more prepositions are identical, then those subsequent to the first can be ellipted:
John complained to Mary and Peter

There are furthar possibilities of ellipsis:
He climbed up and over the wall
He climbed up the wall and over
(2) Other adverbials and dependent clauses:

You can wash it manually oo by using a machine
They can call this week or whenever they wish
If two or more conjunctions are identical, those subsequent to the first can be ellipted:
If I can find the letter and (if) you are interested in it, I'll let you have it On the other hand, if two clauses are identical except for their conjunctions, one of the clauses can be ellipted, normally the first, so that two conjunctivns are iinkeá.

I am prepared to meet them when (they like) and where they like Sometimes the second clause is ellipted:

They will be arriving לefore the show begins or after (the show begins)
With relative clauses introduced by a preposition and whom, ellipsis of the rest of the first clause is not uncemmon:

I want to know by whom (it was ordered) and for whom it was ordered (3) Adjectives:

Adjectives can be conjoined when they are predicative:
She is young and beautiful
or attributive (cf 9.33):
His clear and forceful delivery impressed the audience
There can be ellipsis of a premodifier or of complementation:
vcry cheap and (very) gaudy
I am loath (to do it) and afraid to do it

## Note

[a] In philosophical and mathematical discourse, if and only if (abbreviated iff) is a common combination with ellipsis of the first clause.
[b] If and when is a stereotyped expression conveying a strong possibility that the con dition in the clause will be realized:
If and when he buys the car, I'll try to persuade him to buy the insurance from me
Güer institutionalized conjoinings of conjunctions are as and when, unless and until.

### 9.40

Order in Phrasal Coordination
The relatively fixed order for subclasses of adjectives in premodification does not apply to coordinated strings, whether or not a coordinator is present. Dut the order of conjoined words can be influenced by a tendency for the shorter word to come first, eg: big and ugly, cup and saucer. There are also stereotyped coordinations where the conjoins are in virtually irreversible order, eg: odds and ends; bread and butter; law and order; by hook or by crook; through thick and thin; knife, fork, and spoon.

## Combinatory and segregatory coordination

9.41

When conjoined phrases function in the clause, they may invclve combisatory or segregatory coordination (cf $7.21 f, 9.30$ ). The distinction applies io various iypes of conjzincu phases, bui is peruaps ciearesi with noun phrases. When the coordination is segregatory, we can paraphrase the original sentence with two or more coordinated clauses. For example,

John and Mary have a cold

## is equivalent to

John has a cold and Mary has a cold
But no analogous paraphrase is available for the combinatory coordination in

John and Mary make a pieasant couple
Other examples of combinatory coordination:

## He gave all his books to Tom and Alice

Mary and Susan are sisters
Among adjectives involving combinatory coordination, colour adjectives in particular allow a 'particoloured' interpretation:

## Our flag is red, white, and thie ('partly red, partly white, and partly

 blue')In
He painted the cars black and white
there is a combined process if each car is painted black and white, and separate processes if some cars are painted black and cohers white.
Note
[a] The distinction applies also to plural or collective noun phrases. Hence we find combined process in They look alike and separate process in The children have a cold,
while there is ambiguity in They are married (to each other or eath to another person).
[b] Fer singular concord with some subjects involving combinatory coordination, see 7.22.
9.42

Certain markers explicitly indicate that the coordination is segregatory: both, each, neither . . . nor, respective (formal), respectively (formal).

While John and Mary have won a prize is ambiguous, we are left in no doubt that two prizes were won in

John and Mary each have won a prize
John and Mary have each won a prize
John and Mary have won a prize each
Both John and Mary liave won a prize
JJh:: a:d N'Áa.y bot'. Luve wor a prize
John and Mary have both won a prize
Similarly, while John and Mary didn't win a prize is ambiguous, the sentence Neither John nor Mary won a prize makes it clear that two prizes are involved.

Respective is used as a premudifier in a plural noun phrase to indicate separate processes. For example, John and Bob visited their respective unales can only nea: that John visited his uacle c: unc'es and that Bob visited his uncle or uncles. Separate processes are similarly indicated if the subject is a plural noun phrase: The boys visited their respective uncles. The related noun phrases can even be in different clauses or in different sentences:

Bob and Ihave had scme zerious trouble at school lately. Our respective parents are going to see the principal about the complaints.

Respectively is used to indicate which constituents go with which in the separate processes, the order of one linked set corresponding to the order of the other linked set. For example:

John, Peter, and Robert play fcotoall, basketball, and baseball respectively
$=$ John plays football, Peter plays basketball, and Robert plays baseball
John and Peter are going to Paris and to Amsterdam respectively
$=$ John is going to Paris and Peter is going to Amsterdam

### 9.43

## Coordination of identical items

Identical items may be conjoined an indefinite number of times. With
comparatives of adjectives and adverbs susceptible of intensification or gradability, the effect is to express a continuing increase in degree (5.33):

He felt more and more angry $=\mathrm{He}$ felt increasingly angry
He drove slower and slower = He drove increasingly slowly
With verbs and the absolute forms of adverbs, the effect of coordination of identical items is to express a continuing or repetitive process:
They knocked and knocked ( = They knocked repeatedly)
He talked on and on and on (= He talked on continuously)
If a noun is repeated once, the effect may be to suggest that different types can be distinguished:
There are teachers and teachers ( = There are good and bad teachers)

### 9.44

## Structures relating to coordination

There are several QUASI-COORDINATORS, most of which are related to comparative forms ( 11.37 ff ):
as well as, as much as, rather than, more than
They sometimes resemble coordinators:
Me pu'jlishus as ::el" as prints his own books He was pitied rather than disliked
But they can also have a prepositional or subordinating role, as in As well as printing the books, he publishes them
Rather than cause trouble, I'm going to forget the whole affair
In subject position they do not normally bring about plural concord unless the first noun phrase is plural:

John, as much as his brothers, was responsible for the loss
In this respect, they resemble prepositions such as with or in addition to:
John, with his brothers, was responsible for the loss
Non-restrictive relative clauses have been considered as semantically equivalent to coordinate clauses. Such an assignment seems reasonable when the relative clause has the superordinate clause as its antecedent (13.12). Thus,

John didn't go to the show, which is a pity
is semantically equivalent to
John didn't go to the show, and that is a pity

## Apposition

9.45

Apposition resembles coordination in linking units having grammatical affinity. But, in addition, for units to be appositives, they must normally be identical in reference or else the reference of one must be included in the reference of the other. For example, in
A neighbour, Fred Brick, is on the telephone
a neighbour is identified as Fred B:ick. The relationship underlying apposition is therefore an intensive relationship (7.6):

## Fred Brick is a neighbour

In many cases the co-reference and grammatical similarity will permit the omission of either appositive unit with a resultant acceptable and synonymous seatence.
A neighbour is on the telephone
Fred Brick is on the telephone
This is true even where, as commonly, the appositives are discontinuous:
An unusual present awaited him, a book on ethics
$\sim$ An unusual present awaited him
$\sim A$ bonk on e،'riz: awaited him
In some of the attribution examples (9.54), where an additional clause element is present in one of the units, it is not possible to meet the condition:
Norman Jones, at that time a student, wrote several novels
Nor is it possible in other instances where the apposition is only partial:
The reason he gave, that he didn't notice the other car, was unconvincing
where The reason he gave was unconvincing is not synonymous with That he didn't notice the other car was unconvincing. The two appositives need not have the same grammatical form to meet this condition. Thus, in the following sentence one of the appositives is a noun phrase, the other a non-finite clause:

Playing football on Sunday, his favourite exercise, kept him fit

### 9.46

## Non-restrictive and restrictive apposition

Apposition may be non-restrictive or restrictive (cf 13.3). The appesitives in non-restrictive apposition are in different information units, and the two appusitives have different information value, one of
them being subordinate in the distribution of information. Non-restric tive apposition is indicated in speech by separate tone units for the appositives and in writing by commas or more weighty punctuation, with one of the appositives marked as parenthetic. For example, the apposition is non-restrictive in

Mr Campbell, the lawyer, was here last night
while it is restrictive in
Mr Campbell the lawyer was here last night (ie Mr Campbell the lawyer as opposed to any other Mr Campbell we know)

### 9.47

## More than two units

Occasionally there say be more than two units in apposition, as in
They returned to their birthplace, their place of resideme, the country of which they were ciiizens
There may also be a hierarchy of appositional relationships, indicated in the following sentence by the various types of bracketing:

We now: find \{a new type of student: [the revolutionary $-\langle$ (the radical bent on changing the system) and (the anarchist bent on destroying it) $\$ ]\}

### 9.48

## Indicators of apposition

A number of expressions explicitly indicate apposition. They can be inserted between appositives, for example namely in

The passenger plane of the 1980 s, namely the supersonic jet, will transform relations between peoples of the world

The indicators express certain semantic relationships between the appositives and therefore cannot be used for all cases of apposition. Common indicators are listed below, ihose marking the same or similar relationship ceing grouped together.
that is to say, that is, ie (formal and written); namely, viz (formal and written); to wit (formal, especially legal); in other wol ds; or, or rather, or Letter;' ands as follows! for example, for instance, eg (formal and written), say, including, included, such as; especially, particularly, in particular, notably, chiefty, mainly mostly; of
Some of these indicators either precede or (less commonly) follow the second appositive:

The President of the United States, in other words Richard Nixon, was on television last night
The President of the United States, Richard Nixon in other words, was on television last night
But others can only precede the second appositive: namely, and, or (rather/better), as follows, including, such as, of, and the abbreviated forms, ie, viz, and eg:

Many professions, such as the legal profession, have established their own codes of professional conduct

Included can only follow the second appositive:
Many people, my sister included, won't forgive him for that
Nun-rostrictive appusicion
9.49

Apposition is typically exemplified by noun phrases in non-restrlctive apposition. The semantic ralationships between the appositives are displayed below, with one or two representative indicators of relation.

| $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { EQUIVALENCE } \\ \text { (ie, in other } \% \cdot r d s)\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { appellaion (, namely; who j...hich }+ \text { BE) } \\ \text { designatiou (who/which }+ \text { BE) } \\ \text { identification (n־mely) } \\ \text { reformulation (or) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { ATTRIBUTION } \\ \text { (who/which + BE) } \end{array}\right.$ |  |
| $\text { INCLUSION }\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { exem } \\ \text { parti } \end{array}\right.$ | plification (for example) cularization (especially) |

### 9.50

Appellation
With appellation, there is unique reference between the two appositives. Both appositive noun phrases are commonly definite and the second is typically a proper noun, but reed not be:

The company commander, (that is to say) Captain Madison, assembled his men and announced their mission
The second appositive can be replaced by a corresponding relative clause:

## The company commander, who was Captain Madison, assembled

his men and announced their mission
It is more specific than the first, and hence the use of namely, an indicator that introduces a more specific appositive:

The passenger plane of the 1980s, (namely) the supersonic je:, w:ill transform relations between peoples of the world
The second appositive is often a finite clause:
He told them the good ne1:s: (namely) taxes are to be reduced

### 9.51

Designatiou
With designation, there is also unique reference, but the second appositive is less specific than the first. Both appositives are commonly definite noun phrases:

Captain Madison, (that is to say) the company commander, assembled his men and announced their mission
Reflocement of the serond apnncitive by a corresnonding relative rlause is again posside.

### 9.52

## Identification

With identification, there is no unique equivalence. The second appositive is more specific, identifying what is given in the first, which is typically an indefinite noun phrase:

A company commander, (namely) Captain Madison, assembled his men and announced their mission
Unlike the two previous types of equivalence apposition, replacement of the second appositive by a corresponding relative clause is not possible. A similar relationship obtains if the first appositive is, or contains, a pronoun referring to the second appositive:
We - (that is to say) John and I - intend to resign

Note
[a] There are obvious affinities between the second example of identification apposition and substitution constructions (restricted to informal spoken English) containing a pronoun and an appended noun phrase to which the pronoun refers (14.58):

He's a complete idiot, that brother of yours
It went on far too long, your game
On a somewhat similar construction in familiar or dialectal use - He's a complete idiot, John is - see 14.38.
There are also similarities between non-restrictive apposition and extraposed constructions with anticipatory it ( 14.25 f ):
It was good to see you again
[b] We sometimes have the converse of the substitution referred to in Note $a$ : anticipatory substitution. In this type of construction (aiso restricted to informal spoken

English), a noun phrase is positioned initially, marked off by intonation or punctuation from what follows, and a pronoun substitutes for it in the relevant position within the sentence:
Your friend John, I saw him here last night
That play, it was terrible
[c] There are appositives other than noun phrases that in general resemble identification apposition, eg

They summoned help - called the police
They bought it cheaply, for three dollars

### 9.53

Reformulation
Reformulation is a rewording in the second appusitive of the content of the first.
If the reformulation is based on linguistic knowledge, the second appositive is a synonymous expressicn:
a terminological inexactitude, in other words a lie
sound units of the language, technically phonemes
An example with appositive adjectives:
He drew a triacontahedrai, or tnirly-sided, figure
A synonymous word or phrase may replace the first formulation in order to avoid misinterpretation or provide a more familiar or a more technical term.

In addition to the markers it shares with other types of reformulation, this type admits a large range of expressions that specifically mark linguistic reformulation, eg:
(more) simply, to put it simply, in more technical terms, technically (speaking)
Apposition involving linguistic reformulation includes translations from foreign languages:

## savoir (know in English)

If the reformulation is based on knowledge about the exterual world, the second appositive is a co-referential expression:

Fred - or Ginger as he is usually called
The United States of America, or America for short
The reformulation may be a correction of what was said. The correction may be due to an attempt at greater accuracy and precision in formulation:

His party controls London, Greater Loncion that is to say

Examples with appositives other than noun phrases :
She is happier, very much happier, than he is
Thirdly and lastly, they would not accept his promise

### 9.54

## Attribution

Attribution involves predication rather than equivalence. We can replace the second appositive by a corresponding relative clause. The second appositive is commonly an indefinite noun phrase:

The house, an imposing building, dominated the street

## But it can be definite:

Many soldiers, the cream of the tuitalion, died in the attack
Certain kinds of construction are found only in attributive apposition:
(1) An article is absent from the second appositive:

Robinson, leader of the Democratic group on the committee, refused to answer questio.s

This type is common in newspapers and magazines.
(2) An adverbial that is a clause constituent is added to the second appositive:

Your brother, obviously an expert on English grammar, is highly praised in the book I am reading
(3) The second appositive has an internal structure of subject and either complement or adjunct. The participle being can be inserted between the two constituents of the appositive:

Jones and Peters, both (being) of unknown address, were charged with the murder of Williamson
At the entrance there are two pillars, one (being) on each side Note
An attribution appositive is to be distinguished from a verbless adverbial clause (11.5) of which the foilowing are examples:

An even-tempered man, Pau' nevertheiess bccame extremely angry when
he heard the news ('Though he was an even-tempered man')
The heir to a fortune, his friend did not need to pass examinations ('Since he was the heir to a fortune')
These constructions differ from identification appositives (9.52) in that when they occur initially the subject of the sentence is not marked off from the predicate by intonation or punctuation separation.

### 9.35

## Inclusion

Inclusion applies to cases of apposition where the reference of the first appositive is not identical with that of the second, but instead includes it. There are two types of inclusion: exemplification and particularization.
In exemplification, the second appositive exemplifies the more general term in the first appositive:

His excuses, say the breakdown of his car, never seemed plausible
The explicit indicators of exemplification apposition are those in the group headed by for example in 9.48. Sometimes there may be ambiguity between exemplification and identification (9.52) if no indicator is present:
Famous men (De Gaulle, Churchill, Rocsevelt) have visited this university
The two types of relationship are distinguished by the explicit indicators.
Unlike exemplification, particularization requires an explicit indicator:
The children liked the animals, PARTICULARLY the monkeys
The explicit indicators of particularization apposition are those in the group headed by especially in 9.48 .
We should perhaps include here instances where a numeral or quantifier in the second appositive indicates the particularization (of $9.5 .{ }^{\circ}$ ):
The two men, oNE a Dane, were awarded medals
The soldiers, SOME drunk, started fighting each other

## Restrictive apposition

9.56

Strict restrictive apposition of noun phrases can take three forms of which the first is the most common:
(1) The first appositive is the more general expression and is preceded by a definite determiner (and possibly premodifier):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { that famnus critic Paul Jones . the number three } \\
& \text { the novel Great Expectations my good friend Bob }
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The second appositive is preceded by a determiner, always the, and is more general than the first, as in Paul Jones the critic.
(3) Type 3 is like (1) but with omission of the determiner (esp AmE): Cricic Paul Jones Democraticleader Robinson
Eor titles with personal names, such as Professor Brown, see 4.25 for geographical names, see 4.27.
9.57

An important use of the first form of restrictive apposition is found with citations and names of books, films, etc:
the term 'heavy water'
the novel Crime and Punishment the word 'if'

The first appositive is often absent:
'If' is a conjunction
'John and Mary' is a coordinated noun phrase
I'm reading Crime and Punishment
In such cases, we may assume an ellipsis of some general phrase such as 'the expression' or 'the citation form', or of an appropriate term in the case of titles, such as 'the book'. The singular number concord with 'John and Mary' can only be expıaıned it we assume the ellipsis on a singular first appositive. (For further examples see 7.21.)

### 9.58

Restrictive apposition is common with such general noun phrases as the fact, the idea, the view:

The fact that he wouldn't betray his friends is very much to his credit I don $t$ agree witn the view that inere is no acivantage in being patient The question whether to confess or not troubled him
Your duty to report the accident takes precedence over everything else
With participle clauses, and sometimes with wh-clauses, of is used as an indicator:

The thought of playing against them arouses all my aggressive instincts He didn't accept the idea of working while he was studying His account of what he had done that year did not satisfy his colleague

## Bibliographical note

Some recent contrioutions to coordination in general: Gleitman (1965); Hudson(1970); Kärlsen (1959); Lakeff, R. (1971); Stockwell et al (1973), Ch 6. On the distinctions between coordinators, subordirators, and conjurcts, see Grecnbaum (1969).

TEN

## SENTENCE CONNECTION

## Factors in sentence connection

19.1

There are many factors that interact in pointing to links between sentences. We illustrate this by examining a single paragraph. For ease of reference, the sentences are numbered.
[i] We sometimes thoughtlessly criticize a government announcement which refers to 'male persons over the age of eighteen years'. [ii] What ridiculous jargon, we think; why couldn't this pompous otricial have used the word 'man'! [iii] But the official may be forced into a jargon by the lack of precision of ordinary words. [iv] 'Man' may scem to be exactly the same as 'male person over the age of eighteen years', but would the latter be our automatic interpretation if the word 'man' had been used? [ $v$ ] We often use it of even younger males of sixteen or seventeen, and it can be applied to a school-boy of ten ('the team is a man short'). [vi] It may simply mean 'brave person', as when we tell a little boy of four to 'stop crying and be a man'. [vii] Or it may mean 'human being', without regard to sex, as in a phrase like 'not fit for man or beast'. [viii] It may even mean a wooden disc - as in the game of draughts.
We shall refer to three factors that enter into sentence connection in the above paragraph: implication in the semantic content, levical equivalence, and syn ${ }^{+}$actic devices.
In speech, there are also prosodic features of connection (14.2 ff, App II.9, 11), which are ignored in the present treatment.
10.2

A reader searches for semantic relationships implied between sentences that are next to each other. For example, he finds that sentences [vi], [vii], and [viii] present a series of alternativis linked to the joint content of [v], but only in [vii] do we find the coordinator or markiug the alternatives.
10.3

We can expect successive sentences to show some relationship through their vocabulary, some equivalence in the lexical items. The simplest form for such lexical equivalence is through the repetition of words or phrases. For example man, which first appears in [ii], recurs twice in [iv], and once in [v], [vi], and [vii].

Lexical equivalents äre often synonyms or near-synonyms. Of cuurse, the whole point of the paragraph is the degree of closeness in meaning between 'maie persons over the age of eighteen years' - [i] and [iv] - and 'man'.

However, the lexical equivalents need not be synozyms. A more general term may be used as the equivalent of a more specific term (human being [vii]~man or woman). Or the relationship may be established in the context (a government announciment in [i] $\sim$ this pompous official in [ii]). Or (to go outside the present illustration) it may depend on factual knowledge or pre-suppositions that the speaker assumes that his audience shares with him (Paris $\sim$ the capital of Francel the youth $\sim$ the nation's most precious asset).
Furthermore, lexical connection between sentences may involve antonyms. For example, the counection between the following two sentences is largely dependent on the antithesis between men and women:

Discrimination is undoubtedly practised against women in the field of scientific research. We don't find men complaining that they are not being interviewed for positions that they are clearly qualified to fill.
Finally, lexical items belonging to a particular set of items tend to cooccur. For example, birth and baby:

We heard that the birth was easy. The baby is smaller than expected, but is in good health.

## 10.4

Our illustrative paragraph also contains syntactic devices for sentence connestion. As we have said, man appears in five of the sentences. But 'man' as a word is also referred to by the pronoun it - twice in $[\mathrm{v}]$ and once in each of [vi], [vii], and [viii]. Thus man and its equivalents, lexical or syntactic, form a motif running th.rough the paragraph.
In what follows we shall be primarily concerned with syntactic devices that help to connect sentences.

## Time relaters

10.5

Time-relationships between sentences can be signalled by temporal adjec-
tives or adverbials or by tense, aspect and modality in verbs. Once a timereference has been established, certain adjectives and adverbials may order subsequent information in relation to it. There are three major divisions of time-relationship:
(1) previous to given time-reference:
adjectives: earlier, former, preceding, previous
eg He handed in a good essay. His previous essays were all poor. ('previous to that good cssay')
adverbials: already, as yet, before, earlier, first, formerly, previously, so far, yet, phrases with pro-forms: before that, before then, until now
eg I shall explain to you what happened. But first I must give you a cup of tea. ('before explaining what happened')
(2) simultanєous with given time-reference:

ADJECTIVES: contemporary, simultaneous
eg The death of the president was reported this ásternoo: on Cairo radio. A simultaneous announcement was broadcast from Baghdad. ('simultaneous with the report of the death of the president on Cairo radio')
adverbials: at present, at this point, meantime, meanwhile, in the meantime, now, presently (esp AmE), simultaneously, then, relative when
eg Several of the conspirators have been arrested but their leader is as yet unknown. Meanwhile the police are continuing their investigations into the political sympathies of the group. ('at the same time as the arrests are being made')
(3) subsequent to given time-reference:
adjectives: jollowing, later, next
eg I saw him on Friday and he seemed to be in perfect health. The following day he died. ('following the Friday just mentioned')
adverbials: afterwards, again ('after that'), immediately, later next, since, then, after that
eg The manager went to a board meeting this morning. He was then due to atch a train to London. ('after the board meeting')

Words with temporal significance do not always have a connective function. Thus, somebody may say

John's previous wife died last year
without any prior mention of john's suhsequent or present wife.

## 10.6

The ordinals constitute a temporal series of adjectives: first, second, third...., with next as a substitute for any of the middle terms when moving up the series, and final or last as a substitute for the term for the end of the series. There is a corresponding series of acjuncts with first (also at first and, less commonly, firstly) as the beginning of the set; next, then, later, afterwards, as interchangeable middle terms; and finally, lastly, or eveni'lully as markers of the end of the set.

## 10.7

Tense, aspect, and modality are discussed in 3.26 ff . Here we merely illustrate two features of the more obvious time-relationships signalled by these features of the verb phrase:

He tel?phined the police. There hadbeer an explosion.
Alice turned on the radio. John was taking a shower.
The past perfect $c^{\text {s }}$ the $\because e_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{b}$ in une suntence and the oimpie past in the other fix the temporal sequence of the information conveyed in the two sentences of [1]. The past perfect form allows the two sentences to appear in reverse sequence without any obscurity. In [2] the verb forms indicate that the action described in the first sentence took place during that described in the second sentence.

## 10.8

Place relaters
Words denoting place-relationship can play a part in sentence connection:

He examined the car. The front was slightly damaged. ('front of the car')
A few place adverbs, here, there, and relative where, are pro-forms, cg:

All my friends have been to Paris at least once. I am going there next summer for the first time. ('to Paris')

## Logical connecters <br> 10.9 <br> And

The possible relationships between sentences linked by and are in general
the s? me as those between clauses linked by and (9.16). And can link its sentence with a unit comprising several sentences, as in the following example, where that does not refer merely to the preceding sentence:

It was a convention where the expected things were said, the predictable things were done. It was a convention where the middle class and the middle aged sat. It was a convention where there were few blacks and fewer beards. And that remains the Republican problem.

### 10.10

## Enumeration

Enumerative conjuncts (8.53) indicate a listing of what is being said. Other listing conjuncts are also used in the set, as furthermore in
He attacked the senator viciously, but he was never caliea oeiore the committee. First, he was not an important enough figure. Furthermore, his criticism of the senator was public knowledge. Finally, there was no case for suggesting he was secretly infiltrating the government.
The addition of far more importantly in the following indicates that the statements are listed in ascending order of importance:
Tom Brown is well known in this city. He has been a member
of the city council for many years. Secondly, and far more
importantly, he is a football player of national reputation.
There are several climactic additive conjuncts that mark the end of an ascending order: above all, on top of it all, last but not least.
We can indicate a descending order at the beginning of the series by such expressions as first and foremost, and first and most important(ly). Most important $(l y)$ and most important $(l y)$ of ali can occur either at the beginning or at the end of a series; they mark by their position whether the series is in ascending or descending order of importance.
It is obvious that first $(l y)$, second $(l y)$, third $(l y)$, etc, mark particular positions in a series. To begin with, ic start with, and (informally) for a start can occur initially in a series, next and then only medially, and last (ly), finally, and (rather formally) to conclude only in final position. Reasons for what has been said can be linked by the correlatives for one thing . . . (and) for another (thing), though the first of the pair can be used alone if the intention is to offer only one reason.
The enumeration may be expressed in ways that are more integrated within the structure of the sentence, as in the following formelaic expressions that are typical of formal spoken English:
I want to begin by saying . . . I will conclude by saying . . .

The introductory expression may be related more closely to the preceding lexical content, as in One reason is . . . the other reason is . . . We might even have a main clause that serves as a link in the enumeration, eg: There is still another thing or I want to make one final point.
Noun phrases alone can be used for enumeration as well as the fuller forms, eg: another thing, one finat point.

## Addition

10.11

The addition relationship is offen consered by the two subclasses of additivi conjuncts, reinforcing and equative conjuncts (8.53):
This food is very good and it's probably something that people wouldn't get at home. Also, it's not difficult to cook and it's quick to prepare.
There has been no progress in the negotiations between the union and the employers. The union is determined to get more than the employers have proposed. Equally, the employers have absolutely no intention of increasing their final offer.

### 10.12

Additive adjuncts ( 8.8 ff ) specify that part of the sentence is an addition to what has been previously mentioned or implied:

The children read the play. They acted it too.
He didn't explain what the letter signified. Neither/Nor did she.
Either, neither, and nor (9.20) differ from the others in requiring the two sentences they link to be negativc but no other negative appears in the sentence containing neither or nor. Too, on the other hand, generally requires both to be positive. Thus, in the following sentences, either, neither, and nor are admissible (as are other additive adjuncts, such as also), but not too:

A: The children didn't read the play. B: *They didn't act it too.
A: The children didn't read the play. B: They also didn't act it.
A: The children didn't read the play. B: They didn't act it either.
A: The children didn't read the play. B: Neither/Nur did they act it.

### 10.13

## Transition

Now introduces a new stage in the sequence of thought:
We have settled that at last. Now, what was the other thing we wanted to discuss?

As for (in BrE also as to) introduces a related topic:
Mary has several close friends. As for John, he is a zay surrounded by friends.
Certain other expressions mark a transition, but the: can also begin discussion: with reference to, with respect to, with regard: :o (all formal).
Incidentally and by the way add explicitly that whet is being said is a digression:
The airlines charge half-price for students. Incidentally, I have already bought my ticket to New York.
Certain other expressions are commonly used for marking a transition to a new stage: Let us now turn to . . . (formal), Regarding . . (formal), To turn to ...; or to introduce a digression: Talking'Speaking of . . ., That reminds me, . . .

### 10.14

## Summation

The final part of a unit may be a generalization or summing-up of what prciedeu. Summative conjuncts (8.53) and styli disjuncts such as in brief $(8.48 f)$ can be used to indicate this:
The techniques discussed are valuable. Sensible stress is laid upon preparatory and follow-up work. Each chapter is supported by a well-selected bibliography. In all, this is an interesting and clearly written textbook that should prove extremely useful to geography teachers.
Integrated expressions include I will sum up by saying; I shall conclude by saying.

### 10.15

## Apposition

Indicators of an apposition (9.48) can be used to refer back to previous sentences:
It is important that young children should see things and not inerely read about them. For example, it is a valuable educational experience to take them on a trip to a farm.
Integrated indications of certain types of apposition include Another way of putting it is . . . An example would be . . .

### 10.16

## Result

Several result conjuncts (8.53) indicate tinat a sentence expresses the consequence or result of what was said before.

They don't often use it over the weekend. So you cau borrow it if you want to.
They refused to pay the higher rent when an increase was announced. As a result, they were evicted from their house.
Integrated indications include The result (of that) is . . ., The consequence (of that) was ...

### 10.17

## Inference

An inference from what is implicit in the preceding sentence or sentences can be indicated by an inferential conjunct (8.53):

A: I'm afraid there isn't much I can help you with.
B: In other wcrds, you don't want to be bothered.
A: He says he w^nts oo marry Susan.
B: In that case, he shouldn't be quarrelling with her all the time.
Other markers of inference include If so, If not, That implies . . ., You can cuiclude from that . . .

### 10.18

## Or: Reformulation and replacement

Or introduces a reformulation (a type of apposition, 9.53) or replacement. It can be iollowei by conjuncts that have the same iunction, $a_{s}$ they alone can be used.

Examples of reformulatory conjuncts (8.53):
They are enjoying themselves. (Or) Rather, they appear to be enjoying themselves.
You say you took the book without his permission. (Or) In other words, you stole it.
Integrated markers of reformulation include $A$ better way of putting it is . . ., It would be better to say . . .

Examples of replacive conjuncts (8.53):
I might do it. Or again, I might not.
In order to buy the car, I may draw on my savings, though I am reluctant to do so. (Or) On the other hand, I might approach my parents for a loan.
Integrated markers of replacement include The alternative is . . ., It might be better if...
10.19

But
The relationships between sentences linked by but are the same as those
between clauses linked by but (9.18), though the contrast may be with a preceding unit cunsisting of more than one sentence:

More than one marriage had its beginnings in the Princess Theatre; more than one courtship was extended and perpetuated there. And it would be fair to say that a number of lives were shaped, to a degree, by the figures and fashions and personalities that flashed upon the screen. But years have a way of doing strange things to people, times and events and now the old Princess is littie more than a misty memory.

### 10.20

## Contrast

A contrast can be indicated by antithetic conjuncts (8.53). On the contrary emphasizes that the opposite is true:

I didn't ask her to leave. On the contrary, I tried to persuade her to stay.
The other conjuncts introduce a comparison or contrast, without entailing a cenial of the valiuity of what preceded:

He's rather foolish, I'm afraid. By comparison, she's a genius. A cut of one quarter in the total wages bill would bring only a five per cent saving in the ship's final cost. By contrast, the price difference between British and Japanese tankers is now as much as 25 per cent.

On the other hand often indicates contrast, especially when it is the second of a correlative pair with on the one hand:

On the one hand, you don't want to be too aggressive. On the other hand, you shouldn't be too timid.
Instead involves a contrast, though it also indicates a replacement. The conjunct is illustrated in

He doesn't study at all. Ins'ead, we sits and day-dreams.
and the adjunct in
He wanted a fishing-rod for his birthday. His father bought him a book instead. ('instead of a fishing-rod')

## Concession

10.21

Concessive conjuncts (8.53) signal the unexpected, surprising nature of what is being said in view of what was said before:

He has been in office for only a few months. He has, however, achieved more than any of his predecessors.
The term papers were very brief. Still, they were better than I expected.
I didn't invite your friend Bill to the party. Besides, he wouldn't have come.
Most of the concessive conjuncts can be paraphrased by a concessive subordinate clause introduced by though or although; for example, with the first pair of sentences above: Though he has been in office for only a few months, he has achieved more than any of his predecessors. Besiles, anyhow (informal), and anyway (informal) indicate that an addition is being made to a process of reasoning, but they are at the same time concessive. With besides the additive implication is particularly prominent: it could be paraphrajed: 'if you dor't find that point convinring, bere's annther point.' At any rate may be roughly paraphrased as 'whatever happens' or 'regerdless', and after all as 'this at least must be conceded'. When else (following the conjunction or) is a concessive cunjunct, it is equivalent to 'even if not'.
Even is a concessive adjunct, but it is also alditive:
Even John was there (John was there (surprisingly) in addition to others)
John will even sing a song if you ask him (John will sing a song in addition to other things he will do)
10.22

Certain disjuncts that assert the truth of their sentence are often used to express some notion of concession, roughly equivalent to 'this at least is true'. They include the attitudinal disjuncts actually, admittedly, certainly, really, in (actual) fact, of course (8.50), and the style disjunct strictly speaking. Sometimes the reservation is about a preceding sentence:

I wasn't called up by the army. Actually, I volunteered.
But the reservation may relate to what follows, and in such a case but or a concessive conjunct is often found in the next sentence:

Of course, the book has some entertaining passages about the private lives of film stars. But on the whole it is extremely boring.
Integrated markers of this relationship include I admit . . ., It is true that...
10.23

Several attitudina! disjuncts suggest that the context of the sentence to which they are related may not be true in reality eg: nominally, officially,
technically, theoretically (8.50). A following sentence, which may then indicate what is said to be the real truth, may be marked for this purpose by actually, really, in (actual) fact, or in reality. For example:
of FIcially, he is in charge. ACtually, his secretary does all the work.
Integrated markers of thic relationship include The official position was . . ., The theory was . . .

### 10.24

For
The conjunction for (formal and usually literary) indicates that what is said is the reason for mentioning what has been said previously:

The vast majority of the competitors will be well content just to walk around at ineir ow山 pacie, siopping fur resi or "efii estmont as required. For it is a long day's walk, and there is much to be said for enjoying the scenery at the same time.

## Substitution

10.25

Like ellipsis (9.1), substitution is a device for abbreviating and for avoiding repetition. Most of the substitutes or PRO-FC?Ms within sentences are also used across sentences. They are normally unstressed. Hence, though a nucleus is commonly on the last word of a clause, it would not be usual to have a nucleus on a pro-form (App II.7). Contrast:

John upset a large beautiful vase. It fell and hurt во̀в.
A large beautiful vase fell on Bob's head. It was very heavy and HÙrT him.

## Pro-forms for noun phrases and their constituents

10.26

The most obvious pro-forms for noun phrases are the 3rd person pronouns:

John and Mary stole a toy from my son. Their mother told them to retuin the toy, but they said it was theirs.
Dr Solway took the student's blood pressure that day. He also examined his lungs and heart.

It will be noticed that he substitutes for Dr Solway and his for the student's. We interpret the appropriate substitations from the content of the sentences. For example, we can change the second sintence in transfer the substitutions:

Dr Solway took the student's blood pressure that day. He had felt sick during the night and came for his he!p as soon as the clinic opened.
In the second sentence, he now substitutes for the student, and his for $D r$ Solway's. Where the reference of the pronoun is felt to be ambiguous, the full form or a lexical equivalent can, of cours^, be used.
The plurals of the 1st and 2nd person pronouns sometimes have as their antecedent a noun phrase and can then be consinered pro-forms:
John and $I$ have finished our work. Can we start our lunch now?
A somewhat different situation exists when the 'antecedent' noun phrase does not include the pronoun appearing in the next sentence:

You and John seem to be finished. Shall we have luncia now?
We here substitutes for an implied you and John and I: see further 4.82. The singulars of the 1 st and 2 nd person pronouns are never pro-forms for noun phrases: they merely replace themselves.

### 10.27

One can be a pro-form for a noun phrase head or for an indefinite noun phrase (4.96). Certain other items can be pro-forms for noun phrases, in particular ail, any, both, each, sither, neither, some, none. '1 hey can be regarded as elliptical, since they can be expanded by of with some appropriate prepositional complement:
The boys applied for a scholarship. Each (of them) was able to present excellent references.
You told me there were three pictures by Van Gogh in the exhibi-
tion. But I didn't see any (of his pictures).
My friends intend to make a career in business. None (of my friends) want to go to university.
There is an equivalent expansion which converts the pro-forms into determiners or predeterminers. This affects all, both, each, either, neither, as in all the boys, each boy.
The same is a pro-form for a noun phrase. The phrase it replaces must be identical with the antecedent, but (except in dialect or archaic use) the two phrases are usually not co-referential:
A: Can I have a cup of black coffee with sugar, please?
B : Give me the same, please.

### 10.28

Pro-forms for adverbials
Some time relaters (10.5) can be pro-forms for time adjuncts, principally
then ( $=$ 'at that time'), but also that when it functions as subject and when the verb is intensive:

We saw John at eight on Monday evening. We told him then that we would be coming to the party.
A: I'm meeting George for a drink this evening.
B: That would be the best time to raise the subject.
Some place relaters (10.8) can be pro-forms for place adjuncts, principally here ( $=$ 'at/to this place'), and there ( $=$ 'at/to that place'), but also that ( $=$ 'that place') and $i t$ (='that place') when they function as subject and when the verb is intensive:
Look in the top drawer. You'll probably find it there.
They sat right in front of the stage. That/It/There was where the noise wã gi gate::.

The most common pro-forms for process adjuncts ( 8.19 ff ) are in that way, that way (informal) and like that:
She plays the piano with great concentration and with great energy.
J'm afraid she dnesn't.studv like thet. ('with great concentration . .')
Always be frank and open to your colleagues. That way you'll win
their trust and confidence. ('by always being frank . . .')

## Pro-forms for predicate and predication

10.29

## AUXILIARIES AS PRO-FORMS

Do is a pro-form for the predicate and carries tense and person distinctions:

A: John drives a car. b: I think вò в does то̀о (= drives a car).
When functioning as operator for negation, interrogation, or emphasis (3.25), do can be considered as allowing eilipsis of the predication:

A: John drives a car. B: Bob doesn't (drive a car).
But it is ccnvenient to treat cases of ellipsis togethei with the pro form do. Other operators and :uxiliaries allow eliipsis of the predication, but can also be treated together with the pro-forms:

A: John can drive a car. B: I think во̀ в can (drive a car) то̀о.
A: Was the entire building destroyed? B: Yes, it was (destroyed).
A: Have they seen the play? B: No, they haven't (seen the play).
A: I'm hungry. B: Are you (hungry)? ,
There can be combinations of operator and auxiliaries with such ellipsis:
A: Has the show started? B: It may have (started).

A: Should she have been taking that medicine? B: Yes, she should (have (been (taking the medicine))).
A: I've paid for the tickets. B: You shouldn't have (paid for the tickets).
and of an operator with lexical be or (especially for BrE ) lexical have:
A: Mary's in Chicago. B: She can't be (in Chicago).
A: I wonder if you have a pen with you. B: I may (have (a pen with me)).
Note
[a] The rules of co-occurrence of auxiliaries are the same for both coordinated clauses and coordinated sentences (9.24)
[b] There is also ellipsis with imperative do and don't:
A: Can I have a piece of cake? B: Please do (have a piece of cake)

### 10.30

Complex pro-forms
The substitute may be a COMPLEX PRO-FORM: a combination of one er more auxiliaries with the pro-forms so, that, or it.
The natterns of combination are exemplified below for declarative sentences. For patterns (i) and (ii), lexical be, passive be, or (especially for BrE , see Note $a$ below) lexical have may combine with the pro-form so.
(i) so do type: so + auxiliary [+subject]

A: John drives a car. B: So does вòb.
A: Mary will enter the competition. B: So will Jò An.
A: Susan is obstinate. B: So is sàrah.
A: My car was washed this morning. B: So was mìne.
(ii) so . . . do type: so [+ subject] + auxiliary A: Look! That man seems lost. B: So he Dó ES.
A: I've found the reference. B: So you $H A V E$.
(iii) do so type: [subject + ] (auxiliary +) do + so

A: Have you sent your donation? B: I did so yesterday.
Peter can join our group. I'm not sure whether DAvid can do so
(iv) do that type: [subject + ] (auxiliary + ) do + that

A: Do you know who broke the television set? B: I heard JònN did that.
A: Sam called the meeting. B: No, I think Pèter may have done thai.
(v) do it type: [subject + ] (auxiliary +) do + it

A: Your brother said he was going to send a letter of protest to the President. B: He did it last week.
$\wedge$ : Gerald has told your lather what you said. B: He shouldn't have done it.

In BrE many allow also the possibility of adding do alone to (a) a modal, or (b) perfect have:
(a) A: Will you be attending the meeting this evening? B: I $M A Y$ do.
(b) I didn't touch the television set. But PERcy might have done.

## Note

[a] Lexical have admits the two pro-form phrases so have and so . . . have in addition to have alone:

A: John has a cold. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Yes, and I have Tס̇O. } \\ \text { Yes, and so have } \mathrm{I} . \\ \text { Yes, so he HAs. }\end{array}\right.$
This use of hrve is much more common in BrE than in AmE , where it is formal as well as restricted in use (cf 3.19). The pro-forms do, so do, and so . . . do are also used in BrF . but are more rommnn in AmE.

[b] So is used as a synonym for true, but in that use it is not a pro-form:
A: Jnan has very many friends. B: That isn't $s n$.

### 10.31

PRO-FORMS IN RELATION TO VERB CLASSES
All lexical verbs allow substitution by do or other auxiliaries and by the complex pro-form types so do and so . . do. But several of the verb classes established in 3.35 do not allow the full range of substitutions. For example, verbs of bodily sensation such as feel admit only the auxiliaries and the types so do, and so . . do:

A: John feels much better.

$$
\mathrm{B}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { I Knòw he does (=I know he feels much better). } \\
\text { Yes, and so do İ. } \\
\text { Yes, so he DOES. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

But we canact substitute for them the other three types - do so, do ihat, and do it:

A: John feels much better.

$$
\text { B: * }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { I KNOW he does so. } \\
\text { Yes, he DOES that. } \\
\text { Yes, he D } \partial E S \text { it. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- 

Only activity and momentary verbs (3.35) - with other parts of the predication, if any - can be replaced by the full range of pro-forms:

A: John abanauned his car during the snowstorm. [activity verb]

$$
\mathrm{B}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { I wonder why he did }\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { so } \\
\text { that } \\
\text { it }
\end{array}\right\}\right) . \\
\text { Yes, and so did } \hat{\mathrm{I}} . \\
\text { Yes, so he DID. There it is. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

A: Bob kicked the door several times. [momentary verb]
$\mathrm{B}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He Always does }\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { so } \\ \text { that } \\ \text { it }\end{array}\right\}\right) \begin{array}{c}\text { when he wants to attract } \\ \text { attention. }\end{array} \\ \mathrm{Yes,} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{so} \mathrm{did} \mathrm{PĖter.} \\ \text { Yes, so he DID. I can see the marks. }\end{array}\right.$
10.32

PRO-FORMSIN RELATION TO ADVERBIALS
The pro-forms need not cover a time or place adjunct in the antecedent predicate:

A: Jchn paid for the tickets tonigit.
B: Yes, he did so LAST week Tòo. ('paid for the tickets')
The pro-forms exclude a conjunct or di.junct (8.2) th.at may be precent in the antecedent predicate. In this respect we can contrast the adjunct usually with the disjunct wisely:
A: Bob usually walks to work.
B: Does he? (. . . usually walk to work)
A: Bob wisely walks to work.
B: Does he? (. . . walk to work)

### 10.33

CO-REFERENTIALITY OF SUBJECTS
The so do type is used only if the subject of the clause is not co-referential with that of the antecedent clause:

A: John buys his drinks at the local supermarket.
B: So do WE.
On the other hand, the so . . . do type is used regardless of whether the subjects of the clauses are co-referential or not, though it is more common for them to be co-referential:
A: Join buys his drinks at the local supermarket.
B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { So he DOEES: I'd forgotten. } \\ \text { So lots of other peopl }\end{array}\right.$
\{So lots of other people do, I imagine.

The other substitution types are used whether or not the subject is co. referential with that of the antecedent clause.

## : Jote

In some contexts, so may be ambiguous between the pro-form so and the resuli conjunct so (=therefore). For the latter, see 10.16.

### 10.34

OPERATORIN PRO-FORMS
The do so, do that, and do it pro-forms require an additional do as operaor:
A: Do they buy their drinks at the local supermarket?
B: Yes, but wè don't do so.
A: John swims a lot. B: Does вòв do that ?

Contrast other constructions where the pro-form contains or is an operator:

A: Some people can drive. B: Yes, but pèter can't.
1: A-nold has joined the slut. B: But has his vifen drne se?

### 10.35

EXCLUSION OF PRO-FORM TYPES FROM CERTAIN CLAUSES
There are severe restrictions on the occurrence of auxiliaries as proforms in non-finite clauses:
A: Peter hunts rabbits. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { Yes, I have noticed him doing. } \\ \text { *Yes, I have watched him do. } \\ \text { *I know. He wanted me to do too. }\end{array}\right.$
Instead, we must use one of the complex pro-forms allowed by the particular verbs:
A: Peter hunts rabbits. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Yes, I have noticed him doing so. } \\ \text { Yes, I have watched him do that. } \\ \text { I know. He wanted me to do it, to } .\end{array}\right.$
An alternative to the pro-forms with the $t o$-infinitive clause is ellipsis of the infinitive clause, to alone being retained:
A: Peter hurts rabbits. B: I know. He wanted me to, also.
Neither the so ... do nor the so do type can function in an imperative clause:
A: It's time to wash the dishes. B: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* S O \text { (you) do. } \\ * S o \text { do (you). } \\ (\text { You Do so. }\end{array}\right.$

Pro-forms other than those from the so do and so . . do types are commonly used in questions and in negative sentences. Where the negative sentence adds to what has been negated previously, either is commonly appended, or neither/nor placed initially (with obligatory subject-operator inversion) to achieve negation (8.10, 9.20):

A: Bob can't drive a car. B: No, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { dònN can't do that èrther. } \\ \text { Neither } \\ \text { Nor }\end{array}\right\}$ can Jò HN do that.
10.36

THE PRO-FORMS NOT AND SO
Not can be a negative pro-form for the predicate:
A: Bill would have taken the book.
B: Yes, but not то̀m. (=Tom would not have taken the book)
A: Bob will take it for you.
B: No, not Him. (= He will not take it for me)
In very formal speech the subjective case of the pronoun would be used instead of the sbjective case if the pronoun is the subject in the clause that is being replaced:
A: John is a coward. B: Yes, but not I. (= I aiiu nict a coward)
Not can also be a pro-form for the subject and part of the predicate:
A: John wanted to pay for the tickets.
B: True, but not for the dinner. (=but John did not want to pay for the dinner)

Not in why not and if not is a negative pro-form for the whole clause, while so is the pro-form for the equivalent of the whole clause in the case of if so, and (less commonly) why so:

A: I don't want to go in.
B: Why not ? (= Why don't you want to go in?)
So is used as a pro-form for a direct object clause:
Oxford is likely to win the next boat race. All my friends say so. ( $=$ that Oxford is likely to win the next boat race)
Not can often serve as the negative of so in this use:
Many people believe that there will be another world war before the end of the century. My fatheı thinks so, but I believe not.
(My father thinks that there will be another . . ., but I believe that there will not be another . . .)

In this use, not is restricted mainly to verbs of belief or assumption (cf 11.58), while so extends also to some verbs of speaking. Verbs that commonly allow both so and not as pro-forms for the direct object clause include: assume, believe, expect, fancy, guess, hope, imagine, presume, suppose, think, understand.
So is also commonly used as a pro-form for a subject coniplement with the intensive verbs become, appear, seem; the last two also allow not as a pro-form:
A : I didn't think she was exceptionally shy.
B: She wasn't at one time, but she has become so recently. (= become exceptionally shy recently)
A. Are they ready? B: It appears not. (=It appears that they are not ready)
Whare trausfurrci aegution is use: I don't think so. The pro-form not is occasionally used with the verbs say and tell, but the use of the pro-form so with these verbs is much more frequent. Not all verbs of speaking allow even so. For example, we cannot say ${ }^{*}$ He asked so.

So in this use rau take initial positicn with several verbs, particularly say (and also believe and understand, especially with $I$ or we as subject):

So all my friends say So I understand
Note
Tell requires the presence of an indirect object before the pro-form (cf 12.31):
I told you so $\quad$ I told so

## Discourse reference

10.37

There are a number of signals marking the identity between what is being said and what has been said before. They have been brought together here because they have in common a 'deictic' reference, that is to say, they point back (ANAPHORIC) or forward (CATAPHORIC) in discourse.

### 10.38

## Sentence/clause reference

Common signals for sentence or clause reference:
anaphoric and cataphoric: here, it, this
anaphoric only: that, the foregoing (formal)
cataphoric only: as follows, the following, thus

## ANAPHORIC EXAMPLES

Many years ago their wives quarrelled over some trivial matter, now long forgotten. But one word led to another and the
quarrel developed into a permanent rupture between them.
That's why the two men never visit each other's houses.
Many students never improve. They get no advice and therefore they keep repeating the same mistakes. It's a terrible shame.
Students want to be shown connections between facts instead of spending their time memorizing dates and formulas. Reflecting this, the university is moving away from large survey courses and breaking down academic fences in order to show subjects relating to one another.
CATAPHORIC EXAMPIES
This should interest you, if you're still keen on boxing. The world heavyweight championship is going to be held in Chicago next June, so yor should be able to watch it live.
Here is the news. A diplomat was kidnapped last night in London . . . (radio announcement)
It never should have happened. She went ou: and left the baby unattended.
My arguments are as follows . . .
Above and below are used in formal written discourse to indicate where units of varying length and illustrations are to be found: the arguments given below (perhaps referring to several sentences), the diagrams below illustrate . . . There is no determinable limit to the distance between them and the place they refer to. The above is used with anaphoric reference (but *the below has no corresponding use):

The above illustrates what we mean by . . .
Note
The non-restrictive relative clause with sentential antecedent (13.12) is sometimes made into a separate orthographic sentence:

She has borrowed a history book. Which suggests that her teacher is having some influence on her.

## Noun-phrase reference

10.39

Certain determiners can be used to signal that a noun phrase is referentially equivalent to a previous noun phrase: the, this, these, that, those. The noun phrases may have identical heads, but may be co-referential without the heads being identical:

He bought a battered, old black van in 1970. What a lot of money he earned with thai vehicle.
Students are frce to select optional courses from any field that touches on American studies. These options are very popular.

The co-reference of two noun phrases may be emphasized by use of identical, same, selfsame (formal), very:

He spoke to a meeting of striking workers that evening. Those same workers had previously refused to listen to his speeches.

These determiners and adjectives can be used to indicate identity of type rather than co-reference:
He bought a Jaguar X.'6. I ordered that same car the previous year. Such (5.27) is used specifically to indicate identity of type:
They regularly get The Daily Courier. I wouldn't read such a paper.
Like plus that or those is also used anaphorically for identity of type, and nostmodifies the noun-phrase head:
They regularly take The Daily Courier. I wouldn't read a paper like that.
Like this and (informally) this way are used cataphorically:
He told it $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { lil-e this } \\ \text { this way }\end{array}\right\}$ : जeorge was iuraing fown the rcad ard ...

## 1 J .40

The demonstratives can be used as pro-forms for noun phrases:
I hear that you dislike his latest novel. I read his first novel. That was very boring, too.
Normally, demonstratives replace noun phrases with a human referent only in intensive clauses with a nominal complement:

Will you try and help me find Peter Williams? That's the man I was telling you about.

### 10.41

Fornier and latter (both mainly formal written English) are used anaphorically to single out one of two previous noun phrases:

Boi and John were at the meeting. The former brought his wife with him. ('Bob')
If the latter were used instead, the reference would be to John. These two terms can also be used as reference signals when they premodify:

Bill Singer and Tom Patterson were charged with being drunk and disorderly. The latter student had two previous convictions on such charges.

Similarly, when there are more than two previous noun phrases that might be referred to, the ordinals first, second, etc, and last can be used anaphorically to single out one of several phrases.
The ordinals and former and latter can also refer back to clausal units as well as noun phrases:

He explained that he had lost a lot of inoney and that he had also quarrelied with his wife. The former seemed to have upset him more than the latter.

### 10.42

So and (rather informally) that can have anaphoric reference when they are intensifiers premodifying an adjective:

There were two thousand people in the theatre. I didn't expect it to be so(all) that fuil.

Such is used more commonly than so or that when the adjective is in a noun phrase (cf 5.27):
. . . i didn't expect such a large audience.

### 10.43

## Comparison

The most obvious comparison signal is found in adjectives and adverbs, whether in the inflected forms or in the periphrastic forms with more most, as, less, least. If the basis of comparison (5.32) is not made explicit in the clause, it can often be inferred from the previous context:
Mary used to listen to records most of the time. Sally was a more hardworking student. (than Mary was)
There were ten boys in the class. Bob was by far the best. (of the ten boys in the class)
Likewise, we must often look at the previous context for the basis of similarity or difference:

John was the victim of a confidence trick. Bill was tricked in the same way. (as John was trickea)
Tom had to be sent home. However, the other boys had behaved well. (the boys other than Tom)

## Ellipsis in dialogue

10.44

Ellipsis in dialogue may take place under three conditions, which $\llcorner$ an occur in various combinations:
(1) RBPBTITION: the second speaker repeats what is said by the first.
(2) EXPANSION: the second speaker adds to what is said by the first.
(3) REplacement: the second speaker replaces what is said by the first with new material.
There is usually a choice in repetition between ellipsis, substitution, and the full form. We show the choice, giving optional items in parentheses and alternatives in braces. The categorization is not intended to be exhaustive, but to give typical exaunpies of ellipsis.

## Note

Fllipsis in coordinated clauses is dealt with in detail in 9.21 ff , and much of what is discussed there applies to ellipsis across sentences. Ellipsis of predicate and predication has been discussed in the relevant sections in this chapter ( 10.29 ff ). Moreover, other instances of what could be considered ellipsis have been referred to in the course of this chapter, eg 10.8, 10.27, 10.43. Some of the ex=mples that we give for dialogue could, of course, equally occur in sentences spoken or wrillen uy the same person.

### 10.45

## Question and response

The usual function of a question in discourse is to request the listener to respond verbally with information that the questioner seeks. The link between question and response is often reinforced by ellipsis in the response, thereby avoiding repetition of material from the question and focusing attention on what is new.
(1) REPETITION

A: Have you spoken to the doctor?
B: $(\mathrm{Yes}$,$) I have \left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { spoken to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the doctor } \\ \text { him } \\ \text { done so }\end{array}\right\}\end{array}\right\}\right)$.

## (2) EXPANSION

A: Will they lose the game?
B: Probably (they wiil (lose (the game)))

## (3) Rbplacembnt

This most commonly occurs with $w h$-questions $(7.52 f$ ), where the Q -element is normally replaced in the response:
A. Who told your father? B: Mary $\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { told }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { my father } \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right\} \\ \text { did (so) }\end{array}\right\}\right)$.

COMbinations include expansion and replacement:

A: When did he lose the key?

$$
\text { B: Probably }\left(\text { he }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { lost }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { the key } \\
\text { it }
\end{array}\right\} \\
\text { did so }
\end{array}\right\}\right) \text { last night. }
$$

Where the response is merely repetition, yes alone is used as a substitute for repetition. No alone is a substitute for negation of repetition.
Neither ellipsis nor substitution need be factors in the connection between a question and the response to it. For example:
A: Can I help you, madam?
B: Well, I'm looking for a pair of white gloves.

### 10.46

## Statement and question

Questions are usuelly promnted by what was said hefore, though they may be stimulated by the situational context.
(1) REPETITION

A: I'm studying grammar. B: ARE you (studying granınaı)?
(2) EXPANSION

A: Peter will be tinere.
B: Are you SrikL $\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (that) } \\ \text { of that }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { Peter } \\ \text { he }\end{array}\right\}$ will (be there) $\left.\}\right)$ ?
(3) Replacement

A: It cost me twenty-five dollars.
B: HOW much (did it cost (you))?
COMBINATIONS include repetition and replacement:
A: John told me what you did.
в: WHO told you $\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { what I did } \\ \text { that }\end{array}\right\}\right)$ ?
10.47

## Statement aad statement

(1) Repetition

A: He's studying Latin.

- $\mathbf{B}$ : (He's studying) LATin! He doesn't know his $\delta W N$ language.
(2) EXPANSION

A: He won't play.
B: I'd like to know why $\left(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { he won't (play) }) \\ \text { not }\end{array}\right\}\right)$.
(3) REPLACEMEN I

A: They want the key now.
$\mathrm{B}:$ No, (they want $\left.\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the key } \\ \text { it }\end{array}\right\}\right)$ tonight .
COMBINATIONS include repetition, expansion, and replacement:
$\therefore$ They paid fifty dollars for it.

$$
\text { B: Oh no, they paid more }\left(\left(\text { than }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { fifty dollars } \\
\text { that }
\end{array}\right\}\right)(\text { for } i t)\right) .
$$

### 10.48

## Structural parallelism

If two or more sentences have identical or very similar strueture, this parallelism connects the sentences, the connection being further reinforced by lexical equivaicnces aud implications of semantic reiationship (usually of contrast):

John put his career before his family. Bill put his family before his career.

Devires of structural parallelism are particularly common in mannered style. The parallel between sentences is more transparent (and hence the connection is more strongly indicated) if the word order is not the normal one, even if otieerwise there is hittle structural similarity:

My paintings the visitors admired. My sculptures they disliked.
An apparent similarity in structure is sufficient to suggest an affinity between sentences:

My paintings the visitors admired. My sculptures irritated them.
The impression of a link between the two initial noun phrases (the first a direct object and the second a subject) is reinforced by the use of my in both phrases and the lexical set to which both painting and sculpture belong. The two sentences are further linked by semantic parallelism and by the pro-forin them in the second sentence.

The list example atove iulustrates a combination of several devices: syntactic parallelism, semantic parallelism, lexical relationships, and substitution by a prn-form. The example serves to remind us of a point which we rade at the beginning of the chapter, but which may have been obscured by our attention to devices in isolation: several devices some of them perhaps syntactic - may be interacting to form links between sentences.

## Bibliographical note



Some recent contributions on sentence connection in general: Crymes (1968), Karlsen (1959). On conjuncts and disjuncts, see Greenbaum (1969).

ELEVEN
THE GOMRLEX SENTENCE

## 11.1

## Coordination and subordination

Subo-rinaiicn is a now-urimmeirical rlatiōn, hoiving veiween two clauses in such a way that one is a constituent or part of the other. Compare the coordination in

| 1 |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [I like John] | and | [John likes me] |
| 1 1 |  | 22 |
| ['independent'] |  | ['independent'] |

with the subordination in

| 1 | 2 | 21 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| [I like John | [because John likes me ]] |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| ['superordinate' or 'independent' or 'main'] |  |  |
| 2 | 2 |  |

The above examples also illustrate the terms commonly associated with the clausal units distinguished.

The device of subordination enables us to organize multiple clause structures. Each subordinate clause may itself be superordinate to one or more other clauses, so that a hierarchy of clauses, one within another, may be built up, sometimes resulting in sentences of great complexity. A relatively simple example:

> X- Y-
> (I think [ that you can do it \{if you try \} ] )

Here the clause beginning at Z - is subordinate to the clause beginning at Y -, which in turn is subordinate to the clause beginning at X -. Both Y and

Z are dependent clauses, while X is the indepeideut clause, and is identical with the sentence as a whole: I as S, think as V, tha: you cai. do it as O , and if you try as A.
Dependent clauses may be classified either by structural type, ie in terms of the elements they themselves contain, or by FUNCTION, ie the part they play in the superordinate clause.

## 11.2

Finite, non-finite, and verbless clauses
Analysing by structural type, we arrive at three main classes:
FINITE CLAUSE: a clause whose $V$ element is a finite verb phrase (3.23) eg: John has visited N'ew York

Because John is working, he ...
non-finite clause: a clause whose V element is a non-finite verb phrase (3.23)
eg: Having seen the pictures, he ...
For John to carry the parcels was a . . .
verbless clause: a clause containing no V element (but otherwise generally analysable in terms of one or more clause elements)
tg: Aishough alwisys helpful, he ... John, then in New York, was . . .
All clauses - finite, non-finite, or verbless - may of course themselves have subordinate clauses which are finite, non-finite, or verbless. Eg the following verbless clause has a finite clause within it:
Although always helpful when his father was away, he . . .

## 11.3

## Finite and non-finite clauses

The finite clause always contains a subject as well as a predicate, except in the case of commands ( 7.58 ff ) and ellipsis ( $9.21,10.44 \mathrm{ff}$ ). A.s nearly all independent clacses (in discursive English, thougk nct in 'block language': 7.66) are finite clauses, it is these that are most clearly related io the clauses dealt with in Chapter 7. In contrast, non-finite clauses can be constructed without a subject, and usually are. The four classes of non-finite verb phrase (3.23) serve to distinguish four classes of nonfinite clause:
[I] infinitive with to -
without subject: The best thing would be to tell everybody with subject: The best thing would be for you to tell everybody

The use of for to introduce the subject should be noted. The infinitive clause with to and with a subject is found characteristically in anticipatory it constructions (14.25): It would be better (for you) to tell cuerybody.
[II] infinitive without to
without subject: All I did was hit him on the head
with subject: Rather than John do it, I'd prefer to give the job to Mary
[III] -ing Participle
without subject: Leaving the room, he tripped over the mat with subject: Her aunt having left the room, I declared my passionate love for Celia
[IV]-ed participle
without subject: Covered with confusion, I left the room
with subject: We left the room and went home, the job finished
When the subject of adverbia! participial clauses is expressed, it is often introduced by with:

$$
\text { Fritit the iree }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { growing } \\
\text { grown }
\end{array}\right\} \text { :all, :ve gut more s'ade }
$$

The normal range of clause types (7.2) ic available, with active and passive forms broadly as in the corresponding finite clauses, but there is a restriction on the -ed participial clause, which is both syntactically and semantically passive, and therefore admits only the four passive clause types $S V_{\text {pases }}, S V_{\text {pass }} C, \quad S V_{\text {Dass }} A$, and $S V_{\text {Dass }} O$ :
Defeated, he slunk from the room
Type (S) $V_{\text {pass }}$ (=active Type SVO)

## 11.4

## Structural 'deficiencies' of non-finite clauses

The absence of the finite verb from non-finite clauses means that they have no distiactions of persou, number, or modal auxiliary. Tcgether with the frequent absence of a subject, this suggests their value as a means of syntactic compression. Certain kinds of non-finite clause are particularly favoured in the careful style of written prose, where the writer has the leisure to make a virtue out of compactness. But the advantage of compactness must be balanced against the stumbling block of ambiguity; for the absence of a subject leaves doubt as to which nearby nominal element is notionally the subject:

We met you [when you?/we? were] leaving tee room

When no referential link with a nominal $n$ n be discovered in the linguistic context, an indefinite subject 'somebody/something' may be inferrer, or else the 'I' of the speaker:
To be an administrator is to have the worst job in the world
('For a person to be . . .')
The prospects are not very good, to be honest
('... il I am to be honest')
Note
[a] In negative non-finite clauses, the negative particle is piaced immediately before the verb:

It's his fault for not doing anything about it
The wisest policy is (for us) not to interfere
It should be observed that the not precedes the to of the infinitive.
b ! The inseparahility of to from the infinitive is also asserted in the widelv held opinion that it is bad style to 'split the infinitive'. Thus rather th:in:
?He was wrong to sudderily leave the country
many people (especially in BrE ) prefer:
He was wrong to leave the country suddenly
It must be acknowled ored $^{\text {, however, that in some rases the 'split infinitive' is the }}$ only tolerable ordering, since avoiding the 'split infinitive' results in clumsiness or ambiguity. For example:

I have tried to consci: usiy stop worry:ng absut it

## 11.5

## Verbless clauses

With the verbless clause, we can usually infer ellipsis of the verb bej the subject, when omitted, can be treated as recoverable from the context:

Dozens of people were stranded, many of them children (many of them being children)
Whether right or wrong, he always comes off worst in an argument (Whether he is right or wrong . . .)
Verbless clauses can also, on occasion, be treated as reductions of nonfinite clauses:

Too nervous to reply, he stared at the floor
(Being too nervous to reply . . .)
Here the verbless clause itself contains a non-finite clause, to reply.
As with participle clauses (11.3), the subject is often introduced by with:

With the tree now tall, we get more shade

Since the verbless c!ause is basically an elliptical intensive verb clause (Type $S V C$ or $S V A: 7.7$ ), the variations of its structure are somewhat limited. The following, however, are among possible combinations:

She marched briskly up the slope, the blanket across her shoulder
When ripe, these apples will be delicious

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{S}\left[\mathrm{~V}_{\text {intens }}\right] \mathrm{A} \\
\text { when }+\left[\mathrm{S}_{\text {Intens }}\right] \mathrm{C}_{3} \\
{\left[\mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {Intens }}\right] \mathrm{C}_{5}}
\end{array}
$$

When ripe, these apples will be delicio
His gaze travelled round, irresolute
Optional adverbials may also be added, either initially or finally:
She locked with disgust at the dog, quiet now in Dinah's grasp
$\left[\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}_{\text {intons }}\right] \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{A}_{\text {tIme }} \mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$

## 11.6

## Formal indicators of subordination

 subordinate rather than superordinate clause. Such a signal may be of a number of different kinds: it can be a subordinating conjunction; a whelement; the item that $;$ inversion; or (negatively) the absence of a finite verb form. Especially in $w$ h-items (where, when, etc), we can see a fusion of coniunction and nro-adjunct.

## 11.7

## Subordinators

Subordinators (or more fully 'subordinating conjunctions') are perhaps the most important formal indicators of subordination Like prepositions, which they resemble in having a relating or connecting function, subordinators forming the core of the class consist of a single word; and again as with prepositions, there are numerous compound items which act, to various degrees, like a single conjunction. In addition, there is a small class of correlative subordinators, ie combinations of two markers, one (a conjunction) occurring in the subordinate clause, and the other (normally an adverb) occurring in the superordinate clause.

## SIMPLE SUBORDINATORS

after: (al)though, as, because, before, if, cnce, since, that, intit, when, where, while, etc

COMPOUND SUBORDINATORS
ending with that:
in that, so that, such that, except that, etc; in order that (or $t 0+\mathrm{in}-$ finitive clause)
ending with optional that:
now (that), provided (that), supposing (that), considering (that), seeing (that), etc
ending with as:
as far as, as long as, as soon as, so long as, etc; so as ( + to + infinitive clause)
ending with than:
sooner than (+infinitive clause), rather than (+ non-finite or verbless clause)
other:
as if, as though, in case

CORRELATIVE SUBORDINATORS
if . . . then; (al)though . . yet/nevertheless; as . . . so
more/-erlless . . . than; as . . . as; so . . . as; so . . . (that);
such . . . as; such . . (that); no sooner . . . than
!"tioter . . 2 -
the . . the
Note
[a] Some subordinators (as, since, until, till, after, before, but) also function as preposi tions: since the war, etc
[b] For, with. and without, elsewhere prepositions, might be added to the list of subordinators when they introduce the subject oi a non-tinite or verbiess clause: for him to interfere (11.3); with so many people there (11.3, 11.5)
[ij Som ${ }^{\circ}$ of thic abo e-listei suba dinaiurs int. oduce non-finite and verbless clauses (eg: if a nuisance), others do not ( ${ }^{*}$ since a nuisance). Details are given under relevant sections (11.21, 11.22, 11.24, 11.26, 11.29).

\section*{11.8

## 11.8 <br> Borderline subordinators

Three borderline categories may be mentioned: (a) habitual combinations of a subordinator with a preceding or following intensifying adverb (just as, if only); (b) participle forms (supposing . . .), bearing a resemblance to participle clause disjuncts like judging from . . ., speaking frank$l j$, etc; (c) expressions of time which, although adverbial in form, act like a single temporal conjunction (eg:directly/immediately/the moment (that) I had spcken)

## 11.9

## Other indicators of subordination

Now we give a brief preliminary survey of other indicators of subordination, apart from subordinatíng conjunctions.
(a) Wh-ELEMENTS are initial ma-kers of subordination in, for example, dependent interrogative clauses. The wh-words (such as who) function as or within one of the clause elements subject, object, complement, or adverbial.
(b) Subject-operator inversion (14.13) is a marker of subordination in some conditional clauses, where the operator is had, were, or should. Other unusual syntactic orderings also play a role in distinguishing a subordinate clause: for example, Sad though I was ( 11.26 Note).
There are only two types of subordinate clause that contain no marker within themselves of subordinate status: these are
[I] Nominal clauses which may or may not have that (11.13):
I suppose you're right (cf I suppose that you're right)
[II] Comment clauses (11.45) of a kind relatable to the main clause in the previous example:
You're right, I suppose

### 11.10

## Functional classification of dependent c'auses

Dependent clauses may function as subject, object, complement, or ad verbial in the superordinate clause:
subject: That we need more equipment is obvious
direct object: I know that she is pretty
subject complement: The point is that we're leaving indirect object: I gave whoever it was a cup of tea object complement: I imagined him overcome with grief adjunct: When we meet, I shall explain everything disjunct: To be honest, I've never liked him
conjunct: What is more, he has lost the friends he had
In addition, they may function within these elements, as postmodifier, prepositional complement, etc; eg
postmodifier in noun phrase ( 13.5 ff ): A friend who remains loyal prepositional complement (6.2): It depends on what we decide adjectival complement ( 12.11 ff ): Ready to act promptly

## Nore

Dependent clauses rarely act as conjuncts, as objeci complements, or as indirect objects. As object complement, they must be non-finite clauses in complex-transitive complementation ( 12.20 ff$)$. As indirect object, they must be nominal relative clauses (11.11).

### 11.11

Just as noun phrases may occur as subject, object, complement, appositive, and prepositional complement, so every nominal clausb may occur in some or all of these roles. But the occurience of nominal clauses is limited by the fact that they are normally abstract; ie
they refer to events, facts, states, ideas, etc. The one exception to this generalization is the nomina! relative clause (11.16), which may refer to objects, people, substances, etc, and may in fact be analysed, on one livel, as a noun phrase consisting of head and postmodifying relative clause, the head and relative pronoun coalescing to form a single wh-element (4.88).
11.12
adverbial Clauses ( $11.20-36$ ) operate as adjuncts or disjuncts. In this respect, they are like adverbs. and are often commutable with prepositional phrases. Compare:

Because the solcist was ill, they cancelled the concert
Recouse of the sn!oist's illness, thev rancelled the concert
COMPARATIVE ClaUSES (11.37-44), like sentential relatives, are difficult to fit into any of the major functional categories. They often have the appearance of adverbial or adjectival modifiers:

I love you more deeply than I can sav
He's not as clever a man as I thought
They also have some features in common with adverbial clauses, however. Semantically, we may consider them, together with their correlative element (more, as, -er, etc) in the main clause, as equivalent to a degree adverb.

COMMENT CLAUSES ( 11.45 f ) perform the function of disjunct or (occasinna!ly) conjunet, and often express the speaker's attitude to the main clause, or his manner of asserting it:

## Food is cheap in England, I believe

Each of these functional types will now be examined in greater detail, leaving others to later chapters: relative clauses ( 13.5 ff ), clauses in structures of complementation (12.12 ff).

## Nominal clauses

### 11.13

## That-clauses

The that-clause can occur as:
subject: That she is still alive is a consolation
direct object: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I told him } \\ \text { I knew }\end{array}\right\}$ that he was wrong
subject complement: The assumption is that things will improve
appositive: Your assumption, that things will improve, is unfounded (13.13, 9.45)
adjectival complement: I'm sure that things will improve
It cannot, however, occur as prepositional complement (6.2) or as object complement.

When the that-clause is object or complement (or delayed subject:
14.25), the conjunction that is frequently omitted in informal use, leaving
a 'zero' that-clause:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I knew } \\ \text { I told him } \\ \text { I'm sure }\end{array}\right\}$ he w'as wrong
When the clause is subject and not extraposed, that cannot be omitted \&? di usun!!! expanded to the fart that, excent in very formal English:
(The fact) that she is still alive consoles me
Note
[a] The zero that-clause is particularly common when the clause is brief and uncomplicated. In contrast, the need for clarity discourages or even forbids the omission of hat in compler sentunces l-aced *ith. adverbia's and modifeat:on=. Ary parcathetical material between the verb of the superordinate clause and the subject of the that-clause is especially likely to inhibit deletion:
We had hoped, in a moment of optimism, that the Government would look favourably on our case
The position of that after the second comma, rather than before the first comma, in this sentence, is decisive in assigning the parenthetical adverbial to the main clause and not the that-clause. The omission of that would leave the structure of the sentence unclear.
[b] Direct passive transforms of clauses with a that-clause object are rare, the version with extraposition (14.25) being preferred: It is thought that he will come. The same point applies to other nominal clauses.
[c] While that-clauses, like most other nominal clauses, cannot be object complements, an alternative (and rather formal) $t 0$-infinitive construction is available with some verbs. Contrast [1] and [2]:
I thought his argument absurd $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{I}$ thought his argument $t \mathrm{o}$ be absurd
*I thought his argument $\leftrightarrow$ I thougith his argumen:
that we should pay $\leftrightarrow$ to be that we should pay

### 11.14

## Wh-interrogative clauses

The dependent wh-interrogative clause occurs in the whole range of functions available to the that-clause, and in addition can act as prepnsitional complement:
subject: How the book will sell depends on its author direct object: I can't imagine what made him do it
subject complement: The problem is not who will go, but who will stay appositive: My original question, why he did it at all, has not been answered
adjectival complement: I wasn't certain whose house I was in
prepositional complement: No one was consulted on who should have the prize
As regards meaning, these clauses resemtle $w h$-questions ( $7.52 f$ ) in that they leave a gap of unknown information, represented by the wh-element. Compare the negative and interrogative with the positive declarative in the following:

I'm not sure who is coming
Do you know who is coming?
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I'm sure }\end{array}\right\}$ that.$I_{n} h n$ is coming
There is also a grammatical similarity to $w h$-questions in that the $w h$-element is placed first; indeed, apart from the absence of subject-operator inversion in the dependent clause, the structures of the two types of clause are in all respects parallel. We have, in the $w h$-interrogative clause, the same choice between initial and final preposition where the prepositional complement is the wh-element:

$$
\text { He couldn't remember }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { on which shelf ne kept it (formal) } \\
\text { which shelf he kept it on }
\end{array}\right.
$$

An infinitive $w h$-clause can be formed with all wh-words except why:
He was explaining how to start the motor ('. . . how one should . . .') I never know where to put my coat (‘. . . where I ought to . . .').

## Note

[a] In literary style, there is an occasional subject-operator inversion when the wh lement is the A of an SVA type clause, or the C of an SVC type clause:
I told them how strong was my desire to visit the famous temple
There is also an :בformal but chiefly dialectal inversion (eg in Irish English), as in: H , asked me v.here was I staying
[b] The preposition precedirg a wr-clause is optional in certain circumstances: I was not certain (of) what to do

### 11.15

## Yes-no interrogative clauses

The dependent yes-no interrogative clause (cf 7.45 ff ) is formed with if or whether:

Do you know if/whether the banks are open?

The dependent alternative question (cf $7.54 f$ ) has if/whether . . . or:
I don't know whether it will rain or be sunny
I don't care if your car breaks down or not
Only whether can be directly followed by or not:
I don't care $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { whether or not } \\ \text { *if or not }\end{array}\right\}$ your car breaks down
A clause beginning with whether cannot be made negative, except as the second part of an alternative question:

$$
\text { I don't care }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { if it doesn't rain } \\
\text { *whether it doesn't rain } \\
\text { whether it rains or (whether it does) not }
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the other hand, if cannot introduce a subject clause:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Whether } \\
\text { *If }
\end{array}\right\} \text { it rains or not doesn't concern me }
$$

Note
:Vith certaiu introjuciory verjos or adjectives a Dugative whether-clause is ここcep:able I wonder
I'm not sure $\}$ whether he doesn't expect too much from her
In fact, however, such sentences have a positive rather than negative meaning: ' f think he expects too much from her'.

### 11.16

## Nominal relative clauses

The nominal relative clause, also introduced by a $w h$-element, can be:
subject: What he is looking for is a wife
direct object: I want to see whoever deals with complaints indirect object: He gave whoever came to the door a winning smile subject complement: Home is where your friends and family are obiect complement• You can call me what (ever) (names) you like appositive: Let us know your collcge address (that is, where you live in term time)
prepositional complemeint: Vote for which(ever) candidate you like
The nominal relative clause is much closer to noun phrase status than other nominal clauses are. It can normally be paraphrased by a noun phrase containing a postmodifying relative clause:

I'll give you however much tobacco you need ('. . . any amount . . . that you need')
Quality is what counts most ('. . . the thing that counts most')

There is a difference between UNIVERSAL and DEFINITE meaning as expressed by the wh-form of a relative clause. We see this in the para phrases of the examples above: the first is paraphrased in 'universal' terms (any amount), the second in 'definite' terms (the thing). Contras with the latter:

Quality is whatever counts most ('. . . anything that . . .')
The form who is rarely used in present-day English in this nomina relative function (*Who told you that was lying), being replaced in many contexts, for both universal and definite meanings, by whoever.

Whoever told you that was lying $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 'The person who ...' } \\ \text { 'Anyone who ...' }\end{array}\right\}$
Where the wh-icred こ上osen is avai!nt.e for both nominal relative and interrogative clauses, an ambiguity arises:

They asked me what I didn't know ('They asked me that which I didn't know' or 'They asked me "What don't you know?"')

### 11.17

To-infinitive nominal clauses
The to-infinitive nominal clause can occur as:
subject: For a bridge to collapse like that is unbelievable
direct object: He likes everyone to be happy
subject complement: My wish is to be a piloi
appositive: His ambition, to be a straight actor, was never fulfilled
adjectival complement: I'm glad to help you (see 12.13)
The subject of a to-infinitive clause is normally preceded by for (which is perhaps acting here more as a conjunction than as a preposition). The subject, when a pronoun, is in the objective case:

The idea is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that we should meet on Thursday } \\ \text { for us to meet on Thursday }\end{array}\right.$
When the clause is a direct object, however, the for is omitted:
He wants me to leave (rather tharl: *He wants for me to leave)
On $w h$-infinitive clauses, see 11.14
Note
[a] The infinitive clause resembles the that clause (in contrast to the -ing clause) in never being a prepositional complement.
[b] The correspondence between 'The idea is to meet' and 'The idea is that we should meet' shows the putative nature of the infinitive clause (cf 11.51).

### 11.18

## Nominal -ing clauses

The nominal -ing clause, a PARTICIPLE CLAUSE, occurs in the following positions:
subject: Telling lies is wrong
direct object: No one enjoys dcieiving his own family
subject complement: His favourite pastime is playing practical jokes appositive: His hobby, collecting stamps, absorbed him
prepositional complement: I'm tircd of being treated like a child
adjectival complement: The children were busy building sandcastles
It is the commonest type of participle clause, that which has no subject. that is illustrated above. When a subject is required, there is sometimes a croice as tollows (but of 12.24 ):

GENITIVE case in formal style:
I'm surprised at his/John's making that mistake
כBIECTI'! or Common sase (for persona! pronouns er nouns, respectively) in informal style:
I'm surprised at him/John making that mistake
It is commonly claimed that the genitive is the only 'correct' form, but in fact it frequently has a stilted effect, and is particularly unsuitable when the subject is an inanimate or abstract noun phrase which would not normally take the genitive case, or a 'group' genitive phrase (13.74):
? The crisis has arisen as a result of recent uncontrolled inflation's having outweighed the benefits of devaluation

On the other hand, a pronoun in the objective case is disliked in subject position:

## Hirı being a Jesuit was a great surprise (very informal)

Many prefer to avoid both possibilities where alternatives are available:
It was a great surprise that he was a Jesuit

## Note

As compared with the -ing clause, the genitive is obligatory where the -ing item constitutes the head of a noun phrase:

His/him criticizing John was very unfair
His/*him criticizing of John was very unfair
See 13.20, 23 Note.

### 11.19

## Bare infinitive and verbless clauses

The to of the infinitive is optionally omitted in a clause which supplies a predication correspording to a use of the pro-verb $d o$.
All I did was (to) turn off the gas
When the infinitive clause is initial, to has to be omitted: Turn off the tap was all I did.

Note
In the following sentence, the lack of concord between carpets and is shows that the subject is not a noun phrase:

Wall-to-wall carpets in every room is very expensive
Rather, it should he seen as a nominal verbless clause, paraphrasable as "Having wall-in-wall carpets in every ronm'. On the other hand, the similarity often causes these verbless clauses to be given the concord demanded with ne in phrases:
Are fast cars in cities' really very wise?

## Adverbial clauses

11.20

Adverbial clauses, like adverbials in general (8.3), are capable of uccurring in a final, initial, or medial position within the main clause (genera?ly in that or der of fiequerey, medial yosition being rather rare). Attention will be drawn, in the paragraphs that follow, to modifications of this general statement. On problems of tense, aspect, and mood, see 11.47 ff .

### 11.21

## Clauses of time

Finite adverbial clauses of time are introduced by such subordinators as after, before, since, until, when:

When I last saw you, you lived in Washington
Buy your tickets as soon as you reach the station
Our hostess, once everyone had arrived, was full of good humour
The-ing clause may be introduced by ofter, before, since, intil, wh:en(ever), and while; -ed clauses by once, until, when(ever), and while; and verbless clauses by as soon as, once, when(ever), and while:

He wrote his greatest novel while working on a freighter
Once published, the book caused a remarkable stir
When in difficulty, consult the manual
In addition, -ing clauses without a subject are also used to express time relationship:

[^3]The stranger, having discarded his jacket, moved threateningly towards me ('after ie had discarded . . .')

Temporal clauses are common in initial position.
Note
[a] With until and its variant till, the superordinate clause is negative if the time reference is to a commencement point (cf $6.23 f$ ):
*He started to read until he was ten years old
He didn't start to read until he was ten years old
He walked in the park till it was dark
In the negative sentence, not (. . .) until means the same as not (. . .) before.
[b] There is no semantic subordination with a type of when-clause which occurs finally in sentences in formal narrative style and in which when means rather and then:

The last man was emerging from the escape tunnel when a distant shout signalled its discovery by the guards
[c] Infinitive clauses of 'ourcome' may be placed among temporal clauses:
I awoke one morning to find the house in an uproar
Such sentences could be paraphrased by switching the relationship of subordination, and using a when-clause:
When I awoke one morning, I found the house in an uproar
Their restriction to final position suggests an analogy between these infinitive clauses and result clauses (11.32), which they resemble in meaning.

### 11.22

## Clauses of place

Adverbial clauses of place are introduced by where or wherever:
They went wherever they could find work
Where the fire had been, we saw nothing but blackened ruins
Non-finite and verbless clauses occur with both the subordinators:
Where(ver) known, such facts have been reported
Where(ver) possible, all moving parts should be tested

## Note

In tnis last example, as in the When in lifficulty example of 11.21, we see a general contingency relation similar to conditions: wherever possible, whienever possiole, if possible. This generality of meaning is characteristic of verbless and non-finite clauses (cf 11.36) but is common also in finite clauses (Whenever anyone finds this possible), and in part reflects fundamental similarities between several aúverbial relationships.

### 11.23

## Clauses of condition and concession

Whereas conditional clauses state the dependence of one circumstance or set of circumstances on another:

If you treat her kindly, (then) she'll do anything for you
concessive clauses imply a contrast between two circumstances; ie the main clause is surprising in the light of the dependent one:

## Although he hadin't caten for days, he (nevertheless) looked very fit

The parenthesized items illustrate the possibility of correlation (11.7) in both types of clause.

From this, we see that although as a subordinator is the approximate equivalent of but as a coordinator (9.18):

He hadn't eaten for days, but he looked strong and healthy
The everlap between conditional and concessive clauses comes with such subordinators as even if, which expresses both the contingent dependence of one circumstance upon another and the surprising nature of this depei.dcace (11.26):

Even if he went down on bended knees, I wouldn't forgive him
Both conditional and concessive clauses tend to assume initial position in the superordinate clause.

### 11.24

## Clauses of condition

Finite adverbial clauses of condition are introduced chiefly by the suiordinators if (positive condition) and unless (negative condition):

## He must be lying if he told you that

Unless the strike has been called off, there will be no trains tomorrow
The latter means roughly 'If the strike has nc: been valled cff . . . . But there is a slight difference between an unless-clause and a negative if-clause in that unless has the more exclusive meaning of 'only if . . . not' or 'except on condition that . . $\therefore$. It is thus the opposite of the compuund conjunction provided (that) or providing (that), which means 'if and only if . . .'

Provided that no cbjection is raised, we shall hold the meeting here
Other compound conditional conjunctions approximately synonymous with provided (that) are as long as, so long as, and on condition that.
If and unless often introduce non-finite and verbless clauses: if ready, unless expressly forbidden, etc. Also to be noted are the residual positive and negative conditional pro-clauses if so and if not (10.36).

### 11.25

## Real and unreal conditions

A 'real' condition leaves unresolved the question of the fulfilment or
non-fulfilment of the condition, and hence also the truth of the proposition expressed by the main clause. In an 'unreal' condition, on the other hand, it is clearly exrected that the condition will not be fulfilled. Thus:

Real: If he comes, I'll see him. If she was awake, she certainly heard the noise.
Unreal: If he came, I'd see him. If she'd been awake, she would have heard the noise.

On the association of past with 'unreal', see 3.47, 11.48. On conditional clauses as disjuncts, see 8.49.
If-clauses are like questions in implying uncertainty. They tend therefore to contain non-assertive forms such as any, ever (see 7.35):
If you ever have any trouble, let me know
Clauses beginning witn unless, on the other hand, lay stress on the excluded positive option, and so normally contain assertive forms:
I won't phone you, unless something unforeseen happens
( $=$ I'll phone you when something unforeseen happens - but we zan exclude this as unlikciy)
For the same reason, unless-clauses are not usually unreal conditions. Hence the negative unred conditional ciause if I nad not arrived has no equivalent unless-clause, *Unless I had arrived.
Note
[a] The combination if only is an intensified equivalent of if, typically used in preposed unreal conditions (with no non-assertive requirement) to express a wish:
If only somebody had told us, we could have warned you
[b] The subjunctive or should (3.50) is sometimes used in formal real conditions:

$$
\text { If he }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b e \text { found } \\
\text { should be found }
\end{array}\right\} \text { guilty, his wife will suffer terribly }
$$

[c] The infinitival clause can be used conditionally:
You have to be strong to lift a table like that ('if you're going to lift')
He'd be stupid not to accept that offer ('if he didn't accept')
Such clauses contain an element of nurposive meacing (11.51).
[d] Conditional clauses (especially unreal) may have subject-operator inversion without a conjunctiou:
Had I known, I would not have gone

### 11.26

## Clauses of concession

Clauses of concession are introduced chiefly by though (also a conjunct: 8.53) or its more formal variant although. Other conjunctions include while, whereas (chiefly formal), even if, and occasionally if.

No goals were scored, though it was an exciting game
Although I enjoyed myself, I was glad to come home
Whereas John seems rather stupid, his brother is clever
Even if you dislike music, you would enjoy this concert
If he's poor, at least he's honest
Non-finite and verbless clauses of concession are often introduced by conjunctions, but not by whereas. For example, though a young man, although often despairing of rescue; even if still operating; even though given every attention.
Note
Concession is sometimes rather fornally expressed with the subordinators as, though, and that occurring after the subject complement; less frequently other predications may be preposed:

Naked as I was, I braved the storm
sineer unkinaly liwugh ,ou may, John is veny pupulai

### 11.27

## Alternative conditional-concessive clauses

The correlative sequence whether (. . .) or . . . is a means of coordinating two subordinate clauses, combining conditinnal meaning with disjunctive meaning:
Whether they beat us or we beat them, we'll celebrate tonight
Whether (living) in London or not, John enjoyed himself
Whether or not he finds a job in New York, he's moving there
The concessive element of meaning comes in secondarily, through the implication that if the same thing takes place in two contrasting conditions, there must be comething surprising about at least one of them.

### 11.28

Universal conditional-concessive clauses
The universal conditional-concessive clause, introduced by one of the whcompounds (whatever, whoever, etc), indicates a free choice from among any number of conditions:

## She looks pietty whatevcr she wears

That is, even though she were to wear overalls or a spice suit. There is a subtle semantic difference between such conditional clauses and apparently identical time and place clauses:

Wherever you live, you can keep a horse
The locative meaning would be 'You can keep a horse at any place where you may live'; the conditional-concessive meaning is 'It doesn't matter where you live, you can keep a horse - not necessarily in that same place'

The longer constructions it doesn't matter wh-and the more informal no matter wh-may be added to the jist of universal conditional-concessive clause introducers:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { No matter } \\ \text { It doesn't matter }\end{array}\right\}$ how hard I try, I can never catch up with him Note
With an abstract noun phrase subject of an SVC clause, the verb be can be omitted from a universal conditional-concessive clause:

Whatever your problems (are), they can't be worse than mine
Howeter great the pitfalls (are), we must do our best to succeed

### 11.29

C!? -izes of resson or saren
Clauses of reason or cause are most commonly introduced by the conjunctions because, as, or since:
I lent him the money because he needed it
As/since Jane was the eldest, she looked after the others
These different positional tendencies (characteristic of the respective conjunctions) reflect a different syntactic status: because-clauses are adjuncts, whereas as- and since-clauses are disjuncts. Informally, however, a final because-clause sometimes functions as a disjunct of reason:

They've lit a fire, because I can see the smoke rising
Non-finite and verbless clauses can be used for cause (11.36), but without conjunction:

Being a man of ingenuity, he soon repaired the machine

### 11.30

## Clauses of circumstance

Clauses of circumstance express a fulfilled cozaition or (to pui it differently) a relation between a premise (in the subordinate clause) and the conclusion drawn from it (in the main clause). Because, since, and as can convey this meaning, but in addition there is a special circumstantial compound conjunction, seeing (that):
Seeing that the weather has improved, we shall enjoy our game
$\mathrm{N} \approx \mathrm{n}$-finite clauses and verbless clauses are often used (11.36), but without subordinator:

The weather having improved, we enjoyed the rest of the game

### 11.31

Clauses of purpose
Clauses of purpose are adjuncts, usually infinitival, introduced by (in order) (for N$)$ to, so as to:

I left early to catch the train
They left the door open in order for me to hear the baby
Finite clauses of purpose may be introduced by so that, so (informal), or in order that (formal):
John visited London $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { in order that } \\ \text { so (that) }\end{array}\right\}$ he could see his MP
In the purpose clause, which has 'nutative' meaning (11.51), the modal auxiliaries should and may (past tense might) are usec.

## Note

Negative purpose is expressed by for fear (that), (in BrE) in case, or the now rather azchaic and very formal conjunction lest:
They left early for fear they would meet him (= in oraer that . . . not . . .)

### 11.32

Clauses of result
Result clauses (disjuncts, placed finally in superordinate clauses) are fontual rather than 'putative'; hence they may contain an or dinary verb form without a modal auxiliary. They are introduced by so that, informally so:

We planted many shrubs, so (that) the garden soon looked beautiful
11.33

Clauses of manner and comparison
Clauses of manner are introduced by (exactly) as, (just) as:
Please do it (exactly) as I instructed ('in the way that . . .')
If an as-clause is placed initially, the correlative form so, in formal literary English, may introduce the main clause:
( Jist) as a moth is attracted by a light, (so) he was fascinated by her Such examples provide a transition to the adverbial clauses of comparison, introduced by as if, as though:
He looks as if he is going to be ill
If there is doubt or 'unreality', the modal past is used:
He treated me (just) as if he had never met me
ivote
Clauses of comparisin sometimes show subject-operator inversion:
The present ormer collects paintings, as did several of his ancestors

### 11.34

## Clauses of proportion and preference

Proportional clauses express a 'proportionality' or equivalence of tendency or degree between two circumstances, and are either introduced by as (with or without a formal correlative so) or by fronted correlative the .. . the plus comparatives:
As he gre'v disheartened, (so) his work deteriorated
The more he thought about it, the less he liked it
The harder he worked, the happier he felt
Clauses of preference are introduced by rather than, sooner than, with a bare infinitive structure; but rather than is less restricted:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Rather than } \\ \text { Sooner tnanj }\end{array}\right\}$ oo there hv air, I'd take the slowest train
Rather than $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sitting quietly at home, he preferred to visit his friends } \\ \text { a new car, he bought a colour television }\end{array}\right.$

## Non-finite and verbless clauses

### 11.35

implied Subject
If the suhjest is not actrally expressed in a $n \subset n$-finite or verbless clarıse, it is assumed to be identical with the subject of the superordinate clause:
When ripe, the oranges are picked and sorted
He took up anthropology, stimulated by our enthusiasm
She hesitated, being very suspicious, to open the door He opened his case to look for a book
Commonly, however, this 'attachment rule' is violated:
? Since leaving her, life has seemed empty
In this case, we would assume that the superordinate clause means 'Life has seemed empty to me' and that the subject of the -ing clause is also first person. Such 'unatt?ched' (' peindant' or ‘dangling') clauses are frowned on, however, and are totally unacceptable if the superordinate clause provides no means at all for identifying the subordinate subject. In the following sentence, for example, it cannot be a dog:
*Reading the evening paper, a dog started barking
Note
[a] The attachment rule does not need to be observed with disjuncts:
Speaking candidly ( $\mathrm{S}=$ ' I '), John is dishonest
${ }^{[b]}$ Tense, aspect and mood are also inferred in non-finite and verbless clauscs from the sentential context. Cf 13.5, 13.14 ff .

### 11.36

SEMANTIC DIVE?Sity
We have seen that many of the relationships (time, reason, etc) discussed earlier can be expressed by means of non-finite and verbless clauses. Where these are introduced by conjunctions, the relationship may be quite explicit: if necessary, since being here, etc. Where they are not so introduced, there may be considerable indeterminacy as to the relationship to be inforred:

$$
\text { John, }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { soon to become a father } \\
\text { feeling considerable anxiety } \\
\text { told of his good fortune } \\
\text { sad at the news }
\end{array}\right\} \text {, went to Mexico }
$$

In this position, the clauses could have the function merely of non-restrictive postmodifier of John (cf 13.17). But their potential relationship to the whole superordinate clause rather than only to the subject is indicated by their mobility. For example:
Soon to become a father, John went to Mexico
john weut to Mexico. feelinn considerable anriet
John weut to Mexico. feeling considerahle anriety
Clearly, their formal inexpiicitness allows considerable flexibility in what we may :vish them to convey. Thus accerding tn the scatext, we right want to imply a temporal relation (eg: 'When he was told of his good fortune'), a causal relation (eg: 'Because he was soon to become a father'), a concessive relation (eg: 'Although he was soon to become a father', 'Although he was sad at the news'). In short a CONTINGENCY is implied, but for the hearer or reader the actual nature of the contingency has to be inferred from the context.

## Comparative sentences

### 11.37

In a comparative construction, a proposition expressed in the superordinote clause is compared with a proposition expressed in the subordinate clause by means of a 'comp(arative) ELEMEN r'. This comp-element specifies the standard of comparison (eg: health) and identifies the comparison as equational or differentiating. The comp-element is linked with the subordinate clause by a correlative sequence: equational as . . as, or differentiating less . . . than, more . . . than' $w$ where the first item may be replaced where relevant by the inflectional comparative). See 5.32. Eg:
Jane is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { as healthy as } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { less healthy } \\ \text { more healthy } \\ \text { healthier }\end{array}\right\} \text { than }\end{array}\right\}$ her sister (is)

The standard of comparison involves only a scale, without commitment to absolute values; thus, in the above examples, neither Jane nor her sister need be 'healthy'.

### 11.38

Like the Q -element of a question, a comp-element can be any of the main elements of clause structure (apart firom the verb):
comp element $=\mathrm{S}$ : More pcople use this brand than (use) any other window-cleaning fluid
comp-element $=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : I'm happier about it than my husband (is)
comp-element $=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}:$ He knows more than most people (know)
comp-element $=\mathrm{O}_{1}$ (rare): That man has given more children happiness than anyone else (has)
comp-eisment $=\dot{i}$ : i ou ve becu worning much hurcier uan i (have)

## Note

[a] Constructions with more ... than and less ... than do not necessarily introduce comparative clauses. There is a type of non-clausal comparison in which than is fnllowed by an explicit standard oz yardstirk of corparison, normally a noun phrase of measure, or a noun phrase implying degree:
The books weigh more than four pounds
I. goes f.ster iti.an iç mile, per hour

The strike was nothing less than a national catastrophe
Here than is best considered a preposition, and the phrase which follows it a prepositional complement.
[b] There is a second type of more . . . than construction not introducing a comparative clause. This is the quasi-coordinative type of construction illustrated by
I was more angry than frightened (cfI was angry rather than frightened)
A distinguishing characteristic of this construction is the nen-occurrence of the suffixal form of comparison:
*I was angrier than frightened

### 11.39

Ellipsis in comparative seniences
Ellipsis of a part of the subordinate clausc is likely to occur whenever that part is a repetition of something in the main clause. Since it is normal for the two clauses to be closely parallel both in structure and content, ellipsis is the rule rather than the exception in comparative constructions. It is worth while pointing out, however, that there is no necessary parallelism between the main and comparative clauses, and that the comparative clause, so long as it ove, laps with the content of the main clause in respect of the comp-element, can be of independent structure. Thus we may take two wh-questions of disparate clause types:
\{How quickly does he speak?
\{How quickly can his secretary take dictation?
and use them to construct the comparative sentence:
He speaks more quickly than his secretary can take dictation
Optional ellipses and substitutions (by pronoun and by pro-predication) are illustrated in the following:
(a) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys the theatre
(b) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys it
(c) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan does
(d) James enjoys the theatre more than Susan

It should be noted that ellipsis of the object cannot take place unless the

*James enjoys the theatre more than Susan enjoys
But, if the object is the comp-element itself, the vcrb may remain:
James knows more about the theatre than Susan (knows)
Obligatory ellipsis, on the other hand, applies to the standard of comparison which cannot be specified again in the subordinate clause (*Jane is healthier t!:an 'ier sister is healthy), though different aspects of a single standard may be specified in each clause. This occurs with 'size' and 'ability' in the following examples:
The bookcase is wider than it is tall
Jane is as successful at sport as her sister is successful academically

### 11.40

## Ambiguity through ellipsis

When normal ellipses have taken place, ambiguity can arise as to whether a remaining noun phrase is subject or object (9.23):
He loves the dog more thann his wife
could mean either [1] '. . . than his wife loves the dog' or [2] '. . . than he loves his wife'. If his wife were replaced by a pronoun, formal or fastidious English could disambiguate this example:
He loves the dog more than she
He loves the dog more than her
Informally, however, the ambiguity would remain, since than plus the objective case tends to oe used for both [1] and [2]. See 4.83 and of 10.36 , 11.38 Note $a$ on the quasi-prepositional value of than. Since objections
can be raised against both (stiffness or over-familiarity), we sometimes steer a middle course using additional pro-forms (than she does, than he does her).

### 11.41

## Ellipsis and partial contrast

If the two clauses in a comparison differed solely in the comp-element (* 1 hear it more clearly than ' hear it ), only nonsense would result, of course. But the elements in the two clauses may be lexically identical and differ only in tense or mood. In such cases it is normal to have ellipsis of all identical items except any that are necessary to express the contrast:
I hear it more clearly than I did (ie hear it)
I get up as early as I should (ie get up)
If ine cuatrast lies in tense only, it may be expressed in the subordinate clause solely by an adverbial:
She'll enjoy it more than (she enjoyed it) last year
This provides the basis for the total ellipsis of the subordinate clause in exau!ples live

## You are slimmer (ie than you were)

Rote
[a] In negative superordinate clauses, as can be replaced by so especially when there is total or considerable deletion in the subordinate clause:
He's not as naughty as he was
He's not so naughty (now)
[b] There is a second type of circumstance in which the comparative clause is omitted: this is where there is anaphoric reference to an implied or actual preceding clause or sentence (cf 10.43), as in :

I caught the last bus from town; but Harry came home even later (ie 'later than that', 'later than I came home')

### 11.42

## Noun phrase and comp-element

If we were to say:
There are more intelligent monkeys than Herbert
we would normally mean that Herbert is an intelligent monkey; that is, by placing the comparative adjective in front of the noun phrase, we put the wnole noun phrase in an intensive relation with the noun phrase in the comparative clause. On the other hand, if more and the adjective are placed after the noun, we readily admit the plausible interpretation that Herbert is a man:

There are monkeys more intelligent than Herbert

## Note

More may be the comparative quantifier:
John has more new clothes than I have
that is, a greater quantity of new clothes, not newer clothes. The modifying sequences less/more of $a$. . ., as much of $a$. . . occur with gradable noun heads (4.3 Note):
He's more of a fool than I thought (he was)
Cf the How-question, How much of a fool is he?

### 11.43

## Enough and too

There are comparative constructions with enough and too, which convey the contrasting notions of 'sufficiency' and 'excess', and which are related through negation. Paraphrase pairs may be constructed, using antonymous adjectives or adverbs, as follows:

> \{华 The grass is too short (to cut) The grass isn't long enough (to cut) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He's not tou puor (to own a car) } \\ \text { He's rich enough (to own a car) }\end{array}\right.$

The infinitive clause which follows the comp-tlement may be $u$ mitted if the context allows.
The negative force of too is chown in the use of non-assertive forms 1'ke any or anything/ compare:

She's old enough to do some work
She's too old to do any work
Like other infinitive clauses, the subordinate clause in these constructions may have an expressed subject:
The blade moves too quickly for most people to see (it)
As in this example, the expressed subject permits also the optional expression of an object pronoun (here representing the blade). When the subject is not expressed, it may be identified with the superordinate subject or with an indefinite subject:

I've lived long enough to understand these things
The writing is too faint to read
With neither subject nor object expressed, ambiguity is possible ( $\sim f 12.13$ ):

$$
\text { The lamb is too hot }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { (for us) } \\
\text { (for it) }
\end{array}\right] \text { to eat }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { (it) } \\
\text { (anything) }
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Note

With gradable nouns, we have enough/too much of $a \ldots$ (cf 11.42 Note); cf also 'He was fool enough to go without a coat'.

### 11.44

So ...(that) and such . . . (that)
The correlatives so . . (that) and such . . . (that) are linked to too and enough, by paraphrase relations. For example:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It files fast enough to beat the speed record } \\ \text { It flies so fast that it can beat the speed record }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It's too good a chance to miss }\end{array}\right.$
\{It's such a good chance that we mustn't miss it
It will be observed that in these paraphrases, the verb in the that-clause contains a modal auxiliary; when the modal auxiliary is absent, the so/such... (that) construction has the more definitive meaning of result or outcome:

He was so wild that we let him ercape
I so enjoyed it (or I enjuyed it so much) that I'm determined to go again
The alternation between so and such depends on grammatical function The that which introduces the comparative clause is sometimes omitted in informal English:

He polished the floor so hard you could see your face in it
The somewhat fnrmal zonsiruction sojsuch . . . as to plus infinitive clause is sometimes used in place of so or such followed by a that-clause:
His satires were so brilliant as to make even his victims laugh
The brilliance of his satires was such as to make even his victims laugh

## Note

An emphatic fronting of the comp-element, accompanied by inversion of subject and operator, is sometimes found in formal (especially literary) English:
To such lengths did she go in rehearsal that two actors walked out
So strange was his appearance that no one recognized him

## Comment clauses

### 11.45

Comment clauses are somewhat loosely related to a superordinate clause, and may be classed as aisjuncts or conjuncts. In general, they may occur initially, finally, or medially, and have a separate tone unit (App II.7):
The Smiths, | as you probably KNOW, | are going to amèrica |
As the following list of types shows, comment clauses vary in form:
(1) Like a main clause:

At that time, I believe, labour was cheap
(2) Like an adverbial clause (introduced by $a s$ ):

I'm a pacifist, as you know
(3) Like a nominal relative clause as conjunct (8.53):

What's more, we lost all our belongings
(4) To-infinitive ciause as style disjunct $(8.48 \mathrm{f})$ : I'm not sure what to do, to be honest
(5) -ing clause as style disjunct ( 8.48 f ):

I doubt, speaking as a layman, whether television is the right medium
(6) -ed clause as style disjunct (8.48f):

Stated bluntly, he has no chance of winning

### 11.46

In the first type of clause, which is per ${ }_{1}$ aps the most important, the verb or adjective requires an indirect statement as complementation (11.13, $11.55,12.17$ ). We may therefore set up a one-to-one relationship between senienves containiing such clauses, and indirect statements:
$\{$ At that time, I believe, labour was cheap
I believe that, at that time, labour wạ cheap
To convert an indirect statement into a sentence with comment clause, one has to reverse the relation of subordination between the two clauses, making the that-clause into the main clause and the main clause into the comment clause. Because of this reversal of syntactic roles, the two examples above are not exact paraphrases; but the relationship between them illuminates the function of the comment clause.
Since the that of a that-clause is normally deletable (11.13), cases arise in which only the intonation (reflected by comma separation in writing) distinguishes which is the superordinate and which the subordinate clause:

```
You znow, | I | think jou're wrong |) (Youk kinow is a comment
You know, I| think you're WRCNG | \(\}\) clause)
You | know (that) I think you're wrong | (You know has an object
                                    clause)
```

Quite a number of Type 1 comment clauses introduced by 1st or 2 nd person subjects are the stereotyped I see, you know, etc, inserted to give informality or warmth. Outside this group, however, clauses can be fairly freely constructed, and variations of iense and aspert, additions of adjuncts, etc, are permitted:

The Indian railways (my uncle was telling me some time ago) 1:ave always made a profit
The subordinator as may be added to Type 1 clauses converting them to Type 2 , with virtually no change of meaning:
The Indian railways (as my uncle was telling me some time $a_{3} 0$ ) have always made a profit

## Note

[a] There are also comment clauses which may be related to a main clause introducing an indirect question:
What': he doing, I worder? (cfI wonder what he's doing)
Sometimes a comment clause is itself in the form of a direct question:
What's he doing, do you think ?
[b] Ciauses which introduce direci speech (11.52) may be considered comment clauses of Tupe ${ }^{1}$
'It's time we went,' 1 said

## The verb nhrase in dependent clauses

11.47

The present tense with cabordinators
To express future meaning, the present tense is used in preference to the auxiliary will/shall in certain types of adverbial clauses:
not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { When } \\ \text { *Before } \\ \text { BIf }\end{array}\right\}$ he will arrive, the band will play the National Anthem
but $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { When } \\ \text { Before } \\ \text { If }\end{array}\right\}$ he arrives, the band will play the National Anthem

The subordinators chiefly involved belong to the temporal and conditional (in part also, concessive) categories:
temporal: after, as, before, once, till, until, when(ever), as soon as OTHER: if, unless, provided (that), given (that), assuming (that), presuming (that), even :f, in case, as (manner), whatever, etc
Thus:
Even if tomorrow's match is cancelled, Newcastle will still be top of the league
He will come in case we need him
Next time l'll do as he say's
Nominal that- and wh-clauses tend to contain present tense verbs when the main clause (as well as the subordinate clause) refers to the future; but
when the main clause refers to the present, the future will is likely to be used in the subordinate clause. Contrast:
I shall ask him what he wants tomorrow
The question is what he will want tomorrow
However, there are exceptional verbs like hope, suppose (in the imperative), and assume, after which the simple present can often be used as readily as will:
I hope that the parcel comes in time
Let's assume our opponents win the election

## Note

There are two exceptions to the rule that will/won't cannot appear in if-clauses (and in some of the other types of clause mentioned above):
(i) Where will/won't has a volitional or habitual meaning, rather than a pure future meaning:

If you won't (='refuse to') help us, all our plans will be ruined
(ii) Where even though the $i f$-clause refers to the future, the condition expressed by the whole sentence obtains in the present:
If he won't arrive before nine, there's no point in ordering dinner for him
If it will make any difference, I'll gladly lend you some money
In both these sentences, the future contingency expressed in the if-clause determines a present decision.

### 11.48

The modal past
The past tense is used, as already explained (11.25), in unreal conditional sentences:

If we had enough money, I wouldn't have to work so hard
The corresponding superordinate verb phrase is would/should + infinitive, except when the past of another modal auxiliary is used:
If we had enough money, we could buy a tape-recorder
Othes constructions in which the modal past is used are illustrated below (on the subjunctive were, see 3.46):
It's time you were in bed
He behaves as though he was/were a millionaire
It's not as though we were poor
Just suppose/imagine someone was/were following us
l'd rather we had dinner now
If only I rad listened to my parents!
From eaci, of these sentences a negative inference.can be drawn: 'but
you're not in bed', 'be't he isn't a milliouaire', ctc. Unreal meaning in past time is indicated by had plus the -ed participle:

We could have got married today, if you'd really wanted to
If he had listened to me, he wouldn't have made the mistake
With past reference, the unreal meaning is more absolute than in the present, and amounts to an implied rejection of the condition: 'but in fact you didn't want to'; 'but in fact he didn't listen'. With present and future reference, the meaning may be merely one of improbability and negative expectation:

If you listened to me, you wouldn't make mistakes
('... but I don't suppose you will listen to me')

## 11. 45

Perfect aspest with since, etc
When since is used in a temporal sense, the perfect is used in the superordinate clause, also sometimes in the subordinate clause, in referring to a stretch of time up to (and potentially including) the present:

Since we have owned a car, we have gone camping every year
(or possibly: . . . we go camping, where own implies duration)
Shu has teen a.inki::g Martinis eve: since the purty itarted
(not: She is drinking . . . , where start excludes duration)
The same applies to since as preposition and as prepositional adverb:
Scholars have been writing English grammars since the sixteenth century
After and when, in referring to a sequence of past events, can be followed either by a past perfect or by a simple past tense verb:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { After } \\ \text { When }\end{array}\right\}$ he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { had returned } \\ \text { returned }\end{array}\right\}$ from work, his wife served dinner
All four of these are acceptable, and mean roughly the same. The only difference is that when with the simple past tense (piobatly the most popular choice) suggests that the one event followed immediately on the other. There may however be a contrast

He went away when I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { visited } \\ \text { had visited }\end{array}\right\}$ her
The variant with the past tense would normally mean 'as soon as I visited her' or 'at the time that I was visiting her', that with the past perfect 'after I had visited her'.

Note
If the verb phrase of the main clause is progressive in aspect, or contains a stative verb, when indicates the simultaneity, rather than successivity of the events:
When he returned from work, his wife was (working) in the kitchen.

### 11.50

Present subjunctive in conditional clauses, etc
The present subjunctive (3.46) is used very occasionally and in rather formal use, as we have seen, in real conditional clauses and concessive clauses:

Whatever be the reasons for it, we cannot tolerate this disloyalty (cf Whatever may be the reasons . . .)
Clauses of concession and purpose may also very occasionally contain a verb in the subjunctive mood to express 'putative' meaning (see 11.51):

Though he $\left\{\begin{array}{l}i s \\ b e\end{array}\right\}$ the President himself, he shall inear us
The subjunctive is also possible in that-clauses expressing wish, hope, or intention (chough shouia would ve more usual):

Congress has voted/decided/decreed/insisted that the present law be maintained
The present subjunctive is more common in AmE than in BrE, where it is rare outside legal style.

The past subjunctive were is used in formal clauses of hypothetical meaning, such as those introduced by if, as if, as though, though, and the imperative verbs suppose and imagine:

## Suppose he were here . . .

If the truth were known . . .

### 11.51

## Putative shoul.l

The modal auxiliary should is used quite extensively in that-clauses to express not a subordinate statement of fact, but a 'putative' idea. It can usually be replaced by the indicative without much difference of meaning. Compare:

I am surprised that he should feel lonely (= he feels)
I am told that he feels lonely ( $\neq$ he should feel)
The first sentence alludes to a report over which doubt may be allc:ved to linger, while the second accepts the report as a fact.

Other superordinate constructions which introduce a that-clause with should can be illustrated as follows:

```
Its a pity
l'm surprised
It's disgiaceful that ne should resign
It's unthinka'ble
It worries me
```

Most of these are constructions in which the that-cl?use is an extraposed subject $(14.24 f, 12.12,12.17)$. Notice that in the first two cases, despite the should, the event is assumed to have taken place already. This is because the 'factual' bias of the main clause construction overrides the doubt otherwise implicit in the should construction. Nonetheless, there is still a difference of feeling between I'm surprised that he should rcsign and I'm c:rprired thatl:e has iccigice?': in thefrott, $\therefore$ is the 'very idea' of rusiguaton that surprises; in the second, it is the resignation itself, as an assumed fact. Note
Putative siould alsu occars in ieme idiomatic questions and exclamations:
How should I know?
Why shouid he be asigning?
That he should dare to attack me!
Who should come in but the mayor himself!

### 11.52

Direct and indirect speech
The difference between direct speech and indirect (or reported) speech is shown in:

He said: 'I am very angry' (DIRECT SPEECH)
He said that he was very angry (INDIRECT SPEECH)
Indirect speech subordinates the words of the speaker in a that-clause within the reporting sentence. In the case of direct speech, his words are 'incorporated' (in writing by quotation marks) within the reporting sentrnce and retain the status of an independent clausc: Nevertheless, the 'incorporated' speecb has in part the function of an clement in the clause structure of the reporting sentence:
He said this $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$, namely 'I am very' angry'
Structurally, the reporting clause, in diruct speech, may be classed as a comment clause (11.46). It may occur before, within, or after the speech itself. Except when it occurs in initial position, there is likely to be an inversion of the subject and a reporting verb in the simple present or past tense:
'I am your friend,' $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { said John } \\ \text { John said } \\ \text { he said }\end{array}\right.$
Inversion is unusual and archaic, however, when the subject of the reporting clause is a pronoun: . . said he. The medial placing of the reporting clause is very frequent:
'As a result,' said John, 'I am very angry'

## Note

Direct and indirect speech' will be used here as traditionally, but 'speech' must be allowed to include unspoken mental activity when the reporting verb may be think, believe, feel, etc; but cf 11.58. It should also be noted that indirect report frequently involves paraphrase or summary of the speech or thought it represents.

### 11.53

## Back-shift and other changes

Several changes are made in converting direct to indirect speech (subject to the exceptions in 11.54), and their effect is one of distancing. 1st and 2nd person pronouns are changed to 3rd person:
' 'rll behave my:olf,' he promised
$\rightarrow$ He promised that he'd behave himself
' You are beautiful,' he whispered
$\rightarrow$ Me whispered that she was beautiful
Frequently, there is a change from this/these to that/those, from here to there, and from now to then
'I live here,' he explained $\rightarrow$ He explained that he lived there
'I shall do it now,' he said $\rightarrow$ He said that he would do it then
The most important alteration takes place, however, in the verb phrase: this is the change of tense that is referred to as back-shift. When the reporting verb is in the past tense, verbs in the reported speech are changed as follows:
DIRECT INDIRECT
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (1) present } \\ \text { (2) past } \\ \text { (3) present perfect } \\ \text { (4) past perfect }\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow$ past perfect
Thus, if we move into the past for the reporting clause, there is a corresponding shift into the past (or if necessary, further into the past) in the renorted clause. Examples of each part of the rule are:
(1) 'I am tired,' she comilained
$\rightarrow$ She complained that she was tired
(2) 'The exhibition finished last week,' explained Ann
$\rightarrow$ Anu explained that the exbibition had finished the preceding weck
(3) 'I've won the match already!' exclaimed our friend $\rightarrow$ Our friend exclaimed that he had won the match already
(4) 'The whole house had been ruined,' said the landlord $\rightarrow$ The landlord said that the whole house had been r.ained

If, on the oitier hand, the reporting verb is in the present, there is no tense change:

She keeps saying, 'I am a failure'
$\rightarrow$ She keeps saying that she is a failure

### 11.54

## Exceptions to the distarcing rules

The change to the more 'distant' meaning (eg to 3rd person pronouns) does not always take place, in that the use of forms appıopriate to the reporting situation must take precedence over those appropriate to the repurted syiech situation. For example:
' You are wrong, John,' said Mary

$$
\rightarrow \text { [John reportıng] 'Mary said that } I \text { was wrong' }
$$

Analogously, the rule of back-shift can be ignored in cases where the validity of the statement reported holds for the present time as much as for the time of utterance. Thus, while back-shift is obligatory in the first of the following examples, it is optional in the second:
'I am a citizen, not of Athens, but of the world,' said Socrates $\rightarrow$ Socrates said that he was a citizen, not of Athens, but of the werld
'Nothing can harm a good man,' said Socrates
$\rightarrow$ Sorrates said that nothing $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { cuuld } \\ \text { can }\end{array}\right\}$ harm a good man

### 11.55

Indirect statements, questions, exclamations, and commands
Our examples have so far been of indirect statements. Questions, exclamations, and commands are converted into indirect speech as follows:

INDIRECT QUESTION: dependent wh-clause or if-clause
INDIRECT EXCLAMATION: dependent $w$ w-clause
INDIRECT COMMAND: $t 0$-infinitive clause (without subject)

## For example:

'Are you ready yet?' asked Joan (yes-mo question) $\rightarrow$ Joan asked (me) whether I was ready yet
'When will the plane leave?' I wondered (wh-Question)
$\rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ wondered when the plane would leave
'What a hero you are!' Margaret told him (exclamation)
$\rightarrow$ Margaret told him what a hero he was
'Keep still!' she said to the child (COmmand)
$\rightarrow$ She told the child to keep still
What has been said about back-shift applies to questions and exclamations as well as to statements. Indirect commands, in contrast, cannot incorporate back-shift, as they contain no finite verb. The reporting verb, in the case of ind:rect commands, has to be followed by an indirect object or prepositional object: for the indirect speech version of 'Sit down,' I snapped, one would write not ${ }^{*}$ I snapped to sit down, but I snapped at him to sit down. With a verb like sneer one could render an indirect command with tell and an appropriate adverbial:
'Go back to the rurcary,' he szearei
$\rightarrow$ He told them $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { sneeringly } \\ \text { with a sneer }\end{array}\right\}$ to go back to the nursery

## Note

Alternative questions are made indirect with whether . . . or on a model similar to yes-no questions:

Are you satisfied or not? $\rightarrow \mathrm{I}$ asked him whether or not he was satisfied

### 11.56

## The modal auxiliaries and indirect speech

Although He would go is not the past of He will go (3.51), it is the backshifted form in indirect speech. So too with the other modal auxiliaries:
'May I go ?' she asked $\rightarrow$ She asked if she might go
If a moda! auxiliary in direct speech has no past tense equivaient (this includes auxiliaries which are already past, such as could, might, as well as must, ought to, need, and had better), then the same form remains in indirect speech:
'I would like some tea,' he said $\rightarrow$ He said (that) he would like some tea

The element of speaker involvement which is often present in the meaning of some modal auxiliaries (eg: may='permission', 3.49) is naturally assigned in indirect speech to the subject of the indirect statement. Thus,

John said that I might go would mean that John was giving me permission to go (corresponding to the direct 'You may go'), whereas I might go outside indirect speech would mean that I was considering the possibility of going.
Note
If the reporting verb phrase is modal and perfective ( $I+$ II, 3.24), it counts as past for purposes of the back-shift rule. Compare:
He asks what John is doing
He has asked what john is doing but

He may have asked what John was doing

### 11.57

## Free indirect speech

Free indirect speech is a half-way stage between direct and indirest speech, and is used extensively in narrative writıng. It is basicaliy a form or indirect speech, but (1) the reporting clause is omitted (except when retained as a parenthetical comment clause), and (2) the potentialities of directspeech sentence structure (direct question iorms, vocatives, tag questions, etc) are retained. It is therefore only the back-shift of the verb, together with equivalent shifts in pronouns, determineri, ind auver'Js, thä: signais the fact that the words are being reported, rathor than being in direct speech:
So that was their plan, was it? He well knew their tricks, and would show them a thing or two before he was finished. Thank goodness he had been alerted, and that there were still a few honest people in the world!
Very often, in fiction, free indirect speech represents a person's stream of thought rather than actual speech. It is quite possible, therefore, that he thought would be the appropriate reporting clause to supply for the above passage, rather than he said.

### 11.58

## Transferraó ncgatice

There are several ways in which 'indirect speech' involving mental activity verbs (he thought, etc) differs from that where the reporting verb is one of language activity (he said, etc). A very important difference involves negation; thus, while both clauses can be made independently negative with say, etc:

He did not say that Mary was pretty
He said that Mary was nut pretty
(so that these two sentences are sharply different in meaning), it is usual
with think, believe, suppose, imagine, expect, ctc for a superordinate nega tive to apply also in the subordinate clause. For this reason, the following pairs of sentences would normally be regarded as virtually synonymous:

```
(He didn't think that Mary was pretty
He thought that Mary wasn't pretty
(I don't suppose he has paid yet
\{ I suppose he hasn't paid yet
```

The transfer of the negation can be seen clearly in the second pair above, with the non-assertive yet (7.35) appearing in the subordinate clause even when the verb in this clause is not negated. Another indication is the form of the tag question ( 7.48 f ) in:
I don't suppose (that) he CÀRES, DOES he?
(cf He doesn't CARE, DOES ne?)
The tag question in this sort of sentence is attached to the that-clause rather than to the independent clause, as is clear from the tag subject. he. Since a tag question with a falling tone contrasts in positive/negative terms with its main clause, however, we would exnect DOEESn't he? in this context. That in fact a positive tag question occurs is thus evidence of the negativeness of the that-clause.

## Note

[a] Not all verbs in the semantic field of belief, uncertainty, etc, take transferred negation:
I don't assume that he came $\neq \mathrm{I}$ assume that he didn't come
So too surmise, presume. Conversely, a few verbs outside the field of mental activity (for example, seem, happen) permit the transfer.
b) A condensed sentence like I don't think so contains transferred negation, and is thus synonymous with I think not; of 10.36 .

## Bibliographical note

On nominal clauses, see Lces (1960a); Vendler (1968), especially Part I. On comparaive clauses, see Huddleston (1971). On non-finite clauses, see Hudson (1971).

TWELVE
THE VERB AND ITS COMPLEMENTATION

## 12.1

This chapter will deal with units vhich complement the verb and which are, in general, obligatory in clause structure (but $\mathrm{cJ} / .3 \mathrm{~J}$, and aiso the possibilities for ellipsis discussed in 9.21 ff ). We have earlier ( 2.7 f ) distinguished between different categories of verbs with respect to their potentialities for complementation. We shall here discuss these categories in greater detail, concentrating in turn on intransitive verbs, intensive verbs, and transitivu ve. bs. But betore we do su, we shall consider cases where the main verb and one or more particles seem to combine as a multi-word verb.

## 12.2

## Intransitive phrasal verbs

One common type of multi-word verb is the intransitive phrasal verb consisting of a verb plus a particle, as exemplified in

| The children were sitting down | He is playing around |
| :--- | :--- |
| Drink up quickly | Get up at once |
| The plane has now taken off | Did he catch on? |
| The prisoner finally broke down | He turned up unexpectedly |
| When will they give in? | The tank blew up |

Most of the particles are place adjuncts or can function as such (8.25). Normally, the particle cannot be separated from its verb (*Drink quickly $u p$ ), though particles used as intensifiers or perfectives (8.25) or referring to direction can be modified by intensifiers (Go right on).
A subtype of intransitive phrasal verb has a prepositional adverb (6.5) as its particle, the particle behaving as a preposition with some generalized ellipsis of its complement:
He walked past (the object/place)
They ran across (the intervening space)

In some instances, the particles form the first element in a complex preposition:

Come along (with us/me)
They moved out (of the house)
Phrasal verbs vary in the extent to which the combination preserves the individual meanings of verb and particle. In instances like give in ('surrender'), catch on ('understand'), and turn up ('appear'), it is clear that the meaning of the combination cannet be predicted from the meanings of the verb and particle in isolation.

## 12.3

## Trar.sitive phrasal verbs

Many phrasal verbs can take a direst object:

We will set $u p$ a new unit
Find out whether they are
coming
Drink up your milk quickly
Tley turnd on the light
They gave in their resignation
He can't live down his past

They are bringing over the whole family
She is bringing $u p$ her brother's children
Thay sallod off the stiite
I can't make out what he means
He looked up his former friends

As we see from the examples here and in 12.2, some combinations (drink up, give in) can be either transitive or intransitive, with or without a difference of meaning ( $c f$ App I.30).
With most transitive phrasal verbs, the particle can either precede or follow the direct object:
They turned on the light $\sim$ They turned the light on
although it cannot precede personal pronouns: They turned it on and not *They turned on it (except, rarely, with contrastive stress: App II.5). The particle tends to precede the object if the object is long or if the intention is that the object should receive end-focus (14.2).

Many transitive phrasal veros have prepositional adver's:
They dragged the case along (the road)
They moved the furniture out (of the house)
in these examples the particles have literal meanings. We can contrast
She took in the box ('brought inside')
She took in her parents ('deceived')
As with the intransitives, transitive phrasal verbs vary in the extent to which they form idiomatic combinations. For example, the verb and
particle in put out the cat preserve their individual meanings in that combination and in a wide range of other combinations (eg: put + down/outside/away/aside; take/turn/bring/push/send/drag +out). There are fewer alternative combinations that the verb and particle in turn out the light can enter (lurn + on/off/down/up; switch + on). Finally, in put off (' postpone') the verb and particle are fused into a new iuiomatic combination, which does not allow for contrasts in the individual elements.
Note
With put $N$ out we can compare put $N$ straight and other complex transitive constructions (12.26).

## Prepositional verbs

12.4

The preposition in a prepositional verb must precede its complement. Hence, we can contrast the prepositional verb call on ('visit') with the phrasal verb call up ('summon'):

| They called on the man | They callcd up the man |
| :--- | :--- |
| They called on him | *They called up him |
| *They called the man on | They called the man $u p$ |
| *They called him on | They called him $u p$ |

On the other hand, the prepositional verb allows an inserted adverb after the verb and a relative pronoun after the preposition:
They called early on the man
The man on whom they called
*They called early $u p$ the man
*The man $u p$ whom they called

In general, prepositional verbs, such as call on or look at, plus their prepositional complements differ from single-word verbs plus prepositional phrases, as in They called at the hotel and They called after lunch, in that they allow pronominal questions with $w h o(m)$ for personal noun phrases and what for non-personal noun phrases but do not allow adverbial questions for the whole prepositional phrase:

They called on the man $\sim$ Who(m) did they call on?
$\sim^{*}$ Where did ihey call?
They looked at the picture $\sim$ What did they look at?
~*Where did they look?
They calied at the hotel (or after lunch) ~*What did they call at (or after) ? ~Where (or when) did they call?

Many prepositional verbs allow the noun phrases to become the subject of a passive transfümation of the sentence:

They called on the man $\sim$ The man was called on
They looked at the picture $\sim$ The picture was looked at

Other prepositional verbs do not occur in the passive freely, but will do so under certain conditions, such as the presence of a particular modal:
Visitors didn't walk over the lawn
$\sim$ The lawn wasn't walked over (by visitors)
Visitors can't walk over the lawn
$\sim$ The lawn can't be walked over (by visitors)
Other examples of prepositional verbs: ask for, believe in, care for, deal with, live on, long for, object to, part with, refer to, write about.
Like phrasal verbs, prepositional verbs vary in their idiomaticity. Highly idiomatic combinations include go into (a problem), 'investigate', come by (the book), 'obtain'.

## 12.5

A sentence like He looked at the girl can be given two anaiyses. In one, there is an intransitive verb (looked) followed by a prepositional phrase (at the girl) functioning as adverbial. In the other analysis, implied in the previous section, the prepositional verb looked at is a transitive verb and the girl is direct object.


Analysis 1 (verb+adverbial) accounts for the similarity of such a sentence to others having a single-word verb and adverbial with respect to relative clauses and the positioning of adverbs:
The girl $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at whom he looked/(who(m)) he looked at } \\ \text { to whom he came/(who(m)) he came to }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { looked nervously at the girl } \\ \text { stood nervously near the girl }\end{array}\right.$
*watched nervously the girl
Analysis 2 (prepositional verb+direct object) account for the similarity of the sentence to others having a trarsitive single-word verb with respect to passivization:
The girl was $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { looked at } \\ \text { watched } \\ \text { *stood near }\end{array}\right.$
The two analyses are equally valid ways of looking at the same sentence, and account for different aspects of it. In this chapter, in which we are concerned with complementation of the verb, we adopt the second analysis and consider prepositional verbs to be transitive verbs.

## 12.6

## Phrasal-prepositional verbs

Some multi-word verbs consist of a verb followed by two particles:
He puts up with a lnt of teasing ('iolerates')
As with prcpositional verbs, we can analyse these as transitive verbs with the following noun phrase as direct object. They allow prinominal questions and under certain conditions can occur in the passive:

He can't put up with bad temper $\sim$ What can't he put up with?
$\sim$ Bad temper can't be put up with for long
As with single-word transitives and prepositional verbs, we cannot insert an adverb immediately before the obiect:
*He puts up with willingly that secretary of his
though it is possible to do so between the particles:
He puts up willingly with that secretary of his
We look foiward eagerly to your next party
In relative clauses and questions, the particles are positioned after the verb:

## The party we were looking forward to so eagerly <br> Who(m) does he put up with willingly?

or (less commonly) the final particle can be brought into initial position:
The party to which we were locking forward so eagerly
With whom does he put up willingly?
Like phrasal and prepositional verbs, these multi-word verbs vary in their idiomaticity. Some, like stay away from ('avoid'), are easily understood from their individual elements, though often with figurative meaning, eg: stand up for ('support'). Others are fused combinations, and it is difficult or impossiole to assign meaning to any of the parts, $\epsilon g$ : p::t up with ('tolerate'). There are still others where there is a fusion of the verb with the first particle or where one or more of the elements may seem to retain some individual meaning. For example, put up with can also mean 'stay with', and in that sense put up constitu'tes a unit by itself (cf: stay with, put up at, and the transitive phrasal verb put up in I can put you up). Similarly, check up on (his record), 'investigate', is analysable as consisting of the prepositional verb check on plus the intensifying $u p$. We also have the single-word verb check, and therefore three transitive verbs of similar meaning, together with the intransitive check and check up.

Other examples of phrasal-prepositional verbs: break in on (the coriversation), 'interrupt'; cut down on' (expenses), 'curtail'; get away with (such behaviour), 'avoid being reprimanded or punished for'; look down on (somebody), ‘despise'; look in on (somebody), 'visit'; look up to (somebody), 'respect'; walk out on (the project), 'abandon'.

## 12.7

## Intransitive verbs

There aie some verbs that are always intransitive, ie can never take an object:

## Your friends have arrived

Other verbs can be cithar intransitive:
Iic smukes cuery day Tha toniintous ue gruinimb weil
or transitive, with or without a change in participant role:

$$
\text { He smokes cigars every day } \quad \text { He is growing tomatoes }
$$

In this book we regard verbs that can be either intransitive or transitive as belonging to two categories. We consider the relation between, for exampie, the intransitive verbs smoke and grow and the transitive verbs smuke and $g_{\prime}$ ow to be that of conversion (7.3, 7.10, App I.30).

## Note

[a] The verb live takes an adjunct as an obligatory element. Live in the sense 'reside' requires a position adjunct (He lives in China) and in the sense 'maintain life' or 'subsist' a process adjunct (He lives very comfortably, They live on rice). For live in the sense 'be alive' a time adjunct is virtually obligatory (They lived in the nineteenth century). The verb get also has an obligatory adjunct use; in this case the obligatory adjunct is a direction adjunct (I'll get into the car).
[b] Some of the intransitive phrasal verbs could not be used intransitively if the particle were omitted. Besides get as in get up ('arise'), the verbs include find (find out, 'discover'), keep (keep away, 'stay away'), set (set off, 'depart').
[c] Intransitive verbs with a ' passive' sense (converted from transitive verbs: App I.30) virtually require an adjunct: The book is selling badly, The door unlocks easily.
[d] Verbs of measure require an adjunct, usually a noun phrase: weigh (five pounds), cnst ' (a dollar), contain (much). $\mathrm{CJ}^{\prime} \% .14$ Note.

## Intensive complementation

12.8

## Copulas

There is intensive complementation of the verb when a subject complement is present (7.6). The verb in such a sentence is a 'copula' or 'linking verb'. The most common copula is be. Other copulas fall into two main classes, according to whether the role of the subject complement is that of current attribute or attribute resulting from the event described in the
verb (7.9). The most common of these are listed below. Most of them are used only with a subject complement that is an adjective phrase or a noun phrase with gradable noun head. Those that are commonly used with a noun phrase as well are followed by ' $(\mathrm{N})$ '.
'Current' copulas: appear, feel $(\mathrm{N}), \operatorname{look}(\mathrm{N})$, remain $(\mathrm{N})$, seem $(\mathrm{N})$, smell, sound, taste
'Resulting' copulas: become (N), get (chiefly informal), go, grow, turn (N); make (N only)

## 12.9

Noun and adjective phrases as subject complement
The copulas which allow the widest range as subject complement are be for current attribute and become for resulting attribute:
John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { was } \\ \text { became }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\begin{array}{l}\text { d doctor } \\ \text { healthier }\end{array}\end{array}\right.$
Like the other copulas, be is commonly used to introduce a characterization or attribute of the subject, as in the example just given, but with complement noun phidses it ai'so commonly introduces an identification of the subject:
John was the doctir (that I mentioned)
The verb feel has two copula uses. In the meaning 'have a sensation' the subject must be personal and the complement an adjective or gradable noun:

He felt foolish/ill/a fool
In the meaning 'give a sensation', the subject is concrete but without other restriction, the complement being adjectival only:

## The table felt rough

## Note

[e] Look requires a visual feature:

> The pit looied a danger to health
> The smell $\left\{\begin{array}{l}* \text { looked } \\ \text { seemed }\end{array}\right\}$ a danger to health

Turn is used to indicate a change of occupation or allegiance: He turned plumber/ Demorrat/traitor/nasty. Go, when its complement is a noun phrase, seems to be restricted to change in political allegiance: He has gone Democrat/socialist. Adjectival complementation is restricted to a few items, eg: go mad/bald. Both turn and $g o$ are normally disparaging, and with ioth the indefinite article is omitted before a noun phrasc.
[ $b$ ] Where the subject is a clause, the subje: : complement must be an adjective phrase or a generic noun modified by an adjective:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { That he didn't come } \\
\text { Io see him there }
\end{array}\right\} \text { was }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { strange } \\
\text { a strange thing }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Usually, of course, this structure has extraposition (14.24f): It was a strange thing . . . Cf 12.13.

### 12.10

## Predicative adjuncts

The only copula that allows an adverbial as complementation is be (5.42). The adverbials, termed predicative adjuncts in this function, are mainly place adjuncts (8.25):

The children are at the zoo/. . . are outside
but time adjuncts are also common with an eventive subject (8.44):
The part.j vil! ke at nine c'clock/. . . vill bs tonizh:

Other types of predicative adjuncts:
The two eggs are for you ['recipient' adjunct: 6.29]
The drinks are for the journey ['purpose' adjunct: 6.28]
The increare in fnod prices this yeqr vas because of the drought
['cause' adjunct: 6.27]
Transport to the mainland is by ferry ['means' adjunct: 6.31 f ]

## Complementation of adjective phrase as subject complement

### 12.11

## Adjective complementation by prepositional phrase

Some adjectives (at least when used in a particular sense) require complementation by a prepositional phrase, the preposition being specific to a particular adjective:

Joan is fond of them
They are conscious of their responsibility
We are bent on a vacation in Mexico
Other adjectives that must be complemented by a prepositioral phrase include the iollowing, which are listed together with the zequired preposition: intent on, reliant on, averse to, liable to, subject to, inclined to, (un)familiar with.
Many adjectives can take such complementation but are not obliged to. Usually, the prepositions are specific to a given adjective or to a given kind of complementation:
They were afraid $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { of him ('They feared him') } \\ \text { for him ('They were anxious about him') } \\ \text { of leaving the house }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\text { He was }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { angry } \\
\text { pleased }
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { at Mary('s) getting married } \\
\text { with Mary for getting married } \\
\text { about the wedding }
\end{array}\right.
$$

As these examples show, the complement of the preposition can be an -ing participle clause (6.2), whose subject, if introduced, may or may not be a genitive (11.18). As well as the stylistic choice there can be differences in semantic implication, of 13.23 Note. Thus,

> I am angry at Mary getting married
could imply anger at Mary becausu she has got married (cf: I am angry at Mary for getting married) rather than merely anger at the marriage (cf: I am angry at the fact that Mary got married), which would be the obvious interpretation of . . . angry ai Mary's . . .

When -ed part:-ipial adiectiver are nsed, the ennstactions ha'e active analogues:

John is interested in English grammar ~English grammar interests Juhn
We were worried about the situation $\sim$ The situation worried us
He was surprised at her behaviour $\sim H e r$ behavicur ourf-ised inim
The verbs in the active have a causative feature, eg: The situation worried us~The situation caused us to worry.

### 12.12

Adjective complementation by finite clause
Finite clauses as complementation may have
(a) indicative verb: I am sure that he is here now
(b) putative should: I was angry that he should ignore me
(c) subjunctive verb (3.46): I was adamant that he be appointed (formal in BrE. but of 12.17 Note)
An indicative verb is used if the adjective is 'factual', ie concerned with the truth-value of the complementation. An indicative verb or putative should ( 11.51 ) is used if the adjective is 'emotive', ie concerned witi attitude. A subjunctive verb or should (sometimes putative, but often obligational) is used if the adjective is 'volitional', ie expressing indirectly some command. (For adverb analogues to the first two types of adjective, see 8.50 ff .) The subjunctive is more usual in AmE in such casss, while BrE prefers should.

The finite clause is commonly a that-clause, but factual adjectives admit wh-clauses as woll: I'm not sure why he came, I'm not clear where she went. Clauses introduced by whether or (less commonly) if are used with factual adjectives if the adjective is negative or has a negative sense:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I'm not sure } \\ \text { I'm doubtful }\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { whether } \\ \text { if }\end{array}\right\}$ he is here yet
Personal subject + copula + adjective phrase + finite clause:
factual adjective: I am aware that he was late
emotive adjective: He is angry that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { they should be late } \\ \text { they are late }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { I am amazed that }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { he should have got the post } \\
\text { he got the post }
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { volitional adjective: He was }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { keen } \\
\text { insistent }
\end{array}\right\} \text { that }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { they be present } \\
\text { (formal in BrE) } \\
\text { they should be present }
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

With emetive adjectives, the comnlementation expresses cause This can be shown by a variant construction in which the complementation is the subject of the sentence. It is particularly evident when the emotive adjective is participial, in which case there is a corresponding active (cf. 12.13):

## He is angry that they should be late $\sim$ That they should be late has made hin angry

I am amazed that he ght the post $\sim$ That he got the post amazes me
rarticipial adjecuves in this construction are commonly emotive adjectives.

### 12.13

## Adjective complementation by to-infinitive clauses

We distinguish five main types of construction in which the adjective phrase is followed by a to-infinitive clause. They are exemplified in the following five sentences, which are superficially similar, though, as we shall see, only 2,3 , and 4 are wholly concerned with adjective complementation:
(1) Bob is splendid to wait
(2) Bob is slow to react
(3) Bob is furious to hear it
(4) Bob is hesitant to agree with you
(5) Bob is hard to convince

In Types 1-4, the subject of the sentence ( $B o b$ ) is also the subject of the infinitive clause. We can therefore always have a direct object in the infinitive clause in these four types if the verb is transitive. For example, for Type 1 if we replace intransitive wait by transitive make, we can have Bob is splendid to make that for you.

Type 1 (Bob is splendid to wait) has an analogue with a construction
invoiving extraposition (14.24f): It is splendid of Bob to wait. As alternatives to the adjective phrase, we can use a noun phrase that has as its head a degrec noun ( 4.3 Note) or a generic noun modified by an adjective : David must be (quite) a magician to make so much money, Bob is a splendid mant to wait.

In Type 2 (Bcb is siow to react), the sentence has an analogue in which the adjective is transformed into an adverbial:

Bob is slow to react $\sim$ Bob reacts slowly
In Type 3 (Bob is furious to hear it), the head of the adjective phrase is an emotive adjective (commonly a participial adjective) and the infinitive clause expresses causation:

Bob is furious to hear it $\sim$ To hear it has made Bob furious

- Ii has nude Dub fuivos io iear it

1 was excited to be there $\sim$ To be there excited me
$\sim$ It excited me to be there
In Type 4 (Bob is hesitant to agree with you), the head of the adjective phrase is a volitional adjective. Common adjectives in this type are eager, keen, willing, reluctant. Along with Type 3, this type often admits feel as the copula.

In Type 5 (Bob is hard to convince), the subject of the sentence is the object of the infinitive clause, which must therefore have a transitive verb (*Bob is hard to arrive). We distinguish two subtypes:
(a) There is an analogue with a construction in which the adjective is complement to the infinitive clause:

Bob is hard to convince ~To convince Bob is hard $\sim$ It is hard to convince Bob

The adjectives used in this subtype are chiefly hard, difficult, impossible, easy, convenient. Unless there is ellipsis, we cannot omit the infinitive clause, and hence there is no semantic relation between the sentences Bicicts are hard to make and Bricks are hard.
(b) There are no analogues of the kind that we have exemplified: The food is ready to eat (*To eat the food is ready), and we can generally omit the infinitive clause: The food is ready.
As with Type 1, we can use a noun phrase as an alternative to the adjective phrase: $B o b$ is a hard man to convince; Bob is a pleasure to teach. In both (5a) and (5b), the subject of the sentence can be the complement of a preposition in the infinitiv: clause: He is easy to talf: to, The paper is flimsy to write on.

See also 12.19 Note $b$.

## Transitive complementation

12.14

Monotransitive verbs require a direct object, which may be a noun phrase, a finite clause, or a non-finite clause (infinitive or participle clause) Prepositional verbs and phrasal-prepositional verbs do not admit as direct object that-clauses (whether that is retained or ominted) or infinitive clauses (6.2). We illustrate the possibilities and restrictions with the prepositional verb approve of:

Tom approved of $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { what had been decided } \\ \text { meeting her } \\ \text { *(that) they should meet } \\ \text { *to meet her }\end{array}\right.$
Howerer, the cestrictivu invuiviug that-ciauses appiies unly if the thatclause is direct object, and hence the preposition can be retained in the passive (That they should meet was approved of), even in extraposition, where the preposition immediately follows the passive verb phrase ( $I_{t}$ was agreed to eventually that they should meet again soon).
Nore
Certain transitive verbs expressing causation of movement have an adverbial following the direct object, normally an adjunct of place:
The hostess showed me to the door
He saw Mary home
John put the car into the garage
Mary placed/set a vase on the table
We kept them out of trouble
With the above verbs (in the senses exemplified) where the adverbial is obligatory, there is a similarity with complex transitive complementation: cf $12.26 f, 8.29,8.44$.

## Noun phrases as direct object

12.15

Direct objects are typically noun phrases. It is usually possible for the direct object of an active sentence to become the subject of a passive sentence, with the subject of the active sentence as the prepositional compiement in ar optiona! by -phrase (7.5):
The boy caught the ball $\sim$ The ball was caught (by the boy)
It is, however, usual to omit the $b y$-phrase, often because it is irrelevant or unknown, as in
Order has been restored without bloodshed and without concessions
The Prime Minister was attacked last night during the debate
or because it is redundant in the context, as in
Jack fought Michael last night and Jack was beaten

The passive transformation is blocked when there is co-reference between subject and object, ie when there are reflexive, reciprocal, or possessive pronouns in the noun phrase as object:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { John could see }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Paul } \\
\text { himself }
\end{array}\right\} \text { in the mirror } \\
& \left.\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Paul }
\end{array}\right\} \text { Himself }\right\} \text { could be seen in the mirror }
\end{aligned}
$$

We could hardly see each other in the fog

$$
\sim^{*} \text { Each other could hardly be seen in the iog }
$$

The other waitress wiped $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { the tables } \\ \text { her hands }\end{array}\right.$
$\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The tables } \\ \text { *Her hands }\end{array}\right\}$ whre wiped by ihic otisci ivaitres,
Note
[a] A shift of meaning may accompany shift of voice in verb phrases containing auxiliarics that have more than one meaning, eg: shall, will, and can (cf 3.48 ff ): John cannot do it ~ It rannot be done (by. John)
In the active sentence can would normally be interpreted as expressing ability, whereas in the passive sentence it is interpreted as expressing possibility.
[b] With dynamic verbs (3.35) we can disting'uish between 'actional' pas'ives, illustrated above in this section, and 'statal' passives. The latter express a state:
The house is already sold
Corresponding actives require an aspectual shift to the perfect ( 3.27 ff ):
Someone has already sold the house (*Someone already sells the house)
A sentence such as They were married is ambiguous between an actional interpretation (They were married in church yesterday) and a statal interpretation (They were married when I last heard about them).

### 12.16

A small group of transitive verbs, the most common of which is have, normally do not allow a passive transformation of the sentence:

| They have a nice house | Will this suit you? |
| :--- | :--- |
| He lacks confidence | John resembles his father |

The coat does not fit you
These verbs are sometimes considered to form a separate category oí non-transitive verbs taking noun phrases as their complementation (cf also verbs of measure, 12.7 Note $d$ ). They include 'reciprocal' verbs
 mean ('Oculist' means 'eye-doctor'); verbs of 'containing' or their opposite, such as contain (The library contains a million books), hold (The audi-
torium holds over a thousand neople), comprise, lack; and verbs of 'suiting', such as suit, fit, become (This dress becomes her). Contain aiud hold occur in a sinilar sense in the passive but without a by-phrase: A million books are contained in that library.

### 12.17

## Finite clauses as direct object

Like finite clauses as complementation of adjective phrases (12.12), finite clauses as direct object may have an indicative verb, putative should, or a subjunctive verb, depending on the class of the superordinate verb:
(a) factual superordinate verb, with indicative subordinate verb:

They agree that she is pretty
1 know bow he did it
He forgot why they complained
(b) emotive verb, with indicative verb or putative should:

$$
\text { I regret that }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { she should worry about it } \\
\text { she worrics about it }
\end{array}\right.
$$

(c) volitional verb, with subjunciive verb (3.46) or should (not clearl! differintia.ed bciweei. its putat:ve .nd obligational uses):

$$
\text { I proposed that he }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { admit all applicants } \\
\text { should admit all applicants }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Factual verbs that are used to convey an indirect question are followed by clauses with whether or (less commonly) if:

A verb may belong to more than one class. For example, He suggested that she went is ambiguous: if suggested is a factual verb, she went is a factual report, whereas if it is a volitional verb, she wertt is a suggested action. Similarly, within the class of factual verbs, zxy may be used with 'ooth a thct-clause and (more commonly in the negative or in a question) a whether/if clause: I didn't say that/whether they had arrived.
Examples of the three classes of verbs are listed.
(a) factual verbs: admit, agree, answer, believe, declare, deny, expect,
hope, insist, know, report, say, see, suggest, suppose, think, understand
factual verbs commonly followed by whether/if: ask, discuss, doubt, find out, forget, (not) know, (not) notice, (not) say, wonder
(b) emotive verbs: deplore, prefer, regret
(c) volitional verbs: command, demand, insist, order, propose, recommend, suggest
Finite clauses as direct object can become the subject of a corresponding passive sentence:
Everybody admitted that she sang well
$\sim$ That she sang well was admitted (by everybody)
However, it is far more usual for the passive to have extraposition with anticipatory it ( 14.24 f ):
~It was admitted (by everybody) that she sang well

## Non-finite clauses as direct object

12.18

Among non-finite clauses as direct object, we distinguish between those with a subject and those without a subject, and within each type between infinitive and participle clauses:


### 12.19

## Non-finite clauses without subject

In non-finite clauses without an overt subject the verb is either an infinitive prccedeci ber to or an - ing participle (but cf Note $c$ ). The implied subject is normally the subject of the superordinate clause. There are verbs which take
(1) only an infinitive clause:

$$
\text { John longed }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { to do } \\
{ }^{*} \text { doing }
\end{array}\right\} \text { homework }
$$

(2) only a participle clause:

$$
\text { John denied }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { having stolen } \\
* \text { to have stolen }
\end{array}\right\} \text { the money }
$$

(3) either an infinitive or a participle clause:

$$
\text { John began }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { to write } \\
\text { writing }
\end{array}\right\} \text { a letter }
$$

Where both constructions are admitted, there is usually felt to be an aspectual difference that influences the choice. The participle construction generally implies 'fulfilment' and the infinitive construction 'potentiality':
He started $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { speaking and kept on for more than an hour } \\ \text { to speak but stopped because she objected }\end{array}\right.$
Arother factor influencing the choice is that the participle tends to express the progressive aspect ( 3.33 ff ):

I heard the door $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { slamming ali nis hi luug } \\ \text { slam just after midnight }\end{array}\right.$
The progressive aspect may also influence a preference for the participle after verbs of beginning, continuing, and ending, when multiple activities are involved:

## He began $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { opening all the cupboards } \\ \text { to open the cupboards }\end{array}\right.$

While some verbs in this semantic group allow both constructions (begin, continue, cease, start), others allow only the participle construction (finish, go on, keep (on), stop).
For the three verbs forget, remember and regret, there is a temporal (and perhaps also modal) difference between the two constructions. The infinitive construction indicates that the action or event takes place after the mental process denoted by the verb has begun, while the reverse is true for the participle construction:
(I remembered to fill out the form ('I remembered that I was to fill out the form and then did so')
I remembered filling out the form "I remembered that I had filled out the form')
(I forgot to go to the bank ('I forgot that I was to go to the bank and therefore did not do so')
I forgot (about) going to the bank (rare without about; 'I forgot that I went to the bank')
(I regret to tell you that John stole it ('I regret that I am about to tell you that John stole it')
I regret telling you that John stole it ('I regret that I told you that John stole it')

For one small group of verbs (deserve, i.ied, require, and, less commonly, want), the choice involves a difference in voice, the participle construction corresponding to a passive infinitive construction:

$$
\text { Your shoes need }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { cleaning } \\
\text { to be cleaned }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We list some common verbs according to the non-finite clauses that they allow, omitting the three small groups that we have discussed above:
verbs with infinitive only: agree, arrange, ask (see Note $d$ ),
choose, decide, demand, deserve, expect, hope, learn, long,
manage, mean, offer, pretend, promise, refuse, threaten, want, wish
verbs with participle only: deny, dislike, ciljoy, fancy, finish,
(cannot) help, keep (on), don't mind, miss, put off, risk, calnot stand, stop, suggest
verbs with infinitive or participle (mainly emotive verbs or verbs expressing striving or lack of striving): cannot bear, delay, hate, intend, like, love, neglect, omit, plan, prefer, try
There is in general no passive for sentences whose $<b j e c t ~ i s ?$ non-finite clause without a subject. The exceptions are with a few verbs (notably agree, arrange, decide) and then only if there is extraposition:

They decided to meet in London $\sim$ It was decided to meet in London Note
[a] With verbs like need, the subject of the superordinate clause is not the implied subject of the participle clause, but rather its implied direct object: Your shoes need cleaning implies that you or someone needs to clean the shoes.
[b] We might consider here also several verbs with infinitive clauses which are not direct objects. With appear, happen, and seem, the infinitive clause is more plausibly seen as part of the subject: He appears to like the show ~That he likes the show appears (true). The quasi-adverbial function of the main verb can be shown by the paraphrase He apparently likes the show. There are analogies with such adjectives as sure certain, bound in relation to infinitive clauses: He is certain to like the show ~ That he will like the show is certain $\sim H e$ will certainly like the show.
[c] The verb help can be followed by a construction with the bare infinitive: $I$ helped itcr (to) do it. Othervise, the baie infinitive is found on!y in a few set ph:ases, eg: make do, make believe, (live and) let live, let go.
[d] Some factual verbs will permit as direct object a non-finite indirect question, but not of the yes-no type: He asked/inquired how to get there. Cf: He arranged/forgot when to do it.

## Complex transitive complementation

12.20

Non-finite and verbless clauses with subject
When a clause as object in a monotransitive sentence (a) is non-finite or verbless, and (b) has its subject expressed, this subject behaves as though
it alone were the direct object of the superordinate verb; it can therefore be the subject in a passive transformation. Compare (1a) and (2a) with (Ib) and (2b):
(1a) Everyone expected that Mary would marry John That Mary would marry John was expected by everyone
(*Mary was expected by everyone would marry John)
(1b) Everyone expected Mary to marry John Mary was expected by everyone to marry John
(*Mary to marry John was expected by everyone)
(2a) John thought that Mary was exceptionally clever
(2b) John thought Mary exceptionally clever
Mary was thought exceptional'y clever
It is this divisibility of an essentially clausal object that is the outstanding characteristic of complex transitive complementation.

## To-infinitive clauses with subject

### 12.21

Two classes of verb have to be distinguished as taking complex transitive compleiuentaion: factupl and nor factual. With fuctual vei's the subordinate clause normally has a stative verb and (especially when the subordinate verb is other than be) a finite construction is preferred in ordinary usage to the non-finite, except that the latter provides a convenient passive form. The attribute of be in this construction is required to be 'current' (7.9):
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { John believed that the stranger was a policeman } \\ \text { John believed the stranger to be a policoman } \\ \text { The stranger was believed to be a policeman }\end{array}\right.$
The professor assumed that the student knew some French
The professor assumed the student to know some French (formal)
The student was assumed to know some French
Other common fastual verbs: feel', find, imagine, know, suppose, think.
The non-factual verbs with this non-finite construction express a causative, volitional or attitudinal relationship with the subcrdinate clause. There is no restriction on the class of verbs in the non-finite clause and no stylistic restriction on its use:

John intended that Mary should sing an aria
John intended Mary to sing an aria
Mary was intended to sing an aria

With some of the superordinate verbs no finite-clause construction of this type is possible: notably, get, want, like:

John wanted Mary to play the piano
(but that Mary (should) play the piano occurs in AmE)
Other common non-factual verbs: cause, expect, hate, mean.
When the subject of the subordinate clause is identical with that of the superordinate one, the non-finite construction is possible with factual and causative verbs only if the reflexive is expressed (as it commonly is with get):

I believed that I had won
I believed myself to have won (rare)
*I believed to have won
W:th י~litional ant attitudinal verbs, however. co-referential subjects are readily allowed but the subordinate subject cannot be expressed in the non-finite clause (of 12.19):
I intended that I should go
I intended to go
Note
A few verbs, get, hate, like, want, do not have a corresponding passive, while a few others, in particular say, occur only in the passive form of the construction:

He was said to come from Ireland ~ *They said him to come from Ireland

### 12.22

Prepositional verbs with for use for to introduce a to-infinitive clause:

## He arranged for Mary to come at once

The infinitive construction is therefore a direct object of the prepositional verb, which may be emotive or volitional. Some common verbs with this construction: ask, call, long, plan, wait.

## Note

Prepositional verbs that are ditransitive allow another object (perhaps also introduced ny a preposition) to precede the infinitive clause:
$\mathrm{He}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { telephoned } \\ \text { arranged with }\end{array}\right\}$ John for Mary to come at once
Cf: He telephoned John/He arranged with John for another meeting.

### 12.23

## Bare infinitive clauses with subject

Three causative verbs take a bare infinitive in their infinitive clause: have ('cause'), let, make:
They had/let/made Bob teach Mary

Some verbs of perception take the bare infinitive in the active: feel, hear, notice, observe, see, smell, watch. The verbs of perception also occur with the -ing participle clause (12.24):

## I watched Bob teach(ing) Mary

In the passive, the bare infinitive is replaced by the $t o$-infinitive: Bob was made to clean his room, They were heard to shout something. This does not apply to have and let, which have no passive, except for perbaps as in He was let go. Only let has a passive of the infinitive clause: They let Mary be taught (by Bob). With the verbs of perception, there is a passive with being (12.24): I watched Mary being taught (by Bob). For the passive corresponding to the infinitive clause after have and see as in I had Bob teach Mary ~ I had Mary taught (by Bob), see 12.25.

### 12.24

## -ing participle clauses with subject

Verbs taking an -ing participle clause fall into two classes: those which permit the subordinate subject to be genitive (predominantly emotive verbs with perscaal nocins or procanic) and those wh:ch disa!'ow the genitive.

Genitive optional (cf 11.18):

## I dislike him/his driving my car

With this type, the subject of the subordinate clause cannot be the subject of the superordinate clause in the passive: *He is disliked (by me) driving $m y$ car. When the superordinate and subordinate subjects are coreferential, the subordinate subject is not expressed: I dislike driving my car.
Genitive disallowed:

$$
\text { I found }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { him } \\
* \text { his }
\end{array}\right\} \text { driving my car }
$$

With this type, the subject of the subcrdinate claise can be the sukject of the superorainate clause $:$ the passive: He was found ariving my car. When the superordinate and subordinate subjects are co-referential, the subordinate subject is expressed by the reflexive: I found myself driving my car.

Where there is a choice between -ing participle or infinitive (whether bare or $i \omega$-infinitive), there is usually felt to be an aspectual difference that influances the choice (12.19):
I hate the door $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { slamming all night long } \\ \text { to slam just after midnight }\end{array}\right.$

Verbs taking a non-finite clause with subject may have
(1) only an -ing participle clause:

I started Bob cleaning the car
(2) either an -ing participle or a bare infinitive clause:

$$
\text { I watched Bob }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { doing his homework } \\
\text { do his homework }
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) either an -ing participle or a to-infinitive clause:

$$
\text { I hate Bob }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { working in the garden } \\
\text { to work in the garden }
\end{array}\right.
$$

We list common verbs according to whether they permit or disallow the renitive and within each class, we note the verbs which, in addition to the -ing construction, permit the infinitive construction, with or without $t o$ :
genitive optional: (1)-ing participle only: (cannot) afford, enjo", forget, (not) mind, regret, remember, resent, risk, (cannot) stand (2) -ing participic or $t$-infinitive: dislike, hate, like, love, p:efer genitive disallowed: (1) -ing participle only: catch, find, keep, leave, start, stop! (2) -ing participle or bare infinitive: have ('cause'); verbs of perception - jeei, hear, notice, observe, see, smell, watchl -ing participle or to-infinitive: get, informal (I got Bob cleaning/to clean his room)

### 12.25

## -ed participle clauses with subject

We can distinguish between three types of construction involving -ed participle with subject:
causative/volitional verb: He got the watch repaired
factual verb expressing an e:ent: He saw the watch stolen
factual verb expressing a current state: He founa the watch stclen
Some of the causative/volitional verbs have analogous finite clauses with a subjunctive verb or should (12.17): He ordered that the watch (should) be repaired. Similarly, the factual verbs have analogous finite clauses with an indicative verb: He saw the watch stolen $\sim$ He saw that the watch was being stolen, He found the watch stolen $\sim H e$ found that the watch was stolen. Have can be either causative or factual: thus He had a watch stolen is ambiguous between 'Hie caused the watcin to be stolen' and 'He suffered the loss of a watch' ( 14.23 Note $a$ ).

Common verbs of the three types are:
causative/volitional: get, order, have ('cause'), want
factual, expressing event: see, have ('suffet')
factual, expressing state: find, keep, leave
The factual verbs allow passivization:
The tourists found the chairs occupied
$\sim$ The chairs were found occupied (by the tourists)

## Verbless clauses with subject

### 12.26

In both the -ing and -ed clauses just considered. it is reasonable to see the non-finite clauses in many cases as resulting from ellipsis of infinitival be:
I hate him (to be) driving my car
They found the chairs (to be) occupied
With complementation by verbless clauses, we can also see underlying be clauses:

$$
\text { I consider }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { that John is in goo driver } \\
\text { John to be a good driver } \\
\text { John a good driver }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The two elements of such verbless clauses are thus in an intensive subjectcomplement relation, but since the whole construction is itself the object in the superordinate clause, we do not depart from the tradition of describing them as object and object complement respectively. As with other transitive sentences, the 'object' can be the subject in a passive transformation (John is considered a good driver), and as with other intensive clauses, the complement element can usually be realized by either a noun phrase or an adjective phrase:
He made the girl $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { his secretary } \\ \text { much happier }\end{array}\right.$
Wher the otject complement is an adjective it may be a 'current' or a 'resulting' attribute (7.9). Verbs taking a current attribute include: cali', consider, declare, find, have, keep, leave, like, prefer, think, want:

## I called hinn stupid

I always have my coffee hot
Verbs taking a resulting attribute: get, make, paint, as well as call, declare, etc, in their formal 'performative' use:

## I made her very angry

I declare the meeting open

Some combinations of verb and adjective resemble transitive phrasal verbs (12.3) in that the ndjectivn can precede or follow the noun phrase and (like the particle) cannot precede a personal pronoun:

She put the tablecloth straight
She put it straight
She put straigit the tablecloth
*She put straight it
She put the tablecloth out
She put it out
She put out the tablecloth
*She put out it
Likewise, the adjective cannot be separated from the verb by an adverb as adjunct:

She quickly put the tablecloth She quickly put the tablecloth straight
out
*She $r^{r}$ ut quickly the tablecloth *She put quickly the tablecloth straight out
*She Fat the tatlec! „th cuick *She put the tablecloth auickly straight out
Make is commonly the verb in such combinations: make clear (the reason), make possible (the meeting), make plain (the difference). Among adjectives, open, loose, free, and clear are particularly common: push open, keé luos, shalo fres, leñe clcar. In many cases, there is a close meaning relationship between verb and adjective: cut short, wash clean, drain dry, pack tight.
The adjective retains its potentialities for modification:
He pushed the door wide open
She didn't wash the shirts as clean as Mary did

### 12.27

Many of the verbs mentioned in 12.26 as taking adjective phrases as object complement will also admit noun phrases (exceptions include get, have and put). When the object complement is a noun phrase it can, as with the adjective phrase, be 'current' or 'resulting'. In general, however, the noun phrase as current attribute is uncommon and somewhat formal (unless it is indefinite with a gradable noun head and hence with an adjectival quality):

They thought John the leader (rather uncommon)
They thought John a fool
As resulting attribute, on the other hand, the noun phrase is freely used:
They $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { elected } \\ \text { made } \\ \text { appointed } \\ \text { named }\end{array}\right\}$ John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (the) chairman } \\ \text { (the) ambassador to Peru }\end{array}\right.$
They made John a useful mechanic

The verbs appoint, crown, elect, and consider are commonly useci with an alternative as construction:

They elected him (as) their leader
The following verbs are among those that can have complex complementation only with as or (less commonly) for: accept as, class as, descrive as, intend as, interpret as, know as, mistake for, recognize as, regard as, take as/for, lieat as, use as, for example: They recognized John as intelligent/their spokesman.
Most verbs taking a noun phrase as object complement will also admit an adjective phrase; outstanding exceptions include verbs of appointing such as appoint, choose, elect, name.

## Note

The object complement may precede the 'direct object' when the latter is lengthy or

They will elect chairman anyone willing to serve
He thought desirable most of the women in the room
Limitedly, an analogous inversion can occur with the -ing and -ed clauses of 12.24 f . Cf also 12.3.

## Ditransitive complementation

### 12.28

Noun ghrase as botil inkireci objici and direct object
Ditransitive complementation involves two objects that are not in intensive relationship (7.6): an indirect object (normally animate), which is positioned first, and a direct object (normally concrete):

## He gave the girl $\underbrace{\mathrm{a} \text { doll }}_{\mathrm{S}}$

Indirect objects can be omitted withnut affecting the meaning or function of the rest of the sentence:
He gave the girl a doll $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sim \text { He gave a doll } \\ \approx \text { He gave the girl }\end{array}\right.$
He bought the giri a white hat $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sim H e ~ b o u g h t ~ a ~ w h i t e ~ h a t ~\end{array}\right.$
They can usually he replaced by a corresponding prepositional phrase, which normally follows the direct object:

He gave a doll to the girl
He bought a white hat for the girl
We list some common verbs which allow the indirect object to be replaced by a prepositional phrase, the preposition concerned being indicated:
ask (a question) of (John), bring to, do (a favour) for, do (a disservice) to, find for, give to, leave for/to, lend to, make for, offer to, owe to, pay for, pour for, promise to, read to, save for, show' to, teach to, tell to, throw to

A few verbs disallow the variant with a prepositional phrase: allow, refuse, wish. With allow and wish, it :rould be exceptional to have either of the noun phrases omitted.

One group of verbs (chiefiy ask, owe, pay, teach, tell, show) taking ditransitive complementation allow either object to be omited:

I paid John the money $\sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I paid John } \\ \text { I paid the money } \\ \text { I paid the money to John }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

 the indirect object inanimate, though in such cases the latter has no variant with a prepositional phrase:
He gave the car a wash ('He washed the car')
$\sim$ *He gave a wash to the car
Sentences with some d"transitive verbs have two passives
He gave the girl a doll $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sim \text { The girl was given a doll } \\ \sim \text { A doll was given the girl }\end{array}\right.$
Of these two passives, the first is the more common. The seconic is us ala,.; replaced by the corresponding prepositional phrase:
A doll was given to the girl
$b b$ The verb make admits several different constructions:
monotrans: She made a cake
ditrans: She made him a cake ( $\sim$ a cake for him)
complex trans: She made him a good husband ( $\sim$ him into a good husband)
intensive: She made a good wife
intensive with 'indirect object': She made him a good wife ( $\sim$ turned out to be a good wife to/for him)

### 12.29

## Ditransitivg prepositional verbs

Ditransitive verbs whose direct object mlist be introduced by a preposition (ie ditransitive prepositional verbs) normally allow only one passive, with the indirect object as subject:

We reminded him of the agreement
$\sim \mathrm{He}$ was reminded of the agreement
They differ from most ditransitive verbs ( $c f 12.31$ ) in frequently allowing the indirect object to be expressed alone: We reminued him (of ihe agreement).

Common verbs of this type enter into constructions of the form accuse $X$ of $Y$, where with most of the verbs X is usually a person and Y is usually a thing:
charge with, compare to, congratulate on, convince of, deprive of,
inform of, introduce to, punish for, refer to, remind of, rob of, sentence to, treat to
But there are notable exceptions, such as explain $X$ to $Y$, where X would normally be a thing and Y a person.

With several verbs (eg: blame, provide, supply), either of the noun phrases in the complementation can follow the verb immediately, the other requiring a preposition:
She blamed John for the damage $\sim$ She blamed the damage on John
They $\bar{\sim}$ Fevined this hemeless with blankete $\sim$ They provided blankets for the homeless
They supplied the terrorists with guns $\sim$ They supplied guns for/to the terrorists

### 12.30

Idiomatic expressions consisting of verb+noun phrase+preposition
Sone verbo form an idiumatic unit when combined with certain roun phrases followed by certain prepositions and in this respect resemble many prepositional verbs (12.4). There are two passive forms of the sentence, since either of two noun phrases can become the subject of a passive sentence:
They had made gool use of the house
$\sim$ Good use had been made of the house
$\sim$ The house had been made good use of (informal)
Other examples of the latter kind of passive (chiefly informal) are:
Mary realized she was being made fun of
Her beauty was made much of
Pretty girls will always be taken notice of
The children were taken good care of
The following list includes some common idioms consisting of $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{NP}+$ prep:

| catch sight of | make allowance for | put a stop to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| give place to | make fun of | set fire to |


| lose touch with | pay attention to | take note of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lose track of | put an end to | take notice of |

12.31

Noun phrases as indirect object+finite clauses as direct object
With some verbs the indirect object is obligatory:
John convinced me that he was right
~*John convinced that he was right
With other verbs, it can be omitted:
John showed me that he was honest
$\sim$ John showed that he was honest
Common verbs in this type of construction are listed according to whether the indirect object is obligatory or optional.
indirect object obligatory: advise, assure, convince, inform,
persuade, remind, tell
indirect object optional: ask (+indirect question), promise, show, tewch, wat, $\quad$
The indirect object often occurs without the direct (cf. 12.29).
The sintenje can be passivized, with the indirect object as subject of the passive sentence: I was convinced that he was right. The verbs show and tell allow also the direct object to become subject of the passive sentence, though normally there is extraposition: That he was an honest man was shown (to me) $\sim$ It was shown (to me) that he was an honest man.
Some verbs require a prepositional phrase introduced by to instead of the indirect object. They all allow the omission of the prepositional phrase:

## John mentioned (to me) that they were sick

They allow a passive form with the direct object becoming subject of the sentence, though normally there is extraposition: That they were sick was mentioned (to me) (by John) ~It was mentioned (to mo) (iy Johiz) that they were sick. Common verhs used in this cunstruction include admit, announce, confess, declare, explain, mention, point out, remark, report, say, state, suggest.

### 12.32

Noun phrases as indirect object+non-finite clauses as direct object
Many of the superordinate verbs in 12.31 will allow the clausal direct object to be a to-infinitive clause:

They persuaded John $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { that he should see me } \\ \text { to see me }\end{array}\right.$
This is possible only when the indirect object is identical with the subject of the direct object clause: thus, They persuaded John that Mary should see me has no cerresponding form with a non-finite clause as direct object. The subject of the nen-finite clause can become the subject of a passive superurdinate clause:
John was persuaded to see me
Not all verbs taking a finite clause allow the non-finite clause as direct object but among the common verbs that permit both constructions we should mention ask (with wh-indirect questions), persuade, remind, teach, tell and warn. There are several verbs which permit the nor-inite clause but which do not (or do not freely) admit the finite clause; for example, ask ( $=$ 'request'), encourage, forč, help, and order:

Mary helped John to carry the bag
(*Mary helped John that he might carry the bag)
There is a superficial similarity between certain complex transitive and ditransitive examples:
complex trans: He wanted Mary to teach Bob
ditrans: He persuaded Mary to teacn Boo
The difference can be seen when the subordinate clause is made passive:

| He wanted Bob to be taught by Mary | $[3,=1]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| He persuaded Bob to be taught by Mary | $[4, \neq 2]$ |

This difference depends on the fact that, with complex transitive verbs, the infinitive clause (Mary to teach Bob) is direct object and Mary is not itself a constituent of the superordinate clause. With the ditransitive verb persuade, however, Mary as indirect object is indeed a separate constituent (the subject of the infinitive clause in this instance being only implied). In [4], this indirect object function is taken over by Bob, and hence the radically changed meaning.
Note
When a wh-clause is object to a verh of stating, the subject is identical with the indirect object; with verbs of asking, however, it is identical with the superordinate subject:

He told them where to go (=where they shoald go)
He asked them where to go ( $=$ where he should go)

## Bibliographical note

On types and problems of complementation, see Aijmer (1972); Allen (1960); Bald (1972); Halliday (1967-68); Huddleston (1971), Ch 3, 4; Macháçek (1965); Poldauf (1972); Rosenbaum (1967); van Ek (1966); Stockwell et al (1973), Ch 8.

## THIRTEEN

## THE COMPLEX NOUN PHRASE

## 13.1

Just as the sentence may be indefinitely complex (11.1), so may the noun nhrase. This must ve sn. since sentences themselves can be reshaped so as to come within noun-phrase structure. For example, the following sentences - simple and complex - can become one simple sentence with a very complex noun phrase as subject:
The girl is Mary Smith [1a]
The gill is pretty [1b]
The girl was standing in the corner
You waved to the girl when you entered
The girl became angry because you waved to her
The pretty girl standing in the corner who became angry because you waved to her when you entered is Mary Smith
Moreover, starting from [2], we could unhesitatingly reconstruct any of the sentences listed in [1]-and in fact we could not understand the nounphrase subject of [2] unless we recognized its component parts as they are set out in [1]. Yet [2] bas introduced many changes. We have suppressed all or part of the verbs in [1b] and [1c] (different in tense and aspect); we have put the complement pretty of [1b] before the noun girld we have replaced the girl of [1e] by who. The purpose of the present chapter is te state the conditions governing such changes.
13.2

In describing complex noun phrases, we distinguish three components:
(a) The head, around which the other components cluster and which dictates concord and other kinds of congruence with the rest of the sentence outside the noun phrase. Thus, we can have [1], [2], and [3], but not [4]:
The pretty girl standing in the corner . . . is . . .
The pretty girls standing in the corner . . . are . . .

He frightened the pretty girl standing in the corner
*He frightened the pretty lampshade standing in the corner
That is, there are no constraints affecting frighten and the pretty . . . standing in the corner but only frighten and the head lampshade.
(b) The premodification, which comprises all the items placed before the head - notably adjectives and nouns. Thus:
The pretty girl
Some pretty college girls
(c) The postmodification, comprising all the items placed after the head - notably prepositional phrases, non-finite clauses, and relative clauses:

The girl in the corner
The girl standing in the corner
The girl who stood in the corner

## 13.3

## Restrictive and non-restrictive

Modification can be restricuve or non-restrictıve. That is, the head can be viewed as a member of a class which can be linguistically identified only through the nodifeatien that kas been supp!!ed (restrictiv?). Or the heai can be viewed as unique or as a member of a class that has been independently identified (for example, in a preceding sentence); any modification given to such a head is additional information which is not essential for identifying the head, and we call it non-restricive.
In example [2] of 13.1, the girl is only identifiable as Mary Smith provided we understand that it is the particular girl who is pretty, who was standing in the corner, and who became angry. Such modification is restrictive. By contrast, if a man (in a monngamous society) says

Come and meet my beautiful wife
the modification beautiful is understood as non-restrictive. Again,
Mary Smith, who is in the corner, wauts to meet you
has a non-restrictive relative clause since Mary Smith's identity is independent of whether or not she is in the corner, though the information on her present location may be useful enough. In these examples, the modification is inherently non-restrictive, since the heads in question - being treated as unique - will not normally admit restriction. But any head can be non-restrictively modified:

The pretty girl, who is a typist, is Mary Smith

Here the only information offered to identify the girl as Mary Smith is the allusion to her prettiness; the mention of her work as a typist is not offered as an aid to identification but for additional interest.
Mudification at its 'most restrictive' tends to come after the head : that is, our decision to use an item as a premodifier (such as si!!y in The silly boy got lost) often reflects our wish that it be taken for granted and not be interpreted as a specific identifier. Secondly, restrictive modification tends to be given more prosodic emphasis than the head; non-restrictive modification, on the other hand, tends to be unstressed in pre-head position, while in post-head position, its ' parenthetic' relation is endorsed by being given a separate tone unit (App II.7), or - in writing - by being enclosed by commas.

## 13.4

## Temporary and permanent

There is a second dichotomy that has some affinities with the distinction between restrictive and non-restrictive but rather more with the contrast of non-progressive and progressive in predication (3.27), generic or specific reference in determiners (4.16), or permanent and temporary in agentials (App I. 13 Note $b$ ). Modification in noun-phrase structure may also be seen as permanent or temporary (5.18), such that itenus placed in premodification position are given the linguistic status of permanent or at áay räte chãacteí istic .eatures. Although this does not mean that postmodification position is committed to either temporariness or permanence, those adjectives which cannot premodify have a notably temporary reference. Thus The man is ready would be understood as having reference only to a specific time and this corresponds to the non-occurrence of *The ready man. On this basis, we see that timidity and fear are contrasted in part according as the first is seen as permanent, the second as temporary:

A man who is timid $\sim$ A timid man
A man who is afraid ~ *An afraid man
Just as some modifiers are too much identified with temporary status to appear in pre-head position. so there can be modification constrained to pie-head position because it indicates permanent status. Compare or iginal in the original version and his work is quite original, in the latter, it would permit adverbial indication of time span (now, always, . . .), as well as use in premodification.

Postmodification
13.5

## Explicltness

As we saw in 13.1, premodification is in general to be interpreted (and
most frequently can only be interpreted) in terms of postmodification and its greater explicitness. It will therefore be best to begin our cietailed study of noun-phrase structure with the forms of postmodification.
Explicitness in postmodification varies considerably, however. It is greater in the finite relative clause
The girl who was standing in the corner
than in the non-finite clause
The girl standing in the corner
from which the explicit tense (is?/was?) has disappeared, though this in turn is more explicit than the prepositional phrase

## The girl in the corner

frcm whish the ve-t ind:c-tilo a spon:fic nosture has ?leo disapneared. We are able (and usually must be able) to infer such facts as tense from the sentential context much as we infer the subject of non-finite adverbial clauses (11.35):
The girl standing in the corner $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { now } \\ \text { last i,ight }\end{array}\right\}$ is my sister
Have you spoken to the girl in the corner?
Part of the relative clause's expliciness 'ies in the specifying power of the relative pronoun. It is capable (a) of showing agreement with the head and (b) of indicating its status as an element in the relative clause structure.

Agreement is on the basis of a two-term 'gender' system, personal and $\mathrm{n} \subset \mathrm{n}$-personal (4.58 ff, 4.81):

| Joan, who | London, which . |
| :---: | :---: |
| The boy/people who... | The fox/animals which . |
| The human being who | The human body which |
|  | The unicorn which |

The fairy who . . .
The unicorn which .. .
It will be seen from these examples that 'personality' is ascribed basically to human beings but extends to creatures in the supernatural world (angels, elves, etc) which are thought of as having human characteristics such as speech. It does not extend to the body or character, in part or whole, of a human being, living or dead, when this is considered as separate from the entire person. Pet animals can be regarded as 'personal' (at least by their owners):

Rover, who was barking, frightened the children
On the other hand human babies can be regarded (though rarely perhaps by their parents) as not having developed personality:

This is the bajy which needs inoculation
Though ships ma; take the personal pronoun she (4.64), the relative pronoun is regularly non-personal:
Is she the ship which is due to leave for New York tomorrow?
It is noteworthy that collective nouns (4.62) are treated as personal when they have plural concord, non-personal when they have singular:

$$
\text { The }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { committee } \\
\text { group }
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { who were } \\
\text { which was }
\end{array}\right\} \text { responsible for this decision ... }
$$

## 13.6

## Case in the relative pronoun

Case is used to indicate the status of the relative pronoun in its clause. There ?re two situations to consider. First. if the nronoun is in a genitive relation to a noun head, the pronoun can have the form whose:

The woman whose daughter you met is Mrs Brown
(The woman is Mrs Brown; you met her daughter)
The house whose roof was damaged has now been repaired
(The ioust hus in Jw isen Iepaired; its rocf was demaged)
In examples like the latter where the antecedent head is non-personal, there is some tendency to avoid the use of whose (by using, for example, the roof of which), presumably because many regard it as the genitive only of the personal who.
Secondly, with a personal antecedent, the relative pronoun can show the distinction between who and whom, depending on its role as subject of the relative clause or as object or as prepositional complement:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { The girl who spoke to him } & {[1]} \\ \text { The girl to whom he spoke } & {[2]} \\ \text { The girl who }(\mathrm{m}) \text { he spoke to } & {[3]} \\ \text { The girl who }(\mathrm{m}) \text { he met } & {[4]}\end{array}$
The girl who(m) he met
It will be noticed that when the governing preposition precedes its complement (cf 5.3) as in the rather formal [ 2 ], the chcice of $w h \mathrm{~cm}$ is ctligatoi $y$. When it does not, as in the more informal [3], or when the relative pronoun is the object, as in [4], there is some choice between who or whom, the latter being preferred in written English and by some speakers, the former being widely current informally.

## 13.7

Relative pronoun and adverbial
The relative pronoun can be replaced by special adjunct forms for place, time, and cause:

That is the place where he was born
That is the period when be lived here That is the reason why he spoke
There are considerable and complicated restrictions on these adjunct forms, however. Many speakers find their use along with the corresponding antecedent somewhat tautologous - especially [3] - and would prefer the wh-clause without antecedent:

That is where he was born
That is when he lived here
That is why he spoke
If how is used, such clauses cannot in any case have an antecedent noun:
That is how he spoke
Moreover, there are restrictions on the antecedent nouns that can occur in [1-3]. With [3], reason is virtually alone, and with [1] and [2], it is also the most general and abstraci ncuns of place and time that seem to be preferred. Thus while
The office where he works . . The day when ie was horn ...
are acceptable to most users of English, others would prefer a prepositional phrase in each case:
The office $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { at which . . (formal) } \\ \text { which . . at }\end{array}\right.$ The day $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { on which ... (formal) } \\ \text { which . . on }\end{array}\right.$
or one of the less explicit forms that we shall now be considering (The office he works at, The day he was born).

## Restrictive relative clauses

## Choice of relative pronoun

13.8

Though most of the examples in 13.5 ff have been of restrictive clauses, it is in the non-restrictive relative clauses that the most explicit forms of relative proncun aie typically used. In restrictive clauses, frequent use is made of a general pronoun that which is independent of the persoual or non-personal character of the antecedent and also of the function of the pronoun in the relative clause:
The boy that is playing the piano ... (or who)
The table that stands in the corner ... (or which)
[3]
The boy that we met . . (or who $(m)$ )
The table that we admire . . . (or which)
The boy that the dog ran towards... (or towards whom)

Provided the relative pronoun is not the subject of the relative clause, as in [1] and [2], a further option exists in relative clause structure of having no relative pronoun at all: the clause with 'zero' $(\varnothing)$ relative pronoun. The examples [3-6] could take this form:

The boy we met . . . (who(m), that)
The table we admire ... (which, that)
The boy the dog ran towards . . (towards whom, who(m)/that ... towards)
The table the boy crawled under . . . (under which, which/that . . . under)
Some choice exists in placing a preposition which has a $w$ - pronoun as its complement (13.6); there is no such choice with that and zero, where the preposition must be postpused.

The choices are summarized in the diagram:


Nota
Choices are not onlv conuected with relative formality. Scme prepositions carno: be postposed (*the meeting that I slept during). Who is often preferred to that when it is subject and when the anrecedent is perscnal (people who visit me); but that is preferred to who (m) when it is object, in part perhaps to avoid the who/whom choice (people that I visit). When the verb in the relative ciause is be, the complement pronoun must be that or zero (John is not the man he was). This example illustrates one of the most favoured uses of zero: ie when the pronoun is object or complement, the subject is pronominal, and the relative clause is short. When the antecedent is long and complex, wh- pronouns are preferreci:
I have interests outside my daily professional work which give me great
pleasure
13.9

Just as that and zero are available when the relative pronoun is dominated by a preposition, so they can be used when the relative pronoun is part of a place, time, or cause adjunct. With place adjuncts, the preposition must usually be expressed:

This is the garden (tuat) he sunbathes in
This is the university (that) he works at
But with the time adjuncts, omission of the preposition is usual whether the pronoun is that or zero (cf $6.25 f$ ):

This is the time (that) be normally arrives (at)
Monday was the day (that) he left (on)
Iis Minüg easci, indeed, orission of the nereposition is obligatory, especially when the antecedent is itself the head of a time adjunct phrase :

He worked the whole time (that) he lived there
But when (less frequently and more formally) the pronoun is which, the prepositioc must be expressed in these instances and it would be usual to make it precede the pronoun (cf 13.7):

This is the time at which he normally arrives
Monday was the day on which he left
With cause and manner adjuncts, the usual pronoun is that or zero, and there is no preposition:

## This is the reason (that) he came

This is the way (that) he did it
But with manner adjuncts, it would not be abnormal to find which with a preposition in a more formal style:

This is the way in which he did it

### 13.10

## Quartified heads

Beside the noun phrase the girls that he knew, we may have one in which the head is made quantitatively indefinite with the predeterminer such, the relative pronoun that being replaced by as:
Such girls as he knew were at the party
Compare: As many girls as he knew . . . A further connection with comparative sentences ( $c f 11.37 \mathrm{ff}$ ) can be scen in:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { More } \\ \text { Fewer }\end{array}\right\}$ girls than he knew were at the party

### 13.11

## Non-restrictive relative clauses

The loose non-restrictive relationship is often semantically indistinguishable from coordination (with or without conjunction) or adverbial subordination, as we indicate by paraphrases of the examples below. The repertoire of pronouns is limited to the wh-items:

Then he met Mary, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { who invited him to a party } \\ \text { and she invited him to a party }\end{array}\right.$
Here is John Smith $\left\{\begin{array}{l}, \text { who }(m) \text { I mentioned the other day } \\ ; \text { I mentioned him the other day }\end{array}\right.$
He got lost on Snowdor, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { which was enveloped in fog } \\ \text { when it was enveloped in fog }\end{array}\right.$
He got lost on Snowdon, $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { which he was exploring } \\ \text { while he was exploring it }\end{array}\right.$

## Note

As a determiner, which appears in non-restrictive clauses that
ly by temporal adjuncts, but this is largely in formal style:
He emigrated ia 1840, at which tlme there was much hardship and unrest

### 13.12

Sentential relative clauses
One type of non-restrictive clause has as its antecedent not a noun phrase but a whole clause or sentence or even sequence of sentences. As with the clauses in 13.11, the relationship frequently resembles coordination, but these clauses are also very much like disjuncts. For example:

## He admires Mrs Brown, which $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { surprises me } \\ \text { I find strange }\end{array}\right.$

$C f$ 'and this surprises me'; 'to my surprise'.
Quite often, which is used in these clauses as a determiner of factive nouns which represent the antecedent clause or sentence:

The train may have been held up, in which case we are wasting our time

### 13.13

## Appositive clauses

The appositive clause (9.58) resembles the relative clause in being capable of introduction by that, and in distinguishing between restrictive and nonrestrictive. It differs in that the particle that is not an element in the clause structure (subject, object, etc) as it must be in a relative clause. It differs also in that the head of the noun phrase must be a factive abstract noun
such as fact itself, proposition, reply, remark, answer, and the like. For example:

The belief that no one is infallible is well-founded
I agree with the old saying that absence makes the heart giuw fonder
As with apposition generally (cf9.45), we can link the apposed units with be (where the copula typically has nuclear prominence):

The belief is that no one is infallible (. . . Is . . . )
The old saying is that absence makes the heart grow fonder
Or we may replace deverbal nouns like belief by the corresponding verb plus object clause: He believes that no one is infallible. It will be noticed that these restrictive examples have the definite artic!e before the head noun: th:s is normal birt by no mannc invariable (except ryith a few nomus referring to certainty, especially fact):

> A message that he would be late arrived by special delivery

Plural heads are also rare with appositive postmodification and are regarded as unacceptable, for example, with be'ief, fact, possibility.

Non-restrictive appositive clauses can less easily resemble relative clauses since irre -per'ive of non-iestrictiveness they still involve the particie that, in shierp contri.st with non-restrictive relative clauses:

This fact, that that is obligatory, should be easy to remember

## Postmodification by non-finite clauses

13.14
-ing participle clauses
Postmodification of the noun phrase is possible with all three of the nonfinite clause types (11.3), and the correspondence between restrictive relative and non-finite clauses will be illustrated.

The man writing the obituary is my friend
The latter will be interpreted, according to the context ( $c f 13.15$ ), as equivalent to one of the former more explicit versions. So too:

A tile falling from a roof shattered into fragments at his feet ('which fell from a roof')

At the station you will see a man carrying a large umbrella ('who will be carrying a large umbrella')
The man writing on the board when you came in
(' who was writing . . .')
But not all -ing forms in non-finite postmodifiers correspond to progressive forms in relative clauses. Stative verbs, which cannot have the progressive in the finite verb phrase, can appear in participial form:

He is talking to a girl resembling Joan ('who resembles Joan' not
'*who is resembling Joan')
It was a mixture consisting of oil and viregar ('that consisted . . .')
In all instances, the antecedent head corresponds to the deleted subject of the non-finite verb clause; there is no non-finite postmodifier, therefore


The obituary that the man is writing will be published tomorrow
without recourse to the passive, being written by the man (13.15).

### 13.15

## -ed participle clauses

Consider now the different versions of the following:
The only car that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { will be repaired } \\ \text { is (being) repaired } \\ \text { was (being) repaired }\end{array}\right\}$ by that mechanic is mine
The only car (being) repaired by that mechanic is mine
Again, the latter will be interpreted, according to the context, as equivalent to one of the former. Thus:
The only car $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { repaired } \\ \text { being repaired } \\ \text { repaired } \\ \text { repaired }\end{array}\right]$ by that mechanic $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { next week . . . } \\ \text { now . . . } \\ \text { on Tuesdays . . . } \\ \text { before he left . . }\end{array}\right.$

## Another example:

## Any coins found on this site must be handed to the police

('that are found . . : or, more precisely, 'that may be found . . .')
The antecedent head is identical with the deleted subject of the -ed postmodifying clause as it is with the -ing construction, but in this case the participle concerned is as firmly linked with the passive voice as that in the -ing construction is linked with the active. Hence, with inıransitive verbs, there is no -ed postmodifier corresponding exactly to a relative clause:

The train which has arrived at platform one is from York
*The train arrived at platform one is from Yoris

## 13.1 í

Infinitivé clauses
The non-finite clause in
The next train to arrive was from York
could, in a suitable context, have precisely the same meaning as the relacould, in a suitable context, have precisel the subject of an infinitive clause need not be the antecedent. It may be separately introduced by the for-device (11.3) or it may be entirely covert:

The man for John to consult is Wilson
The man to consult is Wilson
where the latter non-finite clause could be understood, arcording to context, as '(The man) that youlhe, etc should consult' or 'that everyone should consult'. Still more elliptically, the infinitive clause may omit also au entire ¿djunct phrase, as in

The time to arrive is 8 nm

## A gond place to stay is the White Hart

where a fairly common alternative is to introduce the relative pronoun and retain the infinitive clause:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text {. . . time at which to arrive . . . } \\ \text {. . place at which to stay . . }\end{array}\right\}$ (the subject obligatorily absent)
Compare the way in wiich to do i! becide the way to do it.
Compare the way in winich to to : becide the way to do it.
Finally it should be noted that voice and mood are variable, the latter covertly:
The time to arrive ( = at which you should arrive)
The case to be investigated ( $=$ that will or is to be investigated)
The money to buy food (= with which you may buy)
The procedure to be followed ( $=$ which nuwst or should or will be followed)

### 13.17

## Non-restrictive postmodification

Non-restrictive postmodification can also be achicved with non-finite clauses:

The apple tree, swaying gently in the breeze, had a good crop of fruit ('which was swaying...')
The substance, discovered almost by accident, has revolutionized medicine ('which was discovered . . .')

This scholar, to be seen daily in the British Museum, has devoted his life to the history of science ('who can be seen . . .')
These clauses can be moved to initial position without change of meaning, but in that case they can no longer be expanded into finite relative clauses. Indeed, they have an implicit semantic range beyond that of a relative clause (cf 11.36). Thus the non-finite clause in this example:
The man, wearing such dark glasses, obviously could not see clearly could be a reduction of a relative clause 'who was wearing . . .' or of a causal clause 'because he was wearing . . .' or of a temporal clause such as 'whenever he wore . . $\therefore$ '
Note
Cf the semantic versatility noted in finite non-i estrictive relative clauses, 13.11.

### 13.18

## Appositive postmodification

Appositive postmodification is fairly common by means of infinitive clauses. A restrictive example:

The appeal to jcin the risvement was well rereived
which would correspond to the finite that people shouldjoin the movement. A corresponding nun-resirictive example:

This last appeal, to come and visit him, was never delivered
There are cases of non-finite postmodification where no corresponding finite apposition exists:

Any attempt to leave early is against regulations
(*. . . that one should leave early . . .)
He lost the ability to use his hunds
In all these examples, the construction obliges us to infer the (often indefinite) subject of the infinitive clause from the context. But a subject may be explicitly introduced by a prepositional device:

> The appeal fcr John to join . .
> Any attempt by John to leave . .

Notes
On-ing clauses in appositive structures, sec 13.20.

## Postmodification by prepositional phrases

13.19

Relation to more explicit modifiers
In 13.5 we saw that the sentence 'The girl was standing in the corner' could yield the noun phrase, The girl in the corner. A prepositional phrase
is by far the commonest type of postmodification in English: it is three or four times more frequent than either finite or non-finite clausal postmodification. The full range of prepositions is involved:

| The road to Lincoln | Two years before the war |
| :--- | :--- |
| A tree by a stream | A man from the electricity board |
| The house beyond the church | This book on grammar |

including the complex prepositions (6.4):
Action in case of fire Passengers on board the ship
and including those having participiai form:

## A delay pending further inquiry

Among the prepositions iess comnuniy uoud in posimodification :̈e hould mention like in the sense 'resembling': 'The man like John is over there'. But it is common and fully acceptable in the sense 'such as':

A man like John would never do that
It is natural te relate such prepositional postmodifications to $\dot{e}$ sentences ('the man in the corner' $\sim$ 'the man is in the corner'), though in some instances more seems to be ellipted than the verb be. For example, we presumaoly need to regard

The university as a political forum
as related to a somewhat fuller predication:
The university is $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { acting } \\ \text { regarded }\end{array}\right\}$ as a political forum
Again, although there is no problem with
The present for John cost a great deal
(The present is for John)
we cannot interpret so straightforwardly
The man for the job is John (=the right man for the job . . .) Again, it is not through be sentences that we must understand

The man with a red beard
The girl with a furny hat
but rather through have sentences ('The man has a red beard'): cf 6.37

### 13.20

## The of-genitive

It is with have sentences that we find the most obvious resemblance when
13.21 Restrictive and non-restrictive
we turn to the commonest prepositional postmodification of all, the ofphrase:

A man of courage $\sim$ The man has courage
But, as we saw in 4.69 ff , many relationships find expression through the of-genitive, and one that deserves brief consideration here is the appositive relation which in fact resembles a be sentence:

The pleasure of your company $\sim$ Your company is a pleasure
Where the postmodification has an -ing clause, the subject may have to be inferred from the context or it may be identified with a premodifier of the head:

The hope of winning a prize $(=X$ hoped that $X$ would win a prize $)$ John's hope of winning a prize ( = Júm inupé́ that he woidd . . .)
But a separate subject may be introduced:
John's hope of Mary('s) winning a prize ( $=$ John hoped that Mary would...)
On Mary versus Mary's here, see 11.18. Where the postmodification has a deverbal noun, a specified 'subject' must, of course, be genitive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { John's hope of Mary's arrival (= John hoped tnat Mary would } \\
& \text { arrive) }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.21

## Restrictive and non-restrictive

Prepositional phrases may thus be non-appositive or appositive, and in either function, they can be restrictive or non-restrictive:

This book on grammar (non-appositive, restrictive)
This book, on grammar, (non-appositive, non-restrictive)
The issue cf student grants (appositive, restrictive)
The issue, of student grants, (appositive, non-restrictive)
But we must mention some limitations. The second example in each case is rare and rather awkward: non-restrictive appositives would more usually be without a preposition, as in

The issue, student grants
and would thus have the primary form described in 9.49 ff . On the other hand, if the ambiguous noun phrase

The issue of student grants
had its non-appositive meaning (objective of: 'someone issued student grants'), non-restrictive function would be rare and unnatural, plainly suggesting an awkward afterthought.

### 13.22

## Position and varied relationship

As with non-finite postmodifiers when non-restrictive, so with prepositional phrases, the non-restrictive function merges with adverbial expressions; compare

$$
\text { The children }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { behind the fence } \\
\text { on the bus }
\end{array}\right\} \text { jeered at the soldiers }
$$

which means 'Those children who were . . .'

$$
1 \text { ne children, }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { behind the fence } \\
\text { on the bus }
\end{array}\right\} \text {, jeeres at the suldie is }
$$

which may mean 'The children, who (by the way) were ...' or, on the other hand, 'The chiliuren, no w that they were (safely . . .)': cf9.54 Note. It is rather this latter implication that becomes uppermost if the prepositional phrase is moved into initial posision -

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { Behind the fence, } \\
\text { On the bus, }
\end{array}\right\} \text { the children jeered at the soldiers }
$$

Again, the prepositional phrase in the following is poised between interpretation as non-restrictive postmodifier and as adverbial:

Money, in aid of the refugees, was collected from students and staff
In the former interpretation, the money zollected was in aid of the refugees, whereas in the latter, the act of collecting money was in aid of the refugees, since in this case the adverbial modifies the whole predication just as it would in initial position:

In aid of the refugees, money was collected . . .

### 13.23

## Deverbal notir: heads

We should not, however, exaggerate the difference between the prepositional phrase as adverbial and the prepositional phrase as postmodifier. The second of these should rather be regarded as a special instance of the first, depending for its interpretation on our ability to relate it to a sentence in which it is adjunct. In the following, for instance,
(a) A quarrel broke out in the morning over pay
both the prepositional phrases are introduced as adjuncts. If we wish to refer agaiu to the quarrel, these adjuncts may now become postmodifiers:
(b) The quarrel in the morning ruined their friendship
(c) The quarrel over pay was the reason for his resignation

The relation of postmodifier to adjunct may be even clearer if instead of (a) we take a sentence in which quarrel occurs as a verb:
(d) They quarrelled in the morning over pay
to which we also relate ( b ) and (c) but in this case through conversion of the verb (App I.24). Such conversion should be distinguished from the process (11.18) whereby (d) could become a non-finite clause as subject of sentences like (b) or (c):
Their quarrelling over pay was the reason for his resignation
The subject of this sentence is a clause rather than a noun phrase, as we can see from the fact that in such cases adjective modification is often inadmissible. By contrast, a deverbal head (App I.13, I.16) will not permit premodifying adverbs:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { The violent quarrel over pay } & \text { *The violently quarrel over pay } \\
\text { *Their safe arriving in Cairo } & \text { Their safe arrival in Cairo }
\end{array}
$$

## Note

As well as distinguishing between the deverbal noun (eg: quarrel, arrival, suggestion, painting as count noun) and the corresponding verbal nouns in -ing, we need to recognize a complex gradience through what is traditonally called 'gerund' to the purely participial form in a finite verb phrase:
Some paintings of Brown's (ie some paintings that Brown owns)
Brown's paintings of his daughter (ie paintings owned by Brown,
depicting his daughter but painted by someone else)
Brown's paintings of his daughter (ie they depict his daughter and were painted by him)
The painting of Brown is as skilful as that of Gainsborough (ie Brown's
(a) technique of painting or (b) action of painting)

Brown's deft painting of his daughter is a delight to watch ( $i e$ it is a delight to watch while Brown deftly paints his daughter)
Brown's deftly painting his daughter is a delight to watch ( $=[4 \mathrm{~b}]$ and $[5]$ in meaning)
I dislike Rrown's painting his daughter (ie I dislike either (a) the fact or (b) the way Brown joes it)

I dislike Brown painting his daughter ( $=[7 \mathrm{a}]$ )
I watched Rrown painting his daughter (ie: either I watched Brown as he painted or I watched the process of Brown('s) painting his daughter) Brown deftly painting his dauguter is a delight to watch ( $=[4 \mathrm{~b}]$ and $[5]$ ) Painting his daughter, Brown noticed that his hand was shaking (ie while he was painting)
Brown painting his daughter that day, I decided to go for a walk (ie since Brown was painting)
The man painting the girl is Brown (ie who is painting)
The silently painting man is Brown (ie who is silently painting) [13]
He is painting his daughter

### 13.24

## Minor types of postmodification

We come now to some relatively minor types of postmodification. These are (a) adverbial modification (cf 5.28); (b) the postposed adjective (cf 5.4 f ); and (c) the nostposed 'mode' qualifier. For example,
(a) The road back was dense with traffic
(b) Something strange happened last night
(c) Lobster Newburg is difficult to prepare

In (a) we recognize some such sentence as 'The road which leads back (to London)', from which all but the subject and an important adjunct have been dropped. Similarly 'The way (which leads) in (to the auditorium)', 'The people (who are sitting) behind'.
in (u), we have in fact two suoiypes. The first has been thestrased. Tha indefinite pronouns such as anybody, someone can be followed but not preceded by adjective modification. The pronouns concerned are the any-, some-, no- series ( 4.91 ff ) plus one or two others (cf: what else, who next, etc). But we are not free to postpose with indefinites all modifying items thai cás be preposed with ordinary noun heads:

## A party official is waiting but not *Somebody party is waiting

Even adjectives need generally iu be 'permanent' and hence eligible equally for attributive and predicative use ( 13.4 ; cf 5.13 ff$)$ ) thus

## Somebody timid rather than *Somebody afraid

The other subtype in (b) consists chiefly of the sprinkling of noun plus adjective phrases (modelled on French) like blood royal, heir apparent. These are of little importance in themselves, being infrequently used (though our ability to form names like Hotel Majestic suggests that they are more than mere fossils) and it is likely that the native speaker feels them to be very similar to compound nouns. Nevertheless, beside this subtype, there is a similar but much more general phenomenon. When a head is noa-restrictively modified by a coordinated stiing of adjectives, it is common to postpose them:

## A man, timid and hesitant, approached the official

though the potential mobility of the string allows it to be detached from the noun phrase altogether ( $c f$ 13.17). Even a restrictiveiy modifying adjective can be postposed if it is itself modified (by an adjunct, not by the intensifier very: cf 5.5):
A man always timid is unfit for this task (cf: "A man very timid)
This is particularly common where the modification is of a 'temporary'
nature (13.4). Thus beside The finest available car, we have The finest car (currently) available.

With (c), we again encounter a French model: Lobster Newburg. Though virtually confined to cuisine, it is moderately productive within these limits, perhaps especially in AmE. In BrE one finds veal parf-ika and many others, but there is some resistance to this type of postposition with other than French lexical items, as in paté maison, sole bonne femme.
Though technically a prepositional phrase pheiomenon, expressions involving a la clearly belong here. It appears in culinary formations like chicken $d . a$ king, and also (informally or facetiously) to designate style:

```
Another play à la Osborne has appeared, though I forget who wrote it
```


### 13.25

## Multiple modification

(a) A head may have more than one postmodification. Thus

The girl in the corner and The girl talking to John
can be brought torether as
The girl in the corner (and) talking to John
Without conjunction, there would usually be a hierarcny:
\{[The girl (in the corner)] talking to John\}
(b) A modification may be applicable to more than one head. Thus

The girl in the corner and The boy in the corner
can be brought together by multiple-head rules which permit the determiner to apply to both heads (cf 9.37):

The girl and boy in the corner
By bringing (a) and (b) together, we can produce complexes such as:
The girl and boy in the corner (and) talking to John
(c) The head of a modifying phrase may itself be modified; thus

The girl in the corner and The corner nearest the door
may be brought together as
The girl in the corner nearest the door
By bringing (a), (b), and (c) together, we form
The girl and boy in the corner nearest the door talking to John
though many fastidious users of English would prefer to end with a relative clause here ('. . . who are talking to John'), no doubi in response to an instinct that prompts the introduction of explicitness at a point which is relatively distant from the head.

### 13.26

## Ambiguity and constraints on multiple modification

Frequently, careful ordering of constituents in a noun phrase is essential to communicate all (and only) one's intention. To take an obvious example, the following pair differ in meaning and are nct mere stylistic variants:

## The man in black talking to the girl <br> The man talking to the girl in black

One of the chief reasons for preferring the of-phrase to the $-s$ genitive is to avoid discontinuity (with unwanted humour); thus
The ears of the man in the deckebnir and not

## *The man's ears in the deckchair

(But cf; with group genitive, The man in the deckchair's ears: 4.74.)
A special type of multiple modification that requires careful ordering occurs when the modifying clause becomes itself embedded in a clause. Consider the following series:

John will write a poem for you
Tom hopes (that) John will write a poem for you
I will read the poem (which) Tom hopes (that) John will write for you
In this last sentence, the relative pronoun (which) is object in the italicized relative clause. When, however, a relative pronoun is subject, the conjunction that must be omitted
A poem will be written for you
Tom hopes (that) a poem will te written for you
I will read the poem (which) Tom $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { hopes will } \\ \text { *hopes that will }\end{array}\right\}$ be written for you

## Note

Even with simpler examples and the most careful ordering, we may find clarity and acceptable grammar difficult to attain in multiple modification. Beginning with
He liked the smiles of delight on all the faces
a noun phrase based on this sentence and having smiles as its head may be ambiguous in one ordering.
The smiles of celight on all the faces that he liked
(was it the smiies or the faces that he liked?), and grammatically awkward in another.
13.25 Premodification by adjectives

## Premodificatlon

13.27

Types of premodifying item
Holding constant a lexical frame (his . . cottage) and non-restrictive function, we have the following range of premodifying items:
(a) ADJECTIVE

I visited his delightful cottage
(His rottage is delightful)
(b) PARTICIPLE

I visited his crumbling cottage
(His cottage is crumbling)
I visited his completed cottage
(His cottage has been completed)
(c) -SCENITI:Z

1 visited ints fisherman's cottage
(The cottage belonged to a fisherman)
It should be noticed that if we had used a more normal genitive example (his uncle's cottage) we would have changed the relationship of his.
(d) NOUN

I visited his country cottage (His cuttage is in the ceuntry)
(e) ADVERBIAL

I visited his far-away cottage (His cottage is far away)
(f) sentence
(?) I visited his pop-down-for-the-wcekend cottage (cf His cottage is ideal to pop down to for the weekend)
This type is largely playful and familiar. Somewhat more generally used are noun phrases which can be interpreted either as having a sentence as premodifier or as being object (usually of know) in an embedded noun clause:

He asked I don't know hoेw many peofle

### 13.28

Premodification by adjectives
A premodifying adjective, especially when it is the first item after the determiner, can itself be premodified in the same way as it can in predicative position (5.23):

His really quite unbelievably delightful cottage
Some intensifiers tend however to be avoided with premodifying adjec-
tives. Thus the predicative phrase in His cottage which is so beautiful would seem a little affected in premodification: His so beautiful cottage. With indefinite determiners, so would be replaced by such (cf 5.27):

A cottage which is so beautiful $\sim$ Such a beautiful cottage
Or else so plus adjective would be placed before the determiner: So beautiful a cottage.

There is resistance also to transferring clause negation to a structure of premodification, and this is possible only in limited circumstances (usually not plus intensifier or negative affix):
The dinner was not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very pleasant } \\ \text { unpleasant }\end{array} \sim\right.$ The not $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { very pleasant } \\ \text { unpleasant }\end{array}\right\}$ dinner Note
C. adjectives tnat cannot be used in premodification, see 3.18. By contrast, there are premodifying adjectives that cannot be related to clauses with a corresponding predicative usage: of 5.13 ff .

## Premodification by participles

-ing participles
13.29

Everything here depends on the potentiality of the participle to indicate a permanent or characteristic feature. To a lesser extent: giadaiility (especially as indicated through intensification by very) is involved.

## She has a very interesting mind

shows interesting as fully adjectival ( 5.46 f ) despite the direct relation to the verb interest:

## Her mind interests me very much

But an item can be a premodifier and yet disallow very:
A roaring bull (*very roaring)
And the converse can be true:
The man was very $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array} \quad\right.$ ?He was a $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array}\right\}$ man
This last example will illustrate the crucial significance of the 'permanence' characteristic; such participles can freely premodify nouns such as look, smile:

He greeted me with a very $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { reassuring } \\ \text { shocked } \\ \text { surprised }\end{array}\right\}$ expression
13.31 particip!es

The man humself cannot have shock or surprise attributed permanently to him, but a particular look can of course be permanently associated with such a value. So too we may speak of a smiling face rather than of $a$ smiling person. It is thus necessary to realize that we are not here concerned with particular participles so much as with their contextual meaning. A wandering minstrel is one habitually given to wandering, but if we saw a man wandering down the street, we could not say
*Who is the wandering man?
Again, someone who told good stories could be a (very) entertaining person but one could not say this of someone who happened, at the moment of speaking, to be entertaining his friends with a good story.
12.30

As we have noted before (13.4), the indefinite article favours the habitual or permanent, the definite article the specific or temporary. Thus

TThe approaching train is fiom Liverpool

## is strange (especially in BrE ) but not

He was frightened by an approaching train
where we are concerned perhaps with what is sharâteristic in 'approaching trains'. Similarly, ?The barking dog is my neighbour's, compared with the quite normal, I was wakened by a barking dog. On the other hand, after an indefinite head has been postmodified by an -ing clause, the -ing participle can premodify the same head plus definite article:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { A proposal offending many members } \ldots \rightarrow \text { The offc.ading } \\
& \text { proposal... }
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, the definite article may be used generically (4.16) and hence evoke the same generality and permanence as the indefinite:
The beginning student should be given every encouragement

## -ed participles

### 13.31

Much of what has been said of -ing participles applies to -ed participles also, but there are additional complications. In the first place, the eed participle can be active or passive, but as with posimodification (13.15) the active is rarely used in premodification. Contrast
The immigrant who has arrived with *The arrived immigrant
The vanished treasure ('The treasure which has vanished') and A retired
teacher are exceptional, but exceptions are somewhat more general when an active participle is adverbially modified:

The newly-arrived immigrant
Our recently-departed friend
W:thin the passive we must distinguish the statal from the actiona! or true passive ( 12.15 Note $b$ ); a statal example:
Some complicated machinery $\sim$ The machinery is complicated
(*The machinery was complicated by the designer)
Here belong also born and some uses of hidden, married, troubled, darkened, etc, but in premodification they must either have 'permanent' reference or be adverbially modified: a married man, a newly-born child, a carefully-hidden spy. The last example illustrates a noteworthy general contrast between -ing ana -ed participies. ōeside ue similan ity in pustmodification
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { A spy, carefully hidden in the bushes, } \\ \text { A spy, carefully hiding in the bushes, }\end{array}\right\}$ kept watch on the loouse
the latter uiiike the forme: resists $p$ remodification
*A carefully-hiding spy

### 13.32

Most -ed participles are of the agential type and naturally only a few will easily admit the permanent reference that will permit premodifying use. We may contrast
The wanted man was last seen in Cambridge
(The man goes on being wanted by the police)
*The found purse was returned to its owner
(The purse was found at a particular moment)
But a lost purse is grammatical, because although a purse is no longer regarded as 'found' after it has been retrieved, a purse will be regarded as 'lust' throughout the period of its disappearance. So too: the defeated army, a broken vase, a damaged car, its relieved owner. But not: : ${ }^{*}$ a sold car, *the mentioned article, ${ }^{*}$ a built house, ${ }^{*}$ a described man.
But there are exceptions which suggest that the semantic and aspectual factors are more complicated than here described. For example, although a sum of money can go on being needed, one does not normally say *the needed money. Modified by adverbs, of course, the starred examples become accepiable: a recently(-)sold car, etc.
Finally, modiniers in -ed may be cirectly denominal and not participles at all (see App I.21): the vaulted roof, a futed pillar, a wooded hillside. But
constraints occur (perhaps dictated merely by seniantic redundancy), such that there is no *a powered engine, *a haired girl, *a legged man, though we have a diesel-powered engine, a red-haired girl, a long-legged man.

### 13.33

Premodification by genitives
A noun phrase like a fisherman's cottage is ambiguous: the cottage belongs to a fisherman or belonged to a fisherman (or resembles the cottage of a fisherman). As distinct from a delightful cottage or a completed cottage, the determiner need not refer forward to the head: more usually, it refers only to the genitive. If the latter, then any intermediate modifiers between the determiner and the genitive must also refer only to the genitive. Thus
These nasty women's clothing
where these must predetermine the plural women's and the phrase must mean 'the clothing of these nasty women' and not 'the nasty clothing of these women' which would require the order These women's nasty clothing. Ii 'the former ('the slothing of . . ') then an intermediate modifier will be interpreted as referring to the head. Thus

This Jast; women's cloth'ng
would mean 'this nasty clothing belonging to (or designed for) women'. Ambiguous instances are however common: an old man's bicycle (contrast: a man's old bicycle) could mean 'the bicycle belonging to an old man' or 'an old bicycle designed for a man' (or even 'a bicycle designed for an old main').

Note
On genitive modification in general, see 4.67 ff .

## Premodification by nouns

13.34

Noun premodifiers are often so closely associated witi the head as to te regarded as compounded with it (App I. 34 ff). In many cases, they appear to be in a reduced-explicitness relation with prepositional postmodifiers:

The question of partition $\sim$ The partition question
The door oî the cupboard ~The cupboard Idoor
A village in Sussex $\sim$ A Sussex Ivillage
But not all noun premodifiers have prepositional phrase analogues:
Bernard Miles was both actor and producer $\sim$ The actor-prolducer

### 13.35

Attention must be urawn iv two important features in noun premodifications.
(1) Plura! nouns usually become singular (cf 4.33 Note $b$ ), even those that otherwise have no singular form:

The leg of the trousers $\sim$ The 'trouser leg
But while singularization is normal it is by no means universal (cf: arms race), especially with noun premodification that is not hardening into a fixed phrase or compound: The committee on promotions $\sim$ The prolmotions committee.
(2) According to the relationship between the two nouns, the accent will fall on the premodifier or the head; for example, An iron Irod but A luar reory The conuitions under which the latter stress pattern is adopted are by no means wholly clear but they are also connected with the conventionalizing of a sequence in the direction of compounding.
A notable constraint against making postmodifying phrases into premodifying nouns is tie reiative impen masence of the mudification in question. Thus while The table in the corner will readily yield The corner table, we cannot do the same with

The girl in the corner (spoke to me)~*The corner girl. . .
We must insist again that this is not a property of the lexical item (in this instance, corner) but of the semantic relation. Premodification confers relative permanence which befits the assignment to a corner of a table or even a waitress, but not a girl as such.

## Multiple premodification

### 13.36

With single head
The three types of multiple modification specified in 13.27 apply to premodification also. Mere than one premodifier may ne related to a single head, with no grammatical limit on the number:
His brilliant book $\sim$ His last book $\sim$ His (. . .) book $\sim$ His last
brilliant (. . .) book
This is however misleading in giving the impression that the multiple modifiers constitute an unordered and coordinate string. It usually follows a recursive process:

His book $\rightarrow$ His brilliant book $\rightarrow$ His [last (brilliant book)]

We would here mean that of several brilliant books we are speaking only of his last one; by contrast
His book $\rightarrow$ His last book $\rightarrow$ His [brilliant (last book)]
indicates that his last book was brilliant without commitment to whether any of his others were. In some instances, however, we do indeed have multiple modifications in which no priority among modifiers need be assumed; to these we may give separate prosodic emphasis or introduce. commas in writing:

## His Líst brílliant bòok

or formally coordinate them. Thus there would be little difference between

His forceful, lucid remarks and His lucid (and) forceful remarks When such coordinated modifiers relate to properties that are normally thought to conflict, the coordinator will probably not be and:

His handsome but dirty face
His dirty but handsome face

### 13.37

## With multiple bead

…ocificatiou may apply to more than one head (cf9.57):

## $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { The new table } \\ \text { The new chairs }\end{array}\right\} \sim$ The new table and chairs

The multiple head thus produced can now be subject to recursive or coordinate modification:
The new table and chairs $\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The beautiful new table and chairs } \\ \text { The new (but) ugly table and chairs }\end{array}\right.$
If we coordinated learned papers and books as in (He wrote) learned papers and books, we would suggest that learned applies to both papers and books. To clarify, we can either re-order (books and learned papers) or introduce separate determiners (some learned papers aná some books).

### 13.38

With modified modifier
We have already seen two types of modification with modified modifier:
His really quite unbelievably delightful cottage (13.28)
These nasty woinen's clothing (13.33)
In a third type, then noun premodiner can be itself premodified by either
adjective or a noun and，if the latter，this can in turn be similarly pre－ modified：

The office furniture $\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The small office furniture } \\ \text { The tax office furniture }\end{array}\right.$
$\qquad$ The property tax office furniture
$\rightarrow$ The house nroperty tax office furniture
It should be noted，however，that if we were to introduce an adjective in this last noun phrase，already clumsy and improbable，（i）it would have io come immediately after the determiner，and（ii）it would normally be interpreted as relating directly to the head furniture rather than to house， the only other possibility：
The \｛pleasant［〈（house property）tax〉 office］furniture\}
This is not to say however that obscurity cannot exist or that noun pre－ modifiers can modify only the next following noun．Consiaer A new giant size cardboard detergent carton，where size does not premodify cardboard and cardboard does not premodify detergent but where the linear struc－ ture is rather：
A＜new \｛（ciant size）［cardboard（detergent carton）］$]$ 〉

### 13.39

Other complexities in premodification
A friendship between a boy and girl becomes $A$ boy and girlfriendship．A committee dealing with appointments and promotions can readily be described as The appointments and promotions committee，while one whose business is the allocation of finance can be The allocation of finance com mittee．

A noun phrase in which there is noun premodification can be given the denominal affix which puts it into the＇consisting of＇class of adjectives （5．20）while retaining the noun premodifier；hence，from party politics we have a party political broadcast．
Similarly，a noun phrase having a denominal adjective may itself take a denominal affix to become a premodifier in a noun phrase．For example， desicie cercioralpalsy（＝＇palsy＇of tne cerebrum），we have ceiebral paisied children which has the structure（cf 13．32，App I．21）：
\｛（fcerebral palsy）ed］children\} and not *[cerebral (palsied children)] Note
There aro analogies in the group genitive：4．74．Coordination gives rise to uumerous difficulties in premodification．Beside the＝elatively explicit children with impaired speech，we have the premodified form speech－impaired children．But sinco speech and hearing are so often jointly impaired，wz are involved in the need to have a correspond－ ing premodification，speech（－）and hearing（－）！？mpaired chilldren，clear inough in spoken English but possibly requiring clumsy hyphenation to make it clear in writing．

## Relative sequence of premodifiers

13.40
denominal and nominal
The item that must come next before the head is the type of denominal ad－ jective often meaning＇consisting of＇，＇involving＇，or＇relating to＇，and this can be preceded by a wide range of premodifying items：
the $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { extravagant } \\ \text { pleasant } \\ \text { only } \\ \text { London }\end{array}\right\}$ social life $\quad$ a $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { serious } \\ \text { city } \\ \text { mere } \\ \text { United States }\end{array}\right\}$ political problem

Next closest to the head is the noun premodifier，already exemplified with London and city in the foregoing examples．When two nouns pre－ modify，one which corresponds to the head as object to verb will follow one relating to material or agency：

| $\mathrm{a}\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { detergent } \\ \text { cardboard } \end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { container } \\ \text { carton } \end{array}\right.$ | a cardboard detergent $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { container } \\ \text { carton }\end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{my}\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { cigal }{ }^{\prime} \text { etti } \\ \text { Igas } \end{array}\right\} \text { lighter }$ | my ıgas ciga＇rette lighter <br> not＊my cigarette gas lighter |

### 13.41

CLASSES OF ADJECTIVES
Next before a noun modifier，the most important class of items is the ad－ jective of provenance or style：
a Russian trade delegation Gothic church architecture
and preceding this type is the particinle：
a carved Gothic doorway some interlocking Chinese designs
Preceding the participle，we have adjectives of colour：
a black dividing line
a green carved idol

These are preceded by adjectives of age，together with the premodifiers and postmodifiers that these and other freely gradable adjectives may have：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { an old blue dress } & \text { a really very elderly trained nurse } \\
\text { a very young physics student } & \text { a large enough lecture room }
\end{array}
$$

Next comes the large class that we may call＇general＇，except that between ＇general＇and colour（and usually all other modifiers to the right）comes the dininutive unstressed use of little．Thus，not＊an old little blue orna－ ment．but：
$a\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gracious } \\ \text { typical } \\ \text { beautiful } \\ \text { peculiar } \\ \text { handsome } \\ \text { hideous } \\ \text { splendid }\end{array}\right\}$ little $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { old blue ornament } \\ \text { old carved Gothic doorway }\end{array}\right.$

See Fig 13:1 which illustrates the relative positions of items in premodification.

## Note

There are many qualifications to the foregoing. The 'general' adjectives, for example, are not placed randomly but comprise several subclasses. We would prefer a small round table to ?a round small zable; several thick even slices to several even thick slices; a fierce shaggy dog to a shaggy fierce dog; a tall angry man to an angry tall man; a brief
 precede those that are relatively objective or measurable; size often precedes shape; within size, height often precedes girth. 'General' adjectives are themselves preceded by semantically weak items like nice, by non-predicable items like mere, by quantifiers, numerals, determiners and associated closed-system items (4.5 ff).

| Determine, s | general | age | colour | participle | provenance | noun | denominal | head |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the | hectic |  |  |  |  |  | social | life |
| the | extravagant |  |  |  |  | London | social | life |
| a |  |  |  | crumbling |  | church |  | tower |
| a |  |  | grey | crumbling | Gothic | church |  | tower |
| some | intricate | old |  | inter- <br> locking | Chinese |  |  | designs |
| a | small |  | green | carved |  | jade |  | idol |
| his | heavy | new |  |  |  |  | moral | responsi bilities |

Fig. 13: 1 Examples of premedification sequence

## Discontinuous modification

### 13.42

It is not uncommon for the noun phrase to be interrupted by other items of clause structure. Note for instance the time adjunct between the head and postmodifier in the following:

You'll meet a man tomorrow carrying a heavy parcel

There are more striking examples:
I had a nice glass of beer but in an ugly glass
This is not as contradictory as it may seem, since it is only in the second noun phrase that glass is premodified by an adjective; in the first, it is better to regard glass of beer as a complex unit modified as a whole but with glass being less a concrete noun than a unit of measure. So too with a weak cup of tea, and phrases of the form kind/sort of $N$ which take premodifiers plainly related to $N$ rather than sort, boih in semantics and in concord:

A big awkward sort of carton
?These big awkward kind of cartons

### 13.43

Discontinuous modification mure apliy appiies to examples iike the fullowing (cf 5.5):
Comparable facilities to ours
Different production figures from those given earlier
The prepositional phrases hure do nct direculy relate to the head (as they do in roads to London, people from the village) but to the premodifying adjective: 'facilities comnarahle to ours', 'figures different from those'. Compare also The tall man that I saw with The first man that I saw ( $=$ 'The man that I saw first'); 'An attractive scheme financially' ( $=$ 'A scheme which is financially attractive'); of 5.23 Note $a$.
Most discontinuities, however, are brought about by interpolating a parenthesis or the finite verb of the sentence (where the noun phrase is subject) between the head and the postmodifier; and the usual motive is to correct a structural imbalance (cf14.30) as in 'The story is told that he was once a boxer', or to achieve a more immediate clarity as in:

The woman is by the Doror, who sold me the Tíckets and told me the play doesn't begin till THREB

## Bibliugraphical noto

For treatment of the noun phrase as a whole, see Bourquin (1964) and Fiics (1970). On adjectives and other premodifiers, see Bolinger (1967b); on modification in relation to function, see Aarts (1971). On relative clauses, see Jacobsson (1970); Quirk (1968); Huddleston (1971); Stockwell et al (1973), Ch 7

FOURTEEN
FOCUS, THEME, AND EMPHASIS

## 14.1

In previous chapters, particularly Chapter 7, we have seen how English」enivioce aiv tuik of from va-iovs phrace types which serve a range of constructional functions within the grammar (subject, verb, adverbial, etc). We have also seen ( 7.9 ff ) how the elements which have thess functions have also a different kind of function (a participant role) describable in terms such as 'agentive', 'recipient', 'attribute'. In this final chapter, we come to a third way in which cre ingy view these parts of the sentence: as items which can be manipulated within the structure of sentences for different kinds of prominence. There are three kinds to be considered: focus, theme, and emotive emphasis. Studying these aspects c f linzuistic structure makes one aware of language as a sequentially organized communication system, in which judicious ordering and placing of emphasis may be important for the proper understanding of the message and its implications. For illustrative purposes, we generally use independent clauses which constitute simple sentences.

## Information focus

## 14.2

End-focus and contrastive focus
We start by considering how the English language organizes a spoken message intn units of information, as signa!led by intonation (cj App II. 7 ff ). Each tone unii represents a unit of information, and the place where the nucleus falls is the focus of information. As the clause is the unit of grammar that most closely corresponds to the tone unit, the best way to consider the positioning of the information focus is to relate it to clause structure, taking examples in which clause and tone unit correspond in extent.
The neutral position of focus is what we may call END-FOCUS, that is (generally speaking) chief prominence on the last open-class item or proper noun in the clause (App II.4):

## Dylan Thomas was born in swansea

Special or contrastive focus, however, may be placed at earlier points, and so may fall on any of the non-inal elements of the clause. For example:

## Focus at S :

[Who was born in Swansea ?] Dylan тно̀mas was (born in Swansea)
Focus at V:
[Dylan Thomas was married in Swansea, wasn't he ?] Nò, he was bòrn in Swansea
Focus at $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :
[I hear you're painting the bathroom blue.] Nò, I'm painting the Lìving-room blue
Focus at A:
[Have you ever driven a Cadillac ?] YÈs, I've ópten driven one
Contrastive focus can also be signalled by placing the nucleus on a final item which normally would not have end-focus; for instance, on closedsystem items like pronouns and prepositions:

Who are you working fòr? (not with)
He was speaking to mb (not to you)
Note
The principle that focus normally comes at the end of a tone unit explains why a parenthesis (which is normally bordered by tone-unit boundaries) can be used rhetorically to throw emphasis on a word immediately preceding it:
And this, | in shórt, | is why I refüsem |

## 14.3

Contrastive focus on words and syllables
The above examples show that whichever element is contrastive receives nuclear prominence on its last fully stressed syllable. Intonation can also focus more narrowly on a particular word of a phrase, rather than phrase of a clause:
DỲLan Thomas was born in 1914 (not ÉDward Thomas)
I put them ÒN the bed (not ÚNder it)
or even on PART of a word, with a contrastive shifi from normal worustress:

I'm afraid that Bǔreaucracy can be worse than Aùtocracy
Normally word-stress, and hence nuclear prominence, would fall on the second syllable: bu'reaucracy and au'tocracy.

Noun compounds and phrases with 'compound' stress (App II.3) aro exreptional in hat end-focus does not fall on the last open-class word: He's an insorance agent. But nuclear prominence can be transferred to the final noun for contrastive purposes: He's an insurance $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ Gent (not an insurance BROKer).

## 14.4

## Given and new information

Focus is related to the difference between GIVEN and NEW information; that is to say, between information already supplied by context and information which has not been prepared for in this way. The focus, signalled by the nucleus, indicates where the new information lies, and the unit carrying such information has the nucleus in final position. Hence if the nucleus falls on the last stressed syllabiz of the clause (according to the end focels $F_{f}$-incinle), the new information could, for example, be the entire clause, or the predication of the clause, or the last element oi the clause. In the following sentence, we mark three possible extents of new information in the same sentence; each of the three questions indicates how much is already assumed by speaker and audience before the reply is mode:

Whole clause is new (neutral information focus):
NEW
[What's on today ?] We're going to the races
Predication is new:

> NBW
[What are we doing today?] We're going to the rAces
Final adverbial is new:

> NBW
[Where are we going today?] We're going to the RAces
By contiast, where the new item comes earlier in the clause, the frosudic form is distinctive:
[Who's going to the races ?] Wi are going to the races

## 14.5

## Variation in the scope of new information

Different interpretations are also possible when the nucleus occupies the terminal part of a complex non-final element. Compare:

## NBW

William wórdsworth is my favourite English Póet (not John Keats)
WEW
(not William worm Shakespeare)

If the nucleus comes on the final word, cither the whole phrase or only the final part of it may be 'ncw'. 'New', therefore, may be varied in scope from a whole clause to a single word, or even to a single syllable.
Note
[a] The second half of the complex fall-plus-rise pattern (App II.10) represents subsidiary information: eg: Pass me my COAT, JOHN (where John is assumed to be present. although he has not been actually mentioned).
[b] Pre-final focus is habitual in some colloquial sentences, where the assumed 'givenness' of the final item derives from cultural norms (4.20); eg

## The Kèrtle's boiling

The mituman called
Is your fATHER at home? (Contrast Is your father oút ?)
[c] There may be mure than une contrastif element in the same clause. In the following there are three:
Dřan Thomas was born in nineteen-fourrěen in swdnsea, but


## 14.6

Focus on the operator
One type of focus so far ignored is focus on the operator (cf14.35), which often has the function of signalling contrast between positive and negative meaning. Where the verb phrase is without an item that can function as operator, do is introduced:
[A: I thought John worked hard.] B: But he Did work hard.
[A: Why haven't you had a bath?] B: I HAve had a bath.
[A: Look for your shoes.] B: I AM looking for them.
[A: Surtly he van't drive a bus?] B: Nò, but Le cair drive a CǍP.
When the operator is positive, the meaning is 'Yes in contrast to Nu '; when the operator is negative, the meaning is the opposite contrast:

So you hàven't lost, after ALL! ('I thought you had')
The operator emphasizes positiveness or negativeness when it bears the focus (as it normally does) in elliptical replies (10.29):
[A: Have you seen my books?] B: Nc, I HÀvEn't.
[A: Does this bell work ?] B: Yes, it Dòes.

With a rise or fall-rise intonation, focus on past and future auxiliaries often puts contrastive empiasis on the tense rather than on the positive/ negative polarity:

He owns - or Dïd own - a Rolls Ròyce
We've sold oùt, but we will be getting some
Similarly, the nucleus on auxiliaries such as may and ought to often signals a contrast between the supposed real state of affairs, and a state of affairs thought desirable or likely:

The opinion polls MǍY be right ('but I suspect they're not')
My purse oưGHT to be HĖRE ('but it probably isn't')

## 14.7

Ellipsis and suiusticuỉou
We have referred to the use of the operator in elliptical replies (14.6). In general, an important reason for ellipsis is to focus attention on new information by avoiding repetition of given information ( $9.1 \mathrm{ff}, 9.21 \mathrm{ff}$, $10.44 f f$ ):

I haven't spoken to your brother yet, but I will later today
( $=$ will speak to your brother later today)
A : When are you seeing her? B: Tomorrow.
(=I'm'seeing her tomorrow)
A similar effect is achieved by substituting pro-forms for given information ( 10.25 ff ):

Give Joan the red cup and take the blue one for yourself (the blue one = the blue cup)
Susan won a prize last year and will do so again this year (will do so = will win a prize)
Ellipsis and substitution are useful in unambiguously marking the focus of information in written English, where intonation is absent (cf 14.15).

## Voice and reversibility

## 14.8

## Voice, end-focus, and end-weight

Three factors contribute to the presentation of the content of a clause in one particular order rather than another. One is the tendency to place new information towards the end of the clause - the principle of endfocus (14.2). Another is the tendency to reserve the final position for the more complex parts of a clause or sentence - the principle of end-weight.

Since it is natural to express given information briefiy ( $e g t$; pronoun substitution), these two principles work together, rather than against one another.
A third factor is the limitation of possible clause str uctures to those outlined in 7.2 , with their associated sets of participant roles $(7.9 \mathrm{ff})$. These restrictions determine, for example, that an 'agentive' role cannot be expressed by an object or complement, but only by the subject, or by the agent of a passive clause. From this, one sees the importance of the passive voice as a means of reversing the normal order of 'agentive' and 'affected' elements, and thus of adjusting clause structure to end-focus and end-weight:

A: Who makes these chairs? B: They're made by Ercol.
A finite clause as subject is readily avoided by switching from the active to the passivi voice, in aculuailio with the princinle of end-weight:

That he was prepared to go to such lengths astounded me
I was astounded that he was prepared to go to such lengths

## 14.9

## Converses

Quite apart from the grammatical contrast between active and passive the language possesses other grammatical or lexicel means for reversing the order of roles:

An uncle, three cousins, and two brothers benefited from the will
The will benefited an uncle, three cousins, and two brothers
An unidentified blue liquid was in the bottle
The bottle contained an unidentified blue liquid
A red sports car was behind the bus
$\{$ The bus was in front of a red sports car
The items or sequences in italics are converses; ie they express the same meaning, but with a reversal of the order of participants. The second sentence in each case is generally preferable, since the element with the definite determiner, containing given iniormation (4.20), would normally not take terminal focus.

## Theme and inversior.

14.10

Theme
The initial unit of a clause (with the exception of initial adverbials referred to in 14.11 Note) may be called its theme. Apart from the last stressed element of clause structure (that which most naturally bears
information focus), the theme is the most important part of a clause from the point of view of its presentation of a message in sequence.

The expected or 'unmarked' theme of a main clause is
(1) Subject in a statement: Fí bought a new house
(2) Operator in a yes-no question: Did he buy a new house?
(3) Wh-element in a wh-question: Which house did he buy?
(4) Main verb in a command: Buy a new house

The theme may be characterized as the communicative point of departure for the rest of the clause.
The two communicatively prominent parts of the clause, the theme and the focus, are typically distinct: one is the point of initiation, and the other the point of completion. The theme of a clause is 'given informaion' more often that any oider part uf ic. Yc: the two can coilicicie, tor instance, when the focus falls on the subject:

## [Who gave you that magazine ?] Bill gave it to me

### 14.11

## Thematic fronting or 'marked theme'

One may take as theme of a clause some element not usually assuming that function. Elements placed initially for thematic prominence vary in style and effect.
In informal speech, it is quite common for an element to be fronted with nuclear stress, and thus to be 'marked' (or given special emphasis) both thematically and informationally:

## $\mathrm{C}_{8}$ as theme:

Job his NAME is

## $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as theme:

Relaxation you call it!

## $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as theme:

Really good còcktails they made at that hotel
It is as if the thematic element is the first thing that strikes the speaker, and the rest is added as an afterthought. The possible insertion of a comma suggests that the non-thematic part is almost a tag (14.38) in status: Joe, his name is.
A second type of marked theme is found in rhetorical style, and nelps to point a parallelism between two units in the clause and two related units in some neighbouring clause of contrasting meaning:

Prepositional complement as theme:
His FACE I'm not FOND of (but his character I despise)
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ as theme:
... but his Cháracter I desplse
$C$ as theme:
RICH I MÀY be (but that doesn't mean I'm happy)
Predication as theme:
(I've promised to do it,) so Ido it I shàll
$A$ as theme:

Such clauses often have double information focus, one nucleus coming on the theme, and the other on a later (usually terminal) part of the clause.

One may thirdly distinguish examples characteristic of written English, and in which the marked theme seems to have the negative function of ensuring that end-focus falls on the most important part of the message:

Most of these problems a computer could take in its stride
To this list may be added ten further items of importance

## Note

Some adverbials (mainly disjuncts and conjuncts) appear characteristically in initial position, and so should not be accorded thematic status at all. However, certain adjuncts, especially those which would otherwise immediately follow an intransitive or intensive verb, may be treated as 'marked theme' when placed initially. Furthermore, adjustment of end-focus may also involve the initial placing of adverbials.

## Inversion

14.12

SUBJECT-VERb inversio:
Here comes the bus ( $\AA$ V S)
There, at the summit, stood the castle in its medieval splendour (A A V SA)
In went the sun and down came the rain ( $\mathrm{A} V \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~A} V \mathrm{~S}$ )
Equally inexplicable was his behaviour towards his son (C V S)
'Go away!' said one child; 'And don't come back!' growled
another. (. . V S , . . V V) (cf 11.52)

This type of inversion (cf8.28) is mainly found in clauses of Types SVA and $S V C$ where a normally post-verbal element is so tied to the verb that
when that element is 'marked' theme the verb is 'attracted' into presubject position. The last example illustrates a different type of inversion, with :erbs of saying.

## Note

Adverbial there in the second example is stressed, and so is distinguished from the unstressed existential there ( 14.19 ff ), which can also appear in preverbal position.

### 14.13

SUbJECT-OPERATOR INVERSION
So absurd was his manner that everyone stared at him
( 11.44 Note)
Far be it from me to condemn him (7.64)
Under no circumstances must the switch ie left on (7.37) [3]
Hardly had I loft before the quarrelling started (7.39)
I worked and so did the others ( 10.29 ff )
Well may he complain of the misfortunes that have befallen him (formal)
Throwing the hammer is champion William Anderson,
a shepherd from the Highlands of Scotland
The inversion of [6] is decidedly literary in tone, and unlike the preceding examples, is optional. Normal subject-verb order, with the adverb following the auxiliary, would usually be preferred. Example [7] is a journalistic type of inversion, in which the predication is fronted in order to bring end-focus on a complex subject.

### 14.14

## Theme in subordinate clauses

In subordinate clauses, the usual items occurring as theme are subordinators, $w h$-elements, and the relative pronoun that. Other items occur as theme only in idiomatic or literary constructions of minor importance:
Should you change your plan . . . (11.25 Note $d$ )
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Keen though I am ... } \\ \text { Say what you will of him . . . }\end{array}\right\}$ (11.26 Note)
The first example is a conditional clause, and the others are conditionalconcessive clauses.

## Cleft and pseudo-cleft sentences

### 14.15

Cleft sentences
A special construction which gives both thematic and focal prominence to a particular element of the clause is the cleft sentence, so called because it divides a single clause into two separate sections, each with its own verb.

Most cleft sentence statements begin with the pronoun it followed by: the verb be, which in turn is followed by the element on which the focus falls. From a single clause such as John wore his best suit to the dance last night, it is possible to derive four cleft sentences, each highlighting a particular element of the clause:

## S as focus:

It was Jorin who/that wore his best suit to the DANCE last night
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ as focus:
It was his best sùrt (that) John wore to the DÁNCE last night
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{tlme}}$ as focus:
It was last Nicrere (that) John wore his best suit to the DANCE
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {place }}$ as focus:
It was to the DANCR that John wore his best súrr last night
The cleft sentence unambiguously marks the focus of information in written English, where intonation is absert. The highlighted elemeit has the full implication of contrastive focus: the rest of the clause is taken as given, and a contrast is inferred with other items which might have filled the focal position in the sentence. Thus each of the above sentences has an implied negative, which can be made explicit, as in the following examples:

It wasn't Jim, but John, who/that . . .
It wasn't to the theatre, but (to) the dance . . .
Apart from $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$, and A , the two less common clause elements $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ can marginally act as the focal element of a cleft sentence:

## $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ as focus:

It was John (that) he gave the book
(but It was John (thai) he gave the book to, or It was to John
(that) he gave the book, with focus on John as prepositional complement, is more likely)

## $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ as focus:

It's dark green that we've painted the kitchen
V does not occur at all as focus, but the restriction is sometimes circumvented by using the verb in a ron-finite form and substitutiing do for it in the second part of the sentence:
?It's teach(ing) that he does for a living

## Note

The introductory part ef a cleft sentence is largely restricted to It is or It was though other forms of be occur:

It must have been his brother that you saw

### 14.16

the 'relative clause' in ceeft sentences
The final part of the clause, after the focal element, is obviously close in structure to a restrictive relative clause: pronouns used in relative clauses (who, that, 'zero' pronoun) are also used to introduce cleft sentences, and they can be fronted, even from a position in a prepositional phrase:

It's the girl that I was complaining ahout (not the boy)
Tiuere are differences, however, îroñ relutive clauses, in that the whforms are rare in comparison with that and zero. Characteristic intonation is also different:

It was the DÓG I gave the WATer to ( $\operatorname{dog}$ is focus in cleft sentence)
It was the dong I gave the wÀer to (dog is head of postmodified noun phrase)

A further difference is that the focal element in cleft sentences may be an adverbial:

It was because he was ill (that) we decided to return
It was in September (that) I first noticed it
A wh-pronoun cannot be used at all in cleft sentences where the focal element is an adverbial.

Note
[a] The cleft sentence structure can be used in questions, exclamations, and subordinate clauses:

Was it for this that we suffered and toiled?
Who was it who interviewed you?
What a glorious bonfire it was you made!
He told me that it was because he was ill that they decided to return
[b] The focusing function of the cleft sentence may be compared with that of the addjtive and restrictive adverbs too, only, otc ( 8.8 ff ).

### 14.17

pSEUDO-CLEFT SENTENCES
Like the cleft sentence proper, the pseudo-cleft sentence makes explicit the division between given and new parts of the communication. It is an SVC sentence with a wh-relative nominal clause as subject or complement. The following are virtually synonymous:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { It's a good rest that you need most } \\ \text { A good rest is what you need most }\end{array}\right.$
The pseudo-cleft sentence occurs more often, however, with the $w / l$ clause as subject :

What you need most is a good rest
And it is less restricted than the cleft sentence in that, through use of $d o$ as pro-form (10.29), it permits marked focus to fall on the verb or predication:

What he's done is (to) spoil the whole thing
What John did to his suit was (to) ruin it
The complement or 'focus' of these sentences is normally in the form of an inf́nitival clause (witi or witnout 10 ).
Pregressive or perfective aspect in the original sentence is regularly represented in the $w h$-clause of the pseudo-cleft sentence. With the progressive, the aspect is equally reflected in the non-finite clause and this is quite often the case also with the perfective:

## They are ruining the economy

$\rightarrow$ What they are doing is ruining the economy
They have ruined the ecunom;
$\rightarrow$ What they have done is ruined the economy
In other respects, the pseudo-cleft sentence is more limited than the cleft sentence. Only with what-clauses does it freely commute with the cleft sentence construction. Clauses with who, where, and when are sometimes acceptable, but mainly when the wh-clause is subject-complement:

## The police chief was who I meant

Here is where the accident took place
But whose, why, and how, for example, do not easily enter into the pseudocleft sentence construction.

### 14.18

## Sentences of the pattern She's a pleasure to teach

There is a type of construction that gives the emphasis of thematic position in the main clause to the object or prepositional object of a nominal clause. The item so fronted replaces anticipatory it as subject of the main clause ( $c f 14.24 f$ ):

To teach her is a pleasure $\rightarrow$ It's a pleasure to teach her
$\rightarrow$ She's a pleasure to teach
It's fun for us to be with Margaret $\rightarrow$ Margaret is fun for us to be with

There is a similar construction for be sure and be certain, seem and appear, be said, be known, etc (cf 12.13). In these cases, however, the corresponding construction with anticipatory it requires a chat-clause, and it is the subject of the nominal clause that is fronted:

It seems that you've made a mistake
$\rightarrow$ You seem to have made a mistake

## Existeııtial sentences

14.19

Existential sentences are principally those beginning with the unstressed word there, and are so called because when unstressed there is followed by a form of the verb be, the clause expresses the notion of existence:

There is nothirg health:er than ? cold shewer
('Nothing healthier exists than a cold shower')
There is a regular relation of equivalence between existential clauses with there $+b e$ and clauses of the standard types (?.2). The equivalence applies, however, only if the clause of the normal pattern has (1) an indefi.ite subject, and (2) a iorm of the verb be in its verb phrase. We may derive existential clauses from regular clauses by means of a generai rule:
subject + (auxiliaries) $+b e+$ predication
$\rightarrow$ there + (auxiliaries) + be + subject + predication
The subject of the original clause may be called the 'notional' subject of the there-sentence, so as to distinguish it from there itself, which for most pui:poses is the 'grammatical' subject (14.20).

Existential there is a device for leaving the subject position vacant of content; there may be regarded as an empty 'slot-filler'. As we have seen (14.i0) the subject of a clause is thematic in typically conveying given information. But when the subject is an indefinite noun phrase, it introduces new information (4.20). Hence, in sentences like $A$ book is in the cupboaid 'here is a certain awkwardness, which may be avoided by introducing there and postponing the indefinite noun phrase to a noil-thematic position: There is a book in the cupboard.

Examples of the seven clause types (7.2) are:
SVC: Something must be wrong $\rightarrow$ There must be something wrong
SVA: Was anyone around? $\rightarrow$ Was there anyone around?
SV: No one was waiting $\rightarrow$ There was no one waiting
SVO: Plenty of people are getting promotion $\rightarrow$ There are plenty of people getting promotion

SVOC': Two bulldozers have been kuocking the place flat $\rightarrow$ There bave been two bulldozers knocking the place flat
SVOA: A girl is putting the kettle on $\rightarrow$ There's a girl putting the kettle on
SVOO: Something is causing her distress $\rightarrow$ There's something causing her distress
Passive versions also occur:
$S V_{\text {pass: }}$ : A whole box has been stolen $\rightarrow$ There has been a whole box stolen
$S V_{\text {pease }} C$ : No shops will be left open $\rightarrow$ There'll be no shops left open

Note
[al The 'hare exictential' sentanre simnly nostulates the existence of some entity or entities. It has a simple clause structure there + be + indefinite noun phrase:

Undoubtedly, there is a Ged ('God exists')
There have always been wars ('Wars have always existed/taken place')
[b] Therule that existential sentences should have an indefinite noun phrase as 'notional subject' prevents the derivation of sentences like *There's the money in the box fom The money i in i.:e box. This limitation can be waivea, huweve 1 , in answers to existential questions (actual or implied):
: Is there anyone coming to dinner?
B: Yes, there's Harry and there's also Mrs Jones
Also acceptable is the indefinite exclamatory the followed by the superlative as in: There's the oddest-looking man standing at the front door!
[c] Existential there occurs widely in dependent clauses:
Let me know if there's anyone waiting
It is also fronted as subject in a type of sentence discussed in 14.18:
There appears to be something wrong with the engine

### 14.20

## Existential there as subject

The there of existential sentences differs from there as an introductory adverb both in lacking stress, and in behaving in most ways like the suuject of the clause:
(a) It often determines concord, governing a singular form of the verb (especially in declarative sentences) even when the following 'notional subject' is plural (see 7.18 Note $a$ ):

There's two patients in the waiting room (informal)
occurs alongside:
There are two patients in the waiting room
(b) It can act as subject in yes-no and tag questions:

Is there any more soup?
There's nothing wrong, is there?
(c) It can act as subject in infinitive and -ing clauses:

I don't want there to be any misunderstanding
He was disappointed at there being so little to do

### 14.21

Existential sentences with 'relative clauses'
There is an important additional type of existential sentence which consists of there $+b e+$ noun phrase + clause resembling a postmodifying clause (cf 14.16). Such sentences can be related to seniences of basic cleuse typ:e withe:t the twe restrintions mentioned in 14.19: the ve:' need not be a form of the verb be, and although there must be an indefinite element, it need not be subject:
Something keeps upsetting him
$\rightarrow$ There's something (that) keeps upsetting him
Id lìe you to meer some people
$\rightarrow$ There's some people (that) I'd like you to meet
It ic interesting that the pronoun that can be omitted even wnen it is subject of the 'relative clause', something not permissible according to the rule for normal relative clause formation.
There is also a common existential sentence pattern there $+b e+$ noun phrase + to-infinitive clause:

There was no one for us to talk to
There's (always) plenty of housework to do
Such infinitive clauses are also allied to relative clauses (cf 13.16), as we see on comparing
At last there was something to write home about
with ihe stiffly formal cunstruction
At last there was something about which to write home
This type of existential sentence sometimes has a definite noun phrase as notional subject:
There's the man next door to consider

## Note

Alsn ticre is a restricted idiomatic construction consisting of there + be + negative + participial-ing clause:

There's no telling what he'll do

### 14.22

## Existential sentences with verbs other than be

We have finally to consider a less common, more literary type of existential clause in which ithore ic followed by a verb other than be:

There exist similar medieval crosses in different parts of the country
There may come a tirce when Europe will be less fortunate
Not long after thiz, there occurred a revolution in public taste
This construction, which may be accounted for by a simple rule $\mathrm{S}+\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ there $+\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{S}$ (where S is indefinite), is equivalent in effect and style to subject-verb inversion after an initial adverbial. One may notice that the there can be freely omitted in sentences of the structure $\mathrm{A}_{\text {pleoe }}+$ there + $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{S}$ :
in front of the carriage (there) rode two men in wisform
The notional subject of the sentence, again, usually has indefinite meaning, and the verb is selected from verbs of existence, position and movement (lie, stand, come, etc).

### 14.23

## Existential sentencer with have

Corresponding to the type of existential sentence discussed in 14.19 (there $+b e+\mathrm{S}+$ predication) there is a type in which the thematic position is not 'empty', but is filled by a noun phrase subject preceding the verb have (or especially in BrE , have got):
He has several friends in China
(cf There are several friends (of his) in China; Several friends (of his) are in China - Type SVA)
I have two buttons missing (on my jacket)
(cf There are two buttons missing . . .; Two buttons are missing. . . - Type $S!$ !)
They had a few supporters helping them
(cf There were a few supporters helping them; A few suppcrters were helping them - Type SVO)
The subject of have refers to a person, thing, etc, indirectly involved in the existential proposition. Often the subject's role is that of 'recipient' (7.11); but the nature of the 'recipient's' involvement in the sentence can be very vague, and the more specific meanings of have (eg possession) are not necessarily implied. A sentence such as My friend had his watch
stolen, in fact, indicates not possession, but lack of possession. The relation of the subjeci to the rest of the clause can often be expressed by other means, eg by a genitive:

He has a brother in the navy
(=There is a brother of his in the navy; A brother of his is in the navy)
Unlike the there-existential clause, the have-existentiai clause can have a 'notional subject' with definite meaning:

He has his eldest son in a boarding school
The car had its roof damaged
(cf*There was its roof damaged)
Furthermore, sentences with an under! ying clause structure $S V A$ often have a pronoun prepositional complement which refers back to the subject of have:

He had his wifu working for him
(cf His wife was working for him)
The trees had loads of appies on them
Have-existential sentences can also contain relative and infinitive clauses:

I've something I've been meaning to say to you
(cf There's something . . .)
He has a great deal to be thankful for
The infinitive clause cannot have a subject introduced by for in this construction, as its semantic function bas already been appropriated by the subject of have; contrast:

## There's a great deal for him to be thenkful for

## Note

[a] In the passive, the verb is generally actional rather than statal (cf 12.15 Note $b$ ): My friend had his watch stolen implies 'Someone stole my friend's watch' rather than 'My friend was witLout a watch becauie it was utoler.'.
[b] In a further use of this construction (especially, but not necessarily, with the passive) the subject of have gives up its 'recipient' role for one of indirect agency: He had all his enemies imprisoned is most likely to mean 'He caused all his enemies to be imprisoned'.

## Postponement

## Extraposition

14.24

There are devices that have the effect of removing an element from its normal position, and placing it towards or at the end of the sentence.

These devices of postponement serve the two principles of $\neg \mathrm{nd}$-focris (14.2) and end-weight (14.8).

We use the term extraposition for postponement which involves the replacement of the postponed element (especially a nominal clause) by a substitute form.

### 14.25

extraposition of a clausal subject
A clausal subject is often placed at the end of the sentence, and the subject position is filled by the anticipatory pronoun it. The resulting sentence thus contains two subjects, which we may identify as the postponed subject (the clause which is notionally the subject of the sentence) and the anticipatory subject (it). A simple rule for deriving a sentence with suhient extrepositien ficm one of mere remular e-drrirz is:
subject + predicate $\rightarrow i t+$ predicate + subject
But it is worth emphasizing that for clausal subjects, extraposition is more usual tian the basic position before the verb:

> SI'C: I's a pity to ral- a fool of yoursulf
> (cf: To make a fool of yourself is a pity)

SVA: It's on the cards that income tax will be abolished

## $S V$ : It doesn't matter what you do

SVO: It surprised me to hear him say that
SVOC: It makes her happy to see others enjoying themselves
$S V_{\text {pasa }}:$ It is said that she slipped arsenic into his tea
$S V_{\text {penso }} C$ : It was considered impossible for anyone to escape

### 14.26

extraposition of participle and other clauses
Most kinds of nominal clause may be extraposed. A notable exception is the nominal relative clause; thus Whoever said that was wrong cannot be rendered *It was wrong whoever said that. Extraposition of a participle clause is possible:

It was easy getting the equipment loaded
(cf Getting the equipment loaded was easy)
but is not very common outside informal speech. Informal examples frequently involve negative + use/good:
It's no use telling him that
It wouldn't be any gond trying to catch the bus

## Note

[a] For certain constructions ( $f$ f $14.18,12$.19 Note $b$ ) which have all the appearance of clausal extraposition (It seems/appears/happened/chanced/etc), the corresponding
an-extraposed version does not occur. For example, there is no sentence *Tha everything is fine seems to correspond with It seems that everything is fine. In sucb cases, we may say that the extraposition is obligatory.
[b] Clauses with extraposed subject must be distinguished from superficially simila clauses in which it is a personal pronoun or empty 'prop' subject: It's good to ea (eg 'This fish is good to eat'); It's lovely weather to go fishing.

### 14.27

EXTRAPOSITION OF A CLAUSAL OBJECT
In SVOC and SVOA clause types, nominal clauses can or must undergo extraposition from the position of object:

SVOC $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { I find it exciting working here } \\ \text { (cf I find working here exciting: Working here is exciting) }\end{array}\right.$ Me mads î ki: busizes to sittle the $\because$ atter

SVOA
I owe it to you that the jury acquitted me (cf I owe my acquittal to you)
Something put it into his head that she was a spy

### 14.28

## Postponement of object in SVOC and SVOA clauses

When the object is a long and complex phense, final piacement for endfocus or end-weight is often preferred in SVOC and SVOA clause-types, but there is no substitution by it:
[A] Shift from $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{C}_{0}$ order to $\mathrm{SV} \mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$ order:
They pronounced guilty every one of the accused except the man who had raised the alarm
[B] Shift from $S \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ A to $\mathrm{SVA} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :
I confessed to him the difficulties I had found myself in
We heard from his own lips the story of how he had been stranded for days without food

### 14.29

## Order of direct objects, indirect objects, and particles

There is a free interchange, provided there are no pronouns involved, between the two orderings
(a) $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}+$ prepositional phrase (7.6)
(b) particle $+\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{a}}+$ particle (12.3)

The choice between the two is generally determined by the principles of end-focus and end-weight:
(a) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The twins told mother all their SECrets }\end{array}\right.$
(b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{He} \text { gave all his heirlooms awAy }\end{array}\right.$
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { He gave awiy all his HĖIRlooms }\end{array}\right.$

### 14.30

## Discontinuous noun phrases

Sometimes only part of an element is postpuned. The most commonly affected part is the postmodification of a noun phrase, the postponement resulting in a discontinuous noun phrase (13.42):

A rumour circulated that he was secretly engaged to the Marchioness (cf A rumour that . . . circulated)
The time had come to dernrate tho touse for Christr:a-
The noun phrase can be a complement or object, as well as subject:
What business is it of yours? (cf What business of yours is it?)
We heard the story from his own lips of how he was stranded for days without food
Discontinuity often results, too, from the postponement of postmodifying phrases of exception (6.40):
All of us were frightened except the captain

### 14.31

## Pronouns in apposition

In many cases, the postponed elements undergo postponement no doubt because their length and complexity would otherwise lead to an awk wardly unbalanced sentence. With another type of noun phrase, however it is clearly to give end-focus rather than end-weight that the postponement takes place. This is the noun phrase with an emphatic reflexive pronoun (himself, etc) in apposition:
He himsèbe told me $\rightarrow$ He told me himsèlf
D:¿ you yoursélf paint the portrait? $\rightarrow$ Did you paint the portrait yourself?
As the emphatic reflexive pronoun frequently beare nuclear stress, the postponement is necessary here if the senteice is to have end-focus. The postponement is possible, however, only if the noun phrase in apposition with the pronoun is the subject :
$I$ showed Ian the letter myself
*I showed Ian the letter himself
(but cf I showed Ian himself the letter)

Note
With some other cases of pronominal apposition, it is customary to postpone the second appositive to a position immediately following the operator rather than to the end of the sentence:
They're none of them experts
They don't either of them eat enough
We've all made up our minds

## Similarly both and each (cf 9.42).

### 14.32

## Other discontinuities

Comparative constructions of various types are frequently discontinuous. If we think of a comparative clause functionally as forming the postmodification of the comp-element ( 11.37 ff ), then the demands of end-focus or end-weight often result in its separation from the head:

He showed less pity to his victims than any other blackmailer in the history of crime (cf 5.5)
The equivalent sentence without postponement would be extremely awkward: ?*HP showed less pit; than any otner blackmailer in the histor" of crime to his victims. In other cases, the comparative clause, unless postponed, would anticipate the parallel structure in the main clause, making el!: psio virtually impossible:
More people own houses than used to years ago
(rather than ?*More people than used to years ago own houses). Final position for comparative clauses following too, so, and enough is normal, and therefore discontinuity is bound to arise whenever the comp-element is not in final position:

I was so thrilled by the present that I forgot to thank you
Other adjective phrases are occasionally discontinuous:
I was afraid after that $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { to leave } \\ \text { of leaving }\end{array}\right\}$ the children alone

### 14.33

## Structural compensation

As part of the principle of end-weight in English, there is a feeling that the predicate of a clause should where possible be longer than the subject, thus a principle of structural compensation comes into force. With the $S V$ pattern, one-word predicates are rare, and there is a preference for expressing simple present or past actions or states by some other, circumlocutory menns. For cxample, the verb sang is very rarely used as a predicate in itself, although semantically complete. We may easily say He sang well or He was singing, but would rarely say simply He sang.

A common means of 'stretching' the predicate into a multi-word structure is the progressive aspect, as we have just seen. Another is the construction consisting of a verb of general meaning (have, take, give, etc) followed by an 'effected object'. The curt He ate, He smoked, or He swam can be replaced by He had a ineal, He had a smoke, He had a swim (cf 7.15). Similarly, the habitual use of the present or past in He smokes and He smoked can be expressed by an SVC structure: He is/was a smoker.

## Emotive emphas!s

14.34

Apart from the emphasis given by information focus and theme, the ianguage provides means of giving a unit purely emotive emphasis. We
 include exclamations (7.63). the persuasive do in commands ( 7.58 ff ), interjections, expletives, and intensifiers ( $5.14,5.23 \mathrm{ff}, 8.12 \mathrm{ff}$ ), including the general clause emphasizers such as actually, really, and indeed. A thorough study of emotive expressions would take us into the realms of fig'ree of speech suct as simi'e, hynerboie, and irc.ny. Here :ve confne ourselves to two devices which fall squarely within the province of grammar.

### 14.35

Stress on operators
If an auxiliary is stressed or given nuclear prominence, the effect is often to add exclamatory emphasis to the whole sentence:

That wìl be nice! What Áre you dòing? We hàve enjoyed ourselves!

Auxiliary do is introduced where there would otherwise be no operator to bear the emphatic stress:
You vé lonk a wreck. He ldoes look pătr. You ldif give me $\begin{gathered}\text { a Fríght. }\end{gathered}$
This device is distinct from that of placing information focus on the operator (14.6). In the first place, emotive emphasis on the operator is not necessarily signalled by pitch prominence: ordinary sentence stress can have a similar effect. Secondly, emotive emphasis has no contrastive meaning; by saying That will be nice, for example, we do not imply that now or in the past things have been the opposite of nice. Further intenstfication, if desired, can be achieved by placing an emphasizer such as really or certainly in front of the operator: It really does taste nice.

### 14.36

## Non-correlative so and such

In familiar speech, and especially perhaps in the speech of older women, stress is also applied to the determiner such and to the adverb so, to give exclamatory force to a statement, question, or command:

## He's sùch a nice man! <br> Why are you Isuch a BABY? <br> Don't upsèt yourself Isol

Again, for extra emphasis, the exclamatory word so or such may be given nuclear prominence: I'm SO PLEASED. So and such in statements are almost enuivalent to how and what in exclamations (7.63):

They're "such delightful children!
What delighttul chinaren they are!

## Note

Other words of strong emotive import may take a nuclear tone for special emotive force:

I wish you'd usten!
I'm TĖRribly sórry!

## Reinforcement

### 14.37

## Reinforcement by repetition and pronouns

Reinforcement is a feature of colloquial style whereby some item is repeated (either completely or by pronoun substitution) for purposes of emphasis, focus, or thematic arrangement. Its simplest form is merely the reiteration of a word or phrase for emphasis or clarity:

It's far, far too expensive (cf 5.33)
I agree with every word you've said - every single word
A reinforcing pronoun is sometimes inserted, in informal speech, within a clause where it substitutes for an initial noun phrase (cf9.52 Note b):

This man I was telling you about - nc used to live next donr to me
The speaker may insert the pronoun because the initial phrase is too long and unwieldy to form the subject of the sentence without awkwardness or danger of confusion or because he cannot in the act of speaking think of any way of continuing without restructuring the sentence and therefore decides to make a fresh start.

### 14.38

Noun phrase tags
The opposite case arises when a noun phrase tag (cf9.52 Note $a$ ) is added
to the end of a sentence in informal speech, clarifying the meaning of a pronoun within it:

> They're all the SAME, these politicians

The tag generally occurs in a separate tone unit, with a rising tone. It can be inserted parenthetically, as well as placed finally:

He's got a good future, your brother, if he perseveres
An operator is added to the noun phrase for greater explicitness in some dialects. We have therefore a tag statement rather than a tag noun phrase:

That was a lark, that was!
He likes a drink now and then, Jim does

## Bibliographical note

Theme, focus, emphasis, and related matters are treated in Firbas (1966); Halliday (1967-8) and (1970); Huddleston (1971), Chapter 8; Lees (1960b); Rosenbaum (1967); Svartvik (1966).

## APPENDIXI

## WORD-FORMATION

## II

A form to which a rule of word-formation is applied is called a BASE (as distinct from STEM: see Note below), and the chief processes of English word-formation by which the base may be modified are:
(a) adding a prefix to the base, with or without a change of word-class (eg: author $\rightarrow$ co-author) (App 1.12 ff)
(1) AFFIXATION $\{$ (b) adding a suffix to the base, with or without a change of word-class (eg: drive $\rightarrow$ driver) (App $1.13 \mathrm{ff})$
(2) CONVERSION, ie assigning the base to a different word-class without changing its form ('zero affixation', eg: drive $\mathrm{v} \rightarrow$ drive n ) (App 1.23 ff)
(3) COMPOUNDING, ie adding one base to another (eg: tea + pot $\rightarrow$ teapot) (App I. 33 ff )

Once a base has undergone a rule of word-formation, the derived word itself may become the base for another derivation; and so, by reapplication, it is possible to derive words of considerable morphological and semantic complexity. A moderately complex example is the word unfriendliness, the derivation of which we set out as foliows:
(1) friend
NOUN
(2) friend-ly
NOUN $\rightarrow$ ADJECTIVE
(3) $u n-[($ friend $)-l y]$
ADJECTIVE $\rightarrow$ ADJECTIVE
(4) $\{$ zul-[(friend)-li]\}-ness
ADJECTIVE $\rightarrow$ NOUN

There are possibilities for mixing processes of derivation in the same word; for instance, compounding and amxation are both found in colourblindness, a word derived from the compound adjective colour-blind by the same rule which derives happiness from happy.

Apart from these major word-formation processes, Englisin calls !'pon a number of minor devices - reduplication, clipping, blending, and acronymy (App I. 43 ff ) - as means of forming new words on the basis of old.

## Note

We distinguish the base of a dez:ved word from the stem, which is the part of the w:rd remaining after every affix has been removed: friend in the above example. In a word which has only one affix, such as friendly, the stem (friend) is also the base; friendly is the base, but not the stem, of unfriendly.

## Prefixatlon

I. 2

Prefixes do not generally alter the word-class of the base. Productive prefixes normally have a light stress on their first (or only) syllable, the main stress of the worú coming un ihe vase: ן pre'jubrizate? This stress paite.n. will be assumed in the examples in the following tables, unless words are marked to the contrary

## I. 3

Negative prefixes
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llll} & \text { meaning } & \text { added to: } & \text { examples } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { UN- } \\
\text { (cf App I.4) }\end{array} & \text { 'the opposite of' } & \text { adjectives } \\
\text { participles }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}unfair <br>
unassuming <br>

unexpected\end{array}\right]\)| NON- | 'not' | varinus <br> classes | non-smoker <br> non-drip (paint) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (as for un-) | adjectives | insane |  |
| IN- | (as for un-) | adjectives <br> verbs <br> abstract nouns | disloyal <br> dislike <br> disfavour |
| (cf App I.4) |  | adjectives <br> nouns | amural <br> asymmetry |
| A- | 'lacking in' |  |  |

## Note

[a] Non- can normally be regarded as corresponding to cle ise negation: non-smoke $=$ one who does not smoke. It frequently contrasts with un-in expressing binary (nongradable) contrast, rather than the opposite end of a scaie: eg: non-scientific vs unscientific.
[b] $I n$ - is realized as il-before / $/$, eg: illogical, im-before bilabials, eg: improper, and irbefore $/ \mathrm{r} /$, eg: irrelevant.

## I. 4

## Reversative or privative prefixes

|  | meaning | added to: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UN- 'to reverse action' | verbs | examples |
| DE- 'to deprive of' |  | untie |
| unhorse |  |  |

I. 5

## Pejorative prefixes

|  | meaning | added to: | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MIS- | 'wrongly' | verbs <br> abstract nouns <br> participles | misinform <br> misconduct <br> misleading |
| MAL- | 'bad(ly)' | verbs <br> abstract nouns <br> patcicipls <br> adjectives | maltreat <br> malfunction <br> malformed <br> malodorous |

## Note

For other prefixes with pejorative overtones, see ARCH-, OVER-, UNDER-, and HYPER (App I.6). Like pseudo- is QUASI-.

## I. 6

## Prefixes of degree or size

|  | meaning | added to: | e:amples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARCH- | 'highost, worst' | nouns <br> (mainly human) | archdiake, arch-enemy |
| SUPER- <br> (cf App I.8) | 'above, more than, better' | nouns <br> adjectives | ${ }^{\prime}$ super, ${ }^{1}$ man, Isuper, market supernatural |
| OUT- | 'to do something faster, longer, etc than...' | verbs <br> (mainly intrans) | outrun, outlive |


|  | meaning | added to: | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUR- | 'over and above' | nouns | Isurtax |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { suB- } \\ & (c f \\ & \text { App I.8) } \end{aligned}$ | 'lower than, less than' | adjectives | subhuman, substandard |
| OVER- | 'too much' | いrbs participles adjectives | overeat overdressed overconfident |
| UNDER- | 'too little' | verbs participles | undercook underprivileged |
| HYPER- | 'extremely' | adjectives | hypercritical |
| ULTRA- <br> MINI- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'extremely, } \\ & \text { beyond' } \\ & \text { 'little' } \end{aligned}$ | adjectives | ultra-violet, ultra-modern $\bar{T}_{\text {mini }}$ skirt |

## Not

Mini- is often used for humorous coinages. The contrasting prefixes maxi- ('large 'long') and midi- ('medium') are less common, eg: maxi-skirt.

## Prefixes of attitude

|  | meaning | added to: | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CO- | 'with, joint' | verbs <br> nouns | cooperate <br> co-pilot |
| COUNTER- 'in opposition to' | verbs <br> abstract nouns | 'counteract (also <br> counter'act) <br> counter-revolution |  |
| ANTI- | 'against' | nouns <br> Cenominal <br> adjectives | anti-missile (attrib- <br> utive) <br> art:-social <br> anti-clockwise |
| PRO- | 'on the side of' | nouns <br> denominal <br> adjectives | pro-Common Market <br> (attrib) <br> pro-communist |

## Note

Anti- suggests simply an attitude of opposition, while coun!er- suggests ?ction in opposition to or in iesponse to a previous action.
I. 8

Locative prefixes

|  | meaning | added to: | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SUPER- 'over' | nouns | Isuper,structure |  |
| SUB- | 'beneath, <br> lesser in rank' | nouns <br> adjectives <br> verbs | Isub,way <br> subconscious <br> sublet |
| INTER- 'between, | denominal 2djectives <br> among' | international <br> intermarry <br> interaction |  |
| TRANS- 'across, from |  |  |  |
| one place to <br> another' | denominal adjectives <br> verbs | transatlantic <br> transplant |  |

I. 9

Prefixes of time and order

|  | meaning | added to: |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FORE- | 'before' | mainly verbs <br> abstract nouns | examples <br> foretell <br> foreknowledge |
| PRE- | 'before' | nouns <br> adjectives | pre-war (attributive) <br> pre-marital |
| POST- | 'after' | nouns <br> adjectives | post-war (attrib) <br> post-classical |
| EX- | 'former' | human nouns | ex-husband |
| RE- | 'again, | verbs <br> abstract nouns | rebuild, re-evaluate <br> resettlement |

Note
As an exception to the statement in App I.2, pre- and post-normally involve conversion from noun to adjective.

## I. 10

Number prefixes

|  | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UNI-, MONO- | 'one' | unilateral, monotheism |
| BI-, DI- | 'two' | bilingual, dipole |
| TRI- | 'three' | tripartite |
| MILLTI-, POL.Y- | 'many' | multi-racial, polysyllabic |

## Note

Bimonthly is notoriously ambiguous, in that it can niean either 'every two months' or 'twice every month'. Biweekly has the same ambiguity. Biennial normally bas only the meaning 'every two years' (in contrast with biannual 't wice a year'), but many speakers find it as ambiguous as bimonthly.

### 1.11

Other prefixes

|  | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AUTO- | 'self' | autobiography |
| NEO- | 'new, revived' | neo-Gothic |
| PAN- | 'all, world-wide' | pan-Africon |
| PROTO- | 'first, original' | 'proto 1 type |
| SEMI- | 'half' | 'semi,circıe |
| VICE- | 'deputy' | vice-president |

1.12

Conversion prefixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BE- | (a) nouns $\rightarrow$ participial adjectives | (a) bewigged bespectacled |
|  | (b) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { verbs } \\ \text { adjectives } \\ \text { nouns }\end{array}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { transitive } \\ \text { verbs }\end{array}\right.$ | (b) bedazzle becalm bewitch |
| EN- | nouns $\rightarrow$ verbs | cnslave |
| A- | verbs $\rightarrow$ predicative adjectives | afloat |

## Suffixation

i.1.3

Classification of suffixes
Unlike prefixes, suffixes frequently alter the word-class of the base; for example, the adjective kind, by the addition of the suffix -ness, is changed into an abstract noun kindness.

We shall group suffixes not only by the class of word they form (as noun suffixes, verb suffixes, etc) but also by the class of base they are typically added to (DENOMINAL, ie from nouns, DEADJECTIVAL, DEVERBAL suffixes, etc). More uscifully, we may extend this latter terminology,
where convenient, to the derived words themselves, and talk of worker a a deverbal noun, hopeful as a denominal adjective, etc

On stress with suffixation, see App II.2.

## Note

[a] Inflectional suffixes, if any, ilwaj; follow derivational suffixes: workers.
[b] Deverbal nouns do not include the 'gerund ' class of nouns ending in -ing (waiting etc) which are designated verbal nouns (13.23). Because of the complete pro ductivity of the verbal noun category, the relation between verbal nouns and the corresponding verbs is considered to be purely grammatical rather than deriva tional. Aiother class of words having arguably the same status oi full productivit is that of AGential nouns (App I.16): worker, etc. Notice that although not al verbs have a corresponding institutionalized (or 'permanent') agential noun (trick~"tricker. flout ~*flouter), it is always possible to create an ad hoc or 'tem porary agential noun in a frame such as a (regular) ... -er of $N$ :
John regularly flouts authority ~ *John is a flouter
John is a regular flouter of authoritv
I. 14

| Noun $\rightarrow$ noun sufixxes |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | added to $\rightarrow$ <br> to form | meaning | examples |
| [A] occupational |  |  |  |
| -STER, <br> -EER | nouns $\rightarrow$ personal nouns | 'person engaged in an occupation or activity' | gangster, engineer |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text {-ER } \\ & \text { (cf App } \\ & \mathrm{I} .16 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | nouns $\rightarrow$ nouns | ```varied meanings, eg 'inhabitant of X'``` | teenager, Londoner |
| [B] diminutive or feminine |  |  |  |
| -LET | count nouns $\rightarrow$ count nouns | 'small, ?nimportant' | booklet, piglet |
| - 'Eite | nouns $\rightarrow$ nouns | (a) 'small, compact' | kitchelnette statulette |
|  |  | (i) 'imitation' (material) | flannellette |
|  |  | (c) 'female' | ushe'rette |
| -ESS | animate nouns $\rightarrow$ animate nouns | 'female' | waitress |
| -Y, -IB | nouns $\rightarrow$ nouns |  | daddy, aumtie |


|  | added to $\rightarrow$ <br> to form | meaning | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [C] status, domain |  |  |  |
| -HOOD | nouns $\rightarrow$ abstract nouns | 'status' | boyhnod |
| -SHIP | (as for-hood) | 'status, condition' | friendship, dictatorship |
| -DOM | (as for -hood) | 'domain, condition' | kingdom. stardom |
| - ${ }^{\prime}$ OCKACY | (as for -hood) | 'system of government' | delmocracy |
| $-(E) R Y$ | chiefly nouns $\rightarrow$ <br> (a) a'Jsirac: 10i.a: <br> (b) concrete count nouns <br> (c) non-count noun | (a) 'rshav: cur ' <br> (b) 'place of activity or abode' <br> (c) 'collectivity' | (a) slaver:; <br> (b) refinery, nunnery <br> (c) machinery |
| [D] Other |  |  |  |
| -ING | count nouns $\rightarrow$ non-count nouns | 'the substance of which N is composed' | panelling |
| -FUL | count nouns $\rightarrow$ count nouns | 'the amount which N contains' | mouthful |

Note
[a] The diminutive suffix -ling is added to various word-classes, usually with a mildly contemptuous flavour: princeling, underling.
[b] The suffix -y/-ie largely restricted to familiar contexis, indicates endearment or familiarity. It is frequently added to a clipped form of the base eg: movies (esp AmE: 'moving pictures').
1.15

Noun/adjective -, noun/adjective sutfixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ITE | nouns (chiefly names) <br> $\rightarrow$ personal nouns | ' member of connmunity faction/type' | Israelite socialite |
| -(1)AN | nouns (chiefly proper) $\rightarrow$ personal nouns, non-gradable adjectives | 'pertaining to . . ' | Indo'nesian, re'publican |
| - ${ }^{\text {E S S }}$ | (as for -(i)an) | 'natıonality' | Chi'nese |


|  | added $t 0 \rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -IST | nouns/adjectives <br> $\rightarrow$ personal <br> nouns/adjectives | 'member of a party, <br> occupation' | socialist <br> violinist |
| -ISM | nouns/adjectives <br> $\rightarrow$ abstract nouns | 'attitude, <br> political movement' | idealism, <br> communism |

Not9
Many nouns in -ism correspond to a noun in -ist which denotes an adherent of the principle, etc involved: communist/communism.

### 1.16

Verb $\rightarrow$ noun suffixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -ER, -OR | verbs (mainly dy- <br> namic) $\rightarrow$ nouns <br> (mainly personal) | agentive and <br> instrumental | driver <br> receiver <br> actor |
| -ANT | verbs $\rightarrow$ nouns | agentive and <br> instrumental | inhabitant, <br> disinfectant |
| -EE | verbs $\rightarrow$ personal <br> nouns | passive | employee |
| -ATION | verbs $\rightarrow$ <br> (a) abstract nouns <br> (b) collective nouns | (a) 'state, <br> action' <br> (b) institution' | (b) organilzation |


| -MENT | verbs $\rightarrow$ nouns <br> (chiefly abstract) | 'state, action' | amazement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -AL | verbs $\rightarrow$ nouns <br> (chiefly count <br> abstract) | 'action' | refusal, <br> dismissal |
|  |  |  |  |

(a) abstract iouns
(a) 'activity'
(a) driving
(b) concrete nouns
(b) 'result of acti-
(b) building
vity'

| -AGE | verbs $\rightarrow$ non-count <br> abstract nouns | 'activity, result of drainage <br> activity' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

1.17

Adjective $\rightarrow$ noun suffixes

|  | added $t 0 \rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -NESS | adjectives $\rightarrow$ <br> abstract nouns | 'state, quality' | happiness |
| -ITY | (as for -ness) | 'state, quality' | sanity |

1.18

Verb suffixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -IFY <br> nouns, adjectives $\rightarrow$ <br> verbs (chiefly <br> transitive) | causative | simplify |
| -IZE | (as for -ify) | causative | popularize |
| (Bra: |  |  |  |
| also -ise) |  |  |  |
| -EN | adjectives $\rightarrow$ verbs <br> (a) trausitive <br> (b) intransitive | (a) causative <br> (b) 'become $X$ ' | (a) deafen <br> (b) sadden |

1.19

Noun $\rightarrow$ adjective suffixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow 10$ form | meaning | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -FUL | nouns (chiefly abstract) $\rightarrow$ gradable adjecti:es | 'having ..., giving . . .' | useful helpful |
| -LESS | nouns $\rightarrow$ adjectives | 'without . . . | childless |
| -LY | nouns (chiefly concrete) $\rightarrow$ gradable adjectives | 'having the qualities of . . .' | cowardly |
| -LIKE | (as for -ly) | 'having the qualities of' | childlike |
| -Y | nouns (chiefly concrete non-count) $\rightarrow$ gradable adjectives | 'like . . ., covered with . . . | creamy, häiry |


|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ISH | nouns (chiefiy proper and count) $\rightarrow$ adjectives <br> (a) non-gradable <br> (b) gradable | (a) 'belonging to . . .' <br> (b) 'having the character of . ..' | (a) Turkish <br> (b) foolish |
| -IAN | nouns (chiefly proper) <br> $\rightarrow$ adjectives | 'in the tradition $\cap \mathrm{f}$. | Dar'winian |

I. 20

Some adjective suffixes common in borrowed and neo-classical words

| suffix | used to form: | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text {-AL } \\ & \text { (also -ial, -ical) } \end{aligned}$ | primarily ion gataible adjectives | crim:nal, ediltric', musical |
| -IC | gradable or nongradable adjectives | helroic |
| $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & \text { (also -ative, -itive) } \end{aligned}$ | gradable or nongradable adjectives | attractive, affirmative, sensitwe |
| -ous <br> (also -eous, -ious) | primarily gradable adjectives | virtuous, courteous. vivaciuus |

Note
(a) In some adjectives. -ic alternates with -ical, with a difference of meaning:
an economic miracle $\sim$ the car is economical to run
('in the economy') ('money-saving')
a historic building ~historical research
('with a history" ('pertaining to history')
[b] There are several less common neo-classical affixes, among which -ary, -ate and -ory are particularly notable: revolutionary, affectionate, obligatory. Adjectives in -ory alternate (with or without stress shift) with nouns in -tion: o'bligatory~ oblig'ation, satis'factory~ satis'faction.

### 1.21

Cther adjective suffixes

|  | added to $\rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ABLE, <br> -IBLE | verbs (chiefly transitive) $\rightarrow$ adjectives | 'able/worthy to be V-ed' | readable, forcible |
| -ISH | gradable adjectives <br> $\rightarrow$ gradable adjectives | 'somewhat . . .' | youngish |
| -ED | nouns or noun phrases $\rightarrow$ adjectives | 'having. . .', etc | balconied |

### 1.22

## Adverb suffixes

|  | added $t 0 \rightarrow$ to form | meaning | examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -LY | adjectives $\rightarrow$ <br> adverbs of manner, <br> viewpoint, etc | 'in a . . . manner', | happily, |
| strangely |  |  |  |

-WARD(s) adverbs, nouns $\rightarrow$ manner/direction backward(s) adverbs of manner/ direction
-WIGE nouns $\rightarrow$
(a) adverbs of
(a) 'in the manner
(a) crabwise manner
of . . .
(b) viewpoint a Jverbs
(b) 'as far as . . .
(b) weather-wise is concerned ${ }^{\prime}$

## Note

Also -StyLe and -FASHION ('in the manner/style of') are sometimes used as adverbial suffixes: They ate American-style (cf 8.20).

## Conversion

1.23

Conversior and suffixation
Conversion is the derivational process whereby an item changes its wordclass without the addition of an affix. For example, the verb release (as in They released him) corresponds to a noun release (as in They ordered his release), and this relationship may be seen as parallel to that between the verb acquit and the noun acquittal.
I. 24

Verb $\rightarrow$ noun
[A] 'State' (from stative verbs to nouns): doubt, love
[B] 'Event/activity' (from dynamic verbs): laugh, walk
[C] 'Object of V ': answer ('that which is answered'), catch
[D] 'Subject of $V$ ': bore ('someone who bores/is boring), chent
[E] 'Instrument of V ': cover ('something that covers things'), wrap
[F] 'Manner of V-ing': throw, walk
[G] 'Place of V': retrect, turn

## I. 25

Adjective $\rightarrow$ noun
Miscellaneous examples are daily ('daily newspaper'), comic ('comic actor') (young) marrieds ('young married people'; informal). The
adjective $\rightarrow$ noun conversion can usually be explained in terms of a well established adjective + noun phrase from which the noun has been ellipted.
I. 26

Noun $\rightarrow$ verb
[A] 'To put in/on N': bottle, corner
[B] 'To give N, to provide with N': coat ('give a coat [of paint, etc] to'), mask
[C] 'To deprive of $N$ ': peel ('remove the peel from'), skin
[D] 'Tc . . . with N as instrument': brake, knife ('stab with a knife')
[E] 'To be/act as N with respect to . . .': nurse, referee
[F] 'To make/change . . . into N': cash, cripple
[G] 'To (a) send j(b) go by N': (a) mail, ship! (b) bicycle, motor

### 1.27

Adjective $\rightarrow$ verb
[A] (transitive verbs) 'to make (more) adj': calm, dirty
[B] (intransitive verhs) 'to become adj' (generally adjectives in Type A can also have this function): dry, empty
Sometimes a phrasal verb is derived from an adjective by the addition of a particle: calm down "to becume calm").
This category of conversion competes with -en suffixation (App I.18), and sometimes both derivations are available for the same adjective:
He blacked/blackened his face with soot
1.28

Minor categories of conversion
[1] Conversion from closed-system words to nouns:
This book is a must for the student of aerodynamics
[II] Conversion from phrases to nouns:
Whenever I gamble, my horse is one of the also-rans (ie one of the horses which 'aiso ran' but was not among the winners)
[III] Conversion from phrases to adjectives:
an under-the-weather feeling $\sim I$ feel very under-the-weather (ie indisposed)
[IV] Conversion from affixes to nouns:
Patriotism, and any other isms you'd like to name
I. 29

Change of secondary word-class: nouns
(a) Non-count $\rightarrow$ count
(i) 'A unit of N': two coffees ('cups of coffee')
(ii) 'A kind of N ': Some paints are more lasting than others
(iii) 'An instance of N ' (with abstract nouns): a difficulty
(b) Count $\rightarrow$ non-count
' N viewed in terms of a measurable extent' (normally only after expressions of amount): a few square feet of floor
(c) Proper $\rightarrow$ common (initial capital usually retained)
(i) 'A member of the class typified by N' : Jeremiah ('a gloomy pruphet')
(ii) 'A person, place, etc called N': There are several Cambridges ('places called Cambridge') in the world
(iii) 'A product of N or a sample or collection of N's work': a Rolls Royce ('a car manufactured by Rolls Royce'), a Renoir, a (complete) Shakespeare
(iv) 'Something associated with N ': wellingtons, a sandwich
(d) Stative $\rightarrow$ dynamic

He's being a fool ('He's behaving like a fool')
1.30

Change of secondary word-class: verbs
(a) Intransitive $\rightarrow$ transitive
'Cause to V ': run the water
(b) Transitive $\rightarrow$ intransitive
(i) 'Can be V-ed' (often followed by an adverb such as well or badly): Your book reads well
(ii) 'To V oneself': Have you washed yet? ('washed yourself')
(iii) 'To V someone/something/etc': We have eaten already
(iv) 'To be V-ed': The door opencd
(c) Intransit:ve $\rightarrow$ irtensive
(i) Current meaning: He lay flat
(ii) Resulting meaning: He fell flat
(d) Intensive $\rightarrow$ intransitive

The milk turned (ie 'turned sour')
(e) Monotransitive $\rightarrow$ complex transitive
(i) Current meaning: We catch them young
(ii) Resulting meaning: I wiped it clean.

### 1.31

Change of secondary word-class: adjectives
(a) Non-gradable $\rightarrow$ gradable

I have a very legal turn of mind
(b) Stative $\rightarrow$ dynamic

He's just being friendiy ('acting in a friendly manner')

## I. 32

Approximate conversion: voicing and stress shift
In some cases, conversion is approvimate rather than complete: that is, a word, in the course of changing its grammatical function, may undergo a slight change of pronunciation or spelling. The most important kinds of alteration are (1) voicing of fina! consonarte, ?nd (2) shift ef stress.
(1) Voicing of final consonants (noun $\rightarrow$ verb)
advice $\rightarrow$ advise, thief $\rightarrow$ thieve, sheath $\rightarrow$ sheathe, and (not shown in spelling) house $\rightarrow$ house
(2) Shift of stress (see Âpp II.2)

When verbs of two syllables are converted into nouns, the stress is sometimes shifted from the second to the first syllable:
conduct, confict, contrast, convert, convict, export, extract, import, insult, permit, present, produce, rebel, record

Occasionally, a word of more than two syllables varies in this way: over'flow ( v ) $\rightarrow$ loverflow ( n ). There are many examples of disyllabic noun-verb pairs which do not differ in stress; for example, 'contact (v), 'contact (n), and delbate (v), delbate (n).

## Compounds

I. 33

A compound is a unit consisting of two or more bases (App I.1). There is no one formal criterion that can he used for a general definition of compounds in English (cf $13.34 f$ ); on stress of App II.3.

We concentrate on the productive or creative types of compounding, and indicate the syntactic relations of the compounding elements by naraphrases. For example, the two compounds playboy and call-girl are superficially similar, consisting of verb+noun. Yet the relations of their elements are different:
playboy~iiue boy nlays, ie verb + subject
call-girl $\sim X$ calls the girl, ie verb + object

## Noun compounds

I. 34
subject and verb compounds

| SUNRISE $\sim$ the sun rises | bee-sting |
| :--- | :--- |
| noun + deverbal noun | earthquake |
| Very productive type | headache |
| RATTI ESNAKE $\sim$ the snake rattles | flashlight |
| verb + noun | hangman |
| DANCING GIRL $\sim$ the girl dances | firing squad |
| verbal noun + noun | washing machine |

## I. 35

verb and object compounds

| ```sightseerng ~ X sees sights (cf App I.39) noun + verbal noun (Number is neutralized) Very productive tyne``` | air-conditioning brainwashing dressmaking story-telling |
| :---: | :---: |
| TAXPAYER $\sim X$ pays taxes noun + agentive or instrumental noun (Number is neutralized) Very productive type | gamekeeper record-player songwriter window-cleaner |
| BLOODTEST $\sim X$ tests blood count nouns <br> noun + deverbal noun book review <br>  haircut | non-count nouns birth-control self-control |
| CALL-GIRL $\sim X$ calls the girl verb + noun | knitwear |
| CHEWING GUM $\sim X$ chews gum verbal noun + noun | cooking apple spending money |

I. 36

VERB AND ADVERBIAL COMPOUNDS

| SWMMMING POOL $\sim X$ s!:!ims in the pool | typing paper |
| :--- | :--- |
| verbal noun $\dot{+}$ noun | adding machine |
| Very productive type | walking stick |
| DAYDREAMING (cf App I.40) | sun-bathing |
| $\sim X$ dreams during the day | sleepwalking |
| noun + verbal noun | handwriting |


| BABY-SITTER $\sim X$ sits with the baby <br> noun + agentive noun | factory-worker <br> sun-bather <br> daydreamer |
| :--- | :--- |
| HOMEWORK $\sim X$ works at home <br> noun + deverbal noun <br> Most examples are count | boat-ride <br> daydream <br> gunfight |
| SEARCHLIGHr $\sim X$ searches with a light | dance hall <br> verb + noun |

I. 38

BAHUVRIHI COMPOUNDS

| PAPERBACK | noun + noun | adjcctive + noun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sim[$ the book has $]$ a paper back | blockhead | fathead |
| A 'bahuvrihi compound' | hunchback | loudmouth |
| names an entire thing by | pot-belly | paleface |
| specifying some feature |  |  |

Adjective compounds
I. 39

VERB AND OBJECT COMPOUNDS

| MAN-EATING $\sim X$ eats men $(c f$ App I.35) | breain-taking |
| :--- | :--- |
| noun +-ing participl: | heart-breaking |

1.40

VERB AND ADVERBIAL COMPOUNDS

| OCEAN-GOING $\sim X$ goes across oceans (cf App 1.36) law-abiding |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| noun+-ing participle | mouth watering |
| HEARTFELT $\sim X$ feels it in the heart | handmade |
| ncun +- -d pá.ticiple | self-employed |
| HARD-WORKING $\sim X$ works hard | easy-going |
| adjective/adverb+-ing participle | good-looking |
| QUICK-FROZEN $\sim X$ is frozen quickly | far-fetched |
| adjective/adverb+-ed participle | new-laid |

I. 41

VERBLESS COMPOUNDS

| CLASS-CONSCIOUS $\sim X$ is conscious with respect to class i.oun + adjective | duty-free homesick |
| :---: | :---: |
| GRASS-GREEN $\sim X$ is green like grass noun + adjective | brick red sea-green |
| BRITISH-AMERICAN (:nitiative) ~ the British and the Americans jointly (made ar: initiative) adjective + adjective (coordination compound) | bitter-sweet deaf-mute |

## Note

The first element of coordination compounds frequently ends in -0 and is not itself an independent word, eg: psycholinguistics, Anglo-American.

## I. 42

Verb compounds

| SIGHTSEE $\sim X$ sees sights | house-hunt <br> noun + verb |
| :--- | :--- |
| lip-read |  |

## Not

Thesc examples are 'back-formations' from such nouns as sightseeing (App I.35) an spring cleaning (App I.36), and from a historical viewpoint cannot 'e described a noun + verb compounds.

## I. 43

## Reduplicatives

Seme con:pounds hav? two 乞: only slightly different; eg:goody-goody ('affectedly good', informal). The difference between the two elements may be in the initial consonants, as in walkie-talkie, or in the medial vowels, eg: criss-cross. Most of the reduplicatives are highly informal or familiar, and many derive from the nursery, eg: din-d!n ('diurer'). The most common uses of reduplicatives are
(a) to imitate sounds, eg: tick-tock (of clock)
(b) to suggest alternating movements, eg: seesaw
(c) to disparage by suggesting instability, nonsense, insincerity, vacillation, etc, eg: higgledy-piggledy, wishy-washy
(d) to intensify, eg: tip-top

## I. 44

## Clipping

The term 'clipping' denotes the subtraction of one or more syllables from a word. The shortening may occur at
(a) the beginning of the word: phone $\sim$ telephone
(b) the end of the word (more commonly): photo $\sim$ photograph
(c) at both ends of the word (rare): flu ~influenza

The clipped form tends to be used especially in informal style.

## I. 45

## Blends

In a blend at least one of the elements is fragmentary when compared with its corresponding uncompounded word form. For example brunch (esp AmE, 'a meal subsuming breakfast and lunch') is derived f:om br(eakfast $)+(l)$ unch. Many blends have only a short life and are very
infurmal, but some have become more or less fully accepted in the language, eg: motel from motor + hotel, smog from smoke + fog, transistor from transfer + resistor.

## I. 46

## Acronyms

Acronyms are words formed from the initial letters (or larger parts) of words. New acronyms are freely produced, particuiarly for names of organizations.
(1) Acronyms pronounced as sequences of letters can be called 'alphabetisms':
(a) The letters represent full words: C.O.D. $\sim$ cash on delivery, UN~ the Urited Nations
(b) The letters represent elements in a enmpuund or jusi parts of a word: $T V \sim$ television, GHQ $\sim$ General Headquarters
(2) Many acronyms are pronounced as words, eg: radar (from 'radio detecting and ranging ).

## Bibliugraphical note

Marchand (1969) is the most comprehensive reference work on English word-formation, but of also Adams (1973). For bibliography, see Stein (1973).

APPENDIXII

## STRESS, RHYTHM, AND INTONATION

## II. 1

Stress, rhythm, and intonation are all concerned with the perception of relative PROMINENCE. We speak of STRESS when we are considering the prominence with which one part of a word or of a longer utterance is distinguished from other parts. Thus we say that indignant has stress on the second syllable or that the word like is stressed in 'Does he like it ?':
Irldignant
Does he iiike it ?
We speak of Rhythm when we are considering the pattern formed by the stresses percsivei as peaks of prominence or beats, occuring at jome what regular intervals of time, the recurring beats being regarded as completing a cycle or 'measure'. Thus, as a language with a tendency for 'stress-timed' rhythm, English often shows an identity of rhythm in sentences like the following, provided that the number of syllables does not vary too widely:
'John's at Ihome tolnight
IJohn's Ihere Inow

We speak of intonation when we associate relative prominence with PITCH, the aspect of sound which we perceive in terms of 'high' or 'low'; thus we can say that the 'intonation rucleus' ii the following sentence has a 'falling tone' (App II.7):

The Iman has Gòne.

## II 2

Stress within the word
There is a binary opposition - stress versus no stress - though it is often relevant to distinguish an :ntermediate or secondary stress. We mark stress with a high vertical stroke before the syllable carrying the stress,
leaving lack of stress unmarked. When a stronger stress needs to be indicated, a double vertical mark is used, and where it is desirable to indicate secondary stress, there is a low vertical stroke before the syllable concerned. For example:
${ }^{\text {I }}$ several Ipretty ${ }^{4}$ women
,contri'bution
Native words and early French adoptions tend to have the main stress on the root sy!!able and to keep it there, regardless of the affixes that wordformation may add:

| Ikingly | Istand(ing) | Ipassion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'kingliness | under'stand(ing) | 'passionately |
| un'kingliness | misunder'stand(ing) | dis'passionate |

By wont:ast, with the riore recort adnrtions and coinages, especially those based on words from the rlassical languages, the place of the stress varies according to the affixation:

| 'telegraph | antepenultimate | penultimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tellegraphy | tele'graphic |
|  | telıpathy | tele:pathiv |
| 'photo(graph) | pho'tography | photo'graphic |
| 'transport | trans'portable | transpor'tation |
| largument | argu'mentative | argumen'tation |

The last two items exemplify an important generalization: all abstract nouns ending in -ion are stressed on the syllable preceding this ending.
Similar penultimate examples with adjectival -ic (App I.20):

| 'phoneme $\sim$ pho'nemic | 'emblem $\sim$ emble'matic |
| :--- | :--- |
| e'conomy $\sim$ eco'nomic | 'sympathy $\sim$ sympa'thetic |

and antepenultimate with nominal -ity and nominal or adjectival -ian:

| ulnanimous $\sim$ una'nimity | 'curious $\sim$ curi'osity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ilibrary $\sim$ lib'rarian | u'tility $\sim$ utili'tarian |
| Igranınar $\sim$ gıam'marian | 'Cromweli-Crom'wellian |

By contrast, -ite leaves the place of the accent unchanged:
'Trotsky ~'Trotskyite
IJefferson~1Jeffersonite

A fairly numerous set of words that can operate without affixal change as noun or adjective on the one hand, and as verb on the other, have an accentual difference in the two functions (App I.32); for example:
noun or adjective: ' 'conduct, 'contrast, 'attribute, 'present, etc verb: con'duct, con'trast, at'tribute, pre'sent, etc

In one of these (contrast) and in several of the other examples that might have been cited (eg: export), there is a tendency to discontinue a separate verb form and to use in all functions the form as stressed for the noun.

## II. 3

## Compounds

In contrast to noun phrases like $a$, black lbird, corresponding compound nouns (App I. 34 ff ) are generally stressed on the first element but with a strong secondary stress on the second element:

| 'black,bird | Iblack, board | Igreen,flly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'earth,quake | I waiting, room | Ifire-ex, tinguisher |

When such a compound is made part of another compound, the stress
 , house but lighthouse-। keeper.
A somewhat smaller number of compounds have phrasal stress pattern:
, applelsauce ,first rate
Such compounds are often not nouns, but verbs (,back-1 $f$ ire), adverbs (Ihencelforth), and especially adjectives (Iknee-ldeep, Iflat-lfooted). Some examples are variable:
${ }_{\text {ffield }}$ Imarshal or Ifield |marshal; ןover'seas or lover,seas
In any case, the stress often shifts from second component to first when the compound is being used attributively in a noun phrase:
The room is, down'stairs but A down,stairs Iroom
His work is , first'class but His 'first,class 'work

## Note

In AmE there is a strong tendency to give initial stress to compounds and in normal AmE use we have for example 'apple, sauce. 'lawn,tennis, 'back, fire. This stress distnbution occurs quite often in BrE also.

## 11.4

## Stress in phrases and other syntactic units

Stress distribution provides a firm basis for distinguishing between different underlying relations:

A ltoy factory produces toys; a ,toy Ifactory is a toy
A 'bull,fight involves bulls; a , bull 'calf is a young bull
A 'French |teacher teaches French; a ${ }_{1}$ French Iteacher is French
A Islate ,quarry yields slate; a ,slate Iroof is made of slate

A heavier stress (though usually realized as an intonation nucleus: App II.7) marks the head of a lengthy premodified noun phrase, the final item of a heavily postmodified noun phrase, the last lexical item of a clause, and similar points of grammatical importance:
A reallistic, little, toy "factory
The ,toy Ifactory he Igot for his "birthday
'That's the ad'dress he Isent the "letter ,to

## II. 5

## Contrastive stress

We can interfere with normal acantuation to highlight any word we please by means of contrastive stress, again often realized by means of intonation. In this way, for example, the closed-system words like ond, of,
 nounced with the vowel and consonant values that these words have in isolation:

A: IJohn and 'Mary "went /on/
B: 'Really! IJohn "and 'Mary? /and/
Contrastive stress is not limited to sequences longer than the word: the normal accentuation within the word can also be distorted at the speaker's will if he wanis to make a contrastive point. Thus instead of un'happy one might say Iunhappy in a context such as
A: She was looking lhappy tonight
B: You thought so ? She seemed Iunhappy to me
Note
Contrastive stress can also override the distinctions made in App II.4: in I'said she was a "French, teacher, not a"fresh, teacher, we could be referring to nationality.

## 11.6

## Rhythm

Broadly speaking. and ir the absence of cuntrastive stress, English connecied speech has stresses on the (́stressed syllables of) cpen-class items, and absence of stress upon the closed-system words accompanying them:

## He Itold his mother

He isent it to his ${ }^{\text {I mother }}$
That's the ad'dress he isent the "letter, to
She was looking Ihappy to'night
The natural riuthm of English, when unaffected by other factors such as hesitation which may slow duwn the speaker or excitement which may
speed him up, provides roughly equal intcrvals of time between the stresses (cf App II.1).
But absolute regularity of rhythm is the exception rather than the rule. When the intervals between stresses achieve something like equality, the stylistic effect is oppressive. One exception is in counting: when we have to count a fairly large number of items, we tend to adopt quite naturally a strictly regular rhythm:
'one Itwo Ithree Ifour ... 'seventeen 'eighteen Inineteen...
seventy-Ifour seventy-Ifive seventy-lsix . . . a hundred-and-lthree
a hundred-and-lfour...
So too when we are compiling an inventory, giving a list of names, or the like. Insistent regularity may also be introduced in religious discourse, in reading poetry, or for emphasis. In ordinary discourse, it is common when one is implyng repecition of sometning which ought to ve accepid without argument, and especially again perbaps when the speaker is expressing irritation or sarcasm:

You should Inever 'move the Ipapers Ion my Idesk

## Intonation

II. 7

Tone unit, nucleus, and the falling tone
Intonation is normally realized in tone units consisting of a sequence of stressed and unstressed syllables but on occasion the unit may consist of a single pitch-prominent syllable. The peak of greatest prominence is called the nucleus of the tone unit and it is indicated in this book by being printed in small capitals. The first prominent syllable in a tone unit is the ONSET, and it is commonly preceded by one or more syllables with light stress and on a low pitch. Its position can be indicated by a preceding thin vertical $(\mid)$ and the end of the tone unit by a thick vertical (I). Some of the earlier examples are now given, with stresses reinterpreted where relevant:
(a) He |told his muther|
(b) That's the ad|dress he Isent the Letter tol
(c) She was |looking happy to'night|
(d) You |thought Isol
(e) She |seemed UNhappy to ME|

Pitch prominence is usually associated with pitch change, and the commonest change is a fall. We would expect a fall on the nuclear syllable in (a) and (b) above, as in most sentences of English We expect a fall alco in questions beginniug with a wh-word, as in (f) and (h), on one-word
answers to questions, and on worus or names or even letters uttered in isolation, as in (g) and (i):
(f) |What's his NגME|
(g) Phy|LAktis $\mid$
(h) |What's the first Lèter
(i) $|\overrightarrow{\mathrm{P}}|$

## II. 8

## The rising tone

The next commonest tone is the RISE, used when we wish to indicate that our utterance is non-final or that we are leaving it open and inconclusive. This may be because we are counting or listing and have not come to the last item; or because another clause is going to follow; or because we seek a response from someone (but not by means of a wh-question):

## ... |Twélvb| |THírteen| |Fór'rieen| |Fifteen|

There are |fiftème|
|When he c \{mil I |urieted him!
I |saw him this Mórning| and in|vited him to Dinner |
You're |going airéadyl 'múst youl
Some of the examples in App II. 7 mighi well have a rising nucleus, especially (c) perhaps, net so much in order to make it a question as to suggest politely that a (confirmatory) comment would be welcome. Alternatively, one might add a tag question ( $7.48 f$ ), with a falling nucleus:

## She was |looking HÁppy tolnight| |wasn't she|

Since a rise lacks dogmatic finality, it enables us to make an imperative gentle and persuasive:

## |Don't be unpléasant|

## Other nuclear tones

## II. 9

There are no nuclear tones anything like so common as the fall and the rise, but four other tone contours are nevertheless important. The fa LL RISE occurs in many 'contingency' environments (for example as the nucleus of a conditional clause), but it is perhaps especially common with initial adverbials (8.3):

I'll |see him if he Cठmes|
|Finally| we de|cided not to Goे|
The converse of this, the RISE-PALL, must be sharply distinguished from it since it is really a rather persuasive variant of the falling tone, used to
express a genuine or sarcastic warmith or on the other hand a feclin ${ }_{5}$ of surprise or shock:

> |That's wôNderful|
> He's a com|plete F000|

Rarest of all is the level tone. This is perhaps a variant of the rise, and t is used to suggest (often somewhat pompously) the exact predictability of what is to follow:

He |Drank| he |stolb | he was |soon desplsed|

## II. 10

The remaining tone pattern, FALL-PLUS-RISE, is probably used more often than any other except fall and rise, but we have lef: it till the end because, unlike the others, this pattern bas two nuclei. Especially in BrE , it would be expecteu' in example (e) of App II.7:

## She |seemed Unshappy to $\mathrm{M} \dot{E} \mid$

The nucleus is alvays a peak of 'information' content in the tone unit; with the fall-plus-rise we have two such peaks of information interest, and they are related, the first being superordinate. When we first introduced this example (App II.5), it was in order to illustrate 'contrastive strass'. Now contrestive stress ofter invol"es moving a tonal nucleus from its normal, unmarked position onto the contrasted item. But this need not entail removing all nuclear prominence from elsewhere in the sentence. The fall-plus-rise allows the speaker to express a double contrast, and in the present example he in effect says (a) 'She seems unhappy rather than happy', and (b) 'This is my view as opposed to yours'.
The fall-plus-rise is commonly used with marked focus ( $c f 14.2 \mathrm{ff}$ ), with the fall placed on the displaced and focused item, the rise on the final lexical item in the tone unit. For example:

It's his |wife that I don't LfKe|=The |one that I don't Líke| is his |wife|
It's his |wive that's always N.isty|
beside the unmarked
I |don't like his wire| His |wife is always NAsty|

## II. 11

## Prosodic marking compared with punctuation

In so brief a sketch we must ignore other features of oral English such as pitch height, pitch range, tempo. Even so, we now have a system of conventions capable of expressing on paper for spoken English what the sys-
tem of punctuation marks does for written English. There are numerous rispects in which conventional punctuation is inadequate, but we need mention here only one or two such points to show how our prosodic notation both explains and transcends the difficulty.

For example, although in rather informal punctuation we can indicate emphasis (usualiy by means of italics), vie cannot distinguish emphases of radically different sound and value:
(a) You shouldn't give her any flowers
( = You must give her no flowers at ail)
(b) You shouldn't give her any flowers
(=You must give her only certain flowers)
But prosodic notation adequately represents the difference we hear:
(a) You Ishouldn't give her $\lambda$ ny fiowers
(b) You |shouldn't give her ǍNy flowers|

Consider now the prosodic realizations which give sharply different meanings to the various members within each of the following sets of sentences; in each case we begin with the 'unmarked' and most neutral form the séatuace mighi have:
(1) I should $|G O\rangle$

I should |Gó| (Is that your advice?)
|î should go| (Not you!)
I |shòuld go| (And I defy you to deny it)
I |shðuld gol (But I don't think I will)
(2) |Somebody must have tàken it |
|Somebody MÙST have 'taken it| (It's no use your arguing)
|sØmebody must have Itaken it| (Even if you didn't)
(3) You |said he would Còme|
|You said he would come| (I was personally doubtful)
You |said Hor would comel (You didn't say that his wife was coming as well)
You |săıə he would come| (But that doesn't. mean he really will)
You |sAìd he would cóme| (And, my goodness, there he is!)

## Bibliographical note

A detailed account of all prosodic systems is given in Crystal (1969), especially Chap. ters 4 ff, and these are related to style in Crystal and Davy (1969). On stress, see Gimson (1970), especially Part III; Halle and Keyser (1971), Chapter 1. On rhythm, see Abercrombie (1967), Chapter 6; Leech (1969b), Chapter 7. On AmE intonation, see Pike (1945).

## APPENDIX III <br> PUNCTUATION

III. 1

Punctuation serves two main functicns:
(1) separation of
(a) successive units (such as sentences by periods, or items in a list by commas)
(b) included units (as when parentheses mark off an interpolated phrase or clause)
(2) SPECIFICATION of language function (as when an apostrophe indicates that an inflection is genitive).

## Successive units

III. 2

Successive units form a hierarchy as follows:
(a) the individual letters within a word;
(b) the parts of a word independent enough to be separated by a hyphen;
(c) the individual words separated by a space;
(d) the dependent units in sentence structure (usually phrases or clauses) separated by a comma;
(e) the non-parenthetic appositive units (cf9.47) separated by a colon;
(f) the independent units (usually clauses) separateci by a semicoion;
(g) the sentences separated by a period and a following capital;
(h) the paragraphs separated by switching to a new line of writing, often indented.
There are of course still larger units in the hierarchy, such as chapters, but in this brief sketch we must ignore all points except (b) and (d) above.

## III. 3

## The hyphen

There are two principal uses of the hyphen:
(1) Word division at the end of a line. Nataral breaks (orthographic, syllabic, morphological) are observed; thus establish-ment not *establis-hment. BrE practice tends to favour morphological breaks (struct-ure), AmE syllabic (struc-ture).
(2) The division, especially in BrE , of words not regarded as whe!ly established units (anti-war, flower-power) and the iunction of phrasal units used as premodifiers (a vase of the fourth century but $a$ fourth-century vase: of $13.38 f$ ).

## III. 4

## The comma

The comma separates items in lists; coordinate clauses (especially those with but); adverbial clauses and phrases, especially initial ones, from superorlinate clauses; a :ocative from the rect of the sentence. To illustrate each in turn:

The farmer owned sheep, cattle, pigs(,) and poultry.
The lecture was good, but few people were present.
When she saw him, she burst out laughing.
John, do you know Mary's address?
A comma cannot separate subject from predicate or verb from object:
*A man of his great abilities, would always be successful.
*John thought, that the weather would improve.

## Note

[a] With initial conjuncts and disjuncts, a comma is virtually obligatory, but it is often optional after adjuncts: Incidentally, he sings ...; Frankly, he cheated . . .; Frequently (,) he works ...; cf 8.2.
[b] A restrictive relative clause cannot be separated by a comma from its antecedent in the noun phrase, while a non-restrictive clause is regularly so separated (13.3):

You should have asked the man who left yesterday
You should have asked Mary, who left yesterday.

## III. 5

Inclusied units
The two commonest types of included unit are (1) parenthetic or subordinate matter, and (2) quotation or other reference to a different linguistic sphere. The punctuation marking such included units must be correlative, one occurrence indicating the beginning of the inclusion, a second occurrence indicating its completion.
(1) Parenthetic matter may be adverbial, appositive, or structurally unrelated. The punctuation marks are commas, parentheses (' brackets', Br E ), or dashes:

The other man, David Johnson, refused to leave
Juhn (or perhaps his wife) will collect the parcel.
David Johnson - I don't know why - refused to leave.
As the above examples suggest, parentheses subordinate more definitely than commas, and dashes are particularly suitable for informal 'asides'.
(2) Direct speech is enclosed in single or double quotation marks (in BrE called also "inveried commas'; they are usually single, especially in print); quotation within quotation uses both to mark the distinction:
'I heard "Keep out" being shouted,' he explained
Reference outside the variety of English being used is similarly marked:

## A carafe of 'plonk' accompanied the meal.

## III. 6

## Specification

Thu functions mosı commouly specified by punctuation signs are questions, exclamations, genitives, contractions, and abbreviations.
John has gone already?
Isn't she beautiful!
In these examples, the specification signs are especially relevant since the grammatical form would otherwise suggest that the first is a statement and the second a question. The exclamation mark is, however, sparingly used in English and it does not occur with epistolary formulae or (normally) with vocatives or imperatives.
The apostrophe is used in writing the genitive singular and plural (thus marking the difference between dogs, dog's, and dogs') and the informal contractions, especially of the negative particle and of auxiliaries with pronoun subjects: John didn't; he'll; I've.
Abbrevations by initials are indicated by capitals with or pithcut pericds (P.T.O., PTO), or by lower case leiters where the absence of periods is less common (i.e., e.g.). Shortened forms of words normally have a period (Prof.), though this neea not be so if the abbreviation ends with the last letter (Dr).

## Note

a] After the initial greeting in a letter, there is either a comma (BrE, informal AmE) or a colon (AmE), the first sentence then beginning on the next line with a capital:
Dear Mr Johnson,
Several weeks have passed since . . .
[b] Italics are sometimes used to spe ify an emphasized word:
It was not so much anger as self-reproach that made him agitated
Italics are also used (with initial capitals for open-ciass words) in quoting titles of books, etc:

His next play was Peace in the Dark.
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as 'marked' theme ! 4.11
in clause structure $7.2,4,8$
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adverbial clause 11.12, 20-36
of circumstance 11.30
of comparison 11.33
of concersion $11.23 f$. $36-28,50$ of condition 11.23-25, $47 f, \stackrel{\circ}{ }$ of manner 11.33
adverbial clause-cont of place 11.22
of preferenco and proportion 11.34
of purpose 11.31, 50
of reason or cause 11.29
of result 11.32
of time 11.21, 47, 49
adverbial phrase 2.11
adverbial verbless clause $11.5,35$
'affected' role 7.9 f ; 14.8 ; in object $7.9,16$
in subject 7.15
affixation App I. 1
afloat 5.42
afrald 5.42 ander, conjunction 11.7, 21, 47, 49. pre-
position 6.23
after all 10.21
again, additivo adjunct 8.10 ; conjunct

against $6.8,36$
-age App I. 16
aged 5.47 Note $c$
agential noun App I. 13 Note b, 16
agentive prepositional phrase 6.33
'agentive' role 7.9 f; 14.8
Agi:, the :-1iddle :. 35
aghast 5.42
ain't 3.20; 7.34 Noto a
-al App I.16, 20
alert 5.42
alga 4.49
alike 5.42
alive 5.42
all, intensifier 5.23 Note $b$; predeterminer 4.7; pro-form 10.27; universal pronoun 4.91
all but 6.40 Note; 8.16
allow 12.28
almost 8.16 f
alone 5.42; 8.10
along $6.14 f, 17$
aloof 5.42
already 7.35; 8.40
also, additive adjunct 8.8-1
alternative question 7.54 f
although 9.10 Nnte; 11.7, 23, 26; (. . yet) 11.7
alumna 4.49
alumnus 4.48
always, emphasizer 8.13 Note a
merican
American English 1.6
amid, amidst 6.10 Note, 20
mong, amonsin 110 Note, 20
mplifier $8.12,14 f$; adjective 5.14 ; adverb
5.33, 3 ; positio or 8.1
$a(n)$, determiner 4.5, 20
-an App I. 15
anaemia 4.21
maphoric
anaphoric reference 4.20; 10.37-42
as.21 f;9.9-16, 19 f, 31,3
semantic implications of coordination by 9.16
animals, higher 4.63 ; lower 4.65 ; names of $4.43 f$
another 4.12 ; see other
-ant App I.16
-ant App 1.16
antelope 4.44
antenna 4.49
anti- App I. 7
antithetic conjuncts 8.53; 10.20 any $7.35 ; 10.27$; determiner 4.5 a, ?:om !n ? 1
any-series $4.92 f ; 7.35$
anyway 10.21
anyway 10.21
apex 4.51
aphoristic sentence 7.65
aplenty 5.5 Note $b$
apistropis App III.6; in plurols 4.36
appear 12.19 Note $b$
appellation apposition 9.50
appended clause 9.5
appendicitis 4.21
appendix 4.51
appoint 12.27
apposition 7.18 Note $c ; 9.45-58$
and the noun phrase 13.13, 18 and the prepositional phrase 13.21 conjuncts 8.53; 9.48; 10.15 indicators of 9.48; 10.15 more than two units 9.47
see also citations and apposition
appositional coordination 7.21; 9.33 appositive and infinitive clauses 13.18 appositive clauses 13.13
approximate conversion App I. 32
approximative expressions and the noun prrase 9.34
approximators $8.16 f$
aquarium 4.50
arch- App I. 6
archipelago 4.36
archives 4.34
aren't I $13.20 ; 7.34$ Note $a$
arms 4.34
around $6.14 \mathrm{f}, 17$
rears 4.34
rticle 2.12, 14; see also definite article
ary App I. 20 Note $b$ 45-47
as, preposition or quã:--preposition 6.31; 12.27
as and when 9.39 Note $b$
as far as 11.7
as follows 9.48
as for 6.39 ; 10.13
as if $11.7,33,50$
as long as $11.7,2$
as ... so $11.7,35 \mathrm{f}$
as soon as 11.7, 21, 47
as though 11.7, 33, 50
as to $6.39 ; 10.13$
as well 8.10; (as) 9.44
ashamed 5.42
ashes 4.34
wsk 12.28
asleep 5.42
aspect $3.26-55 ; 4.16 ; 12.19$
and verbal and deverbal nouns 13.23
and participial modification in noun
phrase 13.14-17, 29-32

## assertion 2.20

assuming (that) 11.47
asyndetir coordination $97 f$
at $6.7 \mathrm{f}, 21,29,34,44$
at all 7.35; 8.16
at any rate 10.21
-ate App I. 20 Note b
-ation App I. 16
attachment' rule 11.35
attitude 1.12, 14
attitudinal disjuncts 8.47, 50-52
as sentence connecters 10.22
attitudinal verbs 12.2
attribute' role 7.9
ttribution apposition 9.54
attributive adjectives see adjective, attributive
auspices 4.34
Australian English 1.18
auto- App I. 11
automaton 4.53
cutumn 4.21
auxiliaries $2.3 f$; 3.1, 18-22, 12.15 Note $a$; order of 3.24
averse 5.42
awake, adjective 5.42; verb 3.16
aware 5.42
away from 6.
axis 4.52
back-lormation App I. 42
back-shift 11.53 f
hacterium 4.50
bad, comparison of 5.3
badly, amplifer 8.15
bahuvrihi compounds App I. 38
banjo 4.36
banns 4.34
bar, barring 6.40
bare infinitive clause 11.19 ; with subject
$12.23 f$
barely 7.39; $8.16 f$
barracks 4.46
base App I. 1
base form of the verb 3.2-4
basis 4.52
bath $\div .39$
BBC English' 1.4
be 3.20, 27, 46; 12.8-10; in cleft sentences
14.15; in existential sentences 14.19-21;
with indefinite article 4.22
be- App I. 12
be about 103.4 s )
be bound to 3.43
be certain to 3.43
be going to $3.38,44$
oe liabie io 3.43
be likely to 3.43
be oblliged to 3.52
be to 3.42, 4i
be unlikely to 3.43
bear 3.16
atat 3.15
because 11.7, 29; of 6.27
ecome 1
bed 4.2
fore, conjunction 11.7, 21, 47; preposi-
tion and adverb 6.23
begin 3.17
behind 6.10, 1 ?
bellows 4.33
for discourse reference 10.38
for discourse
beneath 6.10
beneath $6.10,20$
bereave 3.12
beseech 3.12
beside 6.10 Note
besides, concessive conjunct 10.2:
best, superlative 5.35 , 38
bet 3.13
better, comparative $5^{5} 35,38$
between 6.10 Note, 20,24
beyond 6.15, 20
bi- App I. 10
bicycle 4.21
bid 3.13
big, non-predicative 5.14 Note b
billion, zero plural 4.45
bind 3.14
blite 3.16
bleed 3.14
block language 7.66; 9.6
blow 3.16
boat 4.21
buosters 8.14. 22
both 9.19, 42; 10.27; predoterminer 4.7; universal pronoun 4.91
buwels 4.34
brace, zero plural 4.45
braces 433
brackets see parentheses
brains 4.34
break 3.16
breakfast 4.21
breed 3.14
bring 3.12
British English 1.6
A. A. $r$ P. 11

- Nu 3.11

Juila 3.1
mirn 11
burst 3.13
bus
bui, co: junctica 6.40 Note; y.9-1г, 18, 20
31 ; (that) 11.7
as sentence connecter 10.19,22
semantir implicatiens of coordination by 9.18
but, preposition 6.40
but for 6.4
buy 3.12
by 6.10-12, 24, 32
by the way 10.13

## cactus 4.48 <br> calf 4.39

can/could 3.21, 48, 54; 7.42, 51
Canadian English 1.7
capital letters App III.2, 6
car 4.21
cardinal numbers $4.11,96 f$
cargo 4.36
case 4.66-77; common, objective, subjec-
tive 4.79 ; in the relative pronoun 13.6; see also genitive
cast 3.13
cataphoric reference 4.20; 10.37-42
catch 3.12
cattle 4.35
causative verb $7.10 ; 12.21,23,25$
central adjectives $5.12 f$
certain, non-predicative 5.15
chamois 4.55
chassls 4.55
chech (up) (on) 12.6
cherub 4.57
child 4.41
choose 3.16; 12.27
church 4.21
citations and apposition 9.5
class 4.2!
clausal coordination 9.9-30
clause 2.5, 11
antecedent and noun phrase 13.12 elements and structures 7.2-17 in relation to intonation 14.2-6
negation 7.40 -
types $2.10,7.2-7$
types in existential sentences 14.19
see also adverbial, a ppended, comment, comparative, dependent, finite, inrive, participle, relative, supplan finite, participle, relative, supplementing, verbless clause
cleft sentence 7.26 Note; 14.15
clergy 4.37
oin
lipping 9.6 Note; App I. 44
-losed sys.em 2.14; nremudifiers 4 5-9
clothes 4.34
co- App 1.7
codex 4.51
cognate object 7.14
collective nouns 4.62 ; in relation to concord 7.20, 28
college 4.21
colon App III. 2
combinatory coordination 9.41 f
combined process in clausal coordination 9.30
come 3.17
comma App III. 2, 4
and ellipsis 9.29
commando 4.36
commands $7.58-62$; with a subject 7.59 with let 7.60; punctuation App III. 6
comment clause $11.12,45 f$; and verbless
adjestive 5.9 f
commor. cere !.: 12,14
common gender 4.61
common nouns 4.2
in an intensive relation, article usage 4.22
with zero article 4.2
Commons, the 4.34
comparative clause 11.12, 37-4 postponed 14.32
comparisou and noun phrase 13.10 and sentence connection 10.43 basis of 5.32
comparison and noun phrase-con
of $=$-jectives $\leq 2,6,19,31-33,35-37,39$ 42 Note $b$
of adverbs 5.31-33, 38 f
non-clausal 11.38 Note $a, 41$ Note $b$
complement $2.5 \mathrm{f}: 7.2 \mathrm{f}, 6,8 \mathrm{f}$; see also
object complement, subject complement
omplementation of adjective 5.5 ; 12.11-
13
complementation of verb 7.3;12.1
complete 8.14 Note a
complesely, maximizer 8.1
complex eilipsis 9.25
complex preposition 2.12 Note: 6.4
complex pro-forms for predicate 10.30-35 complex sentence 7.1; 11.1
complex transitive comp:ementation
0-27
ommex trancitive vert, 2.7
complex verb phrase 3.24
compound subordinators 11.7
mound $A$ In, 33-42
compounds and premodification $13.34 f$
compromisers 8.16
compronivabl.: min: mizer 9.17 N.io concerning 6.42 Note $b$
concessive clause see adverbial claus concessive conjuncts 8.53 ; 10.21 f concord 2.2; 7.18-30
between subject and complement 7.27
between subject and object 7.28
between subject and verb 7.18-2
between subject and verb 7.18-26
of person 7.26
pronoun concord 7.29
concrete noun 2.16; 4.3
conditional clause see adverbial clause conditional-concessive clause $11.23 \mathrm{f}, 27 f$ confettil 4.56
conjoin 9.7
conjunct $5.22,48 ; 8.2,53-56$
and preceding conjunction 8.56
as correlative 855
extended senpe of 9.27
conjunction $2.12 ; 11.7 f$
and conjunct 5.48
considering that 11.7
contents 4.34
contingent adjective clause 5.10
contingent variation in English 1.10, 14 contraction and punctuation App III. 6
contrastive focus 14.2-6
contrastive stress App II. 5
converses 14.9
conversion App I.1, 23-32
adjective $\rightarrow$ noun App 1.25
conversion-com
adjective $\rightarrow$ verb App I. 27
from minor categories App I. 28
oun $\rightarrow$ veit App I. 26
verb $\rightarrow$ noun App I 24
ith change of secondary category App I. 29-31
with stress shif: App I. 32
with voicing App I. 32
co-occurrence restrictions on adverbials
8.13, 15, 19, 22, 25, 43 f
coordinating conjunction see coordinator coordination $9.7 f ; 11.1$
and modification in noun phrase 13.24 f $36 f, 39$
and suhordination 9.8, 15
clausal and phrasal 9.931
in relation to concord 7.18 Note $c, 21$,
23
of adjectives $9.39 f ; 13.36$
of adverbials 9.39
of adverbs $8.8,26,42$
of comparatives 9.43
of conjunctions 9.39
of demonstratives 9.35
of identical items 9.43
of more than two clauses 9.15
of noun phrases 9.32-38;13.37
of possessive pronouns 9.35 Note
of possessive pronouns 9.35 N
of postmodifiers $9.39,13.24 \mathrm{f}$
of prepositional phrases 9.39
of pronouns 9.32
of subordinate clauses 9.13 Note, 14
sec also asyndetic coordination; com-
binatory coordination; combined pro cess in clausal coordination; phrasal coordination; quasi-coordinators; segregatory coordination; separate processes in clausal coordination; syndetic soordination
coordinator 9.7, 9-15
copulas 12.8
co-reference between subject and object 12.15
corps 4.55
corpus 4.48
correlative punctuation App III.s
correlatives $8.11,32,55 ; 9.19$ f; 11.7
corrigendum 4.50
cost 3.13
could see can
count nouns 4.2-4, 19
countability 4.2-4
counter- App I. 7
crab 4.44
creep 3.12
crisis 4.52
criterlon 4.53
c. ocus 4.48
curriculum 4.50
customs 4.34
cut 3.13
czar 4.25
d see have, will
$d$ (better) see had (better
'd rather see would rather
$\operatorname{Dad}(d y) 4.25$
dare $3.21 f, 54$
dash in punctuation App III
dawn/daybreak 4.21
de- App I. 4
de-adjectival App I. 13
deal 3.12
declarative 2.20
declarati". eq"astion 750
declarative sentence see statement
deer 4.44
definite and indefinite $3.31 ; 4.20 ; 13.4,10$, 28,30
efinito and indefinite articles 4.2, 5-9, 20 30
efinite article ir exisiuntial ser"ences
14.19 Note $b$
degree see zradability
demonstrative 2.12; 4.90
denominal App I. 13
denominal adjectives 5.17, 20; 13.40 .
App I.13, 19
ependent clause 2.5; 7.1; 11.1; functions of 11.10
derivation App 1.2-22
deserve 12.19
designation apposition 9.5
despite 6.38
determiners $4.5-7$.
13.4, 10, 28, 30
deverbal App I.13, 16, 18
deverbal App
di- App I. 10
di- App 1.10
diabetes 4.2
diag:osis 4.52
diagnosis 4.52
dig 3.14
dimension-type 6.7
Ciminishers $8.16 f$
dinner 4.21
direct object 2.5 f; 7.2, 8,14 f; 12.3, 14-32
direct speech 11.52
and punctuation App III. 5
directic. 1 adjuncts 8.24-28
dis- App I. 3 f
discontinuity $14.14,30-32$
discontinuous modification 13.26, 42
discoū̃: referelius 10.37-42
diseases, names of 4.32
disjunct 5.22; 8.2, 47-52
extended scope of 9.27
see ulso attitudinal disjuncts; style disjuncte
distributive expressions 4.8
ditransitive complementation 12.28-32
ditransitive verb 2.7; prepositional 12.29
dive 3.16
do 3.16; as operator 2.4; pro-form 2.17;
3.18; 10.29-35; in cleft sentences 14.15
in imperatives $7.61 f$; in negation 7.33;
in questions 7.45, 53; in pseudo-cleft
sentences 14.17 ; with emphasis 14. w $^{\prime}$
do it $10.30-35$
do so 10.30-35
de that 10.3n-35
doctor 4.25
dom App I. 14
double genitive see genitive
double negative 7.38
double, predeterminer 4.8
oubling of consonants before inflections 3.7
down 6.14 f, 20
owntoncrs 5.33-39; 8.12,16
dozen, zero plural 4.45
raw 3.16
dream 3.12
dregs 4.34
dive 3.16
dual gender 4.60
duck 4.44
duke 4.25
during 6.21
dusk 4.21
dusk 4.21
dwarf 4.39
dynamic $2.8,16 ; 4.16$; adjectives 5.19 .
verbs 3.35
each 7.25; 9.42; dcterminer 4.5; pro-form 10.27; univers?l pronoun 4.91
ach other 4.86
earlier 8.31 Note $a$
earnings 4.34
Easter 4.26
cat 3.16
ed, adjective suffix App I. 2
ed clause 11.3
in noun phrases 13.15
in pseudo-cleft sentences 14.17
with subject 12.25
ed participle 3.2, 6
educated varieties of English 1.4
ee App I. 16
eer App 1.16
effected' object 7.14-16
e.g., eg Apd III. 6
either 4.94; 8.10; 9.17, 19; 10.12, 27, 35 ;
adverb 7.35, jeterminer 4.5; pro-form
10.27; pronoun 7.24
ither ... or 7.23, 26
elder, eldest 5.35
elect 12.27
clement in clause 2.5; 7.2-17
element realization types 2.11
elf 4.39
elk 4.44
ellipsis 4.52
ellipsis 9.1-6
and co-orcurrence of auxiliaries 9.2
and intonation 9.29
nd new information 14
and sentence connection $10.8,29,44-47$
ependent on linguistic context 9.2-5
in adverbial non-finite clause 9.3
naderbial frbles clause 9
nomparative clause 913
in coordination 9.13, 21-30, $33 \mathrm{f}, 36 \mathrm{f}$
39
dialogue 10.44-47
postmodifying participle clause 9.4
n supplementing clause 9.5
not dependent on linguistic context 9.6 of adverbial 9.27
f auxiliary 9.21-25
of complement 9.23, 26
of complement of prepositional phras 9.26
of conjunction 9.39
of determiner $9.36 f$
of head of noun phrase $9.33 f, 36$
of initial word(s) 9.6
of object $9.23,26$
of postmodifier 9.37
of predication 9.23-27
of premodifier $9.36 f, 39$
of precess adjunct 9.27
of subject 9.13, 21, 23, $25 f$
of verb 9.23-25
semantic effect in coordination 9.32
with adjective as head of noun phrase
5.6
see also complex ellipsis
elliptic genitive see genitivo
else, concessive conjunct $1 \cap .21$; conjunct
8.54 Note, 56; postmodifier 5.28 Nut embargo 4.36
omotive adjectives $12.12 f$
motive emphasis 14.34-36
enotive verbs 12.17, 22
emperor 4.25
emphasizers $8.12 f, 15$; adjectives $5.14 ;$
adverbs $5.23,33,39$
en-em-App 1.12
-en App I. 18
en plural 4.41
enclitic negation and operator 7.34
nd-focus 14.2-6, 8, 11, 24, $28 f, 32$
end-weight $14.8,24,28 f, 32 f$
Endearments 7.32
English, the 4.18
enough 4.5, 13, 95 ; 5.5, 23 f; 11.43
ntrails 4.3
numerative conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.10$
pistolary usage see letter-writing
,
er App I.14, 16
-er ... than 11.7, 37
erratum 4.50
ery App I 14
ese App 1.15
-ess App I. 14
estit App 1.14
evaluative adjectives 13.41 even 8.10; concessive conjunct 10.2 even is even though $11.23,26,47$
evening 4.21
eventive noun $7.12 ; 8.44 ; 12.10$
ever $7.35 ; 8.35$ Note, 37 ; intensifier 5.27
Note $c$
Note $c$
ever 11.28
every 7.25 ; determiner 4.5
every-serics 4.91; 7.25
ex- App I. 9
except, except for, excepting 6.40
except that 11.7
exclamation 7.6
exclamation mark App III. 6
exclamatory adjective sentences 5.11
exclamatory question 7.56
exclusives 8.8
exemplification apposition 9.35
existential sentence 14.19-23; with have 14.23
explicitness in modification 13.5
extensive verb 2.7
extraposition 9.52 Note $b: 14.18,24-27$
extremely, maximizer 8.14 Note $b$
active nouns and noun phrase 13.13
factual adjectives 12.12
factual verbs 12.17, 19 Note d, 21, 25
fall 3.16; : 21
salling tone ApD 11.7
all-plus-rise tone 14.5 Note $a$; App II. 10 fall-rise tone App II. 9
familiar 1.12
ar, farther, farthest 5.35, 38
fashion App I. 22 Noto
Father 4.25
faux pas 4.55
feed 3.14
feel 3.12; 12.9, 23
few, a few 7.39 ; quantifier 4.1
few, fewer, fewest, pronoun 4.93, 95
fight 3.14
finully, enumerative conjunct 10.10
ind 3.14
finite clause 11.3; see also clause
finite clause as adjective complementation
12.12; as object 12.17, 31
nite verb phrase 2.11; 3.2
first, ordinal 4.12, 97
first and foremost 10.10
fish 4.44
famingo 4.36
Hanners 4.3
flee 3.12
filng 3.14
founder 4. 14
Alu, (the) 4.21 ; App 1.44
Aly 3.16
focus 4.48
ocus 14.2-7; App II.5, $10 f$
focus of interrogation 7.45 Note
focus of negation 7.41
focus on the operator 14.6
focusing adjuncts 8.8-11
foot $4.40,45$
for 9.9-15; as sentezace conne-ter 10.24
for, preposition 6.22, 26, 29, 36, 38, 44;
$7.6 ; 12.27$; and postmodification 13.19
for, with infinitive clauses 11.3, 17; 12.22
for certain 8.13
for cersaln 8.13
for one shing ... for another (thing) 10.10
forbid 3.16
fore- App I. 5
for fear (that) 11.31 Note
forget $3.16 ; 12.19$
forgive 3.16
form-classes 2.12-16
formal 1.12
former, for noun phrase -eference 10.41
formula 4.49
formulaic adjuncts 8.23
formulaic subjunctive 3.46
forum 4.50
free Indirect speech 11.57
freeze 3.16
from 6.9, 20, 23, 27, 30
fronting see theme
-ful App I.14, 19
full point, full stop App III.2, 6
funds 4.34
fungus 4.48
further, furthest 5.35, 38
future 3.36-44

## gallows 4.46

galore 5.5 Note o
games, names of 4.32
ganglion 4.53
gender 4.58-65; 13.5
generally, style disjunct 8.49 Note $b$ generic reference 4.16 ff
genitive 4.66-77; 6.37; and noun phrase 4.70-77; 13.33; choice of the of-genitive 4.73; choice of tue s-genitive 4.72; descriptive 4.70; double 4.77; elliptic $4.75 f$; group 4.74 ; inflected $4.67 f$; in -ing clauses 12.11, 24; local 4.76; meanings of 4.70; objective 4.70; of origin 4.70; possessive 4.70, 87; subiective 4.70; pronouns $4.79,86,88,96$
gentry 4.35

## genus 4.48

geographical names and articles $4.29 f$
g-iund 13.2, Note
get 3.14; 7.5; 12.7 Note $a, 21$ Note, 27 give 3.16; 12.28 Note $a$
given and new information $14.4 f, 10$
glasses 4.33
go 3.16; copula 12.9 Note $a$
good, comparison of 5.35
goods 4.34
goose 4.40
gradability 4.3 Note; 5.19, $33 f ; 8.15$
13.29, 41
graffitl 4.56
grammatical concord see concord
great, non-predicative 5.14 Note $b$

## greens 4.34

grind 3.14
gross, zero plurid 4.45
group genitive see genitive
grouse 4.44
8 8row 1
had|'d better/best 3.53
had/'d better/best 3.53
half 4.39 ; predeterminer 4.7
half 4.39 ;
halo 4.36
handkerchief
hang 3.14
happen 7.53 Note $c ; 12.9$ Note $b$
hardly 7.39; 8.16f, 35 Note $a$
hate 12.21 Noto
have 3.11, 19; 6.37; 10.30 Note $a ; 12.23$ 25, 27
aspect auxiliary 3.27, 30-32
in existential sentences 14.23
have got to 3.52
he 4.83; 7.30
head, zero plural 4.45
head 2.11; of noun phrase 13.2
headquarters $4.34,36$
heads 4.34
hear 3.12; 12.23
Hebrides, the 4.30
help 12.19 Note $c$
her(s) 4.83, 87
here, for sentence reference 10.38
idiomatic uses 8.28 Note a
pro-form for place adijunct 10.28
hero 4.36
herring 4.44
herself $4.84 f$
hew 3.15
hide 3.16
hierarchical relationship
of place adjuncts 8.27
oi time frequeracy adjuncts 5.30
of time when adjuncts 8.33
hierarchy in punctuation App III. 2
!.m 4.8?
himself $4.84 f$
his $4.83,87$
his $4.83,87$
hir 3.13
holidays 4
home 4.21
home 4.21
-hood App I.1
hospital 4.21
house 4.39
how, as premodifier 5.34; in direc
questions 7.62 ; in exclamations 5.27 7.63
however, conjunct 8.56
how long ... (for) 8.34
hundred 4.45, 97
humárelweight, zero plural 4.45
hurt 3.13
h.ver- App 1.6
hyphenation App III. 3
hvpotiesls 4.52
bypothetical condition 11.25
hypothetical past tense 11.48
$I$, pronoun 4.79-82

- ian App 1.15
-fble App I. 21
ic,--i,al ipp 1. 20 Note $a$
identical, for mun phreee referenco 10.39 identification apposition 9.52
i.e., le App 111.6
i.e., le App I
if 9.31 Note; 11.7, 15, 23-25, 47; 12.12, 17
if and only if 9.39 Note a
if and when 9.39 Note b
if only 11.25 Note a
-ify App I. 18
ill, non-attributive 5.18, 35 Noto
illnesses 4.21
imperative 7.58-62; and punctuation App III. 6
imperative sentences see commands
impersonal subject: sec it
in 6.7 f, 20-22
in-, il-, im-, Ir- App 1.3
in (actual) fact 10.23
in adultion 8.1 L
in case 11.31 Note, 47
in front of 6.10
in order that/to 11.7, 31
in reallty 10.23
in splte of 6.38
in that 11.7
(in) that way 10.28
incidentally 10.13
Included 9.48
included units punctuated App III. 5
including 9.48
inclusion apposition 9.55
indeed, emphasizer 8.13 Note $b$
indefinite see definite
indefinite expressions of amount $4.13 f$,
92-95; 7.24
indefinite pronoun 4.78, 91-97; 7.24f, 30,
35ff
indefinite quantitative 4.13 f
independent clause 7.1 ; 11.1
index 4.51
indicative verb 12.12,17,25
indirect + direct noun phrase object 12.28
indirect command 11.55
indirect exclariation 11.25
inj.rec: object $2.6 ;{ }^{\prime} .2,6,16 ; 12.28 f$
31;
.rect question. 11.55
indirect (reported) speech 3.29,54;
11.52-57
11.52-57
inferential conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.17$
infinitive clause 11.3; adverbial 11.35;
and prepositions 6.2
infinitive, in noun phrase 13.16
inflection of adjectives and adverbs
$5.35-38$
Infuenza 4.2
informal 1.12
information unit 14.2
information focus see focus
-ing clause 11.3, 35, 45; as exiraposed
subject 14.26 ; in pseudo-cleft sentences
14.17; in existential sentences 14.21

Note; in renun phrases 13.14; nominal
11.18; with subject $12.12,23$; without
subject 12.12, 19
-ing Ann I.14, 16
-ing participle 3.2, 5
inherent adjectives 5.13, 19
Inside 6.7 Note
instead 10.20
instead 10.20
institutionalization 1.6
institutionalization
institutions 4.21
instrument adjuncts 8.19,21
'instrumental' subject 7.10
intensification of nega 7
intensifiers 6.46: 8.12-18.12.2.14
adverbs 5.23-27, $33 f, 39$
intensifying adjectives $5.14,20,41$
intensifying adjectives 5.14, 20, 41
intensive relationship $7.6,27$
and annosition 9.45
intensive verb 2.7; 7.2
inter-App I. 8
interdependence in varieties $1.1 \quad 140$ interference 1.1,
interjection 2.12
interrogative sentence see questions
into 6.8
intonation App II.1, 7-10; and punctuation for apposition 9.46; in negative sentences 7.41 ; in questions 7.45, 48-50, 53-57; App $11.7 f$
intransitive verb 2.7; 7.10; 12.2, 5, 7
inversion 2.2 f; 11.9, 25 Note $d ; 14.12-14$ in subordinate clauses 14.14
inversion of subject and operator 8.5,11;
9.19 f; 11.9; 14.13; of subject and
operator with ncgation 7.37, 39; of
subject and opera:or with questions
$7.45,53$; of subject and verb $8.28 ; 14.12$ Irish English 1.7
irregular lexical verbs 3.10-1.
-Ish App I.19, 21
-ism, -ist App I. 15
isthmus 4.48
is 4.81; anticipatory subject $14.18,25$
(' prop') 7.13; as subject of cleft sentence 14.15; empty 14.26 Note $b$; for sentence reference 10.38; pro-form for place adjunct 10.28
italics App III. 6 Note $b$
-lte App 1.15

Ifs 4.81
irself 4.84
-ity App 1.17
-ire App I. 20
-ize App I. 18
iudge 4.25
just as ... so 11.33
just, restrictive 8.10 f; time adjunct 8.31
keep 3.12
kibbutz 4.57
kind of 5.27 Note $b ; 8.16 ; 13.42$
kindly, formulaic adjunct 8.23
kneel 3.12
knickers 4.31
knive 4.39
know 316
lady 4.25
larva 4.49
last but not least 10.10
last, ordinal 4.12
last $(l y)$, enumerative conjunct 10.10 'ztely 8.34
later 8.31 Note
latter, for noun phrase reference 10.41 lay 3.8
lead 3.14
leaf 4.39
lean 3.12
leap 3.12
leara 3.11
least 5.31, 38
leave 3.12
lend 3.11
less $5.31,38$
-less App I. 19
less ... than 11.7, $37 \mathrm{f}, 42$ Note
lest 11.31 Note
let 3.13; 7.60; 12.19 Note $c, 23$
-let App 1.14
let's 7.60-62
Ietter-writing and punctuation App III. 6 letlers 4.34
level tone App II. 9
lexical equivalence and sentence
connection 10.3, 48
lexical verts, 3.1, 3-17
lexicon $1.6,10$
libretto 4.56
lie 3.16
life 4.39
light 3.14
like (that) 10.28, 39; (this) 10.39; verb
12.21 Note
limiter adjectives $5.15,20,4$
limiters 8.8-11
-ling App I. 14 Note $a$
listing and counting App II.6, 8; App III. 4
itule, comparison 5.38
little, a little 4.13, 93, 95; 7.39; 8.16
live 12.7 Note a
'll see will
loaf 4.39
ocal genitive see genitive
'locative' subject 7.12
locative prefixes App 1.8
locus 4.48
lodgings 4.34 long, time duration adjunct 8.34 Note $b$ look, copula 12.9 Note a
looks 4.34
looks 4.34
Lords, the 4.3
lose 3.12
lose 3.12
louse 4.40
louse 4.40
-ly App I.19, 22
main clause 11.1
main verb as theme 14.10
make 3.11; 12.19 Note c, 23, 26, 28
Note $b$
mal- App 1.5
$\operatorname{man} 4.40$
mandative subjunctive 3.46
manner adjuncts 8.19 f
manners 4.34
many, pronoun 4.95; quantifier 4.13
marked theme see theme
mass noun see non-count noun
matrix 4.57
maxi- App I. 6 Note
maximizers $8.14 f$
may/might 3.21, 54; 7.42, 5
me 4.79, 83
meals 4.21
mean 3.12
means 4.34, 46
means adjuncts 8.19, 21
measles, (the) 4.21
measure expressions 4.14 f; 5.34
medium $1.11,14$
medium 4.50
meet 3.14
memorandum 4.50
-ment App I. 16
mere 5.14 Note
merely, restrictive $8.10 f$
metaphorical use of prepositions 6.20
midi- App I. 6 Note
Midlands, the 4.30
midnight 4.21
midnight 4.21
million 4.45, 97
mine 4.87
mimi- App 1
mini- App 1.6
minimizers 8.16
mis- App 1.5
modal auxiliaries $3.21,24,48-55$; and indirect speech 11.56; in questions 7.51; riegation 7.42
modal past 3.4
'mode' qualification 13.24
modification of adverb $5.24 ; 8.8,14$
Note $a, 21$ Note, 23; of prepositional
phrase 5.25; 6.46; see also
rostmodification, premodification
mono- App 1.10

monotransitive verb 2.7
mood 3.45-55
moose 4.44
moratorium 4.50
more 5.31-33, 36-39; 8.14 Note $b$
more than 7.23 Note $b ; 9.44$
more . . that. 11.7, 37 $-2^{2}$
morning 4.21
morphology of verbs 3.3-22
most 5.31-33, 36-39; 8.14 Note $b$
n:other 4.25
motto 4.36
mouse 4.40
mouth 4.39
mow 3.15
mow 3.15
Mr/Mrs 4.25
much, amplifier 8.15 Note $b$; comparison of 5.38; pronoun 4.95
multi- App I. 10
multiple modification in noun phrase see postmodification, premodification
ultiple negation 7.38
Mum(my) 4.25
mumps, (the) 4.21
muse( $n^{\prime}$ t) 3.21, 52, 54; 7.42, 51; 11.56
sutation pluaals 4.40
$m y$ 4.79, 8 ;
myself 4.84 f
name 12.27
names 4.23-30; 7.32
namely $9.48,50,54$
national standards of English 1.6-8 nationality words 4.18
naturally, attitudinal disjunct 8.51 Note $b$ near (fo) 6.10 Note
nearly 8.16
nebula 4.49
necessity $3.50,52 f, 55$
reed ( $\left.n^{\circ} t\right) 3.21 f, 54 ; 7.42,51 ; 11.56 ; 12.19$ negation $2.20 ; 7.33-42$; in commands
7.61; in questions 7.47, 56; of
auxiliaries 3.18-22; of auxiliary and of
main verb 7.42 ; of preposition $6.9,32$ prefixes App I.3; transferred 11.58; see
also: neither, no, nor, not, clause
negation, focus of negation, scop= of ne regation
Negro 4.36
neither $4.5,94 ; 7.23 f, 26,35 ; 8.10 ; 9.19 f$,
42; 10.12, 27, 35
neo-App I '1
ness App 1.17
Netherlands, the 4.30
'network English' 1.4
nver 7 25; minimizer 8.17 Note $h$ : time
frequency adjunct $8.37 f$
new information $14.4 \mathrm{f}, 10$
New Zealand English 1.8
news 4.32
newspapers, names of 4.29 f ; headlines 7.66
next, enumizative conjunct : 0.10 ; in temporal series 10.6 ; ordinal 4.12 night 4.21
no, determiner $4.5 ; 7.36 f$; reaction signal 10.45
o-series, pronoun 4.92
no matter wh- 11.28
no one 7.35 Note, 38
no sooner ... Than 11.7
nominal clause $11.13-20$; as vocative 7.32
nominalization 2.16
non- App I. 3
on-assertive 2.20; 7.35, 46; 11.25, 43
on-attributive adjectives 13.4 ; see also
adjective, predicative only
on-coure pouns 4.2-4, 19
on-finite clause 11.3 f; as object 12.18-25
2-: in the noun phase 13.14 t
non-finc
on-greate adjectives 5.13, 10, 19
non-predicative adjectives 13.41 No
non-predicative acjectives 13.41 Note; see also adjective, attributiv
orestraive 13.3, 11,17
on-restrictive apposition $9.46,49-55$
20n-restrictive relative clause 13.11. and coordination 9.44
поле 4.94, 9\%; 7.24; pro-form 10.27
noon 4.21
пот $3.10 ; 9.19 f, 42 ; 10.12,35$
not $7.33-42$; in questions 7.47 ; negative
pro-form 10.36
not unnaturally 8.51 Note $b$
notice 1223
notional concord 7.19-21, 24
notw:thstanding 6.38
noun $2.1215 f$; in -ics 4.32 ; in -o 4.36,
56 ; classes of $4.2-4$; invariable in -s
4.32, 46
noun compounds 14.3 Note; App I. $3: 38$ noun phrase $2.11 ; 4.1 ; 13.1$;
and other relationships 13.22
as subject complement 12.9
as direct object 12.14-16, 28
as time adjunct $6.25 f$
discontinuity $13.42 ; 14.30$
head of 13.2
reference 10.39-42
tag 14.38
noun premodifying in noun phrase $13.34 f$
noun-suffixes App I.14-17
now (that) 11.7
now, transitional conjunct 10.13
nowadays 8.31
nuclear prominence 14.2-5, 35; App II. 10 .ucleus App II. 7
nucleus 4.4
number 4.31-57, 82; 7.18-30
number prefixes App I. 10
numerals 4.76 S
oasis 4.52
oath 4.39
oats 4.3
object $2.5 f ; 7.2,8 f$
complement 2.6; $7.2 f ; 12.26 f$
see also direct object, indirect
see also direct
objective case 4.79, 83; 11.40
obligation $3.50-53$

- sation 3.50-53
observe 12.23
observe 12.23
odds 4.34
odds 4.34
of 6.32 Note $b, 37,42$ Note $a, 43$; and the
genitive in postmodification 4.69-77:
13.20; indicator of apposition 9.48; 13.20 off 6.9
old, comparison of 5.35
on $6.7 f, 21,32$ Note $a, 42$
on accourt of 6.27
on condition that 11.24
on the one hand ... on the other hand 8.53
Note; 10.20
on to, onto 6.8
on top of $6.10 ; 10.10$
once, conjunction 11.7, 21, 47
one, numeral $4.11 \mathrm{f}, 96$ f; pro-form 2.17; pronoun 4.96
one another 4.86
onl; 7.39; 8.9.11; conjunct 8.54 Note $b$ onset App II. 7
open class 2.1
open-class quantifiers $4.14 f$
operator $2.3 \rho$; as predicate pro-form
10.29-35; as theme 14.10; eniphaticall
stressed 14.35; focus on 14.6; in tags 14.38
optional elements $2.10 ; 7.4$
-cr App I. 16
or 7.23, 26; 9.9-15, 17, 19, 31, 38
as sentence connecter 10.18
exclusive, inclusive 9
pposition 9.48
or su 9.34
der of auxiliaries 3.24 ; of premodifiers $13.40 f$
ordinals 4.12
as temporal adjectives 10.6
for noun phrase reference 10.42
orcanisms, higher 4.64
orthography $1.5,1$
ory App 1.20 Note $b$
other, ordinal 4.12, 86, 96
ug! : (, t1) to 3.21, 5? ; $7.42 \cdot 11.56$
our (s) 4.79, 87
ourselves 4.84
ous App I. 20
out- App I. 6
out of $6.9,20,27,43$
outside 6.7 Note
urskirts 4.34 , 15-18, 22
over- App I. 6
ovum 4.50
we 12.28
ox 4.41
pains 4.34
pajamas 4.33
pa7- App I 11
pornts 4.33
paragraph App III. 2
parallelism 14.11
parentheses App 111.5
parenthesis 4.52
participant roles 7.9-17
participial adjectives 5.46 f; 12.11-13 participle 2.16; 3.2-6; in noun phrase 13.14 f, 29-32; 'dangling' or 'unattached' 11.35
participle clause 11.3; see also: -ed clause
-ing clause
participle compound 3.47 Note $a$; App I. 39 f
particle $12.2 f, 6 ; 14.29$
particularization apposition 9.55
particularizers 8.
particulars 434
partitive 4.15, 70, 77, 9
parts of speech 2.12. i6
passive 6.3, 33; 7.5: 12.4-6, 15-17, 19-21,
23-26, 28 Note $a, 29-32$; in existential sertences 14.19; in relation to end-focus and end-weight 14.8 ; restictions on
12.15; verb phrase 3.24; see also
actional passive, statal passive
past, preposition 6.15,20
past perfect $3.32 ; 11.53$
past tense 3.29-34; 11.48, 53 f
path 4.39
path 4.39
$=0.5$ \& 55
pay 3.8; 12.28
pejorative prefixes App 1.5
'pendant' participle 11.35
penny 4.34
penny 4.34
people 4.35
perception verbs 12.23
perfe.t 8.14 Note $a$
perfect progressive 3.34
perfective aspect $3.30 ; 11.49 ; 13.31 \mathrm{f}$
perfective particle 8.25 ; 12.2
perfective verb phrase 3.24
perfect!y, maximizer 8.14 Note $a$
period App III.2, 6
permanent' moditication 13.4, 29-32
permission 3.48 f, 55
personal adjectival heads $4.18 ; 5.6 f$
personal and non-personal in relative pronoun 13.5
personal names (with or without titles)
4.25; $7.31 f$
personal nouns (masculine, feminine) 4.59
persconally, style disjunct 8.49 Note $b$
persuasive imperative (with do) 7.62
phenomenon 4.53
phrasal coordination 9.31-40; order in 9.40
phasal-prenositional verb 12.6, 14
phrasal verb $12.2 f, 7$ Note $b, 14$
pike 4.44
pincers 4.33
pitch App II. 1, 7
place adjectives and sentence connection 10.8
place adjuncts $8.24,29,46 ; 12.10,14$ Note; extended scope of 9.27
place adverbs 2.9
and noun phrase postmodification 5.28 ;
13.24
and sentence connection 10.8
plagne, the 4.21
plaice 4.44
plateaiu 4.54
please, formulaic adjunct 8.23
pliers 4.33
plural 4.31-57; 7.18-30; foreign 4.4; 57
irregular 4.38-57; rcöulā 4.36 f; zelo
4.42-46; invariable 4.33
poly- App I. 10
position adjuncts $8.24-29$
in retation to subject and object 8.29
position
of adjuncts 8.46
of adverbials, defined 8.3
of amplifiers 8.14
of auxiliary verbs 3.24
of conjuncts 8.54
of disjuncts $8.48,50$
of downtoners 8.16
of emphasizers 8.13
of focusing adjuncts 8.9-11
of formulaic adjuncts 8.23
of place adjuncts $8.2 ؟-28$
of process aajuncts 8.19
of subject adjunits 8.22
of time adjuncts 8.41
fime duration adjuncts 8.34
of time frequehcy adjuncts 8.35-38
of time when adjuncts 8.31-3.
of viewpoint adjuncts 8.7
sitive orien
ositive orientation in questions 7.46
positive prepositions 6.8
ossessive pronivuns $4.8^{\circ} ; 1215$
possibily 3.48 , Js
ossibly, minimizer 8.17 Note a
ost- App 1.9
by adjective 13.2
$y$ adjective 13.24
by adause 13.618 13.24
' 'mode' qualif
號 13.24
y non-finite clause 13.14-18
y prepositional phrase 13.19-21
by relative clause $13.6-12$
postponement 14.24-32: of object 14.28 of postmodification 14.30; of reflexive pronouns 14.31
postposed prepositions 6.3 ; in questions 7.52
sitive adjectives 5.4 f; 13.24
potato 4.36
pound, zero plural 4.45
pre- App 1.9
predetcrminers 4.7-9
predicate 2.2
predication 2.3
predicative adjectives see adjective, predicative
redicative adjuncts $8.25,4$; 12.10
prefix and hyphen App III. 3
pre.!xation Apn I.1-12
premises 4.34
premodification 13.2, 27
by adjective $13.28,40$ f
by adverbial 5.29; 13.27
by genitive 4.72; 13.33
by noun 5.45; 13.34;
by participle 13.29-32
by sentence 13.27
multiple 13.36-4
oi adjective by adjective $5.6 f$
of adjective by advero 5.6, 8, 23, 39
of adjective by :ery 5.2, 23, 42 Note $b$, $46 f$
of comparatives and superlatives 5.39 premodified 13.38
structure of 4.7-15;13.40 f
prepnsition 2.12: 6.1
prepositional adverbs 6.5; $12.2 f$
prepositional complement 6.2
prepositional genitive 4.69
prepositional phase 2.1 ; 6.2
as adjunct 6.27-36
as adjective complementation 12.12
as disjunct and conjunct 6.38-41
as equivalent or indirect object 12.2
as posimodiner 6.37;
of accompaniment 6.35
of cause 6.27
of concession 6.38
of destination 6.8,11, 28
of 'having' 6.37
of ingredient or material 6
of ingredient or material 6.43
of instrument $6.32 f$
of means 6.32
of negative condition 6.41
of place 6.7-20
of place $6.7-20$
of purpose 6.28
of reaction 6.45
of reason 6.27
of recipient 6.29
of reference 6.39
of respect or standard 6.4
of stimulus $6.34,45$
of subject matter 6.4
of support and opposition 6.36
of time 6.21-26
$6.25 f$
prepositional verbs $12.4-6,14,22,29$
present perfect 3.29 f
present subjunctive $3.45 f ; 11.25$ Note $b$, 50
present tease 3.28; referring to future
3.39 f; 11.47
presently 8.31
presuming (that) 11.47
primary stress App II.
prison 4.21
privative prefixes App I. 4
pro- App 1.7
process adjuncts $2.9 ; 8.19-21,46$
pro-forms 2.17
for adverbials 10.28
for noun phrases in $26 f, 40$
for predicate and predication 10.29-36
progressive aspect $2.8,16 ; 3.2,24,27 f$
33-35
prominence App II. 1
pronouns $2.12,17$; 4.78-95
and sentence connection 10.26
assertive 4.92
case 4.79
concord 7.29 f
demonstrative 4.90
gender 4.81
indefinite 4.78, 91-97; 7.24f, 30, 35ff interrogative 4.89
negative 4.94
number 4.82
person 4.80
personal 4.83
possessive 4.8
reciprocal 4.86; 12.15
reflexive $4.84 f ; 12.15 ; 14.31$
reinforcing use of 14.3
relative $4.88 ; 13.5$
universal 4.91
pronunciation 1.2,9
proper nouns 4.2, 23-30
prop' 7 13: 14.26
rosodic features 1.11
dich App II. 11
prosodic indication of restrictiveness 13.3
proto- App I. 11
roulded (th tht), providing (that) 11.7, 24, 47
proximity principle in concord 7.1\%, $24 f$
pseudo- App I. 5
pseudo-eleft sentence 14.13
punctuation compared with prosodic
features App II..1
in relation to grammar App III.4-6
purely and simply 8.8
purely, restrictive 8.10
put 3.13; :2.2.
putative meaning 11.51 ; see also should pyjamas 4.23
quantification and noun phrase 4.13-15;
13.10
quantifiers 4.13-15, 92-95; 8.18, 38
quarters 4.34
quasi- App I. 5 Note
quasi-agents 6.34
quasi-coordinators 9.44
question mark App III. 6
questions $2.18 f ; 7.44-57$
and intonation App II. 7
see also focus of interrogation, tag


## question

;ult 3.13
quotation marks App III. 5
radius 4.48
rarely 7.39
rather 5.27; intensifier 8.16
rather than 9.44; 11.7, 34
're seo be
re- App I. 9
reaction signals 5.49
read 3.14
real and unreal condition 11.25
really 10.23
Received Pronunciation 1.9
recently 8.34
'recipient' role 2.6; 7.11
reciprocal pronouns 4.86; 12.15
recursiveness 13.36
reduced relative clause 9.4, 50; 13.1
reduplicatives App I. 43
reference and the articles 4.16-30
reflexive pronouns $4.84 f ; 12.15,21 ; 14.3$
reformulation apposition 9.53
reformulatory conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.18$
refuse 12.28
regional variation 1.2
register 1.10
regises i2.14
regular verbs 3.3
reindeer 4.44
reinforcement $14.37 f$
reinforcing conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.11$
relative clauses $13.6-12$
and punctuation App III. 4
in existential sentences 14.21
in relation to cleft sentence 14.16
nominal 7.18; 11.16; 14.26
prepositions in 6.3
with sentential antecedent 13.12
relative pronouns 4.88 ; 13.5-9
and adverbial 13.7
choice of 13.8 f
in cleft sentences 14.16
remains 4.34
remember 12.19
rend 3.11
repetition $5.33 ; 9.43 ; 14.37$ replacive conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.18$ require 12.19
respective(!y) 9.42
restictive $13.3,8-10,14-16,21$
apposition 9.46, 56-58
result conjuncts 10.16
resultativo use of prepositions 6.16
reversative prefixes App I. 4
rhetorical question 7.57
rhythm App II. 6
riches 4.34
de 3 ,
rae 3.10
rigid 3.12 ,
rise 3.16
rise-fall tone App II.
rising tone App II. 8
round $6.14 f$
run 3.17
-ry App I. 14
's see be, have
salmon 4.44
same, for noun phrase reference 10.39 savings 4.34
ay 3.14 .
say 3.14; 12.21 Note
scales 4.33
scarcely 7.39; $8.16 f$
scarf 4.39
school 4.21
sciences, names of 4.32 scissors 4.33
scope of negation $7.38,40$
Scots 1.7
sea 4.21
econdary st:ess App II. 2
jee 3.16; 12.23
seeing (fhat) 11.7, 30
seek 3.12
seem 12.19 Note $b$
segregatory coordination $9.41 f$
seldom 7.39
self $4.39,84$
selfsame, for noun phrase reference 10.39 sell 3.12
semantic b!ends in adverbs 8.20 Note semi- App I. 11
semicolon App III. 2
send 3.11
sentence and punctuation App III. 2
sentence or clause reference 10.38 sentence, simplo 7.1
sentence-structure typos 2.10; 7.2 sentential relative clause 13.12
separate processes in clausal coordination 9.30
set 3.13
several 4.95; quantifier 4.13
sew 3.15
-s form of the wert 3.2. 5. \& shake 3.16
shall/should $3.21,37,41,50,54 ; 7.42,51$ she 4.79-83; 7.29j
sheaf 4.39
shear 3.15
shears 4.33
shea: $4 . .9$
shed 3.13
sheep 4.14 Note
sheer 5.14 N
shelf 4.39
shielf 4.39
-ship App I. 1
-ship App
-hit $? .13$
shoe 3.12
shoot 3.14

should, in $1 f$-clauses 11.25 Note
putative $3.50 ; 8.51 ; 11.17,51 ; 12.12,17$
25
see also: shall/should
show 3.15, 12.28, 31
shrink 3.1
shut 3.13
similarly, additive 8.10
simple finite verb phrase 3.23
simple past 3.29
simple preposition 6.4
simple present 3.28
imple sentence 7.1
simple subordinators 11.7
simply, restrictive $8.10 f$
since, conjunction 11.7, 21,29 f. 49
preposition and adverb $5.23 ; 8.3$ sing 3.17
singular 4.31-57, 82; 7.18-30
ink 3.17
it 3.14
situational reference 4.20
slay 3.16
sleep 3.12
slide 3.14
slide 3.14
slirg - 14
sling .. 14
slit 3.13
smell 3.11; 12.23
so, conjunct 8.54 Note, 56 ; 9.10, 13
intensifiet 5.5. 27; 14.36
intensifier with anaphoric reference 10.42
pro-form 2.17
pro-form for clause 10.36
pro-form for predication 10.30-36

## so as 11.7

so $\ldots$ as 11.7, 44
so (. . .) do 10.30-3s
so far as 11.7
so long as 11.7,
so that 9.9-15
so (. . .) (that) $11.7,31 f, 44$
social varicties of English 1.4 f
solo 4.56
some 7.35; 10.27; deterniner 4.5
somehow, conjunct 8.54 Nuto a
some-series, pronoun 4.92; 7.35
sooner than $11.7,34$
sort of 5.27 Note $b ; 8.16 ; 13.42$
South African English 1.8
sow 3.15
spagherti 4.56
spaghetti 4.56
speak 3.16
speak 3.16
specific reference $4.16 f, 20-22$
spectacles 4.3 s
speech 1.11
speed 3.14
spell 3.11
spelling 1.5; 3.5-9; 4.36
spend 3.11
spill 3.11
spin 3.14
spirits 4.34
spit 3.14
spit 3.14
split 3.13
'split infinitive' 11.4 Note $b$
spoil 3.11
spread 3.13
spring, verb 3.17; noun 4.21
stadium 4.50
stairs 4.34
stand 3.14
Standard English 1.5-15
statal passive 12.15 Note $0 ; 14.23$ Note statement 7.43
stative $2.8 f, 16$; adjectives 5.19 ; verbs
3.35; 4.16; 12.21
steal 3.16
stem App I. 1 Note
-ster App I. 14
srick 3.14
still, time adjunct ${ }^{-} .35 ; 840$
stimulus 4.48
sting 3.14
stone, zero plural 4.45
stone, zero pl
stratum 4.50
stratum 4.50
stress App II.2-5
and compounds App II. 3
in relation to open-class and
closed-system words App II. 5
in syntactic units App Il. 4
on prepositional adverbe 6.5
on prepositions 6.4 Note
position in words App II. 2 f
stress shift App II. 2
stress-timed rhythm App II. 1
strew 3.15
strictly (sneaking) 10.22
stride 3.14
strike 3.14, 16
string 3.14
strive 3.16
structural compensation 14.33
structural parallelism and sentence
connection 10.48
-style Aph I. 22 Note
style $1.12,15 ; 11.4,35$; and the noun
phrase 13.6-9, 26
style disjuncts $8.47-49$; as sentenco
connecurs 10.14, 2
stylus 4.48
sub- App I.6, 8
subject 2.2, 5; 7.2, 5, 8-15
adjuncts $8.22 f$
anticipatory 14.25
as theme 14.10
case in -ing clauses $11.18 ; 12.11,24$
13.23 Note
complement 2.6; 7.2 f, 6; 12.8 f implied 11.35
subject-matter varieties $1.10,14$ subject-operater and subject-verb inversion see inversion
subjective case 11.40
suojective genitive 4.70
subjunctive 3.46; 7.64; 11.25 Note ', 50 ; 12.12, 17, 25
subordinate clause 2.5; 11.1
subordinating conjunction see
subordinator
subordination 11.1
indicators of 11.6-
subordinator 9.9-15, 31; 11.7 f
ubstution and new information 14.7
and sentence connection 10.25-36
substitution apposition 9.52 Notes $a$ and $b$
such $10.39,42$
emphatic 5.27; 14.36
such as ?. 48
such. . . as 11.7, 44; 13.10
such (. . .) (that) 11.7, 44
suds 4.34
suffixation App I.13-22
summation plurals 4.33
summative conjuncts $8.53 ; 10.14$ summer 4.21
sunrlse/sunset 4.2
super- App I.6, 8
superordinato clause 11.1
supper 4.21
supplementing clause 9.5
suppose 11.50
supposing (that) 11.7
sur- App I. 6
surely, attituainal disjunct 8.51 Note $a$ suspenders 4.33
suspenders 4.3
weat 3.13
sweep 3.12
swell 3.15
swlm 3.17
swing 3.14
syllabus 4.48
syllabus 4.48
sympostum 4.
sympostum 4.5
dination 9.7
tableau 4.54
tag 14.11; see also noun-phrase tag
tag question $7.39 ; 48 f, 59 ; 11.58$
tag statement 14.38
tails 4.32
tails 4.32
take 3.16
sea 4.21
reach 3.12; 12.28
rear 3.16
tell 3.12; 10.36; 12.28, 31
tempo 4.56
temporal clause see adverbial clause of time
temporal names 4.26
'temporal' subject 7.12
temporal transition conjunc:s $8.53 ; 10.5$
'temporary' modification 13.4
tense 3.26-44, 54; back-shift 11.53 f; see
also time
than 11.37-42
thanks 4.34
that-clause 6.2; 11.13, 46; 12.12, 14
as indirect statement 11.52, 56
with putative should 11.51
with transfer of ragation 11.58
that, conjunction 9.10 Note; 11.9, 31
that, demonstrative pronoun $4.90 ; 10.40$ determiner 4.5
for noun phraso reference 10.39 for sentence reference 10.38
intensifier with ausphoric reference 10.42
omitted in existential sentence 14.2
pro-form
10.28
the, determiner 4.5, 16-30; for noun
phraso referonce 10.39
the above 10.38
the fact that 6.2 Noto $b$
the following 10.38
the foregoting 10.3
the same, pre -form 10.27
the .. :the, curizla:! /e 11.7, 24
thelr(s) 4.79 f, 87
them $4.79 f$
theme 2.2; 14.10-18; marked 14.11;
unmarked i4.1u; with fronting 14.18
themselves $4.84 f$
then, additive conjunct 10.10; pro-form
10.28; time adjunct 9.13
there, existential subject 14.19-22
there, idiomatic uses of 8.28 Note
pro-furm fo: place adjunct 1 C .28
hese, determiner 4.5 ; for noun phras
reference 10.26; pronoun 4.90; 10.40
hests 4.52
they 4.79 f; 7.30
thief 4.39
hink 3.12
this, determiner 4.5
demonstrative pronoun 4.90; 10.40
or noun phrase reference 10.39
for sentence reference 10.38

## this way 10.39

those, determiner 4.5
demonstrative pronoun 4.90; 10.40
for noun phrase reference 10.39
hough, conjunct 8.54, 56
conjunction 9.10 Note, 31 Note; 11.7, 26 thousand $4.45,97$
thrive 3.16
through(out) 6.17, 22
throw 3.16
thus, for sentence reference 10.38
tights 4.33
till, preposition 6.23
cnnjunction 11.7, 21, 47
time adje
time adjuncts 8.30-44, 46; extended scope of 9.27
ime adverb as postmodifier of noun phrase 5.28
time adverbials 2.9 ; and aspect 3.30 ; and sentence connection 10.5 f
ine duration adjuncts 8.34
time frequency adjuce:s 8.35-38
and quantifiers 8.38
ime relationship and sentence conneation 10.5-7
ime when adjuncts 8.31-33
times of the day and night 4.2
itles, personal 4.25; 7.32
1o 6.8, 20, 22, 24, 29, 45; 7.6
to-infinitive clause 11.3, 31, 45, 58
as adjestive complementation 12.13
c: direct -bj =ct !? ! !n, ?! f, 32
in existential sentence 14.21
nominal 11.17
with subject $12.21 f, 24$
without subject 12.19
so begin whth 10.10
so conclude 10.10
oo sturt witn 1C.: 0
tomato 4.36
ron, zeso pural 4.45
tone unit 14.2; App II.7-10
tongs 4.33
roo, additive adjunct $7.35 ; 8.10 ; 10.12$ intensifier 5.5, 31; 11.43
rooth 4.40
tornado 4.36
rorpedo 4.36
roward(s) 6.14
rown 4.21
train 4.21
trans- App I. 8
transferred negation 11.58
ransformational relations between clause types $7.5 f$
transitional conjuncts 8.53; 10.13
transitive verb $2.7 ; 7.2,10 ; 12.3-0,14,28$
rrad 3.16
ri- App 1.10
troops 4.34
troplcers 4.33
trout 4.44
rruit 4.39
rurn copula 12.9 Note
tweezers 4.33
rwice, predeterminer 4.8
twilight 4.21
ultimarum 4.50
ultra- App 1.6
n- App I. 3 f
"dattached' participle 11.3
uncle 4.25
unier 6.10-12, 20
urider- App 1.6
riderneath 6.10-12
uni- App I. 10
nique reference 4.23-30
nitersity 4.21, 28
mless $11.24 f, 47$
unless and until 9.39 Note b
inmarked theme see theme
nmarked variety 1.12, 14
nreal condition 11.29
unrelated' participle 11.35
mil, conjunction 11.7, 21, 47; preposition
$6.23 f ; 8.34$ Note $c$
anwell, non-attributive 5.18
up 0.14 f, 20
up to 6.24
upon 6.7
upper case see capital letters
us 4.79 ;
used to $3.21 f, 29,54$
i:ter $514 \mathrm{~N} n$ to

## valuables 4.34

variable nouns 4.36-57
ariation within a variety 1.1 .
ce see have
erb 2.5, 7, 12, 15 f; 3.1; multi-word
12.2-6, 26; of measure 12.7 Note $d$
rb-adjective combinations 12.26
verb compounds App I. 42
in dependent clause 11.47-51
in dependen clause 11
verb suffixes App 1.18
verbal noun App 1.18 Note $b$
verbless 1 A 54 Note; 11.35 f.
nominal 11.19; with subject 12.2
nomin 4.35
cermin 4.35
very $5.2,14 f, 2^{2}, 33,39,42$ Note $b, 46 f$
ery, for noun phrase reference 10.39
rery, for n
vero 4.36
veto 4.36
viewpoint adjuncts 8.7, 46
extended scope of 9.27
viewpoint adveros 5.23
cirfuoso 4.56
vocabulary $1.6,10$
vocative $7.31 f .59$
voice and participial premod:fcation
13.15, 31 f; transformation 7.5 ; see also
passive
voicing of final $\varepsilon$ c. sonant i.. conversion Afp I. 32
volcano 4.36
volition $3.50 f$
volitional adjectives $12.12 /$
volitional adjuncts 8.22
volitional verbs $12.17,21 f, 25$
vortex 4.51
wages 4.34
wake 3.16
want $12.19,21$ Note
-ward(s) App I. 22
warch 12.23
we $4.79 f, 82 f$
wed 313
weed 3.1 ?
ell, comparison of 5.35 Note
mphasizer 8.13 Note a
nlensifer 5
were, subjunctive 1
wet 3.13
wet 3.13
wh-eliment $=3$ thame $1: 10$
$w h$-forms 2.18 ; in cleft sentences 14.16 ; in
relative clauses 13.5-9
$w h$-interrogative clause $11.14,55 ; 12.12$
wh-question 2.18; 6.3; 7.52f
wharf 4.39
what, determiner 4.5 . exclamatory 5.27 .
7.63; interrogative pronoun 4.89; 7.52 relative pronoun 4.88
whatever $11.28,47$
when, conjunction 11.7, 21, 47, 49;
interrogative 7.52; relative 13.7
whenever, conjunction 11.21, 47
where, conjunction 11.7,22, interrogative 7.52; relative 13.7
whereas 11.26
wherever, conjunction 11.22
whether $12.12,17$
whether $12.12,17$
which, determiner 4.5 ; for sauterce
refeience 10.38 No:e; inturrogatire pronoun 4.89; relative pronoun 4.88; 13.5, 7f, 11
while, whilst 11.7, 21, 26
who, interrogative 4.89; $7.52 f$
relative 4.88; $13.5 \mathrm{f}, 8,11$
who and whom, relative 13.6, 11
whoever 11.16, 28
whom, interrogative $4.89 ; 7.5$
relative 4.88; 13.6, 11
whose, determiner 4.5; interrogative 4.89;
7.52; relative $4.88 ; 13.6$
why 7.52; rclative 13.7
wife 4.39
will would 3.21, 29, 44, 51, 54; 7.42;
11.47 Note
wind 3.14
wind 3.14
winter $4.2!$
wise App
wish 12.28
with 6.31-33, 35-37, 43; and
postmodification 13.19; introducing
erbless or non-finite clause 6.37
11.3, 5
with reference to 6.39
with regard to 6.39
with the exception of 6.40
within 6.7 Note
without $6.32,35,37$
withour introducing verbless or non-finite
clauses 6.37
wits 4.34
wolf 4.39
woman 440
won't 3.21; 7.42; seo also will/would
word 2.12
vord-division App 111.3
word-formation 2.16; App I. 1
works 4.46
worse, worst 5
ould rather 3.51 Not
wreath 4.39
wring 3.14
write 3.16
writing $1.11,14$
-y App I.14, 19
yes, reaction signal 10.45
yes-no interrogative clause 11.15
question $2.19 ; 7.45-51,54,56$
yet, corjunct 8.54 Note, $56 ; 9.10,13,31$
Note; time adjunct 7.35; 8.40
yoke, zero plual 4.45
уои $4.80,82,1.31 \mathrm{f}, 59$
your $(s)$ 4.80, 87
ourcelff yourselves $4.84 f$
outh $4.35,39$
zero article 4.5, $21 f$
zero plural, 4.42-46
zero relative $13.8 f$


[^0]:    SEASONS: spring, summer, autumn (BrE), fall (AmE), winter
    Eg In winter, we go skiing. After the winter is over, the swallows will return.

[^1]:    1st first 2nd second 3rd third 4th fourth 5 th fifth
    6th sixth
    7th seventh
    8th eighth
    9th ninth
    10th tenth
    11th eleventh
    12th twelfth
    13th thirtcenth
    14th fourteenth
    15th fifteenth
    16th sixteenth
    th seventeenth
    18th eighteenth
    19th nineteenth
    20th twentieth
    21st twenty-first, etc
    30th thirtieth
    40th fortieth
    50th fiftieth
    60th sixtieth
    70th seventieth
    80th eightieth
    90th ninetieth
    90th ninetieth
    100 th (one) hundredth
    100th (one) hundredth
    101st (one) hundred and first, etc
    101st (one) hundred and
    $\begin{aligned} 1,000 \text { th } & \text { (one) thousandth } \\ 100,000 \text { th } & \text { (one) hundred thousandth }\end{aligned}$
    1,000,000th (one) millionth

[^2]:    All the students had a good time but John
    $\left\{\right.$ Most of the students had a good time $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { but not John } \\ \text { but John did not }\end{array}\right.$

[^3]:    Nearing the entrance, I shook hands with my acquaintances
    ('when/as I neared....)

